# Town of Wayland Massachusetts 

Finance Committee

- Tom Abdella
- Carol Martin
- Gil Wolin
- Nancy Funkhouser, Chair
- Bill Steinberg

Meeting Minutes
Monday, February 29, 2016, 7:00 pm
Town Building

- David Watkins
- Gordon Cliff

In Attendance: N. Funkhouser (Chair); G. Cliff; C. Martin; W. Steinberg; D. Watkins; T. Abdella (Late 7:22); and Finance Director B. Keveny. Not in Attendance: G. Wolin. WayCam was taping the meeting

7:00 Call to Order<br>Ms. Funkhouser called the meeting to order at 7:01.

7:01 Public Comment
None.

## 7:02 Committee's response to Public Comment

None.

## 7:04 Finance Director's Update

Mr. Keveny indicated that he deducted \$25,000 incorrectly which required the committee to re-vote the Omnibus budget.

7:15 FY2017 Potential Final Operating \& Capital Budget Update/Modifications, if any, and possible vote Mr. Cliff made a motion to recommend a budget of $\$ 71,137,604$, Ms., Martin seconded the motion. Motion passed 5-0-0

## 7:30 Review, Discuss \& Vote ATM Articles

Ms. Martin discussed Article XX. She communicated that after establishing the stabilization fund, the Recreation Committee requested that monies be immediately appropriated for two projects. The first was a soccer field near the Town Building for $\$ 100,000$. The second project was a feasibility study to evaluate the field that the library was also considering. She indicated that both projects could be funded from the stabilization fund and not require an additional tax levy. She emphasized that there was not enough time to vet the requests in the CIP process for FY17 ATM. She said that the BOS may or may not take a position and the Recreation department voted 5-0-0. Ms. Funkhouser indicated that Town legal had evaluated the Article. Ms. Martin brought the committee through the chronology of events. Ms. Funkhouser and Mr. Cliff indicated that the Article referred to a parcel that does not exist. Ms. Martin suggested referring to the old DPW site. Ms. Funkhouser indicated that we should refer to a map and that it was merely an administrative issue. Ms. Martin distributed a comment and recommendation. Mr. Watkins asked for clarification. Ms. Martin indicated that she wanted to vote the issue. Ms. Funkhouser said that additional time might be needed and Ms. Martin indicated that she wanted to make sure that FinCom's commentary was included. Ms. Funkhouser provided additional commentary that the Article needed further vetting. Ms. Martin made a motion to recommend Article XX Funding to design a multi-purpose rectangular, Ms. Funkhouser seconded the motion. The Vote: 0-5-0.

Ms. Martin discussed the Minuteman article 29 and recommended that FinCom take no action. Ms. Martin made a motion to take no action. Mr. Cliff seconded the motion. Vote 5-0-0.

Ms. Funkhouser reviewed Article M, Hear reports. She communicated that rather that print the information in the warrant, it would be posted to the website. She recommended that we vote to post it online instead of being printed in the Warrant. The committee deliberated and Ms. Funkhouser made a motion to recommend approval, Ms. Martin seconded. Vote 5-0-0

Mr. Cliff discussed two articles. Specifically, the Library article and second the capacity planning issued. He discussed a letter that was recently received by the DEP. He indicated that the decision needed to go to the BOS versus the Library trustees and said that the BOS was changing the motion. The committee deliberated and Mr. Cliff clarified the reason for the re-vote. Ms. Martin suggested not re-voting and because of our concern of the financial impact of the suggested changes. Mr. Cliff discussed not re-voting the article.

Ms. Martin discussed Article 7. She indicated that the recreation commission did not support the language as written and that they did not feel that the local receipts not be counted as such.

Ms. Funkhouser discussed the Omnibus FY 2017 Budget. Mr. Keveny discussed questions that Mr. Cliff had regarding the breakdown of the $\$ 71,137,604$. Mr. Cliff requested that Mr. Keveny include details about how that number is calculated. The committee deliberated and there was a suggestion that the reason it was not more detailed was that there was not a clear understanding regarding the amount. Mr. Cliff asked for clarification of the meaning of the report of the Finance Committee. He indicated that section 1, 3, 4 and 5 were required. Lastly he indicated that section two should be re-evaluated with Town Counsel. They discussed motion number 5. Ms. Martin indicated that the transfers were done as part of the individual Articles. She said that she needed to change the articles so that the monies would be transferred in Article 8 only. Ms. Funkhouser made a motion to recommend Article 8 and Mr. Cliff seconded. The Motion Passed 5-00.

Ms. Schuler, head of Community Preservation indicated that committee changed their vote to 5-0-1 and communicated that the project would now be funded $100 \%$ from CPA funds. Ms. Martin asked several questions including what happens if the Owner does not fulfill its obligation, Ms., Funkhouser asked how the relationship with SVT will work. Ms. Schuler answered and said that the P\&S would be printed online and would be posted when the warrants are mailed. She indicated that there was a final meeting with all the parties and the lawyers, she spoke to SVT, owner of the property owner etc. and that all issues would be settled. Ms. Martin asked when it would be effective - Ms. Schuler said when it is closed. Ms. Schuler indicated that it was difficult to not have the full $\$ 15 \mathrm{M}$. Mr. Watkins made a motion to recommend approval, Ms. Funkhouser seconded. Vote 4-0-1.

## 8:00 Review, Discuss \& Update Report of the Finance Committee for Warrant

Mr. Cliff provided comments to the Finance Committee annual report. He discussed 3 points, the first related to the court order and that the property taxes were derived versus planned for. He asked for clarification and requested that the $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ needed explanation. The second sustentative point he noted was that the cash capital non-exempt and exempt should be eliminated. He indicated that the description is not accurate (e.g. the purchase of the Fire Truck). Lastly, the chart with the general fund budget as a percentage of total should start at 0 vs $6 \%$.

After deliberation, the committee discussed re-labeling the financing and also indicated that the forecast would be 5 years forward. The committee deliberated the wording of the proposed Finance annual report. Mr. Cliff made a motion to recommend the annual report as modified. Mr. Watkins seconded. Vote 5-0-0.

Aida Gennis reported on the BOS vote regarding the Wastewater Article. She indicated that the two conditions were removed including the letter and the engineering study. It was voted $4-0$ and it was move from the BOS and Sam Potter from Wastewater commission spoke. He indicated that the Town would be obligated to create a leaching field under certain circumstances. He indicated that that we were using 22,000 gallons at a $100 \%$ buildout. 820 gallons was very small and expectations 400 gallons as is non consequential. He indicated that it is low risk. Mr. Cliff confirmed his perceptions.

9:00 Liaison \& Members' Reports, Concerns, and Topics
None.
9:15 Chair's Update \& Items the chair did not reasonably anticipate would be discussed at the meeting Discuss potential for newspaper article regarding budget
Mr. Cliff indicated that he would not submit a letter.
Ms. Martin asked for clarification of the WRAP group and Mr. Abdella indicated that there was information that was to be distributed to FINCOM.

Ms. Lewis discussed what the WRAP group position on the articles were. She indicated that the COA be delayed until a future Town meeting, parking current and future Town Meeting. No control of the parcel and should look at alternatives. WRAP also commented on the recreation article and said they would feel better If the monies were used for a field study instead of artificial turf. She indicated that the study should be done with the two committee s working together. She indicated that there should be stronger collaboration between two departments.

9:45 Ms. Funkhouser made a motion to adjourn, Ms. Martin seconded the motion; Vote 5-0-0.
9:50 Meeting Adjourned.
Respectfully submitted,
Dave Watkins

## ARTICLE \#: FY 2017 OMNIBUS BUDGET

Sponsored by: Finance Committee

To determine what sum of money the Town will appropriate for the operation and expenses of the Town, including capital expenditures for equipment, improvements, or other purposes, and determine whether such appropriation shall be provided by taxation, by transfer from unappropriated funds, by transfer of funds already appropriated for another purpose, by borrowing, or otherwise.

FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS: Please see the Finance Committee Report on page \#\# of this Warrant.

ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR: This budget reflects the cost of operating the Town in an efficient manner in order to maintain delivery of current levels of service to the residents of Wayland.

ARGUMENTS OPPOSED: Some residents believe that this growth in Town spending and the resulting tax increases are unsustainable. Some have communicated that they feel the level of services should be reduced. Other residents believe that insufficient funds have been budgeted to perform all desired services.

RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends approval. Vote: 5-0 for the operating budget; 5-0 for the capital budget.

QUANTUM OF VOTE: Majority - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 5, and Chapter 44, Section 33B. For borrowing, two-thirds - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Sections 7 and 8.

## MOTION UNDER ARTICLE 5:

1) "That the report of the Finance Committee respecting the Fiscal Year 2017 Budget be accepted; and that each and every numbered item set forth in the Finance Committee's Budget for Fiscal Year 2017 be voted, granted and appropriated as an expenditure for the several purposes and uses set forth in said budget establishing a total budget of $\$ 79,234,945$ which sum shall be expended only for the purposes shown under the respective boards, committees and offices of the Town; and, of the total sum so appropriated, $\$ 71,137,604$ shall be raised by taxation, $\$ 360,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Ambulance receipts, $\$ 90,291$ shall be provided by transfer from Premium on Bonds Account, $\$ 1,263,125$ shall be provided by transfer from other funds, $\$ 300,454$ shall be provided by transfer from Overlay Surplus, $\$ 1,500,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Unreserved Fund Balance, $\$ 3,787,352$ shall be provided from Water revenue, $\$ 52,708$ shall be provided from Septage Retained Earnings, and $\$ 743,411$ shall be provided from Wastewater revenues.
2) For what it considers to be the proper management of the Town and its finances, the Finance Committee makes the following recommendations, and they are, therefore, incorporated under the motion to be made under Article 5 at the Annual Town Meeting, as follows:
"That the Town Administrator be charged with responsibility for (1) the operation, maintenance, and administration of the Wayland Town Building, the Public Safety Building, the DPW Garage, the Baldwin Pond Water Treatment Plant, the Wastewater Treatment Facility, and the Cochituate Town Building, their equipment, and their grounds, as well as (2) the supervision, except for matters relating to policy, of all employees in those buildings, other than elected officials, non-salaried appointed officials, and employees of the School Department;"
"That the Director of Youth and Family Services and Staff be under the jurisdiction of the Youth Advisory Committee which shall report to the Town on the activities of the Director of Youth and Family Services and Staff at the Annual Town Meeting;"
"That property tax abatements granted to eligible senior citizens under Section 80 and 81 of Chapter 127 of the Acts of 1999 be funded by transfer from the overlay account;"
3) "That each and every numbered item set forth in the Finance Committee's capital budget of Fiscal Year 2017 listed on pages \#\#-\#\# in the total amount of $\$ 8,974,000$ be appropriated for equipment and vehicle acquisitions and projects for the listed departments, each of which shall be a separate appropriation, and, of the total sum so appropriated, the Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, is authorized to borrow $\$ 6,721,000$ pursuant to the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Sections 7 and $8, \$ 305,000$ shall be raised from taxation, $\$ 290,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Unreserved Fund Balance, $\$ 450,000$ shall be provided from water surplus, and $\$ 0$ shall be provided from Water revenues, and $\$ 515,000$ shall be provided from Ambulance receipts, and $\$ 50,000$ shall be provided from the Cemetery Revolving Fund, and $\$ 88,000$ shall be provided from the Transfer Station, and $\$ 10,000$ shall be provided by the Before and After School Account , and $\$ 545,000$ shall be provided by surplus capital closeouts, provided that the debt services costs related to the improvements to the Transfer Station and Recycling Center Facility shall be funded by transfer to the General Fund from fees paid for the use of said facility.
4) "That the Town :
a) appropriate the amount of $\$ 1,900,000$ Dollars for the purpose of paying costs of repairs to the Loker School, 47 Loker Street, Wayland, Massachusetts, 01778, including the payment of all costs incidental or related thereto (the "Project"), which proposed repair project would materially extend the useful life of the school and preserve an asset that otherwise is capable of supporting the required educational program, and for which the Town has applied for a grant from the Massachusetts School Building Authority ("MSBA"), said amount to be expended under the direction of the Permanent Municipal Building Committee. To meet this appropriation the Town Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, is authorized to borrow said amount under M.G.L. Chapter 44, or pursuant to any other enabling authority. The Town acknowledges that the MSBA's grant program is a nonentitlement, discretionary program based on need, as determined by the MSBA, and if the MSBA's Board of Directors votes to invite the Town to collaborate with the MSBA on this proposed repair project, any project costs the Town incurs in excess of any grant that may be approved by and received from the MSBA shall be the sole responsibility of the Town of Wayland; and that, if invited to collaborate with the MSBA on the proposed repair project, the amount of appropriation authorized pursuant to this vote shall be reduced by any grant amount set forth in the Project Funding Agreement that may be executed between the Town of Wayland and the MSBA;
b) to meet said appropriation, authorize the Town Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, to borrow $\$ 1,900,000$ under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, or any other enabling authority; and
c) authorize the School Committee to enter into all necessary and appropriate agreements for the repairs to the Loker School, including but not limited to a project funding agreement and a project scope and budget agreement with the MSBA, which agreements with the MSBA may include a provision requiring the Town to indemnify the MSBA for losses associated with the Town's performance of its obligations and exercise of its rights under such agreements.

For more information on this article contact Nancy Funkhouser, Chair, Finance Committee at nancyfunkhouser@verizon.net.

To the Residents of Wayland:
The Finance Committee is pleased to present our annual report to Town Meeting. Overall, Wayland taxpayers' financial position has remained strong. The Finance Committee seeks to balance the goals, desires and limitations of a broad spectrum of residents in Wayland. Some residents demand more and better services from town government. Others struggle with the property tax obligations and other fees that town government imposes on our residents. With our recommendations, we try to balance the demand for services against the cost of delivering those services. We seek to gain efficiencies, cost savings and benefit from innovations in town operations, budgeting, and finances.

The Town of Wayland remains financially strong since we reported at ATM last year. According to Moody's, Wayland's Aaa bond rating "reflects a stable tax base with strong socio-economic indicators, satisfactory financial position, and manageable debt and pension burdens. The rating also incorporates the town's comprehensive fiscal management that includes aggressive funding of long-term liabilities." This rating enables us to obtain favorable rates on our debt issuances and represents real savings in our debt service expense.

There is a significant amount of socio-economic diversity in our Town. There are residents who struggle to pay their property taxes as well as residents whose incomes are well above the average. A majority of Wayland residents fall somewhere in between. As such, we try to keep all of these varied constituencies in mind as we prepare our recommendations to Town Meeting.

## THE COMMITTEE

The Wayland Finance Committee's seven members are appointed by the Board of Selectmen. The members' three year terms are staggered which allows for a mix of new and more experienced members. Our meetings are open to the public and always include time for public comment. Meeting minutes and other working documents and public presentations are available on the Finance Committee webpage on the Town website.

## ROLE

The Bylaws charge the Finance Committee with two primary responsibilities. The Committee is required to prepare, recommend, and present the Omnibus Budget comprising the Town's operating and capital budgets, which appear as motions under an article in this Warrant. The Committee also may, and typically does, comment on articles submitted for inclusion in the Warrant.

## MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Wayland Finance Committee is to define a financial strategy for the Town and to use this strategy as the basis for recommending to the Town a fiscally responsible operating and capitalspending plan. The Finance Committee seeks to balance the demand for services against the ability of residents with a broad range of financial situations to afford these services.

## FINANCIAL STRATEGY AND GOALS

The Finance Committee's strategy has four main elements:

- Maintain quality Town services supplied by an efficient workforce
- Continue infrastructure investment through our capital spending plan
- Maintain appropriate levels of free cash and other reserve funds

[^0]- Provide a budget that takes into account the items listed above while being cognizant of residents' desire to restrain the growth in the tax levy

Overall, our challenge is to balance a long-term financial plan that provides residents with the quality and quantity of services they desire as efficiently as possible. One of the consistent concerns and considerations for the Finance Committee is the amount that our residents pay in taxes each year. This concern is balanced by the consideration for the types of services residents expect as members of this community.

## BUDGET PROCESS

Throughout the Fall of 2015 the Finance Committee reviewed various FY2017 budget scenarios based on revenue estimates, expense growth as compared to FY2015 actuals and FY2016 year-to-date information, changes to the free cash position, the effect on the tax levy and feedback from residents of the Town. Following these discussions, the Finance Committee issued FY2017 Operating Budget Process Memorandum on September 29, 2015.

The Finance Committee's FY2017 operating budget process memorandum requested boards, committees, and departments to explore creative ways to gain efficiencies, explore innovations and take on new initiatives with the goal to control costs. This memorandum cited our goal for a lesser than $2.5 \%$ Total General Operating Budget increase which was unique in that it did not call for either "level-funded" or a "level service" budget (excluding agreed salary increases and changes in utility expenses), as we have done in the past. This goal was not meant to be a "hard" ceiling, but its purpose was to provide context to departments and committees as they prepared their 2017 budgets.

Boards, committees, and departments submitted their capital requests to the Finance Committee in the Fall and the operating budgets submissions were due in November We requested written budget summaries with explanatory statements of changes from the amounts appropriated for the same purposes in FY 2016. In addition to board and committee members, and town officials and staff, members of the community provided valuable comments and insight during the budget season. We worked diligently to incorporate their suggestions in our work and in our recommendations.

For instance, with respect to Information Technology, we worked closely with the BOS, The Town Administrator, members of the public and our outside consultants to put forth an IT budget that is sensible. Our efforts reflect the consideration we paid to a support the need for enhanced infrastructure, as well as an eye on affirming sensible, timely and an appropriate level of spending for staff, products, and infrastructure. On February 25, 2016, the Finance Committee deliberated and unanimously voted its final Omnibus Budget to Town Meeting. This draft budget was presented at a Public Hearing on February 16 and that presentation is available on the Finance Committee's website. Attach link

## BUDGET DRIVERS

The general fund appropriations that drive the budget higher this year are as shown below in Table 1:

| Table 1 : Operating Budget Drives |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Dollar Increase | Percent Increase |
| Information Technology | 475,241 | 59.61\% |
| Fire Department | 192,864 | 7.01\% |
| Retirement | 263,426 | 6.22\% |
| Police Department | 115,169 | 4.32\% |
| Health Insurance | 239,782 | 3.35\% |
| Schools | 1,003,594 | 2.73\% |

The largest percentage departmental increase is in Information Technology. This increase is the result of proposed funding for an IT leadership position as well as a support position, and funding for operating expense consisting of MUNIS off-site hosting, network penetration testing, virtual desktop reconfiguration, managed services, and hardware replacement. The increase in Fire and ALS reflects agreed upon salary increases and training. Police Department increases are due to negotiated contract settlements and Retirement and Health Insurance budgets are up, in line with municipalities across the Commonwealth and the country. The Increase in the School budget is primarily the result of increases in salaries, in this final year of a budget agreement with the Teacher's union in 20 _ , and due to increases mandated for special education requirements.

## SUMMARY OF THE FY2017 OMNIBUS OPERATING BUDGET

There are several components to the operating budget. The General Fund Operating Budget funds most municipal and all school operations. Three other municipal enterprise fund operating budgets (Water, Wastewater, and Septage), along with the General Fund Operating Budget add up to the Omnibus Budget. We budget enterprise expenses to equal fee revenues generated in our enterprise fund budgets. In other words, the enterprise funds generally support themselves through fee revenue charged to their users.

In this report, we focus on the General Fund Operating Budget. We recommend an Operating Budget with operating expenses totaling $\$ 74.6$ million and other expenses of $\$ 1.0$ million, for a total amount to be raised of $\$ 75.6$ million. We estimate total revenue from property taxes, local receipts, and state aid in FY2017 will be $\$ 72.1$ million. The result is an operating budget deficit of about $\$ 3.5$ million. We propose to close this operating deficit with $\$ 1.5$ million from free cash reserves, $\$ 360,000$ in ambulance receipts, $\$ 90,000$ in prior year bond premiums, $\$ 300,000$ from the Overlay Surplus recently released by the Board of Assessors, and $\$ 1.2$ million in transfers from other funds. Tables Two and Three, below, summarize General Fund overall budgeted expenses and revenues and expense budget summaries by department. Table Four shows tax history and estimates the average property tax bill if our recommended budget is approved.

We recommend using $\$ 1.5$ million of free cash to offset this year's operating budget. This is substantially lower than the total used last year and is intended to mark the beginning of more stable annual recommended cash use going forward in line with Finance Committee goals.

| TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF GENERAL FUND EXPENSES AND REVENUES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of Dollars | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Approved } \\ \text { FY } 2015 \end{array}$ | Approved FY 2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Proposed } \\ \text { FY } 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Operating Budget | 70,303 | 72,643 | 74,651 |
| Change Over Prior Year | 3.43\% | 3.33\% | 2.77\% |
| Other Expenses | 1,263 | 771 | 1,022 |
| Total Amount to be Raised (1+2) | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,673 |
| Total Revenues | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,673 |
| Property Tax Revenue | 59,503 | 58,376 | 63,121 |
| Local Receipts | 4,180 | 4,180 | 4,300 |
| Free Cash | 1,000 | 4,350 | 1,500 |
| Overlay Surplus | 665 | 150 | 300 |
| Other Revenue | 6,218 | 6,358 | 6,452 |
| State Aid | 4,581 | 4,666 | 4,741 |
| Ambulance Receipts | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bond Premium | 101 | 94 | 90 |
| Transfers from other funds | 1,176 | 1,238 | 1,261 |

## TABLE 3: EXPENSE BUDGET SUMMARY BY FUNCTION

| Thousands of Dollars | FY 2014 Expended | FY 2015 <br> Expended | FY 2016 <br> Adopted | FY 2017 <br> Proposed | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Government | 3,247,496 | 3,153,958 | 3,375,879 | 3,933,342 | 16.51\% |
| Public Safety | 5,365,439 | 5,368,881 | 5,657,091 | 5,986,399 | 5.82\% |
| Land and Planning Use | 719,432 | 727,256 | 812,353 | 849,868 | 4.62\% |
| Schools | 33,516,931 | 35,194,711 | 36,719,239 | 37,722,833 | 2.73\% |
| Regional Vocational Schools | 212,417 | 203,026 | 110,045 | 68,618 | -37.65\% |
| Public Works | 1,885,473 | 1,710,417 | 2,125,979 | 2,247,348 | 5.71\% |
| Snow Removal | 634,825 | 944,141 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 0.00\% |
| Human Services | 2,373,445 | 2,397,233 | 2,508,371 | 2,622,401 | 4.55\% |
| Debt and Interest | 7,308,513 | 7,692,910 | 7,751,620 | 7,507,251 | -3.15\% |
| Retirement | 3,507,480 | 3,740,468 | 3,971,988 | 4,235,414 | 6.63\% |
| Unclassified | 7,251,532 | 7,743,551 | 9,160,218 | 9,028,000 | -1.44\% |
| TOTAL - GENERAL FUND | 66,022,983 | 68,876,552 | 72,642,783 | 74,651,474 | 2.77\% |
| Water Fund | 4,634,508 | 3,432,054 | 3,679,379 | 3,787,352 | 2.93\% |
| Septage Fund | 33,205 | 39,502 | 34,369 | 52,708 | 53.36\% |
| Wastewater Fund | 662,633 | 654,905 | 750,155 | 743,411 | -0.90\% |
| TOTAL - ENTERPRISE FUNDS | 5,330,346 | $4,126,461$ | 4,463,903 | 4,583,471 | 2.68\% |
| TOTAL - OMNIBUS BUDGET | 71,353,329 | 73,003,013 | 77,106,686 | 79,234,945 | 2.76\% |

## TABLE 4: PROPERTY TAX HISTORY \& FORECAST

| Thousands of Dollars | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Approved 2014 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real Property Tax Rate Forecast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Revenue | 52,011 | 54,589 | 59,586 | 58,374 | 63,121 |
| Divided by Total Assessed Valuation | 2,907,557 | 2,978,114 | 3,240,146 | 3,366,486 | 3,366,486 |
| Equals Tax Rate (Mils) | 17.89 | 18.33 | 18.39 | 17.34 | 18.75 |
| Percent Change in Tax Rate from Prior Year | -5.90\% | 2.50\% | 0.19\% | -5.58\% | 8.13\% |
| Average Residential Real Property Tax Bill | 10,529 | 10,974 | 12,049 | 11,730 | 12,675 |
| Average Annual Change from prior year | -6.60\% | 4.20\% | 9.80\% | -2.65\% | 8.05\% |
| Cumulative Change from FY 12- ( 11,274) | -6.60\% | -2.66\% | 6.87\% | 4.04\% | 12.42\% |

For our estimating purposes, we assume the FY2017 valuation and average single-family tax bill (in italics above in Table 4) will not change, even though experience teaches that they will change. The Board of Assessors will not finish their work on the FY2017 valuation until the fall of 2016.

## FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN

Capital planning is part of the overall financial plan for the Town of Wayland that the Finance Committee prepares each year. Capital needs generally fall into four categories: equipment, buildings, land and roads. During 2008, the Town formalized the capital planning process by implementing a new Capital Improvement Program or "CIP." Capital requests are summarized by department, board or commission. Capital items beyond next fiscal year for FY2017 through FY2021 have not been formally presented by departments or approved by the Finance Committee; we include them for planning purposes only. Significant capital projects listed on the five-year plan include potentially funding a new Council on Aging/Community Center, road construction, repairs to Town Building and the construction of a new Library

## CAPITAL REQUESTS

This year, Town boards and departments presented $\$ 26.9$ million in capital requests to the Finance Committee. That included funding for the Mainstone Conservation Restriction, a new COA, as well as , We recommend that Town Meeting fund $\$ 8,974,000$, or about one third of the initially requested amount. Our capital budget recommendation is driven, among other things, by our policy to try to maintain our debt service levels under 10 percent of the operating budget. Our debt service levels have been above that policy range over the past several years, owing to the high school and DPW construction projects. See Chart 2 below, showing the general fund debt budget as a percentage of the total general fund budget. As depicted in the chart, the debt service levels are expected to be less than the $10 \%$ goal.


With respect to debt service levels, we evaluated many different borrowing levels so as to take advantage of current low interest rates while being mindful of our debt policy and the sustainability of the use of free cash to support the operating budget this year and going forward. Our recommendation is to borrow $\$ 6.7$ million to fund many of the capital projects we recommend. Of that aggregate borrowing amount, roughly $\$ 950,000$ is intended to pay for a new ladder truck; we are leveraging this low interest rate environment and utilizing the Ambulance Fund to service the aggregate debt over the life of the truck. Another \$1.9 million of the total capital budget is for replacement of Loker Doors and Windows and actual expenditures will be lower due to MSBA funding, and the bulk of the remaining large ticket items include infrastructure improvements such as roads, and water main replacement and equipment and vehicles. We estimate that debt service on this incremental debt would be $\$ 369,000$ in Fiscal Year 2018.

Our capital recommendations were based on properly maintaining the current infrastructure and replacing vehicles and equipment that have outlived their useful lives. Capital expenditure requests are listed on the final page of the Omnibus Budget under the title "Capital Budget." Additional information supporting each capital expenditure requested is available on the pages following the budget (beginning on page 43) and on the Finance Committee's webpage in the Capital Improvement Plan "CIP" folder: http://www.wayland.ma.us/Pages/WaylandMA_Finance/plan.

Capital expenditures generally fall in one of these three following categories:
Cash capital items: regularly occurring, replaceable equipment and vehicles with a life span of less than 5 years, funded with cash within the operating budget or from cash reserves. Cash capital items do not impact the tax rate directly as they are funded within the Proposition $21 / 2$ levy.

Non-exempt capital items: regularly occurring, replaceable equipment and vehicles with an individual unit cost of less than $\$ 100,000$, funded by borrowing and limited to the capacity within the existing debt service. Therefore, non-exempt capital items also do not directly impact the tax rate as they are funded within the Proposition $21 / 2$ levy.

Exempt capital items: includes all building repairs as well as equipment and vehicles with an individual unit cost greater than $\$ 100,000$. These items are typically funded with a debt exclusion and normally result in a temporary increase in the tax rate.

Finally, we have worked diligently over the past several years to examine the balance sheet for capital funds that could be redeployed and we found more than $\$ 693,000$ in existing funds that we recommend Town Meeting use to fund new capital projects; These include Surplus Bond Proceeds from Closeouts which consists of $\$ 545,000$ of funds that weren't used from previously appropriated real estate projects. Other unique funding sources include $\$ 138,000$ from the Cemetery Revolving Fund and the Transfer Station Revolving Fund and the BASE program (Schools) cover $\$ 10,000$ of our capital recommendations. This is a more efficient approach than simply building out our balance sheet with new debt every time we recommend a new capital project.

## PROPOSITION $21 / 2$

We do not anticipate the need for an operational override under Proposition $21 / 2$ in the near term if we limit expense growth. Our Proposition $21 / 2$ "Levy Limit" goes up each year, regardless of whether we actually impose taxes up to the levy limit or not. Over the past years as we cut taxes our levy limit continued to grow. However, the spread between the levy limit and taxation is shrinking. If we remain on this path, in future years, this difference should remain constant. See Chart 2 below.

As Chart 2 suggests, if the General Fund expense budget grows at much more than $21 / 2$ percent each year (we recommend just under a three percent increase this year), at some point we will eventually reach our levy limit, forcing a decision between passing an operational override or cutting expenses. On the other hand, if we constrain expense budget growth to between 2-3 percent over time, we may not hit our levy limit for some time. New property growth is an additional factor to consider, as Town Center and other developments in town will continue to increase our levy limit as well as offer additional opportunity to spread the tax burden more broadly.


## CONCLUSION

The Finance Committee voted unanimously to recommend both the FY2017 operating and capital budgets. The Finance Committee believes it is in the best interest of Town Meeting to support the FY2017 budget to maintain core services and infrastructure.

The Finance Committee extends thanks and appreciation to the Town's employees, municipal and school department heads, and the various committees for their support during this year's budget preparation. Finally, we thank the interested residents who provided us timely advice and comments on how to make the budget better.

Respectfully submitted,

## WAYLAND FINANCE COMMITTEE

| Tom Abdella | Nancy Funkhouser (Chair) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gordon Cliff | Carol Martin |
| Bill Steinberg | Dave Watkins (Vice Chair) |
| Gil Wolin |  |

To the Residents of Wayland:
The Finance Committee is pleased to present our-mnntal report to annual Town Meeting. Overall, Wayland taxpayers' finaneial persition has remamed strong. - Compared to many nearby communities, Wayland has a more diverse population. Some residents demand more and better services from town government. Others struggle with the property tax obligations and other fees that town government imposes on residentr. . With our recommendations, we try to balance the demand for services against the cost of delivering those services. We seek to capturegain efficiencies and- cost savings, and benefit from innovations in-town operations, budgeting, and finances.

The Town of Wayland remains financially strong. sinee we reperted at ATM last year. According to Moody's. Wayland's Aaa bond rating "reflects a stable tax base with strong socio-economic indicators, satisfactory financial position, and manageable debt and pension burdens. The rating also incorporates the town's comprehensive fiscal management that includes aggressive funding of long-term liabilities. "1 Our AaaThis rating enables us to obtain favorable rates on our debt issuances, resulting in substantialand represents reat savings in our debt service expense.

Residents in Wayland represent a diverse range of wealth. There are residents who struggle to pay theif property taxes as well as residents whese incomes are well above the average. A majority of Wayland residents fall somewhere in between. As such, we try to keep all of these varied eonstituencies in mind as we prepare otf recommendations to Town Meeting.

## TIIE COMMITTEE

The Wayland Finance Committee's seven members are appointed by the Board of Selectmen. The members' three year terms are staggered which allows for a mix of new and more experienced members. Our meetings are open to the public and always include time for public comment. Meeting minutes and other working documents and public presentations are available on the Finance Committee webpage on the Town website.

## ROLE

The Bylaws charge the Finance Committee with threctwe primary responsibilities:-
> 1. Prepare the omnibus operating budget and submit it at the annual Town meeting
> 2. Prepare and present to annual Town meeting a five-year capital improvement program
> 3. Consider all articles in the warrant and make such report as it deems for the best interests of the Town.
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Persuant to responsibilities \#1 and \#2, the Finance Committee's recommended is required to prepare, recemmend, and present the FY 17 o日mnibus operating bBudget eemprising the Town's operating and FY 17 capital budgets, whieh appear as motions under an article in this Warrant. fant. The Committee also may comment on articles stbmitted for inclusion in the Warrant-Persuant to responsibility \#3, the Finance Committee has provided comments in the warrant on articles where we believe our report might be helpful input to residents in deciding how to vote.

## MISSION STATEMENT

[^1]The mission of the Wayland Finance Committee is to define a financial strategy for the Town and to use this strategy as the basis for recommending to the Tewt-a fiscally responsible operating and capitalspending plan. The Finance Committee seeks to balance the demand for services against the ability of residents with a broad range of financial situations to afford these services.

## FINANCIAL STRATEGY AND GOALS

The Finance Committee's strategy has four main elements:

- Maintain quality Town services supplied by an efficient workforce
| . Continue infrastructure investment through our capital improvementspending plan
- Maintain appropriate levels of free cash and other reserve funds
- Provide a budget that takes into account the items listed above while being cognizant of residents' desire to restrain the growth in the tax levy,

Overall, our challenge is to balance a long-term financial plan that provides residents with the quality and quantity of services they desire as efficiently as possible. One of the consistent concerns and considerations for the Finance Committee is the amount that our residents pay in taxes relative to comparable communities each year. This concern is balanced by the consideration for the types of services residents expect as members of this community.

## BUDGET PROCESS

Throughout the Fall of 20165 the Finance Committee reviewed various FY 2017 budget scenarios based on revenue estimates, expense growth as compared to FY 2015 actuals, FY 2016 appropriated amounts, and FY_2016 year-to-date actual expendituresinformation, changes to ourthe free cash position, the effect on the tax levy, and feedback from residents of the Town. Following these discussions, the Finance Committee issued FY 2017 Operating Budget Process mAemorandum on September 29, 2015.

The Finance Committee's FY2017 operating budget process memorandum requested boards, committees, and departments to explore creative ways to gain efficiencies, explore innovations and take on new initiatives with the goal to control costs. This memorandum cited our goal for a lesser than $2.5 \%$ Total General Operating Budget increase, which was new trnique in that it did not -call for either a "levelfunded" or a "level service" budget-texeluding ngreed salary increases and changes in utility expenses), as we have done in the past.

Boards, committees, and departments submitted their capital requests to the Finance Committee in the Fall and the operating budgets submissions were due in November, We requested written budget summaries with explanatory statements of changes from the amounts appropriated for the same purposes in FY 2016. In addition to board and committee members, and town officials and staff, members of the community provided valuable comments and insight during the budget season. We worked diligently to incorporate their suggestions in our work and in our recommendations.

For instance, with respect to Information Technology, we worked closely with the Board of Selectmenes, the Town Administrator, members of the public, and eHFoutside consultants to put forth an IT budget thatt balances our need to make substantial upgrades with our ability to make sure every additional dollar is well spent is sensible. Our recommended IT budgetefferts reflects the censiderution we paid to a support the need for enhanced infrastructure: as well as a n eye on affirming sensible, timely ${ }_{\text {a }}$ and an-appropriate level of spending for staff and purchased services, prodtrets, and infrastrueture.

On February 25, 2016, the Finance Committee deliberated and unanimously voted theits recommendedfinat FY 2017 uemnibus operating błudget-te Town Meeting. AThis draft budget-was
presented at a Public Hearing on February 16 and that presentation is available on the Finance
Committee's website. Attach link

## BUDGET DRIVERS

| GThe general fund appropriations that drive the recommended budget higher this year are as shown below in Table 1:

|  | Table 1-: Operating Budget Drivers |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Dollar <br> Increase | Percent <br> Increase |
| Department |  |  |
| Information Technology | 475,241 | $59.61 \%$ |
| Fire \& ALSDepartment | 192,864 | $7.01 \%$ |
| Retirement | 263,426 | $6.22 \%$ |
| Police-Department | 115,169 | $4.32 \%$ |
| Health Insurance | 239,782 | $3.35 \%$ |
| Schools | $1,003,594$ | $2.73 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

The largest percentage departmentat-increase is in Information Technology. This increase is the result of proposed funding for an IT leadership position andas well as a support position, and funding for operating expense consisting of MUNIS off-site hosting, network penetration testing, virtual desktop reconfiguration, managed services, and hardware replacement. The increase in Fire and ALS reflects agreed upon salary increases and enhanced training. Police Department increases are due to negotiated contract settlements and Retirement and Health Insurance budgets are up, in line with municipalities across the Commonwealth and the country. The Increase in the School budget is primarily the result of bringing the half-time Assistant Principal position at Claypit Hill Elementary to full-time and the addition of 5 special education FTE.

## SUMMARY OF THE FY2017 OMNIBUS OPERATING BUDGET

There are several components to the omnibus operating budget. The General Fund includes Town and Eperating Budget funds mest muntieipal and all and school operations, and -is primarily funded by property taxes. In addition to the General Fund there are threefee ether munivipal enterprise funds operating budgets (Water, Wastewater, and Septage) that are funded primarily by user fees.- aleng with the General Fund Operating Budget add up to the Omnibus Budget. We budget enterprise expenses te equal fee reventues generated in out enterprise fund budgets. In other words, the enterprise funds generally support themselves through fee revenue chafged to their users: - In this report, we focus on the General Fund Operating Budget.

In thi repen, we feets the General Fund Operating Budget
We recommend a general fundn-ofperating bBudget with operating expenses totaling $\$ 74.6$ million and other expenses of $\$ 1.0$ million, for a total amount to be raised of $\$ 75.6$ million. We estimate total revenue from property taxes, local receipts, and state aid in FY 2017 will be $\$ 72.1$ million. The result is an operating budget deficit of about $\$ 3.5$ million. We propose to close this operating deficit with $\$ 1.5$ million from free cash reserves, $\$ 360,000$ in ambulance receipts, $\$ 90,000$ in prior year bond premiums, $\$ 300,000$ from the Overlay Surplus recently released by the Board of Assessors, and $\$ 1.2$ million in transfers from other funds. Tables Two and Three; below; summarize General Fundoverall budgeted expenses and revenues and expense budget summaries by category department. Table Four shows tax
history and estimates the average property tax bill if our recommended budget is approved.
We recommend using $\$ 1.5$ million of free eash to offset this year's operating budget. This is substantially lower than the total used last year and is intended to mark the beginning of more stable annual recommended cash use going forwardin line with fineemm geals, made possible primarily by tighter upfront budgeting resulting in lower turnbacks-

| TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF GENERAL FUND EXPENSES AND REVENUES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of Dollars | Approved FY 2015 | Approved FY 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proposed } \\ & \text { FY } 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Operating Budget | 70,303 | 72,643 | 74,651 |
| Change Over Prior Year | 3.43\% | 3.33\% | 2.77\% |
| Other Expenses | 1,263 | 771 | 1,022 |
| Total Amount to be Raised ( $1+2$ ) | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,673 |
| Total Revenues | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,673 |
| Property Tax Revenue | 59,503 | 58,376 | 63,121 |
| Local Receipts | 4,180 | 4,180 | 4,300 |
| Free Cash | 1,000 | 4,350 | 1,500 |
| Overlay Surplus | 665 | 150 | 300 |
| Other Revenue | 6,218 | 6,358 | 6,452 |
| State Aid | 4,581 | 4,666 | 4,741 |
| Ambulance Receipts | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bond Premium | 101 | 94 | 90 |
| Transfers from other funds | 1,176 | 1,238 | 1,261 |


| TABLE 3: EXPENSE BUDGET SUMMARY BY FUNCTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of Dollars | FY 2014 <br> Expended | FY 2015 Expended | FY 2016 <br> Adopted | FY 2017 <br> Proposed | Percent Change |
| General Government | 3,247,496 | 3,153,958 | 3,375,879 | 3,933,342 | 16.51\% |
| Public Safety | 5,365,439 | 5,368,881 | 5,657,091 | 5,986,399 | 5.82\% |
| Land and Planning Use | 719,432 | 727,256 | 812,353 | 849,868 | 4.62\% |
| Schools | 33,516,931 | 35,194,711 | 36,719,239 | 37,722,833 | 2.73\% |
| Regional Vocational Schools | 212,417 | 203,026 | 110,045 | 68,618 | -37.65\% |
| Public Works | 1,885,473 | 1,710,417 | 2,125,979 | 2,247,348 | 5.71\% |
| Snow Removal | 634,825 | 944,141 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 0.00\% |
| Human Services | 2,373,445 | 2,397,233 | 2,508,371 | 2,622,401 | 4.55\% |
| Debt and Interest | 7,308,513 | 7,692,910 | 7,751,620 | 7,507,251 | -3.15\% |
| Retirement | 3,507,480 | 3,740,468 | 3,971,988 | 4,235,414 | 6.63\% |
| Unclassified | 7,251,532 | 7,743,551 | 9,160,218 | 9,028,000 | -1.44\% |
| TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 66,022,983 | 68,876,552 | 72,642,783 | 74,651,474 | 2.77\% |
| Water Fund | 4,634,508 | 3,432,054 | 3,679,379 | 3,787,352 | 2.93\% |
| Septage Fund | 33,205 | 39,502 | 34,369 | 52,708 | 53.36\% |
| Wastewater Fund | 662,633 | 654,905 | 750,155 | 743,411 | -0.90\% |
| TOTAL-ENTERPRISE FUNDS | 5,330,346 | 4,126,461 | 4,463,903 | 4,583,471 | 2.68\% |
| TOTAL - OMNIBUS BUDGET | 71,353,329 | 73,003,013 | 77,106,686 | 79,234,945 | 2.76\% |


| TABLE 4: PROPERTY TAX HISTORY \& FORECAST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of Dollars | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Approved 2014 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Real Property Tax Rate Forecast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Revenue | 52,011 | 54,589 | 59,586 | 58,374 | 63,121 |
| Divided by Total Assessed Valuation | 2,907,557 | 2,978,114 | 3,240,146 | 3,366,486 | 3,366,486 |
| Equals Tax Rate (Mils) | 17.89 | 18.33 | 18.39 | 17.34 | 18.75 |
| Percent Change in Tax Rate from Prior Year | -5.90\% | 2.50\% | 0.19\% | -5.58\% | 8.13\% |
| Average Residential Real Property Tax Bill | 10,529 | 10,974 | 12,049 | 11,730 | 12,675 |
| Average Annual Change from prior year | -6.60\% | 4.20\% | 9.80\% | -2.65\% | 8.05\% |
| Cumulative Change from FY 12- ( 11,274 ) | -6.60\% | -2.66\% | 6.87\% | 4.04\% | 12.42\% |

For our estimating purposes, we assume the FY2017 valuation and average single-family tax bill (in italics above in Table 4) will not change, even though experience suggests temehes that they will change The Board of Assessors will not finish their work on the FY2017 valuation until the fall of 2016.

## FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN

Capital planning is part of the overall financial plan for the Town of Wayland that the Finance Committee prepares each year. Capital needs generally fall into four eategories: equipment, huildings, land and roads InDuring 2008, the Town changedformalized the capital planning process by implementing a new Capital Improvement Program or "CIP." The five-year capital improvement plan is presented immediately after this report. Capital requests are summarized by department, board or commission. Capital items beyond next fiseal year for FY20187 through FY2022t have not been formally presented by departments or approved by the Finance Committee; we include them for planning purposes only. Majorsignificant capital projects listed on the five-year plan include potentially funding a new Council on Aging/Community Center, read constrution, repairs to Town Butilding and the construction of a new Library

## FY 2017 CAPITAL BUDGETREQUESTS

This year, Town boards and departments presented $\$ 26.9$ million in capital requests to the Finance Committee. That, which included funding for the Mainstone Conservation Restriction, a new $\operatorname{Co} \oplus \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{CC}$, as well as $\qquad$ We recommend that Town Meeting approve fund $\$ 8,974,000$, or about one third of the initially requested amount. Our capital budget recommendation is driven, among other things, by our policy to try to keepmaintain our debt service levels under 10 percent of the operating budget. Our debt service levels have been above that policy range over the past several years, dueowing to the high school and DPW construction projects. (See Chart 2 below, showing the general fund debt budget as a percentage of the total general fund budget.) As depicted in Cthe ehart 2 , the debt service levels are expected to be less than the $10 \%$ goal in FY 2017. Whether it continues to remain below $10 \%$ in future years will depend on many factors, including whether we vote to pursue major new capital projects.

## INSERT DEBT SERVICE GRAPII IIERE

With respect to debt service levels, we evaluated many different borrowing levels so as to take advantage of eurrent low interest fates while being mindfut of our debt pelicy and the sustainability of the use of free eash to suppert the eperating budget. QOur recommendation is to borrow $\$ 6.7$ million to fund many of the FY 2017 capital projects we recommend. Of that aggregate borrowing amount, roughly $\$ 950,000$ willis intended to pay for a new ladder truck; we are leveraging this low interest rate envirenment and utilizing the Ambulance Fund to service the aggregate debt over the life of the truck. Another $\$ 1.9$ million-of the total capital budget is for replacement of Loker Doors and Windows (andactual expenditures will be lower due to MSBA funding), and the bulk of the remaining large ticket items include infrastructure improvements such as roads, and water main replacement and equipment and vehicles. We estimate that debt service on this incremental debt would be $\$ 250,664$ in Fiscal Year 20187. less than the amount debt service is projected to drop based on current obligations. In other words, we are guiding debt service down with our recommendations. Borrowing is the fairestprudent way to finance these investments, because it spreads the cost of buying the asset (debt service) over the asset's useful life, at a time when interest rates are low. The Finance Committee also recommends using free cash to fund $\$ 290,000$ of capital requests.

Our capital recommendations awere based on properly-maintaining ourthe current infrastructure and replacing vehicles and equipment that are at or near the end of have ettlived their useful lives. Capital expenditure requests are listed on the final page of the Omnibus Budget under the title "Capital Budget." Additional information supporting each capital expenditure requested is available on the pages following the budget (beginning on page 43) and on the Finance Committee's webpage in the Capital Improvement Plan "CIP" folder: http://www. wayland ma.us/Pages/WaylandMA_Finance/plan.

Capital expendittres generally foll in one of these three following eategories:
Gash eaptal irems: regularly oeeuring, replacenble equipment and vehieles with a life span of less than a 5 years, funded with cash within the operating budget or from eash reserves. Cash capital fems donnt impaet the tax rate directly as they are finded within the Prepesition $21 / 2$ levy.
Nom-exenpt capital items: regularly seeurring, replaceable equipment and vehieles with an individual unit eest of less than $\$ 100,000$. finded by berrewing and limited to the eapacity within the existing debt service. Therefore, non-exempt capital items alse do not direetly impact the tax fate as they are funded within the Propesition $21 / 2$ levy:

Exempt capital ifems: includes all building repairs as well as equipment and vehicles with an individual thnit cest greater than $\$ 100,000$. These thems are typically finded with a debt exelusion and normally Festalt in a temperary increase in the tax rate.

As none of the recommended capital items are very large and we are in the fortunate position of having an overall operating budget well below our levy limit, the Finance Committee recommended that the Board of Selectmen not include a vote to classify any new debt as being exempt for Proposition $2 \frac{1}{2}$ levy limit calculation purposes.
Finally, we have worked diligently over the past several years to examine the balance sheet for unused capital funds that could be redeployed and we found more than $\$ 693,000$ in existing funds that we recommend Town Meeting use to fund new capital projects $:$ These include Surplus Bond Proceeds from Closeouts which consists of $\$ 545,000$ of funds that weren't used from previously appropriated real estate projects. Other unique-funding sources include $\$ 138,000$ from the Cemetery Revolving Fund and the Transfer Station Revolving Fund and the BASE program (Schools) cover $\$ 10,000$ of our capital recommendations. This is a more efficient approach than simply issuing simply building out our balance sheet with new debt for every every time we reeemmend anew capital project. Put differently, about $28 \%$ of our recommended capital budget will require no new debt, since Town Meeting can "recycle" some of the capital appropriations we already-have already made in prior years en hand:

## PROPOSITION $21 / 2$

We do not anticipate the need for an operational override under Proposition $21 / 2$ in the near term as long as if we keep a lid on expense growth under control. Our Proposition $21 / 2 \ddot{2}$ Levy Limit" goes up each year, regardless of whether we actually impose taxes up to the levy limit or net. Therefore, over the past years as we cut taxes, our levy limit continued to grow, as illustrated by Chart $3 z$ below::

As Chart $3 z$ suggests, if the General Fund expense budget grows at much more than $21 / 2$ percent each year (we recommend just under a three percent increase this year), at some point we will eventually reach our levy limit, forcing a decision between passing an operational override or cutting expenses. On the other hand, if we constrain expense budget growth to between 2-3 percent per yearover time, we may not hit our levy limit for some time. Encouraging nNew property growth is an additional factor to consider. as Town Center and other developments in town will continue to increase our levy limit as well as offer additional opportunity to spread the tax burden more broadly.

## INSERT LEVY LIMIT GRAPII

## CONCLUSION

| The Finance Committee voted unanimously to recommend both the FY 2017 omnibus operating and capital budgets. The Finance Committee believes it is in the best interest of Town Meeting to support the FY 2017 budgets as proposed to maintain core services and infrastructure

The Finance Committee extends thanks and appreciation to the Town's employees, townemtnieipat and school department heads, and the various committees for their support during this year's budget preparation. Finally, we thank the interested residents who provided us valuable inputtimely advice and eemments on how to make the budget better.

Respectfully submitted,
WAYLAND FINANCE COMMITTEE

| Tom Abdella | Nancy Funkhouser (Chair) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gordon Cliff | Carol Martin |
| Bill Steinberg | Dave Watkins (Vice Chair) |
| Gil Wolin |  |

To the Residents of Wayland:
The Finance Committee is pleased to present our annual report to Town Meeting. Overall, Wayland taxpayers' financial position has remained strong. The Finance Committee seeks to balance the goals, desires and limitations of a broad spectrum of residents in Wayland. Some residents demand more and better services from town government. Others struggle with the property tax obligations and other fees that town government imposes on our residents. With our recommendations, we try to balance the demand for services against the cost of delivering those services. We seek to gain efficiencies, cost savings and benefit from innovations in town operations, budgeting, and finances.

The Town of Wayland remains financially strong since we reported at ATM last year. According to Moody's, Wayland's Aaa bond rating "reflects a stable tax base with strong socio-economic indicators, satisfactory financial position, and manageable debt and pension burdens. The rating also incorporates the town's comprehensive fiscal management that includes aggressive funding of long-term liabilities." ${ }^{1}$ This rating enables us to obtain favorable rates on our debt issuances and represents real savings in our debt service expense.

There is a significant amount of socio-economic diversity in our Town. There are residents who struggle to pay their property taxes as well as residents whose incomes are well above the average. A majority of Wayland residents fall somewhere in between. As such, we try to keep all of these varied constituencies in mind as we prepare our recommendations to Town Meeting.

## THE COMMITTEE

The Wayland Finance Committee's seven members are appointed by the Board of Selectmen. The members' three year terms are staggered which allows for a mix of new and more experienced members. Our meetings are open to the public and always include time for public comment. Meeting minutes and other working documents and public presentations are available on the Finance Committee webpage on the Town website.

## ROLE

The Bylaws charge the Finance Committee with two primary responsibilities. The Committee is required to prepare, recommend, and present the Omnibus Budget comprising the Town's operating and capital budgets, which appear as motions under an article in this Warrant. The Committee also may, and typically does, comment on articles submitted for inclusion in the Warrant.

## MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Wayland Finance Committee is to define a financial strategy for the Town and to use this strategy as the basis for recommending to the Town a fiscally responsible operating and capitalspending plan. The Finance Committee seeks to balance the demand for services against the ability of residents with a broad range of financial situations to afford these services.

## FINANCIAL STRATEGY AND GOALS

The Finance Committee's strategy has four main elements:

- Maintain quality Town services supplied by an efficient workforce
- Continue infrastructure investment through our capital spending plan
- Maintain appropriate levels of free cash and other reserve funds

[^2]- Provide a budget that takes into account the items listed above while being cognizant of residents' desire to restrain the growth in the tax levy

Overall, our challenge is to balance a long-term financial plan that provides residents with the quality and quantity of services they desire as efficiently as possible. One of the consistent concerns and considerations for the Finance Committee is the amount that our residents pay in taxes each year. This concern is balanced by the consideration for the types of services residents expect as members of this community.

## BUDGET PROCESS

Throughout the Fall of 2015 the Finance Committee reviewed various FY2017 budget scenarios based on revenue estimates, expense growth as compared to FY2015 actuals and FY2016 year-to-date information, changes to the free cash position, the effect on the tax levy and feedback from residents of the Town. Following these discussions, the Finance Committee issued FY2017 Operating Budget Process Memorandum on September 29, 2015.

The Finance Committee's FY2017 operating budget process memorandum requested boards, committees, and departments to explore creative ways to gain efficiencies, explore innovations and take on new initiatives with the goal to control costs. This memorandum cited our goal for a lesser than $2.5 \%$ Total General Operating Budget increase which was unique in that it did not call for either "level-funded" or a "level service" budget (excluding agreed salary increases and changes in utility expenses), as we have done in the past. This goal was not meant to be a "hard" ceiling, but its purpose was to provide context to departments and committees as they prepared their 2017 budgets.

Boards, committees, and departments submitted their capital requests to the Finance Committee in the Fall and the operating budgets submissions were due in November We requested written budget summaries with explanatory statements of changes from the amounts appropriated for the same purposes in FY 2016. In addition to board and committee members, and town officials and staff, members of the community provided valuable comments and insight during the budget season. We worked diligently to incorporate their suggestions in our work and in our recommendations.

For instance, with respect to Information Technology, we worked closely with the BOS, The Town Administrator, members of the public and our outside consultants to put forth an IT budget that is sensible. Our efforts reflect the consideration we paid to a support the need for enhanced infrastructure, as well as an eye on affirming sensible, timely and an appropriate level of spending for staff, products, and infrastructure. On February 25, 2016, the Finance Committee deliberated and unanimously voted its final Omnibus Budget to Town Meeting. This draft budget was presented at a Public Hearing on February 16 and that presentation is available on the Finance Committee's website. Attach link

## BUDGET DRIVERS

The general fund appropriations that drive the budget higher this year are as shown below in Table 1:

| Table 1: Operating Budget Drives 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Dollar Increase | Percent Increase |
| Information Technology | 475,241 | 59.61\% |
| Fire Department | 192,864 | 7.01\% |
| Retirement | 263,426 | 6.22\% |
| Police Department | 115,169 | 4.32\% |
| Health Insurance | 239,782 | 3.35\% |
| Schools | 1,003,594 | 2.73\% |

The largest percentage departmental increase is in Information Technology. This increase is the result of proposed funding for an IT leadership position as well as a support position, and funding for operating expense consisting of MUNIS off-site hosting, network penetration testing, virtual desktop reconfiguration, managed services, and hardware replacement. The increase in Fire and ALS reflects agreed upon salary increases and training. Police Department increases are due to negotiated contract settlements and Retirement and Health Insurance budgets are up, in line with municipalities across the Commonwealth and the country. The Increase in the School budget is primarily the result of increases in salaries, in this final year of a budget agreement with the Teacher's union in 20 $\qquad$ , and due to increases mandated for special education requirements.

## SUMMARY OF THE FY2017 OMNIBUS OPERATING BUDGET

There are several components to the operating budget. The General Fund Operating Budget funds most municipal and all school operations. Three other municipal enterprise fund operating budgets (Water, Wastewater, and Septage), along with the General Fund Operating Budget add up to the Omnibus Budget. We budget enterprise expenses to equal fee revenues generated in our enterprise fund budgets. In other words, the enterprise funds generally support themselves through fee revenue charged to their users.

In this report, we focus on the General Fund Operating Budget. We recommend an Operating Budget with operating expenses totaling $\$ 74.6$ million and other expenses of $\$ 1.0$ million, for a total amount to be raised of $\$ 75.6$ million. We estimate total revenue from property taxes, local receipts, and state aid in FY2017 will be $\$ 72.1$ million. The result is an operating budget deficit of about $\$ 3.5$ million. We propose to close this operating deficit with $\$ 1.5$ million from free cash reserves, $\$ 360,000$ in ambulance receipts, $\$ 90,000$ in prior year bond premiums, $\$ 300,000$ from the Overlay Surplus recently released by the Board of Assessors, and $\$ 1.2$ million in transfers from other funds. Tables Two and Three, below, summarize General Fund overall budgeted expenses and revenues and expense budget summaries by department. Table Four shows tax history and estimates the average property tax bill if our recommended budget is approved.

We recommend using $\$ 1.5$ million of free cash to offset this year's operating budget. This is substantially lower than the total used last year and is intended to mark the beginning of more stable annual recommended cash use going forward in line with Finance Committee goals.

| TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF GENERAL FUND EXPENSES AND REVENUES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thousands of Dollars | Approved FY 2015 | Approved <br> FY 2016 | Proposed <br> FY 2017 |
| Operating Budget | 70,303 | 72,643 | 74,651 |
| Change Over Prior Year | 3.43\% | 3.33\% | 2.77\% |
| Other Expenses | 1,263 | 771 | 1,032 |
| Total Amount to be Raised (1+2) | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,683 |
| Total Revenues | 71,566 | 73,414 | 75,683 |
| Property Tax Revenue | 59,503 | 58,376 | 63,131 |
| Local Receipts | 4,180 | 4,180 | 4,300 |
| Free Cash | 1,000 | 4,350 | 1,500 |
| Overlay Surplus | 665 | 150 | 300 |
| Other Revenue | 6,218 | 6,358 | 6,452 |
| State Aid | 4,581 | 4,666 | 4,741 |
| Ambulance Receipts | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| Bond Premium | 101 | 94 | 90 |
| Transfers from other funds | 1,176 | 1,238 | 1,261 |

TABLE 3: EXPENSE BUDGET SUMMARY BY FUNCTION

| Thousands of Dollars | FY 2014 <br> Expended | FY 2015 <br> Expended | FY 2016 <br> Adopted | FY 2017 <br> Proposed | Percent <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Government | 3,247,496 | 3,153,958 | 3,375,879 | 3,933,342 | 16.51\% |
| Public Safety | 5,365,439 | 5,368,881 | 5,657,091 | 5,986,399 | 5.82\% |
| Land and Planning Use | 719,432 | 727,256 | 812,353 | 849,868 | 4.62\% |
| Schools | 33,516,931 | 35,194,711 | 36,719,239 | 37,722,833 | 2.73\% |
| Regional Vocational Schools | 212,417 | 203,026 | 110,045 | 68,618 | -37.65\% |
| Public Works | 1,885,473 | 1,710,417 | 2,125,979 | 2,247,348 | 5.71\% |
| Snow Removal | 634,825 | 944,141 | 450,000 | 450,000 | 0.00\% |
| Human Services | 2,373,445 | 2,397,233 | 2,508,371 | 2,622,401 | 4.55\% |
| Debt and Interest | 7,308,513 | 7,692,910 | 7,751,620 | 7,507,251 | -3.15\% |
| Retirement | 3,507,480 | 3,740,468 | 3,971,988 | 4,235,414 | 6.63\% |
| Unclassified | 7,251,532 | 7,743,551 | 9,160,218 | 9,028,000 | -1.44\% |
| TOTAL - GENERAL FUND | 66,022,983 | 68,876,552 | 72,642,783 | 74,651,474 | 2.77\% |
| Water Fund | 4,634,508 | 3,432,054 | 3,679,379 | 3,787,352 | 2.93\% |
| Septage Fund | 33,205 | 39,502 | 34,369 | 52,708 | 53.36\% |
| Wastewater Fund | 662,633 | 654,905 | 750,155 | 743,411 | -0.90\% |
| TOTAL - ENTERPRISE FUNDS | 5,330,346 | 4,126,461 | 4,463,903 | 4,583,471 | 2.68\% |
| TOTAL - OMNIBUS BUDGET | 71,353,329 | 73,003,013 | 77,106,686 | 79,234,945 | 2.76\% |

TABLE 4: PROPERTY TAX HISTORY \& FORECAST

| Thousands of Dollars | Approved 2013 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approved } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real Property Tax Rate Forecast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Revenue | 52,011 | 54,589 | 59,586 | 58,374 | 63,131 |
| Divided by Total Assessed Valuation | 2,907,557 | 2,978,114 | 3,240,146 | 3,366,486 | 3,366,486 |
| Equals Tax Rate (Mils) | 17.89 | 18.33 | 18.39 | 17.34 | 18.75 |
| Percent Change in Tax Rate from Prior Year | -5.90\% | 2.50\% | 0.19\% | -5.58\% | 8.13\% |
| Average Residential Real Property Tax Bill | 10,529 | 10,974 | 12,049 | 11,730 | 12,675 |
| Average Annual Change from prior year | -6.60\% | 4.20\% | 9.80\% | -2.65\% | 8.05\% |
| Cumulative Change from FY 12- ( 11,274) | -6.60\% | -2.66\% | 6.87\% | 4.04\% | 12.42\% |

For our estimating purposes, we assume the FY2017 valuation and average single-family tax bill (in italics above in Table 4) will not change, even though experience teaches that they will change. The Board of Assessors will not finish their work on the FY2017 valuation until the fall of 2016.

## FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN

Capital planning is part of the overall financial plan for the Town of Wayland that the Finance Committee prepares each year. Capital needs generally fall into four categories: equipment, buildings, land and roads. During 2008, the Town formalized the capital planning process by implementing a new Capital Improvement Program or "CIP." Capital requests are summarized by department, board or commission. Capital items beyond next fiscal year for FY2017 through FY2021 have not been formally presented by departments or approved by the Finance Committee; we include them for planning purposes only. Significant capital projects listed on the five-year plan include potentially funding a new Council on Aging/Community Center, road construction, repairs to Town Building and the construction of a new Library

## CAPITAL REQUESTS

This year, Town boards and departments presented $\$ 26.9$ million in capital requests to the Finance Committee. That included funding for the Mainstone Conservation Restriction, a new COA, as well as yads. $\qquad$ , We recommend that Town Meeting fund $\$ 8,974,000$, or about one third of the initially requested amount. Our capital budget recommendation is driven, among other things, by our policy to try to maintain our debt service levels under 10 percent of the operating budget. Our debt service levels have been above that policy range over the past several years, owing to the high school and DPW construction projects.See Chart 2 below, showing the general fund debt budget as a percentage of the total general fund budget. As depicted in the chart, the debt service levels are expected to be less than the $10 \%$ goal.


With respect to debt service levels, we evaluated many different borrowing levels so as to take advantage of current low interest rates while being mindful of our debt policy and the sustainability of the use of free cash to support the operating budget this year and going forward. Our recommendation is to borrow $\$ 6.7$ million to fund many of the capital projects we recommend. Of that aggregate borrowing amount, roughly $\$ 950,000$ is intended to pay for a new ladder truck; we are leveraging this low interest rate environment and utilizing the Ambulance Fund to service the aggregate debt over the life of the truck. Another \$1.9 million of the total capital budget is for replacement of Loker Doors and Windows and actual expenditures will be lower due to MSBA funding, and the bulk of the remaining large ticket items include infrastructure improvements such as roads, and water main replacement and equipment and vehicles. We estimate that debt service on this incremental debt would be $\$ 369,000$ in Fiscal Year 2018.

Roads
Our capital recommendations were based on properly maintaining the current infrastructure and replacing vehicles and equipment that have outlived their useful lives. Capital expenditure requests are listed on the final page of the Omnibus Budget under the title "Capital Budget." Additional information supporting each capital expenditure requested is available on the pages following the budget (beginning on page 43) and on the Finance Committee's webpage in the Capital Improvement Plan "CIP" folder: http://www.wayland.ma.us/Pages/WaylandMA_Finance/plan.

Capital expenditures generally fall in one of these three following categories:
Cash capital items: regularly occurring, replaceable equipment and vehicles with a life span of less than 5 years, funded with cash within the operating budget or from cash reserves. Cash capital items do not impact the tax rate directly as they are funded within the Proposition $21 / 2$ levy.
We are concerned that we continue to not spent Whet is below a Full replacesment Value ad weeds to be investigate 1 and ar spender be low

Non-exempt capital items: regularly occurring, replaceable equipment and vehicles with an individual unit cost of less than $\$ 100,000$, funded by borrowing and limited to the capacity within the existing debt service. Therefore, non-exempt capital items also do not directly impact the tax rate as they are funded within the Proposition $21 / 2$ levy.

Exempt capital items: includes all building repairs as well as equipment and vehicles with an individual unit cost greater than $\$ 100,000$. These items are typically funded with a debt exclusion and normally result in a temporary increase in the tax rate.

NONEW
Finally, we have worked diligently over the past several years to examine the balance sheet for capital funds that could be redeployed and we found more than $\$ 693,000$ in existing funds that we recommend Town Meeting use to fund new capital projects; These include Surplus Bond Proceeds from Closeouts which consists of $\$ 545,000$ of funds that weren't used from previously appropriated real estate projects. Other unique funding sources include $\$ 138,000$ from the Cemetery Revolving Fund and the Transfer Station Revolving Fund and the BASE program (Schools) cover $\$ 10,000$ of our capital recommendations. This is a more efficient approach than simply building out our balance sheet with new debt every time we recommend a new capital project.

## PROPOSITION $21 / 2$

We do not anticipate the need for an operational override under Proposition $21 / 2$ in the near term if we limit expense growth. Our Proposition $21 / 2$ "Levy Limit" goes up each year, regardless of whether we actually impose taxes up to the levy limit or not. Over the past years as we cut taxes our levy limit continued to grow. However, the spread between the levy limit and taxation is shrinking. If we remain on this path, in future years, this difference should remain constant. See Chart 2 below.

As Chart 2 suggests, if the General Fund expense budget grows at much more than $21 / 2$ percent each year (we recommend just under a three percent increase this year), at some point we will eventually reach our levy limit, forcing a decision between passing an operational override or cutting expenses. On the other hand, if we constrain expense budget growth to between 2-3 percent over time, we may not hit our levy limit for some time. New property growth is an additional factor to consider, as Town Center and other developments in town will continue to increase our levy limit as well as offer additional opportunity to spread the tax burden more broadly.


## CONCLUSION

The Finance Committee voted unanimously to recommend both the FY2017 operating and capital budgets. The Finance Committee believes it is in the best interest of Town Meeting to support the FY2017 budget to maintain core services and infrastructure.

The Finance Committee extends thanks and appreciation to the Town's employees, municipal and school department heads, and the various committees for their support during this year's budget preparation. Finally, we thank the interested residents who provided us timely advice and comments on how to make the budget better.

Respectfully submitted,

## WAYLAND FINANCE COMMITTEE

| Tom Abdella | Nancy Funkhouser (Chair) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gordon Cliff | Carol Martin |
| Bill Steinberg | Dave Watkins (Vice Chair) |
| Gil Wolin |  |


DATE: FEBRUARY 29, 2016

TO: BOARD OF SELECTMEN
FROM: NAN BALMER, TOWN ADMINISTRATOR

## RE: $\quad$ ARTICLE Z: SEWER CAPACITY FOR LIBRARY

- The Library Board of Trustees will submit an application for a MA Board of Library Commissioners (MBLC) grant for a new or expanded library. The grant application requires the Trustees to assure MBLC that sewer capacity is available for the expansion. The Library Trustees sponsored an article for a Town Meeting appropriation to purchase capacity through a Payment in Lieu of Betterment (PILOB) at a cost of $\$ 56,000$. Assignment of the 820 gpd to an expanded Library requires DEP approval; the Commission requested DEP approval.
- In 2015, the Selectmen considered two requests from the Wastewater Management District Commission to purchase 820 gallons per day of capacity for the Library -440 gpd was relinquished from a private residence and the balance from Wayland Commons.
- The Selectmen declined to purchase the additional capacity because of uncertainty about the capacity it already owns. The Town, through the Board of Selectmen owns 7100 gallons per day of sewer capacity for town buildings and the municipal pad, has paid a $\$ 500,671$ PILOB, and pays ongoing fees for this capacity. The Town Health Director, Building Commissioner and Facilities Director recommend an engineering study to advise the Selectmen whether there is an engineering basis to ask the WWMDC to allocate some of the town capacity to the Library.
- The following is an alternative article that would be sponsored by the Board of Selectmen or cosponsored with the Library and replace the article from the Library Trustees. It would allow the Selectmen to purchase the capacity for the Library as part of the existing portfolio of capacity, contingent upon DEP approval and on an engineering study to advise the town whether part of the capacity already owned by the town can be reallocated to the Library.

ARTICLEZ:

## FUND PAYMENT IN LIEU OF SEWER BETTERMENT ASSESSMENT FOR SEWER CAPACITY FOR TOWN LBRARY OR OTHER TOWN BUILDING

Sponsored by: Board of Librany Trustees Board of Selectmen
To determine whether the Town will vote to appropriate a sum of money to be that may be expended by the Board of Library Trustees Selectmen for a payment in lieu of a betterment assessment relative to the new Town-owned wastewater treatment plant for sewer capacity at said plant to connect a Town Library building or other Town building at 5 Concord Road, Wayland, Massachusetts thereto, said expenditure to be contingent upon approval by the Department of Environmental Protection for the Wastewater Management District Commission to reallocate 820 gallons per day of Title V design flow without major capital expenses, and also contingent upon an engineering study that establishes the town's current and future needs for wastewater capacity for all town buildings in the WWMDC; and determine whether such appropriation shall be provided by taxation, by transfer from unappropriated funds, by transfer of funds already appropriated for another purpose, by borrowing under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44 or other enabling authority, or otherwise.

# ARTICLE TT: APPROPRIATE FUNDS TO PURCHASE CONSERVATION RESTRICTION ON MAINSTONE FARM - CPA AND GENERA BORROWING, 

Sponsored by: Community Preservation Committee/Conservatton Commission<br>Estimated

Cost: $\$ 12.000 .000$

To determine whether the Town will vote to:
a. authorize the Board of Selectmen, with the approval of Town Counsel as to form, to acquire by purchase, gift, eminent domain or otherwise a conservation restriction in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 184, Sections 31-33, to be held by the Town of Wayland Conservation Commission and by the Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc., on a parcel of land located on Old Connecticut Path and Rice Road, Wayland, Massachusetts containing 208.7 acres, more or less, being a portion of the land on Old Connecticut Path and Rice Road in Wayland, Massachusetts described in the deed dated April 18, 1991 and recorded with the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds in Book 21128, Page 94, which parcel of land to be covered by said conservation restriction is shown on Wayland Assessors Map as Parcel 35-031A and on an unrecorded plan entitled "Subdivision Plan of Land in Wayland Middlesex County Massachusetts" dated December 22, 2015, prepared for Mainstone Land Trust by Samiotes Consultants Inc., a copy of which plan is attached to the Warrant for the Annual Town Meeting 2016 in Appendix $\qquad$
b. appropriate a sum of money to be expended by the Board of Selectmen for the acquisition of said conservation restriction to be held by the Town of Wayland Conservation Commission and by Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc.; and.
c. determine whether said appropriation shall be provided by taxation, transfer from unappropriated available funds, transfer from available funds appropriated for other purposes, by borrowing, or otherwise, provided:
i. not more than $\$ 5$ million of the funds so appropriated shall be transferred from the Community Preservation Fund of which $\$ 2.1$ million shall be from the Open Space Fund and $\$ 2.9$ million from the uncommitted funds in the Community Preservation Fund, and
ii. not more than \$5-7 million of the funds to be borrowed from Community Preservation Fund future receipts, and
iii. not more than $\$ 2$ million frem general berrowing.

FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS:
In April 2001 the Community Preservation Committee was established to study the needs, possibilities and resources of the town regarding community preservation, make
recommendations in proper form to the Town Meeting for the acquisition, creation, and preservation of open space (OS); for the acquisition and preservation of historic resources (HP); for the acquisition, creation, and preservation of land for recreational use; for the creation, preservation, and support of community housing $(\mathrm{CH})$; and for rehabilitation or restoration of such open space, historic resources, land for recreational use, and community housing that is acquired or created with funds received in accordance with the Community Preservation Act.

When the Town began setting aside funds for the CPA, one of the primary interests was planning for the preservation of Mainstone Farm. Mainstone Farm is located at $83-87$ Old Connecticut Path and is approximately 208 acres of rolling pastures, wooded hillsides, and rich wetlands and one of the largest remaining pieces of undeveloped and unprotected open space in Wayland and Boston region. The proposed preservation is in the form of a conservation restriction (CR) and will only happen as a result of the Town partnering with the Sudbury Valley Trust (SVT) for financial considerations and with the owners of the property.

In 2011, Town Meeting commissioned a $\$ 40,000$ land use study and appraisal to evaluate potential residential development alternatives. At that time, the highest value use was for 128 housing units of which 18 would be conventional lots and 110 would be cluster development lots. Most of the property, except the wetlands in the southwest corner, would be covered with neighborhood roads and house lots. When the study was conducted in 2011, the residential real estate market had not recovered and the CR was assessed to be worth $\$ 10.6$ million dollars. As a result, the owners did not move forward with the sale of the CR interest in the property and continued their discussions with the Town.

In July 2015, using CPA administrative funds, the CPC commissioned a $\$ 5,000$ study to provide an updated appraisal with a modifiedfor a CR. The new appraisal was for $\$ 17$ million and was based on the same configuration less three (3) conventional lots ( 125 lots of which 110 could be cluster development and 15 could be conventional lots). As a result, the owners agreed to sell for $\$ 15$ Millionmillion. The agreement specifies that the farmland would remain in private ownership and the CR would provide for allowed and prohibited uses in perpetuity. The CR allows for farming to continue and calls for the preservation of open space. The CR on the farm land would be held jointly by the Town and SVT and would be managed through an agreement between the Conservation Commission and SVT. Annual meetings would be used to guide the process, including the enforcement of maintenance standards and a trail system open to the general public.

The CPC is advancing a plan, raising $\$ 12$ million from the Town, and $\$ 3$ Million from SVT. Upon approval by the Town to purchase the CR, no current CPA funds will be spent and no borrowing against future CPA revenue streams will occur unless all fundraising efforts for the \$15 Million are accounted for. There might be grant funding available; and if awarded, could reduce the amount CPC would need to borrow

The following table represents the sources of funds.

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Estimated balance from CPF | $\$ 5,000,000$ |
| 2 | CPC Borrowing | $\$ 57,000,000$ |
| 3 | Amount Berfewed from General Fund | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| 3 | Grant Sources | $\$ 0$ |
| 4 | Contribution from SVT | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| 5 | Contribution from Town Bonds | $\$ 0$ |
| TOTAL FUNDS NEEDED | $\$ 15,000,000$ |  |

To accommodate the Town's historical February borrowing cycle, the earliest the Town would be in a position to close on the CR is Spring of 2017. The complex arrangement will have many conditions that must be met in order to close and delays will potentially jeopardize the agreement. The conditions are specified in the \{signed and negotiated\} Purchase \& Sale Agreement (P\&S). No funds can be spent until closing, no borrowing can occur unless all conditions are met and closing is imminent, \$15 Million in funding is available and all documents have been signed.
ATM is voting to earmark funds and approve borrowing against Community Preservation Fund future receipts. In the event that there is a reason to not proceed, a future town meeting could vote to remove the allocation of the funds that have been set aside for this purpose.
Under the Finance Committee's proposed scenario, the CPC will continue to have the requisite funds after committing future CPF receipts to service the debt for the next 30 years. Furthermore, The Town can only borrow against future CPA surcharge funds and the borrowed amount cannot exceed an amount for which the debt can be paid by the annual $1.5 \%$ CPA surcharge receipts minus the $10 \%$ for each of the dedicated funds. Recreation projects, and additional monies for $\mathrm{OS}, \mathrm{HP}$ or CH are funded from the Uncommitted Balance. Additionally, the State Trust Fund varies annually and its certainty is unknown.

The following represents the estimated fund balances through 6/30/16:

| No. | CPA Fund | Actual <br> $12 / 31 / 15$ <br> Balance | Net Estimated <br> FY16 ATM <br> Changes | Less Mainstone <br> Allocation | FY16 Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 145,980$ | $(\$ 5,307)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 140,673$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 2,036,750$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 2,100,000$ | $\$ 32,444$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 19,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 29,605$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 3,809,133$ | $(\$ 297,082)$ | $\$ 2,900,000$ | $\$ 612,051^{*}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 6,011,469$ | $(\$ 196,695)$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 814,774$ |  |

*FY'16 ending balance will have an additional $\$ 356,378$ for last two quarters of
FY' 16 surcharge receipts deposited in Uncommitted.

The following is the estimated annual fund flow (based on FY16) ifwith all borrowing was against the Community Preservation Fund (CPF) future receipts only:

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Projected FY'16 surcharge at 1.5\% | $\$ 736,851$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Less: $10 \%$ set aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | $(\$ 221,055)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Less: Estimated annual payments at 2.5\% over 30 years | $(\$ 335,000)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Plus: Estimated State Trust Fund Contribution (FY16) | $\$ 220,085$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Less: 10\% State Fun set aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | $(\$ 66,231)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Plus: Estimated annual interest payments | $\$ 1,000$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Remaining Annual Uncommitted Balance in CPF for other projects | $\$ 335,650$ |

The above table indicates that there will be funds remaining in the CPF for other projects in the future. is enough money available in the current CPF to not require an additional ta* tery using the Town's general fund. Over a 5 -year period the estimated cumulative fund balances are shown below:

| No. | CPA Fund | FY16 <br> Ending <br> Balance | Annual <br> Additional <br> Contribution | 5 Years of <br> Contributions to <br> CPF (no interest) | Estimated FY22 <br> Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 140,673$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 619,143$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 478,471$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 32,444$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 510,914$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 29,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 923,450$ | $\$ 335,650$ | $\$ 1,678,250$ | $\$ 2,601,700$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 1,126,083$ | $\$ 632,732$ | $\$ 3,163,660$ | $\$ 4,220,228$ |  |

The following is estimated annual fund flow (based on FY16) if \$2 Million were borrowed using the Town's General Fund:

| No. | Description | Ameunt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Projected FY'16 surcharge at 1.5\% | \$736,851 |
| $z$ | Less: $10 \%$ set aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | (\$221,055) |
| 3 | tess: Estimated annual payments at 2.5\% over 30 years | $(\$ 240,000)$ |
| 4 | Plus: Estimated State Trust Fund Contribution (FY16) | \$220,085 |
| 5 | Less: $10 \%$ State Fun set aside for 3 dedieated funds (OS, HP, CH) | (\$66,231) |
| 6 | Plus: Estimated annual interest payments | \$ 1,000 |
| 7 | Remaining Uncemmitted Balance in CPF forether projects | \$430,650 |

If the Town were to accept the Article as written, and commit to general borrowing, it would be equivalent to increasing the CPF rate by $19 \%$ to $1.69 \% \mathrm{vs} .1 .5 \%$.

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | All CPA Borfowing estimated annual payments at $2.5 \%$ over 30 years | $\$ 335,000$ |
| $z$ | tess: $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ Town Borrowing/ $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ CPF future receipts only | $(\$ 240,000)$ |
| 3 | Projected FY'16 surcharge of $\$ 736,851$ (Assessors calculation) | $\$ 736,851$ |
| 4 | Effective FY16 surcharge using general borrowing | $\$ 831,851$ |
| 5 | Equivalent rate the Town is paying for CPF vs $1.5 \%$ | $1.69 \%$ |

In Summary, the Finance Committee is supportive of the project but are net suppertive of thewith all borrowing against future finaneing method. The Committee believes that any centemplated berrowing required sheuld be against the Community Preservation Fund (CPF) futtre receipts that willdoes not and not-affect the current tax levy.

The Community Preservation Committee voted in favor of this article on January 13, 2016. Vote: 8-0-0. The Conservation Commission voted in favor of this article on January 7, 2016. Vote: 6-0-0. The Board of Selectman recommends I 1. Vote: [ ].

## ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR:

This acquisition is consistent with one of the main goals of the CPC when the Town began collecting CPA funds and has been a goal of the open space plan.

Borrowing over thirty years makes this a multi-generational project so that present and future residents pay for the privilege of enjoying the open space, the farm activity and the scenic quality of Mainstone Farm.

Purchase of a CR on Mainstone Farm will preserve in perpetuity one of the largest known farms in close proximity to Boston from future development and will provide the opportunity to continue to use the property as a working farm.

Ownership and responsibility for maintaining the farm would remain in the hands of the owners.

Land use planning has shown that the highest developed use is for 128 single-family housing units. This CR would prevent development of the land and would provide public access to part of the farm on designated trails.

Preservation of the farmland is a unique opportunity locally and regionally and will occur through a partnership with Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc., the Town and the present owners of the farm.

## ARGUMENTS OPPOSED:

The propesal required an additional tax levy and will be collected through the CPA surcharge with a direct effect on the present tax rate or individual bill.

If a future ATM were to vote to reduce the Town's $1.5 \%$ annual surcharge, the Town would have to reduce Open Space, Community Housing, Historic Preservation and Recreation project expenses.

Any changes to the program that affects matching CPA State Funds would be a detriment to the overall program of the CPC .

The projected debt service payments on the bonds assume a level debt service structure, like a mortgage, at the requested interest rates which are subject to change,

There may be toe much of a burden on tax payers. The $\$ 2$ millien to be beffewed against the fieneral Fund may affeet the tax rate and individual inx bills as well ws fittre borfowing for other projects.

In 2014, Wayland just provided $\$ 2,400,000$ funds to purchase a conservation restriction on I incoln Road Fields.

The land could possibly remain undeveloped by the owner and future owners regardless of whether we acquire a conservation restriction.

If the owners were to sell the land for residential development, this would result in greater tax revenue for the Town.

In the event that the owner does not maintain the land the Town may incur additional costs in maintaining the property. Currently, SVT is raising $\$ 3.3$ million where $\$ 3 \mathrm{mill}$ is for the purchase of CR and $\$ 300,000$ will be used toward an endowment for trat maintenanemanagement of the CReetc.

Following acquisition of this conservation restriction, the CPC Open Space Fund would be depleted temporarily affecting and the Town's ability to make future conservation purchases wentd be temperarily depleted-and the Town's ability to make large future conservation purchases would be limited until the fund is replenished or financed by other means.
| RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends to not approve. Vote $\theta-5 \times x x_{x}$
QUANTUM OF VOTE: a.) and b.) See Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 14, c.) Majority - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40. Section 5, Chapter 44, Section 33B and Chapter 44B. Section 6. For borrowing, two-thirds - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44. Section 7.

For more information about this article, contact Gretchen Schuler at 508-358-7980 or ggschuler@verizonnel.

CONSISTENCY WITII LAW: It is the opinion of Town Counsel that the foregoing bylaw amendment is consistent with federal and Massachusetts law.

## Town of Wayland Massachusetts

## Finance Committee

- Tom Abdella
- Carol Martin
- Gil Wolin
- Nancy Funkhouser, Chair
- Bill Steinberg


## AMENDED AGENDA

Monday, February 29, 2016, 7:00 pm
Town Building

- David Watkins
- Gordon Cliff

Items may not be discussed in the order listed or at the specific time estimated. The meeting may be broadcast and videotaped for later broadcast by WayCAM and may be recorded by others.

7:00 Call to Order
7:01 Public Comment
7:02 Committee's response to Public Comment
7:04 Finance Director's Update
7:15 FY2017 Potential Final Operating \& Capital Budget Update/Modifications, if any, and possible vote
7:30 Review, Discuss \& Vote ATM Articles
8:00 Review, Discuss \& Update Report of the Finance Committee for Warrant
9:00 Liaison \& Members' Reports, Concerns, and Topics
9:15 Chair's Update \& Items the chair did not reasonably anticipate would be discussed at the meeting

- Discuss potential for newspaper article regarding budget

9:30 Meeting Minutes Review, Discussion and Approval $1 / 28$ (NF), $2 / 11$ (NF), 2/22 (BS), 2/25 (CM)

Future meetings: $3 / 14,3 / 28$, ATM
9:45 Adjourn


| DRAFT- RECAP OF FY 17 BUDGET REQUESTS REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES-DRAFT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DRAFT ONLY |  |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES | Payroll | Expenses | Omnibus <br> Total | All Budgets |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11,876,337.00 | 4,281,639.00 | 16,157,976.00 | 16,157,976.00 |
| Town | 30,874,683.00 | 6,848,150.00 | 37,722,833.00 | 37,722,833.00 |
| School | - | 7,507,251.00 | 7,507,251.00 | 7,507,251.00 |
| Retirement | - | 4,235,414.00 | 4,235,414.00 | 4,235,414.00 |
| Unclassified-Other | - | 1,863,000.00 | 1,863,000.00 | 1,863,000.00 |
| Health Insurance | $\checkmark$ | 7,165,000.00 | 7,165,000.00 | 7,165,000.00 |
| Cash Capital - Capital Projects |  | . | - | 305,000.00 |
| Total Departmental | 42,751,020.00 | 31,900,454.00 | 74,651,474.00 | 74,956,474.00 |
| Water Enterprise | 778,470.00 | 3,008,882.00 | 3,787,352.00 | 3,787,352.00 |
| Wastewater Enterpise | 33,552.00 | 709,859.00 | 743,411.00 | 743,411.00 |
| Septage Enterprise | - | 52,708.00 | 52,708.00 | 52,708.00 |
| Total Enterprise | - | 3,771,449.00 | 4,583,471.00 | 4,583,471.00 |
| Total-Omibus Budget | 42,751,020.00 | 35,671,903.00 | 79,234,945.00 | 79,539,945.00 |
| Unappropriated |  |  |  |  |
| Overlay | - | - | - | 600,000.00 |
| State Assessments | - | - | - | 110,000.00 |
| Cherry Sheet Offset | - | - | * | 17,500.00 |
| Transfer to Other Funds | - | - | - | . |
| Total Other | - | - | - | 727,500.00 |
| Total | 42,751,020.00 | 35,671,903.00 | 79,234,945.00 | 80,267,445.00 |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |
| Taxation | - | - | 62,095,243.00 | 63,127,743.00 |
| State Aid | - | - | 4,741,957.00 | 4,741,957.00 |
| Local Receipts | - | - | 4,300,404.00 | 4,300,404.00 |
| Free Cash - Operating | - | - | 1,500,000.00 | 1,500,000.00 |
| Free Cash - Capital | - | - | . | . |
| Transfers from other funds | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Ambulance Fund | - | - | 360,000.00 | 360,000.00 |
| Overlay | - | - | 300,454.00 | 300,454.00 |
| Bond Premium | - | - | 90,291.00 | 90,291.00 |
| Council on Aging | - | - | 1,373.00 | 1,373.00 |
| Water Fund | - | - | 338,601.00 | 338,601.00 |
| Septage Fund | - | - | 52,708.00 | 52,708.00 |
| Wastewater Fund | - | - | 24,669.00 | 24,669.00 |
| Recreation Revolving | - | - | 15,687.00 | 15,687.00 |
| Transfer Station |  | - | 71,961.00 | 71,961.00 |
| BASE | - | . | 220,101.00 | 220,101.00 |
| Childrens Way | - | - | 233,506.00 | 233,506.00 |
| Food Service | - | - | 270,401.00 | 270,401.00 |
| Full Day Kindergarden | - | - | 34,118.00 | 34,118.00 |
| Water Enterprise Revenues | - | - | 3,787,352.00 |  |
| Wastewater Revenues | - |  | 743,411.00 | 743,411.00 |
| Septage Retained Earnings | - | - | 52,708.00 | 52,708.00 |
| Total |  |  | 79,234,945.00 | 80,267,445.00 |




|  | FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET | EXPENDED FY 2014 | EXPENDED FY 2015 | APPROVED <br> FY 2016 | REQUESTED <br> FY 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | SURVEYOR |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
|  | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$160,605 | \$162,411 | \$160,778 | \$168,118 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$12,519 | \$21,520 | \$22,800 | \$23,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$2,578 | \$1,136 | \$4,150 | \$4,150 |
| 26 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$15,097 | \$22,656 | \$26,950 | \$27,150 |
|  | TOTAL SURVEYOR | \$175,702 | \$185,067 | \$187,728 | \$195,268 |
|  | FACILITIES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 3.54 | 3.54 | 3.54 | 4.54 |
| 27 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$270,837 | \$263,107 | \$279,722 | \$277,932 |
| 28 | PURCHASE OF SERVICES Contract Services Repairs \& Other Expenses | \$196,617 | \$207,285 | \$263,450 | \$277,000 |
| 29 | UTILITIES | \$575,564 | \$458,885 | \$529,000 | \$560,500 |
| 30 | SUPPLIES | \$26,782 | \$35,109 | \$39,500 | \$43,000 |
|  | TOTAL FACILITIES | \$1,069,800 | \$964,386 | \$1,111,672 | \$1,158,432 |
|  | MISC COMMITTEES <br> Historic Commission, Surface Water Quality Commission, Historic District Commission, Public Ceremonies Committee |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$48,307 | \$19,546 | \$47,275 | \$47,275 |
| 31 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$48,307 | \$19,546 | \$47,275 | \$47,275 |
|  | TOTAL MISC COMMITTEES | \$48,307 | \$19,546 | \$47,275 | \$47,275 |
|  | POLICE |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 25.33 | 25.59 | 25.59 | 25.59 |
| 32 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$2,105,446 | \$2,192,172 | \$2,231,344 | \$2,356,693 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$132,761 | \$138,688 | \$138,890 | \$140,710 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$181,950 | \$167,962 | \$181,400 | \$169,400 |
| 33 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$314,711 | \$306,650 | \$320,290 | \$310,110 |
|  | TOTAL POLICE | \$2,420,157 | \$2,498,822 | \$2,551,634 | \$2,666,803 |
|  | JOINT COMMUNICATIONS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| 34 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$462,562 | \$449,983 | \$475,400 | \$496,675 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$16,186 | \$11,774 | \$11,600 | \$11,600 |
|  | UTILITIES | \$13,724 | \$11,019 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$6,005 | \$4,362 | \$5,500 | \$5,500 |
| 35 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$35,915 | \$27,155 | \$27,100 | \$27,100 |
|  | TOTAL JOINT COMMUNICATIONS | \$498,477 | \$477,138 | \$502,500 | \$523,775 |
|  | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$13,447 | \$15,202 | \$16,000 | \$16,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$9,125 | \$7,001 | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
| 36 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$22,572 | \$22,203 | \$23,000 | \$23,000 |
|  | TOTAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT | \$22,572 | \$22,203 | \$23,000 | \$23,000 |


|  | FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET | EXPENDED FY 2014 | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXPENDED } \\ \text { FY } 2015 \end{gathered}$ | APPROVED <br> FY 2016 | REQUESTED FY 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | DOG OFFICER |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$22,962 | \$21,228 | \$21,500 | \$21,500 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$22,962 | \$21,228 | \$21,500 | \$21,500 |
|  | TOTAL DOG OFFICER | \$22,962 | \$21,228 | \$21,500 | \$21,500 |
|  | FIRE \& ALS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 28.87 | 27.87 | 27.87 | 28.04 |
| 38 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$2,204,981 | \$2,122,359 | \$2,305,349 | \$2,492,921 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$34,528 | \$39,073 | \$77,500 | \$74,500 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$161,762 | \$188,058 | \$175,608 | \$183,900 |
| 39 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$196,290 | \$227,131 | \$253,108 | \$258,400 |
|  | TOTAL FIRE | \$2,401,271 | \$2,349,490 | \$2,558,457 | \$2,751,321 |
|  | BUILDING \& ZONING |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 |
| 40 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$271,999 | \$278,199 | \$293,767 | \$311,497 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$12,539 | \$7,875 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$1,705 | \$1,366 | \$2,501 | \$2,501 |
| 41 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$14,244 | \$9,241 | \$14,501 | \$14,501 |
|  | TOTAL BUILDING \& ZONING | \$286,243 | \$287,440 | \$308,268 | \$325,998 |
|  | SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 374.64 | 392.35 | 393.58 | 405.45 |
| 42 | TOTAL SCHOOLS | \$33,516,931 | \$35,194,711 | \$36,719,239 | \$37,722,833 |
|  | REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | TOTAL REGIONAL VOC SCHOOLS | \$212,417 | \$203,026 | \$110,045 | \$68,618 |
|  | DPW |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 28.37 | 29.41 | 29.41 | 31.41 |
| 44 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | 1,304,211 | 1,270,236 | 1,465,479 | 1,586,848 |
|  | HIGHWAY |  |  |  |  |
|  | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$925,976 | \$869,973 | \$960,145 | \$1,030,407 |
| 45 | PURCHASE SERVICES | \$265,702 | \$172,131 | \$227,700 | \$227,700 |
| 46 | SUPPLIES | \$65,005 | \$76,888 | \$74,800 | \$74,800 |
|  | PARK AND CEMETERY |  |  |  |  |
|  | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$378,235 | \$400,263 | \$505,334 | \$556,441 |
| 47 | PURCHASE SERVICES | \$101,809 | \$65,832 | \$185,500 | \$185,500 |
| 48 | SUPPLIES | \$93,289 | \$96,241 | \$107,500 | \$107,500 |
|  | LANDFILL |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | PURCHASE SERVICES | \$55,457 | \$29,143 | \$65,000 | \$65,000 |
|  | TOTAL DPW | \$1,885,473 | \$1,710,471 | \$2,125,979 | \$2,247,348 |
|  | SNOW |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$154,947 | \$229,040 | \$125,000 | \$125,000 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$146,689 | \$333,983 | \$110,000 | \$110,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$333,189 | \$381,118 | \$215,000 | \$215,000 |
| 51 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$479,878 | \$715,101 | \$325,000 | \$325,000 |
|  | TOTAL SNOW | \$634,825 | \$944,141 | \$450,000 | \$450,000 |


|  | FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXPENDED } \\ \text { FY } 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXPENDED } \\ \text { FY } 2015 \end{gathered}$ | APPROVED <br> FY 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REQUESTED } \\ & \text { FY } 2017 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | BOARD OF HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 9.15 | 9.29 | 9.29 | 9.29 |
|  | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$589,601 | \$589,158 | \$637,877 | \$672,884 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$130,144 | \$138,943 | \$158,290 | \$167,656 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$13,447 | \$9,716 | \$15,700 | \$15,700 |
| 53 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$143,591 | \$148,659 | \$173,990 | \$183,356 |
|  | TOTAL BOARD OF HEALTH | \$733,192 | \$737,817 | \$811,867 | \$856,240 |
|  | VETERANS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 54 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$26,400 | \$37,004 | \$41,600 | \$44,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$2,826 | \$4,938 | \$3,000 | \$4,000 |
| 55 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$29,226 | \$41,942 | \$44,600 | \$48,000 |
|  | TOTAL VETERANS SERVICES | \$29,226 | \$41,942 | \$44,600 | \$48,000 |
|  | COUNCIL ON AGING |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 2.83 | 2.83 | 3.34 | 3.34 |
| 56 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$166,009 | \$172,252 | \$195,776 | \$207,486 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$44,759 | \$43,752 | \$46,700 | \$46,700 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$9,028 | \$7,762 | \$8,800 | \$9,300 |
| 57 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$53,787 | \$51,514 | \$55,500 | \$56,000 |
|  | TOTAL COUNCIL ON AGING | \$219,796 | \$223,766 | \$251,276 | \$263,486 |
|  | YOUTH SERVICES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 2.11 | 2.17 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 58 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$157,401 | \$167,101 | \$205,053 | \$222,400 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$2,734 | \$2,807 | \$2,850 | \$2,850 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$907 | \$789 | \$1,225 | \$1,225 |
| 59 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$3,641 | \$3,596 | \$4,075 | \$4,075 |
|  | TOTAL YOUTH SERVICES | \$161,042 | \$170,697 | \$209,128 | \$226,475 |
|  | LIBRARY |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 14.74 | 14.74 | 14.74 | 13.69 |
| 60 | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$757,017 | \$771,670 | \$802,800 | \$823,000 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$44,123 | \$40,851 | \$47,700 | \$47,700 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$184,164 | \$177,447 | \$180,500 | \$185,500 |
| 61 | TOTAL EXPENSES | \$228,287 | \$218,298 | \$228,200 | \$233,200 |
|  | TOTAL LIBRARY | \$985,304 | \$989,968 | \$1,031,000 | \$1,056,200 |
|  | RECREATION |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 1.83 | 2.11 | 2.54 | 3.54 |
|  | PERSONNEL SERVICES | \$244,885 | \$320,364 | \$160,500 | \$172,000 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | TOTAL EXPENSES |  |  | \$160,500 | \$172,000 |
| 62 | TOTAL RECREATION | \$244,885 | \$233,043 | \$160,500 | \$172,000 |


|  | FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET | EXPENDED <br> FY 2014 | EXPENDED FY 2015 | APPROVED <br> FY 2016 | REQUESTED FY 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WATER FUND |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 9.00 | 8.00 |  | 8.00 |
|  | SALARIES | \$658,358 | \$713,880 | \$731,834 | \$778,470 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$474,673 | \$450,117 | \$565,117 | \$580,117 |
|  | UTILITIES | \$290,915 | \$363,271 | \$365,000 | \$414,500 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$499,600 | \$470,000 | \$570,000 | \$570,000 |
|  | OTHER FINANCING USES | \$1,543,213 | \$348,583 | \$331,725 | \$338,601 |
|  | DEBT SERVICE | \$1,167,749 | \$1,086,203 | \$1,115,703 | \$1,105,664 |
| 78 | TOTAL WATER DEPARTMENT | \$4,634,508 | \$3,432,054 | \$3,679,379 | \$3,787,352 |
|  | SEPTAGE FUND |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |
|  | SALARIES - RETIREE CHARGES PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$33,205 | \$39,502 | \$34,369 | \$52,708 |
| 78 | TOTAL SEPTAGE DEPARTMENT | \$33,205 | \$39,502 | \$34,369 | \$52,708 |
|  | WASTEWATER MGMT DISTRICT COMM |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total FTEs | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.54 |
|  | SALARIES | \$22,864 | \$0 | \$22,000 | \$33,552 |
|  | PURCHASE OF SERVICES | \$130,434 | \$145,725 | \$159,275 | \$134,155 |
|  | UTILITIES | \$42,766 | \$57,400 | \$52,200 | \$48,563 |
|  | SUPPLIES | \$22,870 | \$0 | \$30,000 | \$25,000 |
|  | OTHER FINANCING USES | \$15,919 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,669 |
|  | DEBT SERVICE | \$450,644 | \$451,780 | \$486,680 | \$477,472 |
| 80 | TOTAL WASTEWATER MGMT COMM | \$662,633 | \$654,905 | \$750,155 | \$743,411 |
|  | Grand Total FTEs | 537.46 | 555.56 | 551.60 | 577.39 |
|  | TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUNDS | \$5,330,346 | \$4,126,461 | \$4,463,903 | \$4,583,471 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL OMNIBUS BUDGET | \$71,353,329 | \$73,003,057 | \$77,106,687 | \$79,234,945 |


| Fiscal 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/29/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Comparison- Fiscal 2017 / Fiscal 2016 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Fiscal | S Variance | \% | Fiscal | \$ Variance | \% | Total | \$ Variance | \% |
| Departmental | 2017 | FY $17 /$ FY 16 | CHG | 2017 | FY 17/FY 16 | CHG | 2017 | FY 17 / FY 16 | CHG |
| Selectmen | 30,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | - | . | 0.00\% | 30,000.00 | . | 0.00\% |
| Town Office | 81,500.00 | - | 0.00\% | 435,000.00 | . | 0.00\% | 516,500.00 | . | 0.00\% |
| Personnel Board | 10,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | 5,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | 15,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Finance | 56,700.00 | 5,000.00 | 9.67\% | 338,618.00 | 20,318.00 | 6.38\% | 395,318.00 | 25,318.00 | 6.40\% |
| Assessor | 52,250.00 | (600.00) | -1.14\% | 259,626.00 | 15,166.00 | 6.20\% | 311,876.00 | 14,566.00 | 4.67\% |
| Treasurer | 100,100.00 | (15,800.00) | -13.63\% | 190,104.00 | $(8,896.00)$ | -4.47\% | 290,204.00 | $(24,696.00)$ | -8.51\% |
| Legal | 175,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |  | - | 0.00\% | 175,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Information Technology | 509,504.00 | 271,414.00 | 113.95\% | 287,669.00 | 203.827.00 | 243.11\% | 797,273.00 | 475, 241.00 | 59.61\% |
| Town Clerk | 17,330.00 | 287.00 | 1.68\% | 125,781.00 | 5,197.00 | 4.31\% | 143,111.00 | 5,484.00 | 3.83\% |
| Elections | 10,170.00 | (1,460.00) | -12.55\% | 37,908.00 | 15,300.00 | 67.68\% | 48,078.00 | 13,840.00 | 28.79\% |
| Registrar | 5,000.00 | 950.00 | 23.46\% | 275.00 | - | 0.00\% | 5,275.00 | 950.00 | 18.01\% |
| Conservation | 41,200.00 | 3,800.00 | 10.16\% | 177,902,00 | 8,445.00 | 4.98\% | 219,102.00 | 12,245.00 | 5.59\% |
| Planning | 4,500.00 | - | 0.00\% | 105,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | 109,500.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Surveyor | 27,150.00 | 200.00 | 0.74\% | 168,118.00 | 7,340.00 | 4.57\% | 195,268.00 | 7,540.00 | 3.86\% |
| Facilities | 880,500.00 | 48,550.00 | 5.84\% | 277,932.00 | $(1,790.00)$ | -0.64\% | 1,158,432.00 | 46,760.00 | 4.04\% |
| Misc Committees | 47,275.00 | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 0.00\% | 47,275.00 | . | 0.00\% |
| Police | 310,110.00 | (10,180.00) | -3.18\% | 2,356,693.00 | 125,349.00 | 5.62\% | 2,666,803.00 | 115,169.00 | 4.32\% |
| Joint Communications | 27,100.00 | - | 0.00\% | 496,675.00 | 21,275.00 | 4.48\% | 523,775.00 | 21,275.00 | 4.06\% |
| Emergency Management | 23,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |  | - | 0.00\% | 23,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Dog Officer | 21,500.00 | - | 0.00\% |  | - | 0.00\% | 21,500.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Fire Department | 258,400.00 | 5,292.00 | 2.09\% | 2,492,921.00 | 187,572.00 | 8.14\% | 2,751,321.00 | 192,864.00 | 7.01\% |
| Building \& Zoning | 14,501.00 | 8 | 0.00\% | 311,497.00 | 17,730.00 | 6.04\% | 325,998.00 | 17,730.00 | 5.44\% |
| Minuteman Regional | 68,618.00 | (41,427.00) | -37.65\% | - | - | 0.00\% | 68,618.00 | (41,427.00) | 0.00\% |
| Highway | 302,500.00 | - | 0.00\% | 1,030,407.00 | 70,262.00 | 7.32\% | 1,332,907.00 | 70,262.00 | 5.27\% |
| Snow and ice | 325,000.00 | * | 0.00\% | 125,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | 450,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Transter Station | 65,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | . | . | 0.00\% | 65,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Parks | 293,000.00 | - | 0.00\% | 556,441.00 | 51,107.00 | 10.11\% | 849,441.00 | 51,107.00 | 6.02\% |
| Board of Health | 183,356.00 | 9,366.00 | 5.38\% | 672,884.00 | 35,007.00 | 5.49\% | 856,240.00 | 44,373.00 | 5.18\% |
| Veterans | 48,000.00 | 3,400.00 | 7.62\% | - | - | 0.00\% | 48,000.00 | 3,400.00 | 7.08\% |
| Council on Aging | 56,000.00 | 500.00 | 0.90\% | 207,486.00 | 11,710.00 | 5.98\% | 263,486.00 | 12,210.00 | 4.63\% |
| Youth Services | 4,075.00 | - | 0.00\% | 222,400,00 | 17,347,00 | 8.46\% | 226,475.00 | 17,347.00 | 7.66\% |
| Library | 233,200.00 | 5,000.00 | 2.19\% | 823,000.00 | 20,200.00 | 2.52\% | 1,056,200.00 | 25,200.00 | 2.39\% |
| Recreation | - | - | 0.00\% | 172,000.00 | 11,500.00 | 7.17\% | 172,000.00 | 11,500.00 | 6.69\% |
| Total | 4,281,639.00 | 284,292.00 | 7.11\% | 11,876,337.00 | 833,966.00 | 7.55\% | 16,157,976.00 | 1,118,258.00 | 7.44\% |
| Debt Service | 7.507,251.00 | (244,369.00) | -3.15\% |  |  |  | 7,507,251.00 | (244,369.00) | -3.26\% |
| Retirement | 4,235,414.00 | 263,426.00 | 6.63\% |  |  |  | 4,235,414.00 | 263,426.00 | 6.22\% |
| Unclasssified: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Insurance | 615,000.00 | - |  |  |  |  | 615,000.00 | $\cdot$ | 0.00\% |
| Insurance 328 | 7,165,000.00 | 239,782.00 | 3.46\% |  |  |  | 7,165,000.00 | 239,782.00 | 3.35\% |
| Medicare Tax | 580,000.00 | 10,000.00 | 1.75\% |  |  |  | 580,000.00 | 10,000.00 | 1.72\% |
| Unemployment | 50.000 .00 | ( $25,000.00$ ) | -33.33\% |  |  |  | 50,000.00 | $(25,000.00)$ | -50.00\% |
| Non Contribritory | - | (18,000.00) | -100.00\% |  |  |  | . | (18,000.00) | 0.00\% |
| Public Disability | 15,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 15,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Reserve for Salary | 50,000.00 | (324,000.00) | -86.63\% |  |  |  | 50,000.00 | (324,000.00) | -648.00\% |
| Occupational Health | 8,000.00 | , | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 8,000.00 |  | 0.00\% |
| Buyback | 40,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 40,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Town Meeting | 100,000.00 | 10,000.00 | 11.11\% |  |  |  | 100,000.00 | 10,000.00 | 10.00\% |
| Street light | 130,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 130,000.00 | - | 0.00\% |
| Reserve Fund | 275,000.00 | ( $25,000.00$ ) | -8.33\% |  |  |  | 275,000.00 | $(25,000.00)$ | -9.09\% |
| Total Other / Unclass | 9,028,000.00 | (132,218.00) | -1.44\% | . | . |  | 9,028,000.00 | (132,218.00) | -1.44\% |
| Subtotal Town Depts. | 25,052,304.00 | 171,131.00 | 0.69\% | 11,876,337.00 | 833,966.00 | 7.55\% | 36,928,641.00 | 1,005,097.00 | 2.80\% |
| School Department | 6,848,150.00 | (3,933.00) | -0.06\% | 30,874,683.00 | 1,007,527.00 | 3.37\% | 37,722,833.00 | 1,003,594.00 | 2.73\% |
| Total Town / School | 31,900,454.00 | 167,198.00 | 0.53\% | 42,751,020.00 | 1,841,493.00 | 4.50\% | 74,651,474.00 | 2,008,691.00 | 2.77\% |
| Enterprise Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water | 3,787,352.00 | 107,973.00 | 2.93\% |  |  |  | 3,787,352.00 | 107,973.00 | 2.93\% |
| Septage | 52,708.00 | 18,339.00 | 53.36\% |  |  |  | 52,708.00 | 18,339.00 | 53.36\% |
| Wastewater | 743,411.00 | (6,744.00) | -0.90\% |  |  |  | 743,411.00 | (6,744.00) | -0.90\% |
| Total Enterprise | 4,583,471.00 | 119,568.00 | 2.68\% |  |  |  | 4,583,471.00 | 119,568.00 | 2.68\% |
| Total Omnibus Budget | 36,483,925.00 | 286,766.00 | 0.79\% | 42,751,020.00 | 1,841,493.00 |  | 79,234,945.00 | 2,128,259.00 | 2.76\% |

Comments:
Warrant Articles were due on January 15th. Article texts were due on Feb.12th. The Finance Committee did not receive article text for this article until Feb 26th, two days prior to the close of the Warrant. This unfortunately prevented the Finance Committee from fully vetting this article and being able to present a fully informed writeup in the Warrant.

This article has two very distinct, different types of capital projects: Field Renovations and a Feasibility Study. To give each request a proper evaluation and recommendation, these projects should have been submitted separately.

Recommendation:
While the Finance Committee is sympathetic to the request to renovate the Soccer Field at the Town Building, a feasibility study requires greater analysis. Since these two projects are linked together, the Finance Committee is xxxxx ..


# ARTICLE XX: FUNDING TO DESIGN A MULTI-PURPOSE, RECTANGULAR, ARTIFICIAL TURF FIELD 193 AND 195 MAIN STREET, ALSO KNOWN AS THE FORMER DPW SITE 

Field Renovations and 193/195 Main Street Feasibility Study

Sponsored by: Recreation Commission
$\$ 150,000$
To determine whether the Town will vote to allow the Recreation Commission to use up to $\$ 200,000$ from the Recreation Stabilization Fund, funded by field user fees, to design and investigate the construction of a potential Multi-Purpose, Rectangular, Artificial Turf Field at 193 \& 195 Main Street (Old DPW Site) at the Wayland Middle School.

To determine whether the Town will vote to allow the Recreation Commission to spend a sum of money from the Recreation Stabilization Fund for field renovations at Town Building and to do a Feasibility Study to investigate the construction of a potential Multi-Purpose, Rectangular, Natural or Artificial Turf Field at 193 \& 195 Main Street.

FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS: This article requests $\$ 150,000$ in funding which will be spent on two separate projects: $\$ 100,000$ to renovate to the soccer field at the Town Building and $\$ 50,000$ for a feasibility study at 193/195 Main Street, the former DPW site. Soccer Field: The Town Building soccer field is currently in poor condition due to overuse. Planned renovations include irrigation maintenance, aerifying, grading, loaming, seeding and fertilizing new natural grass on the entire field. The ideal time to plant new seed and establish a healthy playing field is early fall. Renovating this field in the Fall will dovetail with the repair efforts at the Art King Baseball Field also located at Town Building. This project is being proposed to start after the installation of solar panels in the Town Building parking lot. The Recreation Commission seeks $\$ 100,000$ to fund this renovation. Feasibility Study at 193/195 Main Street: For several years, the Recreation Commission has included 193/195 Main Street, the former DPW site in its list as a potential location for a second artificial turf field. Recognizing the Library is currently evaluating this site for a possible building site, the Recreation Commission seeks $\$ 50,000$ to fund its own Feasibility Study to determine if a natural or an artificial turf field could fit on this site along with a potential new library.

The scope of the Feasibility study would include Wetlands \& Environmental delineations, developing/reviewing existing conditions map, and creating 2-3 schematic layouts. This study would include the first phase of the general engineering study and provide clarity whether or not a library and turf field could both on this site. It will also identify any environmental restrictions and concerns with the proposed project.
The proposed funding source for both projects is the Recreation Stabilization Fund created under Article XX. Spending $\$ 150,000$ on these two projects will leave a fund balance of $\$ 252,618$. The Recreation Stabilization Fund has been established to fund Recreation Capital projects that have been vetted through the Capital Improvement Process (CIP). Neither of these projects have been through the Capital Improvement Process.
The Recreation Commission voted 5-0-0 to support this article.

## The Board of Selectmen voted XXX

ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR: The Soccer Field at the Town Building can be renovated this Fall.

The Feasibility Study will determine whether 193/195 Main Street is suitable location for a turf field at the same time this site is evaluated for a potential new Library.

The funding source for both projects is the Recreation Stabilization Fund rather than taxation.
ARGUMENTS OPPOSED: Neither of these projects followed the Capital Improvement Project (CIP) process as voted by Town Meeting when the Stabilization Fund was established.

The solar panels may not be installed in the Town Building parking lot in a timely enough manner to allow for a Fall field renovation.

The feasibility study being conducted by the Library Trustees already includes evaluating the possibility to site fields at 193/195 Main Street.

While some state that a turf field will help with field rotation and maintenance, there has not been a community wide discussion of the well publicized potential risk factors with turf fields.

Recreation should wait until a field project has been identified for 193/195 Main Street before conducting feasibility and/or design studies.

RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends XXXX

## QUANTUM OF VOTE:

For more information concerning this article, contact Jessica Brodie, Recreation Director at 508/358-3662 or by email at brodie@ wayland.ma.us.

## ARTICLE M: HEAR REPORTS

Sponsored by: Board of Selectmen

To determine whether the Town will vote to receive and act upon reports of Town officers, agents, trustees, commissioners, boards and committees.

- Board of Assessors
- Community Preservation Committee
- Energy Initiatives Advisory Committee
- OPEB Advisory Committee
- Youth Advisory Committee

FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS: This is a standard article that allows reports commissioned by the Town to be heard. The reports will not actually be heard at Town Meeting but will instead be posted on the Town's website and made available for viewing instead. The Board of Selectmen recommends approval. Vote $3-0$. . ofe $3-0$

Access to these reports can be found on the Town website at
RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends. Vote:
QUANTUM OF VOTE: Majority.
For more information about this article, contact Nan Balmer, Town Administrator, at (508) 358-3620, or email nhalmer@wayland.ma.us. Reports are printed in Appendix XX.

## ARTICLE \#: FY 2017 OMNIBUS BUDGET



Sponsored by: Finance Committee

To determine what sum of money the Town will appropriate for the operation and expenses of the Town, including capital expenditures for equipment, improvements, or other purposes, and determine whether such appropriation shall be provided by taxation, by transfer from unappropriated funds, by transfer of funds already appropriated for another purpose, by borrowing, or otherwise.

FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS: Please see the Finance Committee Report on page \#\# of this Warrant.

ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR: This budget reflects the cost of operating the Town in an efficient manner in order to maintain delivery of current levels of service to the residents of Wayland.

ARGUMENTS OPPOSED: Some residents believe that this growth in Town spending and the resulting tax increases are unsustainable. Some have communicated that they feel the level of services should be reduced. Other residents believe that insufficient funds have been budgeted to perform all desired services.

RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends approval operating budget; 5-0 for the capital budget.

QUANTUM OF VOTE: Majority - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter -40, Section 5, and Chapter 44, Section 33B. For borrowing, two-thirds - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Sections 7 and 8 .

## MOTION UNDER ARTICLE 5:

1) "That the report of the Finance Committee respecting the Fiscal Year 2017 Budget be accepted; and that each and every numbered item set forth in the Finance Committee's Budget for Fiscal Year 2017 be voted, granted and appropriated as an expenditure for the several purposes and uses set forth in said budget establishing a total budget of $\$ 79,234,945$ which sum shall be expended only for the purposes shown under the respective boards, committees and offices of the Town; and, of the total sum so appropriated, $\$ 71,137,604$ shall be raised by taxation, $\$ 360,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Ambulance receipts, $\$ 90,291$ shall be provided by transfer from Premium on Bonds Account, $\$ 1,263,125$ shall be provided by transfer from other funds, $\$ 300,454$ shall be provided by transfer from Overlay Surplus, $\$ 1,500,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Unreserved Fund Balance, $\$ 3,787,352$ shall be provided from Water revenue, $\$ 52,708$ shall be provided from Septage Retained Earnings, and $\$ 743,411$ shall be provided from Wastewater revenues.
2) For what it considers to be the proper management of the Town and its finances, the Finance Committee makes the following recommendations, and they are, therefore, incorporated under the motion to be made under Article 5 at the Annual Town Meeting, as follows:
"That the Town Administrator be charged with responsibility for (1) the operation, maintenance, and administration of the Wayland Town Building, the Public Safety Building, the DPW Garage, the Baldwin Pond Water Treatment Plant, the Wastewater Treatment Facility, and the Cochituate

Town Building, their equipment, and their grounds, as well as (2) the supervision, except for matters relating to policy, of all employees in those buildings, other than elected officials, nonsalaried appointed officials, and employees of the School Department;"
"That the Director of Youth and Family Services and Staff be under the jurisdiction of the Youth Advisory Committee which shall report to the Town on the activities of the Director of Youth and Family Services and Staff at the Annual Town Meeting;"
"That property tax abatements granted to eligible senior citizens under Section 80 and 81 of Chapter 127 of the Acts of 1999 be funded by transfer from the overlay account;"
3) "That each and every numbered item set forth in the Finance Committee's capital budget of Fiscal Year 2017 listed on pages \#\#-\#\# in the total amount of $\$ 8,974,000$ be appropriated for equipment and vehicle acquisitions and projects for the listed departments, each of which shall be a separate appropriation, and, of the total sum so appropriated, the Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, is authorized to borrow $\$ 6,721,000$ pursuant to the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Sections 7 and $8, \$ 305,000$ shall be raised from taxation, $\$ 290,000$ shall be provided by transfer from Unreserved Fund Balance, $\$ 450,000$ shall be provided from water surplus, and $\$ 0$ shall be provided from Water revenues, and $\$ 515,000$ shall be provided from Ambulance receipts, and $\$ 50,000$ shall be provided from the Cemetery Revolving Fund, and $\$ 88,000$ shall be provided from the Transfer Station, and $\$ 10,000$ shall be provided by the Before and After School Account, and $\$ 545,000$ shall be provided by surplus capital closeouts, provided that the debt services costs related to the improvements to the Transfer Station and Recycling Center Facility shall be funded by transfer to the General Fund from fees paid for the use of said facility.
4) "That the Town:
a) appropriate the amount of $\$ 1,900,000$ Dollars for the purpose of paying costs of repairs to the Loker School, 47 Loker Street, Wayland, Massachusetts, 01778, including the payment of all costs incidental or related thereto (the "Project"), which proposed repair project would materially extend the useful life of the school and preserve an asset that otherwise is capable of supporting the required educational program, and for which the Town has applied for a grant from the Massachusetts School Building Authority ("MSBA"), said amount to be expended under the direction of the Permanent Municipal Building Committee. To meet this appropriation the Town Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, is authorized to borrow said amount under M.G.L. Chapter 44, or pursuant to any other enabling authority. The Town acknowledges that the MSBA's grant program is a non-entitlement, discretionary program based on need, as determined by the MSBA, and if the MSBA's Board of Directors votes to invite the Town to collaborate with the MSBA on this proposed repair project, any project costs the Town incurs in excess of any grant that may be approved by and received from the MSBA shall be the sole responsibility of the Town of Wayland; and that, if invited to collaborate with the MSBA on the proposed repair project, the amount of appropriation authorized pursuant to this vote shall be reduced by any grant amount set forth in the Project Funding Agreement that may be executed between the Town of Wayland and the MSBA;
b) to meet said appropriation, authorize the Town Treasurer, with the approval of the Board of Selectmen, to borrow $\$ 1,900,000$ under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, or any other enabling authority; and
c) authorize the School Committee to enter into all necessary and appropriate agreements for the repairs to the Loker School, including but not limited to a project funding agreement and a project scope and budget agreement with the MSBA, which agreements with the MSBA may include a provision requiring the Town to indemnify the MSBA for losses associated with the Town's performance of its obligations and exercise of its rights under such agreements.
5) "That the Town:
a) Transfer $\$ 482,618$ from the MGL Chapter 44, Section 53E1/2 Recreation Revolving Fund to the General Fund.
b) Transfer $\$ 80,000$ from the General Fund to the MGL Chapter 44 Section 53D Beach Revolving Fund
c) Transfer $\$ 402,618$ from the General Fund to the Recreation Stabilization Fund.
d) Transfer the balance in the School Professional Development account, Project 3225 to the MGL Chapter 44, Section 53E1/2 School Professional Development Revolving Fund.
e) Transfer the balance of the School Curriculum account, Project 3226 to the MGL Chapter 44 Section 53E $1 / 2$ School Curriculum Revolving Fund.

## ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | 2016 Article Name | Sponsor | Assignee Selectmen | Assignee FinCom | Position Selectmen | Position FinCom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Recognize Citizens and Employees for Particular Service to the Town | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 3-0 | 5-0 |
| 2* | Pay Previous Fiscal Year Unpaid Bills | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 4-0 |  |
| 3* | Current Year Transfers | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 4-0 | 5-0 |
| $4^{*}$ | OPEB Funding | Finance Committee | C. Karlson | G. Cliff | 4-0 | 5-0 |
| 5 | Establish 53D Recreation Revolving Fund for the Wayland Town Beach | Recreation Commission | J. Nolan | C. Martin | 4-0 | 4-0 |
| 6 | Establish and Fund a Recreation Stabilization Fund | Recreation Commission | I. Nolan | C. Martin | 4-0 | 3-1 |
| 7 | Adopt Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Section 53 E 1/2, Revolving Accounts | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | C. Martin | 4-0 | 4-0 |
| 8 | FY 2017 Omnibus Budget | Finance Committee | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser |  | 6-0 |
| 9 | Accept Gifts of Land | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 3-0 | 5-0 |
| 10* | Confirmatory Taking of Newbury Conservation Land | Board of Selectmen/Conservation Commission | M. Antes | D. Watkins | 3-0 | 4-0) |
| 11 | Amend Chapter 36 of Current Bylaws | Petitioners | L. Anderson | G. Cliff |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Against } \\ 0-4 \end{gathered}$ |

## ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | 2016 Article Name | Sponsor | Assignee Selectmen | Assignee FinCom | Position Selectmen | Position FinCom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Clarify Petitioner Access to Town Counsel | Petitioners | J. Nolan | G. Cliff |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Against } \\ 0-4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | Amend Chapter 36 of the Town Bylaws Relative to Remarks at Town Meetings | Petitioners | M. Antes | G. Cliff |  | 4-1 |
| 14 | Personnel Bylaws and Wage \& Classification Plan | Personnel Board | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 4-0 | 5-0-1 |
| 15* | Compensation for Town Clerk | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | 3-0 | 4-0 |
| 16* | Amend Chapter 145-Inspection, Testing, Maintenance of Private Fire Hydrants | Board of Selectmen | L. Anderson | T. Abdella | 4-0 | 5-1 |
| 17 | Fund Permanent Reconfiguration of the Intersection of East Plain Street, School Street, and Route 30 (Commonwealth Road) | Board of Public Works | J. Nolan | T. Abdella | 3-0 | 6-0 |
| 18 | Fund Reconfiguration of the Five Paths Intersection (Cochituate Road and Old Connecticut Path) | Board of Public Works | J. Nolan | T. Abdella | 3-0 | 6-0 |
| 19 | Transfer 193 and 195 Main Street to Library Trustees, Recreation Commission | Board of Library Trustees, Recreation Commission | J. Nolan | G. Cliff | 4-0 | 3-1 |
| 20 | Transfer 202 Old Connecticut Path to Library Trustees for Library Use | Board of Library Trustees | J. Nolan | G. Cliff | 4-0 | 4-0 |
| 21 | Fund Payment in Lieu of Sewer Betterment Assessment for Sewer Capacity for Town Library or Other Town Building | Board of Library Trustees | J. Nolan | G. Cliff |  | 4-0 |

## ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | 2016 Article Name | Sponsor | Assignee Selectmen | Assignee FinCom | Position Selectmen | Position FinCom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Appropriate Funds for Design, Construction Documents and Bidding for a Proposed Council on Aging/Community Center at Wayland Town Center | Board of <br> Selectmen/Council on Aging Community Center Advisory Committee | M. Antes | B. Steinberg | 4-0 | 3-1 |
| 23 | Funding to Design a Multi-Purpose, Rectangular, Artificial Turf Field 193 \& 195 Main Street, also Known as the Former DPW Site | Recreation Commission | J. Nolan | C. Martin |  |  |
| 24* | Accept Modified Route 30 and 27 Intersection as a Town Way | Board of Public Works | J. Nolan | T. Abdella | 3-0 | 6-0 |
| $25^{*}$ | Set Aside Community Preservation Funds for Later Spending | Community Prescrvation Committee | M. Antes | D. Watkins | 4-0 | 4-0 |
| $26^{*}$ | Transfer Community Housing Funds to Wayland Municipal Affordable Housing Trust Fund | Community Preservation Committee | M. Antes | D. Watkins | 4-0 | 4-0 |
| 27 | Appropriate Funds to Restore Monuments at North Cemetery | Community Preservation Committee | M. Antes | D. Watkins | +0 | 6-0 |
| 28 | Appropriate Funds to Restore Cochituate Town Clock | Community Preservation Committee | M. Antes | D. Watkins | 4-0 | 5-1 |
| 29 | Vote to Appropriate Funding for New Minuteman High School | Board of Selectmen | L. Anderson | C. Martin | No position |  |

## ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | 2016 Article Name | Sponsor <br> Assignee <br> Selectmen | Assignee <br> FinCom | Position <br> Selectmen | Position <br> FinCom |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 30 | Appropriate Funds to Purchase Conservation <br> Restriction on Mainstone Farm - CPA and <br> General Borrowing | Community Preservation <br> Committee | M. Antes | D. Watkins | Against <br> $0-5$ |  |
| 31 | Fund Glezen Lane Traffic Control Mcasures | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | B. Steinberg | $3-0-1$ | $3-3$ |
| $32^{*}$ | Rescind Authorized but Unissued Debt | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | $4-0$ | $4-0$ |
| $33^{*}$ | Transfer Custody of Small Portion of <br> Lakeview Cemetery | Board of Public Works | J. Nolan | C. Martin | $3-0$ | $4-0$ |
| $34^{*}$ | Accept Law Increasing Real Estate Tax <br> Exemption Amounts | Board of Selectmen | L. Anderson | N. Funkhouser | $3-0$ | $6-0$ |
| $35^{*}$ | Hear Reports | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouscr | $3-0$ |  |
| $36^{*}$ | Choose Town Officers | Board of Selectmen | C. Karlson | N. Funkhouser | $3-0$ | $5-0$ |
| $37^{*}$ | Sell or Trade Vehicles and Equipment | Board of Selectmen | J. Nolan | N. Funkhouser | $3-0$ | $5-0$ |

## ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | PULLED 2016 Article Name | Sponsor | Assignee Selectmen | Assignee FinCom | Position Selectmen | Position FinCom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q$ | Petition the State Legishature to Remore AH <br> Police Personnel from the Provisions of Civil <br> Service Without Affeeting the Civil Service <br> Rughts of Hermbents | Borde of Selectmen | C. Karlson | T. Abrella | $4-0$ | $6-\theta$ |
| R | Petition the State Legishature to Adopt Special tet for Other Employment Benefits Trust Finds and Repeal Exing OPEB Trust Fund Special Aet | Board of Selectmen | E. Karlsen | G. Cliff | Noperition |  |
| I | Amend Chapter 36, Section 18 of Town Gode, Antendance and Participation of Nonresident Town Officialy | Beard of Seleemen | C. Kartsen | C. Mattif |  |  |
| W | Preservation of Historieally signifieant Butlings Through Demelition Delay | Hestorieal Commmsion | H. Anter | D. Watkins | $3-\theta$ | tgamst 4-4.2 |
| EE | Regulating Temporaty Signs Within Town Qwred Rights of Wiy | Boarlof Public Work | M Antes | T. Abdella | Agamat Q-3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Againgt } \\ \underset{\theta \rightarrow+}{ } \end{gathered}$ |
| \# | Heme Oecupation | Planming Board | L. Andersert | B. Stembers |  |  |
| \# | Assisted/Indepentent Living and Nursing Home | Planting Boart | E. Andersent | B. Stembery |  |  |
| KK | Landseaping in Parking Areas Zoning Bylaw | Planning Board | L. Andersen | B. Steinberg |  | Against 1-3 |

ARTICLE LIST FOR 2016 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING

* Asterisk indicates articles proposed for abbreviated presentation procedure

| Article | PULLED 2016 Article Name | Sponsor | Assignee Selectmen | Assignee FinCom | Position Selectmen | Position FinCom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | Butheling Height | Planning Board | L. Andersorn | B. Stembery |  | 34 |
| MM | Sidewalk Gonstruetion in Rordside, Business Districts A and B, Light Mantracturing and Fimited Commereial of the Zonng Bylaw | Planning Beart | L. Anderson | B. Steinberg |  |  |
| NH | Genservation Cluster Developments | Planning Board | L. Anderson | B. Steinberg |  |  |
| 58 | Appropriate Pinds to Purehase Conservation Restriction on Manstone Fam - CPA Qnly | Gommunity Preservation Gommittee | A. Antes | D. Watkin |  |  |
| UU | Amend Chapter 36 of the Town Bylaws Relative to Time for Debate at Town Heetings | Petitioners | M. Antes | G.Cliff |  | $3-4$ |

# ARTICLE TT: APPROPRIATE FUNDS TO PURCHASE CONSERVATION RESTRICTION ON MAINSTONE FARM - CPA BORROWING 

Sponsored by: Community Preservation Committee/Conservation Commission Cost: $\$ 12,000,000$

Estimated

To determine whether the Town will vote to:
a. authorize the Board of Selectmen, with the approval of Town Counsel as to form, to acquire by purchase, gift, eminent domain or otherwise a conservation restriction in accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 184, Sections 31-33, to be held by the Town of Wayland Conservation Commission and by the Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc., on a parcel of land located on Old Connecticut Path and Rice Road, Wayland, Massachusetts containing 208.7 acres, more or less, being a portion of the land on Old Connecticut Path and Rice Road in Wayland, Massachusetts described in the deed dated April 18, 1991 and recorded with the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds in Book 21128, Page 94, which parcel of land to be covered by said conservation restriction is shown on Wayland Assessors Map as Parcel 35-031A and on an unrecorded plan entitled "Subdivision Plan of Land in Wayland Middlesex County Massachusetts" dated December 22, 2015, prepared for Mainstone Land Trust by Samiotes Consultants Inc., a copy of which plan is attached to the Warrant for the Annual Town Meeting 2016 in Appendix $\qquad$ ;
b. appropriate a sum of money to be expended by the Board of Selectmen for the acquisition of said conservation restriction to be held by the Town of Wayland Conservation Commission and by Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc.; and,
c. determine whether said appropriation shall be provided by taxation, transfer from unappropriated available funds, transfer from available funds appropriated for other purposes, by borrowing, or otherwise, provided:
i. not more than $\$ 5$ million of the funds so appropriated shall be transferred from the Community Preservation Fund of which $\$ 2.1$ million shall be from the Open Space Fund and $\$ 2.9$ million from the uncommitted funds in the Community Preservation Fund, and
ii. not more than $\$ 7$ million of the funds to be borrowed from Community Preservation Fund future receipts, and

## FINANCE COMMITTEE COMMENTS:

In April 2001 the Community Preservation Committee was established to study the needs, possibilities and resources of the town regarding community preservation, make recommendations in proper form to the Town Meeting for the acquisition, creation, and preservation of open space (OS); for the acquisition and preservation of historic resources
(HP); for the acquisition, creation, and preservation of land for recreational use; for the creation, preservation, and support of community housing $(\mathrm{CH})$; and for rehabilitation or restoration of such open space, historic resources, land for recreational use, and community housing that is acquired or created with funds received in accordance with the Community Preservation Act.

When the Town began setting aside funds for the CPA, one of the primary interests was planning for the preservation of Mainstone Farm. Mainstone Farm is located at 87 Old Connecticut Path and is approximately 208 acres of rolling pastures, wooded hillsides, and rich wetlands and one of the largest remaining pieces of undeveloped and unprotected open space in Wayland and Boston region. The proposed preservation is in the form of a conservation restriction (CR) and will only happen as a result of the Town partnering with the Sudbury Valley Trust (SVT) for financial considerations and with the owners of the property.

In 2011, Town Meeting commissioned a \$40,000 land use study and appraisal to evaluate potential residential development alternatives. At that time, the highest value use was for 128 housing units of which 18 would be conventional lots and 110 would be cluster development lots. Most of the property, except the wetlands in the southwest corner, would be covered with neighborhood roads and house lots. When the study was conducted in 2011, the residential real estate market had not recovered and the CR was assessed to be worth $\$ 10.6$ million dollars. As a result, the owners did not move forward with the sale of the CR interest in the property and continued their discussions with the Town.

In July 2015, using CPA administrative funds, the CPC commissioned a $\$ 5,000$ study to provide an updated appraisal for a CR. The new appraisal was for $\$ 17$ million and was based on the same configuration less three (3) conventional lots ( 125 lots of which 110 could be cluster development and 15 could be conventional lots). As a result, the owners agreed to sell for $\$ 15$ million. The agreement specifies that the farmland would remain in private ownership and the CR would provide for allowed and prohibited uses in perpetuity. The CR allows for farming to continue and calls for the preservation of open space. The CR on the farm land would be held jointly by the Town and SVT and would be managed through an agreement between the Conservation Commission and SVT. Annual meetings would be used to guide the process, including the enforcement of maintenance standards and a trail system open to the general public.

The CPC is advancing a plan, raising \$12 million from the Town, and \$3 Million from SVT. Upon approval by the Town to purchase the CR, no current CPA funds will be spent and no borrowing against future CPA revenue streams will occur unless all fundraising efforts for the \$15 Million are accounted for. There might be grant funding available; and if awarded, could reduce the amount CPC would need to borrow

The following table represents the sources of funds.


| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Estimated balance from CPF | $\$ 5,000,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | CPC Borrowing | $\$ 7,000,000$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Grant Sources | $\$ 0$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Contribution from SVT | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Contribution from Town Bonds | $\$ 0$ |
| TOTAL FUNDS NEEDED | $\$ \mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ |  |

To accommodate the Town's historical February borrowing cycle, the earliest the Town would be in a position to close on the CR is Spring of 2017. The complex arrangement will have many conditions that must be met in order to close and delays will potentially jeopardize the agreement. The conditions are specified in the Purchase \& Sale Agreement (P\&S). No funds can be spent until closing, no borrowing can occur unless all conditions are met and closing is imminent, $\$ 15$ Million in funding is available and all documents have been signed.

ATM is voting to earmark funds and approve borrowing against Community Preservation Fund future receipts. In the event that there is a reason to not proceed, a future town meeting could vote to remove the allocation of the funds that have been set aside for this purpose.

Under the Finance Committee's proposed scenario, the CPC will continue to have the requisite funds after committing future CPF receipts to service the debt for the next 30 years. Furthermore, The Town can only borrow against future CPA surcharge funds and the borrowed amount cannot exceed an amount for which the debt can be paid by the annual $1.5 \%$ CPA surcharge receipts minus the $10 \%$ for each of the dedicated funds. Recreation projects, and additional monies for OS, HP or CH are funded from the Uncommitted Balance. Additionally, the State Trust Fund varies annually and its certainty is unknown.

The following represents the estimated fund balances through 6/30/16:

| No. | CPA Fund | Actual <br> $12 / 31 / 15$ <br> Balance | Net Estimated <br> FY16 ATM <br> Changes | Less Mainstone <br> Allocation | FY16 Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 145,980$ | $(\$ 5,307)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 140,673$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 2,036,750$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 2,100,000$ | $\$ 32,444$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 19,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 29,605$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 3,809,133$ | $(\$ 297,082)$ | $\$ 2,900,000$ | $\$ 612,051^{*}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 6,011,469$ | $(\$ 196,695)$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 814,774$ |  |
| *FY'16 ending balance will have an additional $\$ 356,378$ for last two quarters of |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY'16 surcharge receipts deposited in Uncommitted. |  |  |  |  |

The following is the estimated annual fund flow (based on FY16) with all borrowing against the Community Preservation Fund (CPF) future receipts only:

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Projected FY'16 surcharge at 1.5\% | $\$ 736,851$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Less: $10 \%$ set aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | $(\$ 221,055)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Less: Estimated annual payments at 2.5\% over 30 years | $(\$ 335,000)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Plus: Estina | $\$ 220,085$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Less: $10 \%$ State Fate Trust Fund Contribution (FY16) | $(\$ 66,231)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Plus: Estimated analual interest payments | $\$ 1,000$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Remaining Annual Uncommitted Balance in CPF for other projects | $\$ 335,650$ |

The above table indicates that there will be funds remaining in the CPF for other projects in the future. Over a 5 -year period the estimated cumulative fund balances are shown below:

| No. | CPA Fund | FY16 <br> Ending <br> Balance | Annual <br> Additional <br> Contribution | 5 Years of <br> Contributions to <br> CPF (no interest) | Estimated FY22 <br> Balance |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 140,673$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 619,143$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 478,471$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 32,444$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 510,914$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 29,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 923,450$ | $\$ 335,650$ | $\$ 1,678,250$ | $\$ 2,601,700$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 1,126,083$ | $\$ 632,732$ | $\$ 3,163,660$ | $\$ 4,220,228$ |  |

In Summary, the Firgance Committee is supportive of the project with all borrowing against future Compunity Preservation Fund (CPF) receipts that does not affect the current tax levy.

The Community Preservation Committee voted in favor of this article on January 13, 2016. Vote: $8-0-0$ The Conservation Commission voted in favor of this article on January 7, 2016. Vote: 6-0-0. The Board of Selectman recommends [ ], Vote: [ ].

## ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR:

This acquisition is consistent with one of the main goals of the CPC when the Town began collecting CPA funds and has been a goal of the open space plan.

Borrowing over thirty years makes this a multi-generational project so that present and future residents pay for the privilege of enjoying the open space, the farm activity and the scenic quality of Mainstone Farm.

Purchase of a CR on Mainstone Farm will preserve in perpetuity one of the largest known farms in close proximity to Boston from future development and will provide the opportunity to continue to use the property as a working farm.


Ownership and responsibility for maintaining the farm would remain in the hands of the owners.

Land use planning has shown that the highest developed use is for 128 single-family housing units. This CR would prevent development of the land and would provide public access to part of the farm on designated trails.

Preservation of the farmland is a unique opportunity locally and regionally and will occur through a partnership with Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc., the Town and the present owners of the farm.

## ARGUMENTS OPPOSED:

If a future ATM were to vote to reduce the Town's $1.5 \%$ annual surcharge, the Town would have to reduce Open Space, Community Housing, Historic Preservation and Recreation project expenses.

Any changes to the program that affects matching CPA State Funds would be a detriment to the overall program of the CPC.

The projected debt service payments on the bonds assume a leveldebt serviee structure, like a mortgage, at the requested interest rates which are subject to change.

In 2014. Wayland just provided $\$ 2.400,000$ funds to purchase aconservation restriction_on Lincoln Road Fields.

The land could possibly remain undeveloped by the owner and future owners regardless of whether we acquire a conservation restriction.

If the owners were to sell the land for residential development, this would result in greater tax revenue for the Town.

In the event that the owner does not maintain the land the Town may incur additional costs in maintaining the property. Currently, SVT is raising $\$ 3.3$ million where $\$ 3 \mathrm{mill}$ is for the purchase of CR and $\$ 300,000$ will be used toward an endowment for management of the CRetc.

Following acquisition of this conservationrestriction, the CPC Qpen Space Fund would be depleted temporarily affecting the Town's ability to make future conservation puryhases and the Town's ability to make large future conservation purchases would be limited until the fund is replenished or financed by other means.

## RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends to not approve. Vote xxx.

QUANTUM OF VOTE: a.) and b.) See Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 14; c.) Majority - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 5, Chapter 44, Section 33B and Chapter 44B, Section 6. For borrowing, two-thirds - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Section 7.

For more information about this article, contact Gretchen Schuler at 508-358-7980 or ggschuler@verizon.net.

CONSISTENCY WITH LAW: It is the opinion of Town Counsel that the foregoing bylaw amendment is consistent with federal and Massachusetts law.
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(HP); for the acquisition, creation, and preservation of land for recreational use; for the creation, preservation, and support of community housing (CH); and for rehabilitation or restoration of such open space, historic resources, land for recreational use, and community housing that is acquired or created with funds received in accordance with the Community Preservation Act.

When the Town began setting aside funds for the CPA, one of the primary interests was planning for the preservation of Mainstone Farm. Mainstone Farm is located at 87 Old Connecticut Path and is approximately 208 acres of rolling pastures, wooded hillsides, and rich wetlands and one of the largest remaining pieces of undeveloped and unprotected open space in Wayland and Boston region. The proposed preservation is in the form of a conservation restriction (CR) and will only happen as a result of the Town partnering with the Sudbury Valley Trust (SVT) for financial considerations and with the owners of the property.

In 2011, Town Meeting commissioned a $\$ 40,000$ land use study and appraisal to evaluate potential residential development alternatives. At that time, the highest value use was for 128 housing units of which 18 would be conventional lots and 110 would be cluster development lots. Most of the property, except the wetlands in the southwest corner, would be covered with neighborhood roads and house lots. When the study was conducted in 2011, the residential real estate market had not recovered and the CR was assessed to be worth $\$ 10.6$ million dollars. As a result, the owners did not move forward with the sale of the CR interest in the property and continued their discussions with the Town.

In July 2015, using CPA administrative funds, the CPC commissioned a $\$ 5,000$ study to provide an updated appraisal for a CR. The new appraisal was for $\$ 17$ million and was based on the same configuration less three (3) conventional lots ( 125 lots of which 110 could be cluster development and 15 could be conventional lots). As a result, the owners agreed to sell for $\$ 15$ million. The agreement specifies that the farmland would remain in private ownership and the CR would provide for allowed and prohibited uses in perpetuity. The CR allows for farming to continue and calls for the preservation of open space. The CR on the farm land would be held jointly by the Town and SVT and would be managed through an agreement between the Conservation Commission and SVT. Annual meetings would be used to guide the process, including the enforcement of maintenance standards and a trail system open to the general public.

The CPC is advancing a plan, raising \$12 million from the Town, and \$3 Million from SVT. Upon approval by the Town to purchase the CR, no current CPA funds will be spent and no borrowing against future CPA revenue streams will occur unless all fundraising efforts for the \$15 Million are accounted for. There might be grant funding available; and if awarded, could reduce the amount CPC would need to borrow

The following table represents the sources of funds.

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Estimated balance from CPF | $\$ 5,000,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | CPC Borrowing | $\$ 7,000,000$ |
|  |  | $\$ 0$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Grant Sources | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Contribution from SVT | $\$ 0$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Contribution from Town Bonds | $\$ 15,000,000$ |
| TOTAL FUNDS NEEDED |  |  |

To accommodate the Town's historical February borrowing cycle, the earliest the Town would be in a position to close on the CR is Spring of 2017. The complex arrangement will have many conditions that must be met in order to close and delays will potentially jeopardize the agreement. The conditions are specified in the Purchase \& Sale Agreement $(P \& S)$. No funds can be spent until closing, no borrowing can occur unless all conditions are met and closing is imminent, $\$ 15$ Million in funding is available and all documents have been signed.

ATM is voting to earmark funds and approve borrowing against Community Preservation Fund future receipts. In the event that there is a reason to not proceed, a future town meeting could vote to remove the allocation of the funds that have been set aside for this purpose.

Under the Finance Committee's proposed scenario, the CPC will continue to have the requisite funds after committing future CPF receipts to service the debt for the next 30 years. Furthermore, The Town can only borrow against future CPA surcharge funds and the borrowed amount cannot exceed an amount for which the debt can be paid by the annual $1.5 \%$ CPA surcharge receipts minus the $10 \%$ for each of the dedicated funds. Recreation projects, and additional monies for OS, HP or CH are funded from the Uncommitted Balance. Additionally, the State Trust Fund varies annually and its certainty is unknown.

The following represents the estimated fund balances through 6/30/16:

| No. | CPA Fund | Actual <br> $12 / 31 / 15$ <br> Balance | Net Estimated <br> FY16 ATM <br> Changes | Less Mainstone <br> Allocation | FY16 Ending <br> Balance |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 145,980$ | $(\$ 5,307)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 140,673$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 2,036,750$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 2,100,000$ | $\$ 32,444$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 19,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 29,605$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 3,809,133$ | $(\$ 297,082)$ | $\$ 2,900,000$ | $\$ 612,051^{*}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 6,011,469$ | $(\$ 196,695)$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 814,774$ |  |
| *FY'16 ending balance will have an additional $\$ 356,378$ for last two quarters of |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY'16 surcharge receipts deposited in Uncommitted. |  |  |  |  |

The following is the estimated annual fund flow (based on FY16) with all borrowing against the Community Preservation Fund (CPF) future receipts only:

| No. | Description | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Projected FY'16 surcharge at 1.5\% | $\$ 736,851$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Less: 10\% set aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | $(\$ 221,055)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Less: Estimated annual payments at 2.5\% over 30 years | $(\$ 335,000)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Plus: Estimated State Trust Fund Contribution (FY16) | $\$ 220,085$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Less: 10\% State Funaset aside for 3 dedicated funds (OS, HP, CH) | $(\$ 66,231)$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Plus: Estimated annual interest payments | $\$ 1,000$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Remaining Annual Uncommitted Balance in CPF for other projects | $\$ 335,650$ |

The above table indicates that there will be funds remaining in the CPF for other projects in the future. Over a 5 -year period the estimated cumulative fund balances are shown below:

| No. | CPA Fund | FY16 <br> Ending <br> Balance | Annual <br> Additional <br> Contribution | 5 Years of <br> Contributions to <br> CPF (no interest) | Estimated FY22 <br> Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Historic | $\$ 140,673$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 619,143$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Housing | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 478,471$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Open Space | $\$ 32,444$ | $\$ 95,694$ | $\$ 478,470$ | $\$ 510,914$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Admin | $\$ 29,605$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Uncommitted | $\$ 923,450$ | $\$ 335,650$ | $\$ 1,678,250$ | $\$ 2,601,700$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 1,126,083$ | $\$ 632,732$ | $\$ 3,163,660$ | $\$ 4,220,228$ |  |

In Summary, the Finance Committee is supportive of the project with all borrowing against future Community Preservation Fund (CPF) receipts that does not affect the current tax levy.

The Community Preservation Committee voted in favor of this article on January 13, 2016.
Vote. $8-0-0$. The Conservation Commission voted in favor of this article on January 7, 2016. Vote: 6-0-0. The Board of Selectman recommends [ ], Vote: [ ] .

## ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR:

This acquisition is consistent with one of the main goals of the CPC when the Town began collecting CPA funds and has been a goal of the open space plan.

Borrowing over thirty years makes this a multi-generational project so that present and future residents pay for the privilege of enjoying the open space, the farm activity and the scenic quality of Mainstone Farm.

Purchase of a CR on Mainstone Farm will preserve in perpetuity one of the largest known farms in close proximity to Boston from future development and will provide the opportunity to continue to use the property as a working farm.

Ownership and responsibility for maintaining the farm would remain in the hands of the owners.

Land use planning has shown that the highest developed use is for 128 single-family housing units. This CR would prevent development of the land and would provide public access to part of the farm on designated trails.

Preservation of the farmland is a unique opportunity locally and regionally and will occur through a partnership with Sudbury Valley Trustees, Inc., the Town and the present owners of the farm.

## ARGUMENTS OPPOSED:

If a future ATM were to vote to reduce the Town's $1.5 \%$ annual surcharge, the Town would have to reduce Open Space, Community Housing, Historic Preservation and Recreation project expenses.

Any changes to the program that affects matching CPA State Funds would be a detriment to the overall program of the CPC,

The projected debt service payments on the bonds assume a level debt service structure, like a mortgage, at the requested interest rates which are subject to change.

In 2014, Wayland just provided $\$ 2,400,000$ funds to purchase a conservation restriction on Lincoln Road Fields.

The land could possibly remain undeveloped by the owner and future owners regardless of whether we acquire a conservation restriction.

If the owners were to sell the land for residential development, this would result in greater tax revenue for the Town.

In the event that the owner does not maintain the land the Town may meur additional costs in maintaining the property. Currently, SVT is raising $\$ 3.3$ million where $\$ 3 \mathrm{mill}$ is for the purchase of CR and $\$ 300,000$ will be used toward an endowment for management of the CRetc.

Following acquisition of this conservation restriction, the CPC Open Space Fund would be depleted temporarily affecting the Town's ability to make future conservation purchases and the Town's ability to make large future conservation purchases would be limited until the fund is replenished or financed by other means.

## RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends to not approve. Vote xxx.

QUANTUM OF VOTE: $a$.) and b.) See Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 14; c.) Majority - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 5, Chapter 44, Section 33B and Chapter 44B, Section 6. For borrowing, two-thirds - see Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 44, Section 7.

For more information about this article, contact Gretchen Schuler at 508-358-7980 or ggschuler@verizon.net.

CONSISTENCY WITH LAW: It is the opinion of Town Counsel that the foregoing bylaw amendment is consistent with federal and Massachusetts law,
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