

WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes, Thursday, May 21, 2015 7:17 – 10:03PM

Location: Senior Center, Town Building, 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

Present: Commissioners: Roger Backman, Joanne Barnett, Bob Goldsmith Barbara Howell, Betty Salzberg, Chairperson: Sherre Greenbaum, Conservation Administrator: Brian Monahan

Not present: John Sullivan

Minutes: Andrea Upham

S.Greenbaum opened the meeting at 7:17 pm noting that a quorum was present.

1. **7:17 p.m. – Citizens Time:** This is a time for input to the Commission regarding items that are *not* on the agenda.

Mike Lowery, Board of Public Works, referenced a letter to the School Committee from the Board of Public Works regarding protection of Wayland's Happy Hollow wells near the Wayland High School athletic fields, asking them to follow the OOC and Permit issued as part of the settlement agreement and asking if they should be seeking a Certificate of Compliance. Mr. Lowery passed out the letter and urged the Commission to follow up.

Mr. Lowery reported that the Board of Public Works is concerned about Pelham Island Road near Heard Pond and showed pictures from 2013 and noted a 30" drop-off from what remains of the road shoulder. Mr. Lowery stated that it wouldn't take much for an oil truck to roll off the road. The Board of Public works wants to rebuild the shoulder and put up a guardrail as part of a larger project, and recent pictures show more recent erosion and exposed cable. The Board suggested to the DPW Director to ask for an emergency declaration.

B.Monahan noted being aware of the problem and ways to address it and said not more than a 22' – 150' strip could be rebuilt and the matter could be on for the Commission's June 4 meeting. B.Monahan noted that the area is 100% floodplain. Mr. Lowery urged the Commission to work with DPW for an expeditious solution for the area around the curve.

John Hines noted that the situation is exacerbated by fishermen parking on the area to launch boats, etc. B.Monahan said that they can match the grade that was there but cannot raise the grade. B.Howell noted that the long-term need is open culverts as Heard Pond and the river are one. Mr. Lowery also noted that the Police Chief is working on lowering the speed limit.

2. **Land Management Item:** Lincoln Road Event and Future Events

John Hines was present for the discussion. The Commission thanked Mr. Hines for a very successful bird walk on May 9. Mr. Hines noted that the list of birds he compiled from the walk will be forthcoming. Mr. Hines hosted 17 participants for the walk from 8—9:30am with a meeting point at Lincoln/Hazelbrook Roads. A total of 48 species were seen with woodland species heard and Baltimore orioles and orchard orioles were present. S.Greenbaum encouraged another bird walk and a tentative date of June 13 was set for a walk around the perimeter of Heard Pond.

On a separate matter, Mr. Hines noted encountering four dogs off leash at Cow Common.

3. **7:47 pm – Public Meeting, Duane S. Viazanko, Applicant, 22 Pequot Road, File D-859:** Request for Determination of Applicability filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 Permit filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Duane S. Viazanko to replace an existing 16' x 16' deck with a deck of the same size at 22 Pequot Road, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 43D, Parcel 20. Work is proposed in the buffer zone.

Duane Viazanko was present for the discussion. Mr. Viazanko noted that the existing deck installed in 1984 needs to be replaced and they are planning a deck of the same size. There is currently dirt underneath and B.Monahan noted the requirement for 6" of stone under the deck for drainage purposes. Mr. Viazanko noted that the deck does not require footings.

Motion to issue a Negative Determination w/conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 6-0

Motion to issue a Permit w/conditions under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 6-0

Motion to require a performance guarantee of \$350; Seconded 6-0

4. **7:52 pm – Continued Public Hearing – Town of Wayland/Planning Department, Applicant, 484-490 Boston Post Road, DEP File No. 322-832:** Public Hearing to consider an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40, and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, by Town of Wayland – Planning Department – Sarkis Sarkisian. The applicant is seeking to obtain resource area delineation of a project site located at 484-490 Boston Post Road, Wayland, shown on Assessor's Map 22, Parcels 3, 6, and 7.

Art Allen of EcoTec and Amanda Cavaliere of Tata & Howard were present for the discussion. Ms. Cavaliere noted that they are looking for a decision from the Commission noting that Peter Fletcher recently looked at the A series flags. They are looking for an ORAD from the Commission and approval of MAHW at 115.5. B.Monahan noted an isolated pocket of wetlands to the west which may have been flagged and under the Bylaw is land subject to flooding and inundation. Mr. Allen noted that they may have remnant flagging from a previous delineation and noted no ponding or wetland vegetation. Mr. Allen reported he was not surprised about hydric soils but noted that it didn't support vegetation or hydrology. B.Monahan noted land subject to flooding and inundation under the Bylaw. B.Monahan commented that flagging is confusing but the wetland flagging that was checked is fine, and the mean annual high water mark is a concern and issue as there is not 100% comfort with 115.5. B.Monahan will draft something for the Commission and can vote to issue ORAD on June 4 and noted that riverfront wording will be a challenge; DEP said backwater doesn't impact the north side. B.Howell asked if the conclusion is accepting the 115.5 and B.Monahan said tentatively. Mr. Allen noted stain lines on abutments.

Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 6-0

Motion to close the hearing under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 6-0

Vote will be taken at next meeting.

5. **8:11 pm – Continued Public Hearing – Ben Stevens, Trask Inc., Applicant, 26, 32, and 35 Covered Bridge Lane, DEP File No. 322-830:** Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40, and the application filed pursuant to

Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, by Ben Stevens, Trask, Inc. The applicant is seeking the verification of the delineation of the wetland resource areas including wetlands, riverfront area, and buffer zone for land at 26, 32 and 35 Covered Bridge Lane, Wayland, shown on Assessor's Map 35, Parcels 30O, 30P, and 30Q.

S.Greenbaum asked if there were any questions or comments. B.Monahan noted that drafting will take a little time but no more information is expected to be presented but could not recall if he had sent the Soils Investigation Report to Mr. Stevens.

**Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 8:15pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 6-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 8:15pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 6-0**

6. **8:17 pm – Continued Public Hearing, Shane and Sarah Capron, Applicant, 133 Plain Road, DEP File No. 322-842:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Shane & Sarah Capron, 133 Plain Road, Wayland, MA for the installation of a septic tank, pump chamber, and leach field – replacing the existing fail septic system at 133 Plain Road, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 25, Parcel 94.

B.Monahan reported that Green Hill Engineering indicated they would be sending an email with a request to continue.

**Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 8:00pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 6-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 8:00pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 6-0**

7. **Request for Certificate of Compliance; [310 CMR 10.05 (9)]**
- a. 52 Moore Road, DEP File No. 322-660
B.Monahan reported the item is not ready to review.
 - b. 231 Concord Road, File D-814
B.Monahan reported the item is not ready to review.
 - c. 5 Erwin Road, DEP File 322-579

**Motion to issue a partial Certificate of Compliance under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 6-0**

8. **Other**

- a. Minutes – May 7, 2015
Item will be addressed at the June 4 meeting.
- b. Work on Basin 3, Town Center; DEP File No. 322-701
B.Monahan noted that an email was sent to Mr. Dougherty and Mr. Griffin with a copy to DEP saying that the Commission felt it should be an amendment and noted concerns as to

whether or not what they did made the basin function properly; no response has been received yet. B.Goldsmith wondered if he should contact Mike Abel and B.Monahan said he can do that. B.Monahan noted that overflow was set up and perhaps the sand filter is not working as proposed.

- c. Extensions for OOC
B.Monahan noted issues with certain files requiring extension that may be covered by the Permit Extension Act. B.Monahan will send the most recent Permit Extension Act to the Commission.
 - d. 50 Rich Valley Road – B.Monahan noted a complaint that arrived on Monday and noted that Mr. Cooper, property owner, called today and would like to resolve matters, which he will submit in writing and then the Commission can address them.
9. **8:30 pm – Continued Public Hearing, Kenneth Todd Nelson, Applicant, 8 Hill Street, DEP File No. 322-838:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland’s Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Kenneth Todd Nelson, consisting of a single-family home, driveway, garage, septic system, utility connections, retaining wall, grading, and associated site work. Portions of the work are located within the buffer zone at 8 Hill Street, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor’s Map 51B, Parcel 029.

Thomas Grassia, Counsel for the Applicant, and Paul McManus of EcoTec were present for the discussion and Mr. Grassia noted the presence of his stenographer joining at the table. Mr. Grassia commented that the hope is for a conclusion to the hearing tonight. Mr. Grassia noted how the Commission views their approach of protecting wetlands and noted that they want to base that on science and rules and regulations that go along with it. Mr. Grassia noted that their responses to the submittals demonstrate this should go forward and on behalf of Mr. Nelson they hope to hear a decision tonight. S.Greenbaum asked that they address any matters that were new since the last hearing.

Mr. McManus briefly summarized documents that had been provided, including their April 30 response to B.Goldsmith, and noted that Mr. Ed Cullen was present from Hancock Associates to answer any stormwater-related questions. Mr. McManus noted that April 30 correspondence provided precipitation data documenting 4” of rain in a three-day period along with snow melt ending on the day of photos taken; a letter dated May 15 was sent by Mr. Grassia, a review letter from Lisa Eggleston was dated May 6 and a response to Ms. Eggleston from Hancock Associates with revised plans, stormwater reports was submitted, and a short planting proposal dated May 14. The Commission received videos from Mr. Samiotes which document conditions in late March/early April, a timeframe that straddles Mr. McManus’ photographs during the high water period. Mr. McManus indicated a problem with things said by Mr. Samiotes in the videotapes and Mr. McManus provided a screen shot of the video as a handout noted as photo 1 and photo 2 and said that the location that was noted as being on the site is not on the site. Mr. Samiotes described the location of the Hill and Center Street road drainage as going on to the site though it is 35’ from the site.

Mr. McManus noted that Mr. Samiotes makes repeated reference to flooding throughout his videotapes but doesn’t reference the Bylaw’s definition of flooding and Mr. Samiotes also made mention of Ms. Rimmer’s photos as evidence of wetland hydrology. Mr. McManus noted that if you

look at the plans and the site you will see those photos are not from the site, and the statements with the videos simply are not true.

S.Greenbaum asked if there is anything else to add to the record. B.Monahan asked Lisa Eggleston, peer reviewer of Eggleston Environmental, if she had anything to offer. Ms. Eggleston noted she had only just had a chance to look at the response briefly so cannot comment fully on their recent submission. B.Goldsmith asked if it would be possible to get a written response from Ms. Eggleston next week to see if any open items were not addressed.

Ms. Eggleston noted she hadn't delved into the calculations but would be happy to offer some comments. Ms. Eggleston noted the concern with drainage and noted the revised drainage and accounting for drainage from the Samiotes' property, commenting it is not field verified and she doesn't know if it is available, so short of surveying it is eyeballing it. Ms. Eggleston noted that the plan revision allowed now for drainage on the north side and the design appears to address the issue; she hasn't yet looked at the design detail to be sure it works. Ms. Eggleston identified existing storage on site and stated she doesn't know if compensatory storage has been addressed.

B.Goldsmith asked if she could estimate the amount of water and Ms. Eggleston said she would try. Ms. Eggleston noted there were standing puddles and that would be displaced. There is down gradient wetland and they supply flood storage; she can't say that displaced storage would mean measurable impact. B.Goldsmith asked about diversion of stormwater if the site is filled. Ms. Eggleston began to point areas out on the plan noted that the drainage pattern was hitting the "filled box" and backing up; the current proposal has flipped the swale around and there is a small pipe for accumulated water to have passage under the driveway. B.Goldsmith asked about the flow capability in the 100-year storm. Ms. Eggleston noted she hasn't delved into that. Mr. Cullen commented that they did review the 100-year storm. Mr. Grassia asked that Ms. Eggleston identify the plan she was referencing in front of her, which Ms. Eggleston noted as "Sheet 1 of 2 of NOI plan revised May 13, 2015."

S.Greenbaum addressed the issue of the water diverted under the driveway, asking where it goes from there. Ms. Eggleston responded that it goes through the swale with overflow on the southern boundary – better replication of existing conditions; Ms. Eggleston noted that one problem is the topography of up gradient site is not available and whether some would collect elsewhere she cannot say for sure; it only has to build up six inches. B.Goldsmith asked if it could be diverted to the east. Ms. Eggleston said that the trench initially proposed probably aimed at that, and some flow could be diverted there but working within setbacks on the property is the question; there appears to be a natural swale that would remain and that area wouldn't necessarily be blocked.

Ms. Eggleston noted that she hasn't yet looked at the test pit data provided and the only other thing is Title V has setbacks from the slope – in this case the retaining wall – to prevent breakout of septage and the issue is whether that is met and is up to Board of Health approval. Ms. Eggleston noted that in response to the earlier question, she can provide her written review in the next week or so.

B.Goldsmith asked if the site is filled, will drainage mitigation prevent any increase in rate or flow, noting that the Bylaw says zero. Ms. Eggleston responded that you are probably reducing volume by adding fill as fill is like a sponge. B.Goldsmith asked about springtime. Ms. Eggleston noted that the calculations get after that, also noting that a model for this small a site is a tool so she will be looking at relative capacity. Swale volume has been increased so will be looking at numbers as criteria.

B.Goldsmith noted that he had phoned Peter Fletcher yesterday because his report looked at hydric

soils and he asked Mr. Fletcher if he measured seasonal high groundwater levels and he said yes on four samples – two at north and south field and each about 6” or more than reported in Mr. McManus’ Form 11. B.Goldsmith asked about situation if groundwater is six inches higher. Ms. Eggleston noted you need two feet below infiltration and the structure to allow for free flow to fully drain; the bottom of proposed infiltration structures were about a foot above existing grade so if seasonal high groundwater is at least a foot, then they would have two feet. The fill has better than native soil infiltration rate so needs to be spelled out. Mr. McManus noted that it was confirmed with Mr. Cullen that the bottom is still a foot above existing grade so would still have two feet.

B.Howell noted the removal of six trees noting that they take up a lot of water and wondering if that will have an effect. Ms. Eggleston said that to some extent, yes. S.Greenbaum noted the plan is actually proposing removal of 19 trees. Ms. Eggleston noted that the worst case scenario for trees is winter and drainage calculations do reflect wooded groundcover to a grassed surface so to some extent it is reflected. S.Greenbaum questioned whether the grassed surface is equal to the 19 trees. Ms. Eggleston mentioned model woods with density cover. B.Howell explained the product proposed as hardened grass in 4’ square interlocking panels. Ms. Eggleston said it is a stabilized engineered pervious surface and needs maintenance to remain pervious so they don’t get clogged up with sediment; there is concern from tracking over time as porosity can degrade and create additional impervious area; it should be worked into the O&M plan to maintain pervious character.

B.Goldsmith asked about the driveway and slopes. Ms. Eggleston noted a redesign putting the swale on the other side. Ms. Eggleston commented on close oversight and construction and that it should be graded to drain to swale and not Hill Street. B.Howell asked if the culvert overflow is running into the swale, which Ms. Eggleston confirmed. B.Howell noted that the water line has to be 5’ under the ground and noted that it is not shown on the plan and asked where the pipe will go. S.Greenbaum asked if there is a reason why it is not shown on the plan. Mr. Cullen noted it will be on the plan. B.Monahan noted that most plans do show utilities. B.Howell noted the use of chips to fill holes and for spreading and commented that the Commission doesn’t like the use of wood chips. B.Howell commented on concrete trucks and blueberry bushes. S.Greenbaum noted that the tree replacement policy was not complied with. B.Howell raised the issue of dewatering.

S.Greenbaum handed out copies of dated photographs taken by herself, B.Howell and abutter Justine Ferguson of the site to the Commissioners and Applicant to include in the record and noted that the previous administrative record will be included in the record.

B.Goldsmith asked about the basin across the road possibly creating a buffer zone. B.Monahan noted that he did ask and got permission to go on to the property; vegetation is wetlands vegetation and they measured flooding and flooded area 8” deep (S.Greenbaum April 22 photos). B.Monahan noted an underwater area of approximately 25’ x 50’. S.Greenbaum commented that near the edge of the flooded area is 8” noted in her photo. B.Goldsmith commented on the definition of isolated land subject to flooding. B.Monahan noted that isolated land subject to flooding as defined by the Wetlands Protection Act may not be addressed but land subject to flooding and inundation as defined by Chapter 194 does appear. B.Monahan added that land subject to flooding and inundation and wetland both were resource areas noted that day across the road from 8 Hill Street. B.Monahan added that there is a need to calculate the extent of area with a 7-inch, 24-hour rainstorm. Mr. McManus noted his photo 1 and said he thinks the water went out to the intersection and that it is the most it can hold. B.Goldsmith asked if the property qualifies in terms of wetland species and

B.Monahan confirmed that it does. S.Greenbaum noted the previous record with a finding of wetlands based on consideration of evidence.

Mr. Samiotes responded to Mr. McManus' comments about his video narration, noting that he used the term flooding because every neighbor has said that it floods and for some reason the proponent doesn't want to acknowledge that fact. Mr. Samiotes noted there are no pictures from this year's rainfall. Mr. Samiotes commented that if Ms. Eggleston is preparing analysis on drainage calculations, he would appreciate the opportunity to provide his response on drainage calculations and added that 18K pre-development goes to 16K post-development and it should be equal. Mr. Samiotes said that the pipe on Hill Street overtops on his property and noted that the wall is not included in calculations. Mr. Samiotes noted his appreciation of the inclusion of the previous file noting that Mary Rimmer's report should be in this record and Mr. Samiotes noted he respectfully disagrees with Mr. McManus' statement about the photos and reiterated that he would like to give his opinion on drainage calcs.

Despina Samiotes, 65 East Plain Street, commented that she was present during videos and recalled having seen ducks swimming on the property in the past.

Bret Leifer, 73 East Plain, shared that he has lived in the area since 1978 and walks Hill Street often and it is generally flooded in March and April with standing water two months out of the year and water had to be drained off. Mr. Leifer asked about water getting through saturated soil with the pipe. Ms. Eggleston said the proposal takes from one side to the other side of the driveway to the swale above grade on the surface and noted it is not necessarily going to infiltrate a lot. Mr. Leifer noted there is always standing water there. Ms. Eggleston said she really can't elaborate and noted that pooled water doesn't mean groundwater that has come up.

Mr. Samiotes noted that last year there was not a lot of snow melt and this year snow melt didn't seem to cause flooding; small rainstorm on top of minor snow melt indicates what would happen in 25-year event. Mr. McManus said to ask your engineer to look at grades regarding Mr. Samiotes' assertion of back-up onto his yard. Discussion ensued between Mr. Cullen and B.Goldsmith on roof, driveway and wall square footage with respect to the amount of impervious surface. B.Goldsmith noted approximately 10% impervious not included. Mr. Cullen noted it is still less than pre-development for the 100-year storm.

Mr. Grassia offered that S.Greenbaum could suggest that submittals come in and then a determination can be made; the hearing can be left open one more time and then closed. B.Howell offered that according to the Bylaw, this is a wetland. S.Greenbaum responded that the Commission is obligated to listen to stormwater mitigation matters. Mr. Samiotes noted that he wants to provide input to Ms. Eggleston and questioned the review if this is a wetland. S.Greenbaum responded that the Commission is giving this their full review. Ms. Eggleston requested correspondence Tuesday from Mr. Samiotes and then her written review will be sent by the end of next week. Mr. Samiotes noted he will provide comment by the end of the day Tuesday to Ms. Eggleston through the Commission. Mr. Grassia noted a desire to have the opportunity to respond to Ms. Eggleston's comments. Ms. Eggleston noted Friday by 11:00AM. Mr. Grassia suggested Ms. Eggleston's analysis only without Mr. McManus and Mr. Samiotes. Mr. Samiotes noted he wishes to submit. Ms. Eggleston said she will need to review revised plans and mentioned storage calculations. B.Monahan mentioned not having the topographical information to do that. Mr. Grassia asked if they can submit to Ms. Eggleston and B.Monahan responded that the hearing is open.

Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 9pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;

Seconded 7-0

Motion to continue the hearing to June 4, 2015 at 9pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;

Seconded 7-0

10. Other

- e. Rail Trail Summary – B.Monahan sent letter and will provide minutes.
- f. Meeting Dates - It was noted that R.Backman and J.Barnett will be absent on June 4, 2015.

11. Land Management

- a. Update on Land Purchase – 246 Stonebridge Road
S.Greenbaum noted a delay in the process due to minor title issues to resolve and she estimates another three to six weeks. B.Monahan noted he can get going on the Notice of Intent for the demolition.
- b. Management of the South side of Community Gardens
B.Monahan gave thanks to B.Salzberg and S.Greenbaum for their work laying out the garden plots. B.Monahan noted he has spoken to Ms. Flies about farming the back part of the gardens on the south side at nominal or no charge; she is interested in farming and it keeps the area plowed. B.Monahan noted the garden plowing this year cost \$950 and adds a lot of value.
- c. Open Space and Recreation Plan Update status
B.Monahan summarized the history of the budget when Conservation asked for \$10K and thought Recreation would also do \$10K but the second \$10K no longer exists. He proposes he write a letter giving back funds after communication with Recreation. S.Greenbaum felt it could also go to CPC. J.Barnett agreed that it is wiser to put the funding back. It was noted you have to have an updated Open Space/Recreation plan to get a grant.
- d. Part-time Land Management Position Update
J.Barnett researched the whole UMass system and did Tufts as well and will send the necessary links. B.Monahan sent to MSCP and DEP and wants to get it to MACC
- e. Other – B.Goldsmith raised the issue of the Barlow property and the need to have a session, noting his upcoming travel dates in June. B.Monahan said July may be fine.

12. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 10:03pm; Seconded 6-0

The next Regular Conservation Commission Meeting is scheduled for **Thursday, June 4, 2015** in the Wayland Town Building.

NOTE: Per changes to the Open Meeting Law, notice of any meeting of a public body shall include "A listing of topics that the chair reasonably anticipates will be discussed at the meeting". AG's Office guidelines state that the list of topics shall have sufficient specificity to reasonably advise the public of the issue to be discussed.

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION
May 8, 2015 to May 21, 2015**

May 8, 2015

Follow-up letter re: vegetation management program from Eversource
ZBA hearing notices – 15 Doran Road and 6 Winter Street
322-830 Soils Investigation Report for Covered Bridge Conservation Cluster from P.Fletcher

May 11, 2015

Soil Erosion Workshop notice from MACC
322-816 Inspection Report for River Trail Place from Sullivan Connors
322-701 Inspection Report for Town Center Building 2B from Griffin Engineering
322-836 Notice to start work at 107 Dudley Road from G.Howell

May 13, 2015

322-579 Request for Certificate of Compliance for 5 Erwin Road from Drake Associates
322-710 Inspection Report for Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering

May 15, 2015

322-838 Fully signed applications for 8 Hill Street from EcoTec, Inc.
322-838 Letter concerning upcoming hearing on 8 Hill Street from T.Grassia, Esq.
322-838 Response to T.Grassia letter on 8 Hill Street from C.Samiotes
322-838 Updated plan, response to Eggleston review and Stormwater Report for 8 Hill St from S.Breitmaier
322-824 Inspection Report on 37 Rich Valley Road from The Jillson Co.

May 18, 2015

322-832 Soils Investigation Report for 484-490 Boston Post Road from P.Fletcher
322-838 Proposed Shrub Plantings for 8 Hill Street from EcoTec, Inc.
Notice of magistrate hearing from Framingham District Court

May 19, 2015

D-843 Defendant's Memorandum of Law re: 8 Hill Street from M.Lanza

May 20, 2015

322-812 Inspection Report for Happy Hollow Chemical Feed from Tata & Howard

May 21, 2015

ZBA Decision re: 32 Aqueduct Road

LIST OF EXPENDITURES May 8, 2015 to May 21, 2015

5/14/15	Forestry Suppliers (Misc. Land Mgmt. supplies)	\$ 280.00
5/20/15	Forestry Suppliers (Folding saws)	123.00
	NAPA Auto Parts (oil filter/oil)	54.87