

WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes, Thursday, March 12, 2015 7:16 – 11:15PM

Location: Senior Center, Town Building, 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

Present: Commissioners: Roger Backman, Joanne Barnett (7:16 – 10:50pm), Bob Goldsmith (7:23pm), Barbara Howell, Betty Salzberg, John Sullivan (7:35 – 10:11pm) Chairperson: Sherre Greenbaum, Conservation Administrator: Brian Monahan

Minutes: Andrea Upham

S.Greenbaum opened the meeting at 7:16pm noting that a quorum was present.

1. **7:16 p.m. – Citizens Time: This is a time for input to the Commission regarding items that are *not* on the agenda.**

Mike Lowery, Lakeshore Drive, expressed concern that the Order of Conditions governing activities for the High School turf field may be unintentionally violated and encouraged the Commission to get in touch with the Athletic Director to discuss the spreading of material over the snow-covered field to determine whether or not the activity is permitted under the Order.

2. **Land Management Item: Meeting with Don Millette, Water Superintendent Land protection relating to Baldwin Pond and Oxbow Meadows**

Don Millette, Water Superintendent, was present for the discussion. Concerning Baldwin Pond, Mr. Millette noted that there was one outstanding issue from the OOC for the treatment plant construction. The area of Map 14, Parcel 040C – land across the pond from the plant – was to be protected but that was not clearly defined. Mr. Millette provided the Commission with a picture. B.Monahan said he needs to look at the Minutes of the meeting but thought perhaps the parcel was specified to be under the “care and custody of Conservation.” Mr. Millette noted that the area is mostly wetlands. B.Monahan said he will do some homework on the matter. Discussion ensued. Mike Lowery inquired as to how much land the Order says has to be protected. B.Monahan said he can check GIS and lay on resource areas to see what amount remains after wetlands are subtracted. Mr. Millette asked the Commission what is involved to secure the Certificate of Compliance for Baldwin Pond and B.Monahan explained that the process is spelled out in the decision and normally requires as-built plans among other items. Brief discussion ensued on Oxbow Meadows (previously Nike site).

3. **7:35 p.m. – Continued Public Hearing, Ben Stevens, Applicant, 24/26 Covered Bridge Lane; DEP File No. 322-834:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland’s Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Ben Stevens, for work at 24/26 Covered Bridge Lane, Wayland proposing to construct two single-family houses and related site work, portions of which are within riverfront area and wetlands buffer. The property is shown on Assessor’s Map 35, Parcel 0300.

Stephen Garvin, P.E. with Samiotes Consultants, was present for the discussion. Mr. Garvin provided a brief summary noting 260 square feet less impervious surface on the site than in the original submission, modified roof drainage, stockpiling outside buffer zone and gas/water lines brought in for service. The 2007 vs. 2015 layout plans were shown, which Mr. Garvin noted result in 8500 square feet of reduction in the impervious surface overall for the development. Mr. Garvin noted that the open parcels shown will remain open. B.Monahan asked if the lot area of Lot 5 is the

Approved: April 2, 2015

Page 2

same, and Mr. Garvin confirmed it to be the same and added that Lots 4, 2 and 1 were reconfigured to be able to bring the house up front and still keep open/green space area, and Lot 5 went from a single unit to two units. Mr. Garvin reported that conservation space went from 37% to 52% roughly, a required change of open space based on the construction of multi units. All septic systems meet Title 5 requirements for setbacks, etc.

S.Greenbaum questioned the waiver request on #16. B.Monahan said the total area of disturbance on the lot is how he reads it and with this filing noting the total amount of land disturbance on the lot is the requirement for the 24/26 Covered Bridge Lane parcel only. Mr. Garvin noted that the NOI does detail disturbance. B.Monahan explained that the total figure for the lot is important for Chapter 193, along with the Construction General Permit being important. Mr. Garvin confirmed that the permit filing was there and the site operator simply needed to take action; he can provide information to the Commission. B.Monahan noted that trees should not be cut until a site inspection is conducted to review them. Mr. Garvin will provide a sketch. S.Greenbaum asked when the deed restriction will be done and Mr. Garvin noted he is not certain. B.Monahan commented that the Conservation Restriction is one item and protection of the no-disturb zones is another, which was said to be in the master deed. B.Monahan said he will look at how it was written in the certificate. B.Howell expressed proper access to the Conservation Restriction is needed and Mr. Garvin pointed on the plan to the area of access right off the road where the old path was on the Lot 4 area.

Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 7:30 pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;

Seconded 7-0

Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 7:30 pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;

Seconded 7-0

4. **7:55 p.m. – Public Hearing, Town of Wayland, Applicant, Sidewalk on Route 27, DEP File 322-835:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by the Town of Wayland Department of Public Works relating to installing sidewalks along Route 27 between an existing sidewalk at Wayland Commons to the existing sidewalk at the intersection of Route 27 and Route 126. The project also proposed drainage improvements including new catch basins, an infiltration trench, and a new concrete headwall for existing culverts.

Mike Meyers of TEC was present for the discussion. B.Goldsmith recused himself. Mr. Meyers summarized this new filing was a result of previous discussions with the Commission concerning the sidewalk project where a new filing was determined to be necessary. Mr. Meyers confirmed that deep sumps were added from the original design since culverts are already in place. Limits of work and drainage improvements were reviewed on the plan, including the infiltration trench which runs to the north. Mr. Meyers reviewed headwall construction for the change in grade, which will be a three-day construction period to include plugging the culvert and inserting a 12" pipe beyond the headwall location and a sandbag/plastic dam for the work zone. Mitigation with two new sumps will reduce peak flow for 1" storms and reduce volume of overall storms.

B.Monahan asked if the sidewalks are curbed and Mr. Meyers confirmed granite curbing. Sanding was discussed and S.Kadlik said the DPW will not be sanding sidewalks in that part of town as a rule. B.Monahan discouraged salt and S.Kadlik recalled concrete won't be salted. B.Monahan said the O&M should reflect no sodium chloride. B.Howell raised questions about the revised peak flow chart in that there are still increases in the rate of runoff post construction. B. Howell noted a discrepancy in the number of times street sweeping will occur between the NOI (twice/year) and the O&M Plan

Approved: April 2, 2015

and that this needed to be corrected. The nature of the sidewalks with respect to the historic district was discussed. B.Monahan commented to B.Howell that the sidewalk has little to no TSS. It was determined that appropriate language would be street sweeping not less than four times per year. S.Greenbaum inquired about trees and B.Monahan explained that any tree 6" or greater planned for removal would require replanting based on the Commission's planting chart. A large tree and fence on 10 Old Sudbury Road were briefly discussed. Mr. Meyers noted three property owners are involved in the project.

Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act;	Seconded 6-0
Motion to close the hearing under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;	Seconded 6-0
Motion to issue a Permit under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;	Seconded 6-0
Motion to issue an Order of Conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act;	Seconded 6-0
Motion to require a retainage of 5% of total cost of project;	Seconded 6-0

5. **8:18 p.m. – Public Hearing, George Howell, Applicant, 107 Dudley Road, DEP File 322-836:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by George Howell for an after-the-fact application to permit an existing 10' x 10' deck at 107 Dudley Road, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 47A, Parcel 78

Joyce Hastings of GLM Engineering was present for the discussion. A colored plan handout was provided to the Commission. Ms. Hastings summarized that in 1995 George Howell, the Applicant, had filed a Notice of Intent for improvements of decks and walkways at 107 Dudley Road and had received a decision for the project. When he was preparing to sell his property, he discovered the open Order of Conditions. When preparing the as-built plans for the Certificate of Compliance request to close the Order of Conditions, it was discovered that one portion of the deck construction done in 1995 was shown to be an additional 10' x 10' deck where the original plan showed that there was to be ground. In addition, a Chapter 91 license was needed for the dock and it was not found, so along with this after-the-fact deck filing he has also filed for the C91 license, which is now in process and normally is a two-three month process.

B.Monahan reported that a small anomaly had just recently been discovered concerning abutters on Dudley Pond. The GIS system utilized by the Town technically does not assign ownership of Dudley Pond. Therefore, when creating the abutter notifications for routine filings such as this, the Recreation Commission was not included. The hearings tonight involving Dudley Pond should be continued to allow for comment from the Recreation Commission, if any. B.Monahan informed Ms. Hastings that some boards from the 10' x 10' deck should be removed to allow for six inches of stone to be put underneath, which is a standard requirement for drainage. B.Howell asked if there is datum on the plan and Ms. Hastings explained that it is not on USGS. B.Monahan commented that using the previous datum is the only way to compare the two plans, and he will add a finding that the Commission accepted datum because the original plan was not on NGVD. Ms. Hastings explained the limits of the deck area with respect to depth underneath the boards as the deck is very close to the ground. B.Monahan said to add as much stone as possible underneath and noted that pea stone is accepted.

B.Monahan explained the Dudley Pond GIS issue discussed earlier to Brud Wright, Chair of the Recreation Commission. Mr. Wright said communications can be sent to Jessica Brodie on behalf of the Recreation Commission.

Approved: April 2, 2015

Page 4

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:30pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 7-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:30pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 7-0**

6. **8:40 p.m. – Continued Public Hearing, Arthur Gerber, Applicant, 12 Crest Road, DEP File No. 322-837:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Arthur Gerber for a septic system repair/replacement at 12 Crest Road, Wayland, MA. The work is within the buffer zone. The property is shown on Assessor's Map 47A, Parcel 050.

Vito Colonna of Sullivan, Connors was present for the discussion. Mr. Colonna provided a recap of the project and the failure of the existing system. The new system proposed is based on existing lot constraints; the system was pushed away to allow for 50' from Dudley Pond and they have provided a secondary treatment unit and are using a galley system. Since the last hearing, approval was given by the Board of Health with conditions including an escrow account for one year for O&M and pumping. Mr. Colonna noted that the Board of Health said to stake property lines prior to construction rather than survey. S.Greenbaum asked if there is an existing plot plan. Mr. Colonna said there is a Land Court plan but boundaries were not confirmed in the field. Brief discussion ensued on surveying.

It was noted that B.Goldsmith had a series of questions and comments previously sent to Mr. Colonna which were reviewed. Concerning separation from groundwater, Mr. Colonna confirmed the separation of the system leach field bottom exceeded the required 5-foot separation from seasonal high groundwater. Mr. Colonna reported that the DPW had no comment or issue with the 5' setback. B.Goldsmith requested changing the I/A system from the Singulair Model 960 Green 500 to the Singulair TNT-500 system, which utilizes a different control panel and provides nitrogen reduction, which is important for reducing nitrogen load on the pond. Mr. Colonna indicated that would not be a problem. The matter of low flow and no flow was discussed with a concern about biomass viability under these conditions. Mr. Colonna stated this would not be a problem and the biomass could easily be revitalized. Mr. Colonna also noted that a back-up generator is not necessary and is an added cost; the proposed system is still better than a conventional system. Mr. Colonna felt there is no health concern and no benefit to provide a portable generator. Mr. Colonna said the DEP conditions concerning remedial use and those enumerated in the document provided by B.Goldsmith to him were fine, and he noted that O&M info was provided on January 19.

Mr. Colonna agreed that a service contract with a qualified contractor for semi-annual service and maintenance for the Singulair system for the life of the system could be included in an order of conditions and permit.

Mike Lowery asked about the land court plan and asked where the back property line is shown. Mr. Colonna said the land court shows the line out in the pond. M.Lowery commented that getting a survey is a good idea. M.Lowery commented on soils being sand and the requirement of the property owner to follow the maintenance plan and suggested they might need a tight tank. Mr. Colonna explained that the property owner doesn't have to know how to do the necessary maintenance as the Board of Health would be on notice and the Conservation Commission will be included on documentation from the service contractor for the semi-annual contractor service calls. The option of having a telemetry alarm on the system panel connected to the service contractor was discussed and Mr. Colonna will check on that. He stated he did not think this would be a problem.

Approved: April 2, 2015

Page 5

Mr. Colonna summarized discussion items to include a property survey, an alarm on the system control panel and the change to the TNT-500 system. He also agreed with the possible conditions suggested by the Commission.

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:35pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 7-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:35pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 7-0**

7. **9:10 p.m. – Public Hearing, Skip Miller, Applicant, 121 Dudley Road; DEP File No. 322-???:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Skip Miller, for an after-the-fact application to permit a change in the foundation, reconstruct the roof line, and new work to replace existing 12' x 10' side deck at 121 Dudley Road, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 47A, Parcel 73.

Skip Miller was present for the discussion. Mr. Miller reviewed the original filing which was a septic system project including repair to the home foundation. The septic system was done and when renovating the foundation, it was replaced rather than repaired based on its deteriorated condition. At that point the Commission requested additional information. Mr. Miller explained that roof lines were consolidated into one roof; the side deck was removed and he wishes to put it back. S.Greenbaum asked Mr. Miller why he didn't come back to Conservation about the work being done. Mr. Miller explained that he reviewed the roofline and foundation work with the Building Department and didn't know further approval was required. B.Howell asked if there is a deed restriction on the property and Mr. Miller confirmed it is two bedrooms and one bathroom. B.Monahan will speak to the Building Department. Mr. Miller will continue to check with DEP for his project file number which was not yet issued. B.Monahan explained that the Recreation Commission will be notified as an abutter to allow any comment.

Mike Lowery commented that the lot line issues of 119 Dudley Road may also have an effect on 121 Dudley Road. Mr. Miller is pursuing a plot plan.

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:40 pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 7-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 2, 2015 at 8:40 pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 7-0**

8. **9:20 p.m. – Public Meeting , Carlos Melero-Montes & Elisabeth A. Konig, 74 Sears Road, File D-857:** Request for Determination of Applicability filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Carlos Melero-Montes & Elisabeth A. Konig for a septic repair project with portions of the work within the buffer zone of an isolated wetland at 74 Sears Road, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 15, Parcel 62.

Gary Wolcott of Grady Consulting was present for the discussion. Mr. Wolcott summarized the project resulting from a failed septic. Wetlands were identified on the plan; they are proposing leaching trenches with some tree clearing and a small amount of fill to meet existing grades. A silt fence is proposed on the left-hand side of the driveway with a refueling area and construction access through the existing driveway. There will be no increase in impervious area on the site. B.Howell

Approved: April 2, 2015

Page 6

inquired about the trees proposed for removal, which Mr. Wolcott confirmed are non-jurisdictional. Board of Health approval was received. B.Howell noted that pages three and four of the submission requirements document were missing and the applicant hadn't signed the application. Mr. Wolcott noted that no waivers are being requested. B.Howell noted that no garbage disposal is allowed. B.Monahan noted that the decision will be contingent on signatures pages being received, and question on the wetland delineation can be noted in the decision language. The original signed application will be noted in the decision as needed prior to commencing work.

Motion to issue a Negative Determination w/conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 7-0

Motion to issue a Permit w/conditions under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 7-0

Motion to require a \$750 performance guarantee; Seconded 7-0

9. **9:40 PM – Public Hearing, Kenneth Todd Nelson, Applicant, 8 Hill Street, DEP File No. 322-838:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Kenneth Todd Nelson, consisting of a single-family home, driveway, garage, septic system, utility connections, retaining wall, grading, and associated site work. Portions of the work are located within the buffer zone at 8 Hill Street, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 51B, Parcel 029.

Kenneth Todd Nelson, Applicant, Steve Breitmaier, Tom Grassia, Esquire, and Paul McManus of EcoTec, Inc., were present for the discussion.

Mr. McManus summarized: Under the Bylaw, Peter Fletcher was retained as a peer reviewer and the Commission issued a positive determination and then DEP issued a superseding determination. Flags were shown on his plan as Peter Fletcher's flags and the plan is 1" = 10'. The Commission's determination raised other issues but wasn't clear as to jurisdiction though his interpretation was that the Commission clearly wanted a Notice of Intent filing. The lot size is roughly 10K square feet and they are proposing a small one-bedroom home with a septic system in the back beyond the 100' line and a driveway off of Hill Street. The Commission raised question of the depression across the street; they didn't have the ability to look there but if jurisdictional, they are not within 30' of it. Trench drain is proposed around the whole perimeter of the dwelling with detail on the second sheet of the plans. Erosion controls were noted around the perimeter.

S.Greenbaum asked how much they are proposing to raise the property. Mr. McManus responded that the maximum area is from 165 to 170 feet, an increase of five feet. Mr. McManus reported normal flow is currently toward Joan Ferguson's property which would remain. S.Greenbaum expressed being confused by the application in view of the Commission's previous decision and current appeal. Mr. McManus noted that the Commission regulates different types of wetlands though no one specific type was noted in the Commission's response. B.Howell noted it was based on plant community and runoff water and said the Bylaw defines in a different manner from the Wetlands Protection Act. Mr. McManus commented that there were some maple trees on the property. B.Goldsmith noted that under the Bylaw the land would be subject to other measures of land subject to flooding as where the Commission left it before. S.Greenbaum commented that the Commission only wanted a filing if they could get the house out of the wetlands. S.Greenbaum read the Bylaw description of wetlands. Mr. McManus said there are performance standards for different resource areas and boundary definitions for different resource areas. S.Greenbaum asked how the

Commission is to address buildings in a wetland. B.Howell commented that the Commission's local Bylaw was deliberately written to be more stringent than the Wetlands Protection Act.

Mr. Grassia commented that the Bylaw decision is currently under legal review and this new filing is to show the Commission what they intend to do as they thought the Commission wished to see detail.

J.Sullivan commented that if the whole property is wetlands, then setbacks don't apply.

Chuck Samiotes, 65 East Plain Street, said the area is a resource for holding flood waters and that testing done in 1985 for a septic system indicated the Board of Health saw flooding; if hydrology is changed, flooding will result. In addition, Mr. Samiotes noted that S.Kadlik, DPW Director, had spoken of Hill Street flooding. Mr. Samiotes said he doesn't understand the NOI filing and noted that no calculations were submitted for rate of runoff.

Mr. McManus noted having previously provided photos of the maximum amount of water shown, which was three to four inches of water. Mr. Breitmaier noted the filing under the Bylaw and the State and asked that the Commission bifurcate to take action under the State. B.Monahan asked if the state filing is saying nothing to the west and Mr. McManus said yes and that the buffer zone line is roughly shown. B.Goldsmith raised the matter of flooding/runoff onto land of abutters. Mr. Breitmaier asked of all the professionals, who has said the area floods. B.Goldsmith read an email from S.Kadlik. Mr. McManus showed on the plan the areas of concern noted by S.Kadlik. B.Goldsmith noted conflicting opinions of where water goes. R.Backman suggested a hearing continuation for analysis of flooding which could come from all the anticipated snow melt. Mr. McManus noted that the photos were taken at capacity and capacity doesn't change. S.Greenbaum commented that the resource area across the road needs to be determined. J.Barnett agreed that would answer a lot of questions. S.Greenbaum noted the need for permission from owner across the road. Mr. Breitmaier asked for a vote under the Wetlands Protection Act. B.Goldsmith noted the need for a formal delineation and added that if the property is raised, the flood storage will be reduced and water will flow onto other properties. Photos provided by Mary Rimmer were referenced. Mr. McManus noted that he couldn't find the areas in the photos on the site. B.Goldsmith noted two unanswered questions under the Wetlands Protection Act, a possible wetland buffer associated with a possible wetland across Hill Street and issues associated with increased runoff rate onto neighboring properties. B.Goldsmith also raised the possibility of the site being Isolated Land Subject to Flooding. Mr. McManus said the state answered both. Mr. McManus noted that under the Wetlands Protection Act there is no buffer zone for isolated land subject to flooding. B.Monahan noted that the default indicator under the Wetlands Protection Act can be hydric soils. B.Monahan added that the Commission could say this application doesn't address increase in rate or volume of runoff from the site under the Wetlands Protection Act.

Stephen Garvin, Samiotes Consultants, commented that all Boards must consider their Bylaw and noted that the original filing was a Request for Determination of Applicability, not an ANRAD.

Mr. Samiotes added that the pictures previously submitted by Mary Rimmer do show flags on the property indicating 8 Hill Street. Mr. McManus addressed Mr. Samiotes asking if he is in fact certifying that the photographs were all photographs on this lot, and Mr. Samiotes said absolutely.

B.Goldsmith noted that the Commission would need calculations for a possible increase in the rate of stormwater volume and rate runoff for the development in processing the NOI. Discussion ensued about continuation of the hearing until April. Mr. McManus asked about the purpose of the interim

Approved: April 2, 2015

Page 8

timeframe. R.Backman responded that as snow melts more can be assessed and also to allow for drainage calculations.

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 16, 2015 at 7:35 pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;
Seconded 6-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to April 16, 2015 at 7:35 pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;
Seconded 6-0**

10. Land Management

a. 104 Plain Road

Michael Staiti was present for the discussion. Neighbors, including those at 110 Plain Road, want screening in the conservation cluster zone. Discussion ensued on the types of trees/shrubs and locations. Mr. Staiti had revised most of the proposed plants to conform with the native plant list and will provide a new list substituting for the non-native Thuja. To allow for access, no screening is allowed within 30' of the eastern side of the lot and 10' of the western side. B.Howell raised question about gravel parking spot being provided which Mr. Staiti confirmed. The Commission will mow the field and maintain the area with respect to invasive plants, and the restriction will be drafted to that effect.

Motion to review and approve any plantings from within the described areas; Seconded 6-0

b. Proposed Activities on April 18, 2015

Margie and Tony Lee were present to discuss a proposal for Earth Day-related activities at the Community Gardens. They are seeking the Commission's approval, their communication to gardeners to encourage their attendance and for B.Monahan or a Commission member to be present to welcome people. A possible shuttle from parking area was discussed.

Motion to approve the Earth Day celebration; Seconded 6-0

11. Other

a. Minutes – February 19, 2015

Motion to approve the February 19, 2015 Minutes as edited; Seconded 5-0

12. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 11:15pm; Seconded 5-0

The next **Regular** Conservation Commission Meeting is scheduled for **Thursday, April 2, 2015** in the Wayland Town Building.

NOTE: Per changes to the Open Meeting Law, notice of any meeting of a public body shall include "A listing of topics that the chair reasonably anticipates will be discussed at the meeting". AG's Office guidelines state that the list of topics shall have sufficient specificity to reasonably advise the public of the issue to be discussed.

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION
February 20, 2015 to March 12, 2015**

February 20, 2015

Notice of Intent for Rte. 27 Sidewalk project from TEC, The Engineering Corp.
ZBA public hearing notice 3/10/15 re: 3 Winthrop Road
322-812 Inspection Report on Happy Hollow Chemical Feed/Water Main from Tata & Howard

February 23, 2015

Notice of Intent for home construction project at 121 Dudley Road from S.Miller
Notice of Intent for deck at 107 Dudley Road from GLM Engineering
Notice of Intent for home and septic construction at 8 Hill Street from EcoTec, Inc.
322-710 Inspection Report for Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering
322-812 Inspection Report for Happy Hollow Chemical Feed/Water Main from Tata & Howard

February 25, 2015

322-816 Inspection Reports for River Trail Place from Sullivan, Connors

March 2, 2015

ZBA continued hearing notice 3/10/15 re: 65 Glezen Lane

March 3, 2015

NOI filing for septic project at 1 Winthrop Terrace from Sullivan, Connors

March 4, 2015

Additional copy of 8 Hill Street NOI and plan from EcoTec, Inc.
322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering

March 6, 2015

322-834 Revisions to Lot 5 Plans for 24/26 Covered Bridge from Samiotes Consultants
ZBA Hearing Notice 3/24/15 – 26 Parkland Drive
ZBA Decision – 281 Concord Road
D-840 Inspection Report for 11 Three Ponds Road from Stamski & McNary

March 9, 2015

Letter seeking information on 33 Shaw Drive from The Jillson Company, Inc.
322-836 Chapter 91 Waterways License for 107 Dudley Road from GLM Engineering

March 10, 2015

Letter from Eversource re: tree pruning
Earth Day proposal from Transition Wayland members

March 12, 2015

322-833 Notice and misc. condition reporting for 344 Commonwealth Road from R.Morrell
Notice of Mass. Open Space Conference May 9, 2015

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

LIST OF EXPENDITURES February 20, 2015 to March 12, 2015

2/20/15	<i>Beaver Solutions (annual maintenance)</i>	<i>\$270.00</i>
	<i>Bay Circuit Alliance (annual dues)</i>	<i>50.00</i>
3/11/15	<i>Gatehouse Media (legal notice)</i>	<i>12.69</i>