

WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes, Thursday, August 28, 2014 7:15 – 11:20PM

Location: Senior Center, Town Building, 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

Present: Commissioners: Roger Backman, Bob Goldsmith, Barbara Howell, Betty Salzberg (7:20pm),
Chairperson: Sherre Greenbaum, **Conservation Administrator:** Brian Monahan

Not present: John Sullivan

Minutes: Andrea Upham

S.Greenbaum opened the meeting at 7:15PM noting that a quorum was present. Joanne Barnett was introduced as a new commissioner who had not yet been sworn in.

1. **7:15 p.m. – Citizens Time:** This is a time for input to the Commission regarding items that are ***not*** on the agenda.

David Hill, Orchard Lane, reported to the Commission that he previously had an issue at the Community Gardens with an individual who was not a gardener and he reported the incident to the police. As a result, he asked that the Commission review the Community Garden rules and regulations over the winter before the spring opening to discuss necessary signage and whether a camera should be installed to monitor comings and goings at the gardens. In addition, Mr. Hill recommended asking the Chief of Police to remind his officers of the garden location and to request regular monitoring and patrolling of the area.

2. **Minutes to review and approve:** June 26, 2014, July 17, 2014 and August 7, 2014

Motion to approve the Minutes of June 26, 2014 as amended; Seconded 4-0

Motion to approve the Minutes of July 17, 2014 as amended; Seconded 4-0

Motion to approve the Minutes of August 7, 2014 as amended; Seconded 4-0

3. **Land Management Discussion – 5 Year Capital Budget**

B.Monahan reported: Last year's capital budget plan was sent out to the Commission via email. Commissioners should give their thoughts to B.Monahan for putting the package together. He particularly noted wanting to allow for field renovations at Heard Farm, Sedgemoor, Cow Common and Greenways areas. This would be a capital budget item as a one-time event with all fields combined into one budget item in an effort to get grasslands back in the areas noted. A priority list should be developed and one field at a time addressed. With regard to land acquisition budgeting, B.Goldsmith asked if funds are carried over to FY15 and automatically to FY16. B.Monahan responded that CP funds are not carried over. B.Goldsmith noted that most of the open space funding may have been expended on the Lincoln Road fields Conservation Restriction. B.Monahan noted that the CPC initiated and pursued the CR and it behooves J.Sullivan to say we want that money put back into the open space pot. B.Goldsmith said there is a CPC meeting in mid-September and he will raise the matter. B.Howell asked that vehicles be addressed in the budget and said that a tractor should be considered at some point. B.Monahan reminded the Commission that a dam inspection budget is necessary every five years as well.

Brief discussion ensued about a potential Conservation Commission meeting on September 4, 2014@8:30AM to address some of the items that would not be reached tonight.

4. **Request for Certificate of Compliance [310 CMR 10.05 (9)]**

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 2

113 Dudley Road; File D-825

B.Monahan summarized the project and noted he had made a recent site inspection.

Motion to issue a Partial Certificate of Compliance under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

Motion to issue a Certificate of Compliance under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

5. **7:35 p.m. – Continued Public Hearing - Roland Gray, III, Esq., Trustee, Applicant, 7 Lincoln Road, DEP File No. 322-826:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and an application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Roland Gray, III Esq., Trustee. The applicant is proposing the installation of a 5-bedroom septic system, grading, and associated site work at 7 Lincoln Road, Wayland, shown on Assessor's Map 11, Parcel 083. Work is within riverfront area and buffer zone.

Scott Morrison and Jay Lavoie of EcoTec and Amy Kwesell of Rubin & Rudman were present for the discussion. Mr. Morrison summarized: A Notice of Intent was filed under the Dorothy Long Realty Trust stemming from a previous ANRAD filed for the property. The site was shown on the plan with the area of jurisdiction pointed out. Peter Fletcher had evaluated soils and an ORAD was issued by the Commission in March 2014. A 3-bedroom septic system was designed and testing was done and they went back and did additional soil testing and found a more suitable area for installation directly in front of the house. S.Greenbaum asked if the system was sized at the time and Mr. Morrison said that size was not known.

Mr. Morrison explained three alternatives that were looked at. The first was to do nothing, which wasn't an option. The second was to place the septic outside of all resource areas and trench through wetlands, but impacts with that option were greater. The third involved installing the septic on the originally-filed plan minimizing crossing and disturbance. Mr. Morrison noted that at the last Conservation Commission meeting The Commission requested further alternatives and mitigation. The revised plan reduces the size of system. S.Greenbaum noted there were 3 plans submitted. The second plan reduced the size of system but the 3rd & most current plan enlarged the system back to the original size. The plan (July 23, 2014) eliminates the garbage disposal, eight large trees that were to be removed in the original proposal are now reduced to two trees with mitigation provided with plantings of three red maple trees 2.5" along wetland boundary and seven white pines on the property for replacement/mitigation. B.Howell commented that no garbage disposal would have been approved by the Commission in any case. B.Goldsmith noted that the multi-trunk tree on the property is actually 57" and is incorrectly documented as half that size. Mr. Morrison noted that it has been almost a year now and the team has been very willing to work with the Commission on these matters.

Joanne Barnett, Plain Road, asked if the current house will remain on the property. Mr. Morrison responded that he doesn't know what a new owner might plan.

B.Goldsmith noted that a five-bedroom system appears to be new construction and there should be an alternative for a three-bedroom system which is lower cost and less impactful and asked why they are not looking at a three-bedroom system.

Mr. Lavoie noted that the minimum size for a three-bedroom system is 800 square feet; a five-bedroom is 1100 square feet, and the currently proposed size of the septic is 1115 square feet. Mr. Lavoie explained that to reduce to a three-bedroom would save 315 square feet, but does 50% more disturbance for repair but when placing the system in front of the house, they saw good soils for new construction with a reserve area where they could separate out and reduce the size of the system

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 3

with minimum square footage. B.Goldsmith asked why not an alternative system. Mr. Lavoie noted it would not be less impact and would create more disturbance. B.Monahan asked for confirmation that a three-bedroom repair system would be 800 square feet, which Mr. Lavoie confirmed and added that a five-bedroom system is 315 square feet additional. Mr. Lavoie noted that renovations can be made without changing the footprint. B.Goldsmith noted that new construction has different guidelines and the Commission doesn't allow new construction of homes in the inner riparian zone. Ms. Kwesell explained that these details and concerns were discussed at the June meeting and that they are not here to discuss the house at this time.

B.Goldsmith commented that the stamped drawing being used for the application is inaccurate in missing six trees in the area of the septic system. In addition, the leach field is surrounded by trees and the roots penetrate the leach field, and work on the site can damage or kill the mature trees present. In addition, heavy machinery would compact soil and further damage roots.

B.Goldsmith discussed pruning guidelines and read from one document describing acceptable root pruning of mature trees. B.Goldsmith said there are alternative/innovative (A/I) systems that would have less impact on tree roots than a standard leach field, such as drip irrigation systems. B. Goldsmith stated his opinion that the location for the proposed leach field is the wrong place for a leach field. B.Howell commented that the Commission never heard a proposal for a three-bedroom replacement septic system, which is allowable by law. Ms. Kwesell responded that the disturbance on the site would be essentially the same. Mr. Morrison noted that they provided analysis of how the project complies with the Bylaw and the Wetlands Protection Act. Ms. Kwesell noted that this is a four-acre property and they are asking for a single-family system as far away as they can place it from the brook with minimal disturbance. S.Greenbaum asked Mr. Lavoie to again compare the 3-bedroom and 5-bedroom systems and what the size of a 5-bedroom system would be with a Board of Health waiver he said they could get. Mr. Lavoie confirmed 600 square feet with the waiver. B.Goldsmith requested that consultants be brought in to review the effect of locating the septic system in the proposed location on the surrounding trees and the wildlife habitat. Ms. Kwesell responded that they will not agree to that.

Discussion ensued on 165 gallons per day for normal construction and difference with waiver. Mr. Lavoie noted fully compliant 800 vs. 1000 square feet, and with waiver the size is reduced to 600 square feet. The water line gets pushed out and the waiver reduces disturbance along the long slim section. Mr. Lavoie explained that by law they must upgrade the existing system; the bad soil area wiped out many trees and the IA technology puts a financial hardship on future owners along with the fact that some IA systems are known to fail.

Cynthia Hill, Board of Health, noted that when Town Center was being built the issue of planting trees on the green became a major issue due to the leaching field and root structure. In addition, I/A systems are put on Dudley Pond all the time so they are quite acceptable in Wayland.

R.Backman said that the matter of the trees should be considered. Mr. Lavoie said there are many things that can be done. B.Monahan said conditions can be added to require that trees on the site be marked.

Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 3-2 (2 abstain)
Motion to continue the hearing to September 18, 2014 at 9:00pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 3-2 (2 abstain)

Ms. Kwesell noted that the June 26, 2014 meeting was the first plan

6. **8:32 p.m. – Continued Public Meeting, Kenneth Todd Nelson, Applicant, 8 Hill Street, File D-843:** Request for Determination filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and an application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw,

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 4

Chapter 194, filed by Kenneth Todd Nelson. The applicant is requesting confirmation that there are no wetlands on site or buffer zone at 8 Hill Street, Wayland, MA shown on Assessor's Map 51B, Parcel 029.

Steve Breitmaier, Todd Nelson, Applicant, Paul McManus, Wetland Scientist with EcoTec, and Tom Grassia, Esquire, were present for the discussion. Paul McManus summarized: he was at the site with Peter Fletcher and Mr. Fletcher did an evaluation of the property and with permission of Mr. Samiotes he also went onto the Samiotes' property next door. Mr. Fletcher set three stakes at the northern limit of the wetland area based on hydric soils at the Samiotes' property and looked at locations on the property and didn't find hydric on site. On the adjacent property where stakes were set Mr. McManus met Mary Rimmer, Wetlands Consultant for Mr. Samiotes, and the revised site plan tonight shows location of those from Mary Rimmer. Mr. McManus reviewed the plan to show test plots and new information including HSA, HSB, HSC flags from Peter Fletcher and noted a 1"=10' scale and said the plan will be shared. Mr. McManus reported that given the nature of wetland you eventually get to small stream that drops into a 24" culvert pipe; his assessment is it is a bordering vegetated wetland that the HSA/HSB/HSC flags delineate.

R.Backman asked about flooding and flow of water on the property. Mr. McManus mentioned photos taken on March 31 after heavy rain and snow melt and noted that throughout the site water was weeping slowly across, which he showed to the Commission on the plan. Mr. McManus noted relatively tight soils on the site and noted that Mr. Fletcher's observation on site was relatively deep rooting with test holes all indicating a lack of hydric soils. Mr. McManus further noted that the Title V inspections provide soil profile and all four are non-hydric soils so our position is no hydric soils on the site, though they agree that hydric soil is to the south and southeast of flags and recognize the bordering vegetated wetlands, but none on the site. With regard to ponding, the Bylaw has specific language of land subject to flooding and inundation and he is confident there is no depression on the site that would pond that amount of water. Mr. McManus noted his letter of summary dated today responding to Ms. Rimmer's comments but commented that Ms. Rimmer should be allowed to speak first.

S.Greenbaum noted a depression across the road and asked if Mr. McManus had looked at it. Mr. McManus explained that the request is for determination from the Commission that there are no wetlands subject to jurisdiction on this parcel; there is buffer zone and a depression to the west across Hill Street but he requested that the Commission make no finding relative to that. Alicja Zukowski, Samiotes Consultants, handed out letter from Mr. and Mrs. Samiotes and noted that M.Rimmer will summarize the letter in which Mr. Samiotes noted a history of chronic flooding in the area and concern with more water coming onto his property. Mr. McManus asked if Mr. Samiotes is stating he believes there is a jurisdictional resource there and Ms. Rimmer responded that he is giving information that the Commission can use in their decision making.

Ms. Rimmer summarized her findings: The site has a predominance of wetland vegetation and a majority of species are wetland species, and there is a well-developed herbaceous layer. Peter Fletcher is looking at soil issues which are relevant under the Wetlands Protection Act; soils are not listed in the Bylaw to define a wetland and would agree with Peter Fletcher's assessment of hydric soil. The big question is the Bylaw and the extent of flooding and wetland plants and indicators of puddling or standing water, drift lines, water marks on trees, etc. Ms. Rimmer recommended there be a buffer zone and finding that the entire lot is jurisdictional as wetland "swamp" under the Bylaw.

B.Howell noted that vegetation on the site when she visited previously was definitely an

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 5

indicator of wetlands and noted that the Bylaw is supposed to be stronger than the state. B.Howell noted there is mention of substrate but not necessarily hydric soil; roots on surface indicate substrate, which isn't necessarily hydric soils but is wetland by our Bylaw. B.Goldsmith asked Ms. Rimmer if she has a separate report for Bylaw considerations, which she indicated she would have next week and wants to submit paperwork to DEP as part of the appeal process. B.Monahan discussed the email chain of documents that exists. It was noted that if applicable under the Wetland Protection Act and if DEP issues positive, an application would be submitted to the Conservation Commission. Mr. McManus will submit his new letter and new plan to the email chain. B.Monahan noted that everything on email has been sent to the group, including DEP. R.Backman asked if activity is going to affect across the street, and B.Monahan said yes in his opinion, but there are two completely separate decisions, one being made by DEP. Mr. McManus agreed that the Bylaw is stricter than the state but disagreed with Ms. Rimmer's statement with regard to hydric soils because the Bylaw does say that in order to be swamp you have to have vegetation and in addition you need certain hydrology: an area where groundwater is near the surface for two weeks or where water from surface drainage frequently collects," but you still need hydrology and there is no data from all three sources to indicate that you have the hydrology that the Bylaw requires. Mr. McManus added that the Bylaw has a two-parameter test and you don't have that second parameter that the Bylaw requires you have, and added that hydric soils are a measure of long-term hydrology. B.Monahan noted that three letters came in and suggested one week for everyone to consider them and then could vote on September 18th and commission can review on September 4th. Mr. Grassia requested time for a final response from Mr. McManus to Ms. Rimmer's submission. B.Monahan noted that nobody needed to be present on September 18 as there will be no discussion. Mr. McManus asked that the Commission be as specific as they can in response/decision. B.Monahan reported that everyone can submit material until September 4 @4pm.

(Brief interruption/exit for fire alarm during this session).

7. **9:30 p.m. – Public Meeting, Douglas Crowe & Amanda Ciccio, 221 Boston Post Road; File D-845:** Request for a Determination of Applicability filed pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Chapter 194 application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Douglas Crowe & Amanda Ciccio, for work relating to hardscape, landscaping and plantings, portions of which are within riverfront area, at 221 Boston Post Road, Wayland shown on Assessor's Map 23, Parcel 113.

Kathy Schreiber of Schreiber Associates and Amanda Ciccio, Applicant, were present for the discussion. Ms. Schreiber explained that the homeowners are cleaning up the property and wish to expand the terrace in the rear yard. The plan was reviewed and the 100-foot and 200-foot lines were shown. The homeowners wish to remove the old concrete terrace and expand and build a larger bluestone terrace, in addition to clearing old vegetation and bittersweet in front. Ms. Schreiber noted trees had previously been removed on the property. Replacement trees were shown on the plan. Discussion ensued on native plant listings; Ms. Schreiber used Sudbury and UMass for native plant listing.

Waivers were reviewed:

Motion to grant waivers included in the application; Seconded 5-0

B.Howell asked Ms. Schreiber if they are working with the Historic District Group, and Ms. Schreiber responded they are working together on fencing. B.Howell noted that the Commission requires that fencing be up off the ground to allow for the passage of wildlife underneath. Ms.

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 6

Schreiber noted they are just repairing the fence in front as is along Route 20 and they are proposing a fence along the east. R.Backman asked about previous tree cutting and asked how many trees were taken down. Ms. Ciaccio explained they were diseased, rotting trees and they were not aware of the riverfront jurisdiction at the time. The requirements for passage of wildlife under the fence were reviewed. B.Howell discussed the plants proposed and B.Monahan noted that a dialogue can continue on the specific plant list. B.Goldsmith noted an increase in impervious surface and B.Monahan noted that under the Wetlands Protection Act there is exemption as accessory uses to a single-family home and the terrace simply requires drainage mitigation, such as the use of a stone trench or the use of porous pavers for the surface, whichever is the homeowners' choice. This will be noted in the Permit. B.Howell noted a mulched area on the plan, and Ms. Schreiber explained it is the strip between the fence and the sidewalk along Route 20. B.Howell noted there shall be no change in grade for the mulched area.

Motion to issue a Negative Determination w/conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

Motion to issue a Permit w/conditions under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

Motion to require a performance guarantee of \$500; Seconded 5-0

8. **9:55 p.m. – Public Hearing, Ben Stevens, Applicant, 10 Covered Bridge Lane, DEP File 322-770:** Request to amend an Order of Conditions issued pursuant to the MA Wetlands Protection Act and a Permit issued pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Protection Bylaw, Chapter 194, filed by Ben Stevens. The applicant is requesting an amendment to incorporate a number of changes in the approved plan including modifications to the building footprint, changes in the driveway material and configuration, and other items at 10 Covered Bridge Lane, Wayland shown on Assessor's Map 35, Parcel 030M.

Ben Stevens, Applicant, and Alicja Zukowski of Samiotes Consultants, were present for the discussion. Ms. Zukowski summarized: There are two plans – the plan that was originally approved by the Commission and the new proposed changes plan. They are proposing that driveway and parking areas will be porous pavers. The building changed slightly (80 square feet) and even if decks are included in calculations, the total impervious area will be less than the approved plan. Rather than a 3-bedroom septic they are proposing a 4-bedroom septic as approved by the Board of Health entirely in the front of the property where the site was already cleared. The main difference between the two plans is the screen porch is on pillars with grass underneath it; the walls help grading on land and there will now be a vegetated berm so any sediment will flow into the drywell. The building is done, framing is done and decks not yet constructed. The original 4300 square-foot calculation is now 3700 with decks.

B.Salzberg asked if the decrease is because of pervious pavers and Ms. Zukowski confirmed and added that it is also due to the driveway area being smaller. B.Goldsmith mentioned a possible lip on the deck to direct runoff to the underlying infiltration stone layer. Mr. Stevens noted that the trek deck runoff will flow to the gravel underneath with a minimum 6" of stone. B.Howell inquired about the O&M plan with respect to the homeowner and the matter of snow storage. B.Monahan said the Commission can reference and give feedback.

Mr. Stevens noted a small area they would like to irrigate (3500 square feet over the septic field, south facing and not in the 100-foot buffer). He noted the original planting plan has ten replacement trees and there is a need to place nine more. They would like to put trees directly across the street in the open space for the association. B.Monahan recommended Mr. Stevens write it up and the Commission can review that the trees are proposed for planting in a different area (reference to sprinkler vs. riverfront and original decision.) It was noted that the

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 7

Commission should have received notification of plan changes earlier. S.Greenbaum said the P.E. should do a compliance review of the existing conditions. Discussion ensued of performance guarantees, which should be given by the property owner. The original performance guarantee of \$1500 was the responsibility of Mr. Hamlen, and it was noted that the Commission would consider increasing the performance guarantee to \$2000 as a result of failure to advise of changes to the plan.

Motion to issue Amendment with conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0
Motion to issue First Amendment with conditions under the Chapter 194 Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

9. **10:20 pm - Request to use the field at the Lincoln Road Fields Conservation Restriction for an event.**

Courtney Church of Corinthian Events and Sally Spiers of Lovelane were present for the discussion. Ms. Spiers summarized that Lovelane in Lincoln MA has been a non-profit organization for 25 years, providing therapeutic horseback riding for children with special needs. They have twelve horses and they rely heavily on fundraising, events and grants. This is the sixth hoedown event, with the first in Weston on donor property, the second in Wayland at Duck Pond and the last three in Dedham. They do these every other year and it is crucial to the operating budget.

S.Greenbaum noted that the issue is whether their proposed event comes within the scope of the existing Conservation Restriction. B.Monahan reported that Town Counsel contacted the trustee Grantor as the responsible party and the response was tepid. Ms. Church noted that their planning began eleven months ago and that they had received permission at the time from Mr. Farrell. It wasn't until August 8 that Mr. Farrell mentioned Conservation and from there they moved as quickly as possible and contacted B.Monahan's office by phone and followed up via email. B.Monahan noted the stone wall is on private property and should not be moved. B.Goldsmith commented that this is the first issue to arise since issuance of the Conservation Restriction and could be precedent setting moving forward. S.Greenbaum commented that notice to the Commission was not timely and should have provided more information and added that the Commission would like to have the event fit within the Conservation Restriction requirements. Ms. Church acknowledged that she gave 47 rather than 60 days notice.

The Commission reviewed the proposed activities and locations with Ms. Church. B.Monahan asked Ms. Church if they are limited as to how far they can go to the east, the tent area. Ms. Church noted that the event has already been advertised and noted that 50% of the invitees have confirmed their attendance. Ms. Church asked besides the issue of the wall and not intruding wetlands, what else they need to address. B.Monahan noted that peripheral items are not allowed in the wording of the CR; dumpsters, for example, among numerous other things are not allowed. The wording of the CR allows for tents, toilets and some parking. Discussions ensued. B.Goldsmith asked some questions of Ms. Church concerning liquor license, age of attendees, etc.

Approved: September 18, 2014

Page 8

Discussion ensued of a small area on Mr. Farrell's land or across the road that might be used for some activities. S.Greenbaum noted there is a need to get prohibited activities somehow moved to private land because the Conservation Restriction is clear on permitted and non-permitted activity. Ms. Greenbaum added that Town Counsel advised that the Commission could not approve or disapprove the event but it could seek legal action to enforce the CR. B.Monahan noted that the Commission will notify the trustee by letter that the event did not conform to the Conservation Restriction.

10. Other

a. Capital Budget and Capital Planning

The item was briefly reviewed deferred for further discussion at the meeting proposed to be held on September 4, 2014.

b. Annual Report

B. Howell is working on this and will have ready in time for inclusion in Annual Report.

11. Request for Certificate of Compliance [310 CMR 10.05 (9)]

a. 22 Pequot Road; DEP File 322-815 – Tabled until the next meeting to review file.

12. Request for Performance Guarantees or Other 53G Funds

248 Lakeshore Drive, DEP File 322-682 –Tabled until the next meeting to review file.

13. Correspondence/Expenditures

14. Executive Session - Discussion of Pending Litigation re: the Matter of the Landfill Access Road, DEP Office of Appeals and Dispute Resolution Docket No. WET 2014-012."

The item was tabled and deferred to discussion at the meeting proposed to be held on September 4, 2014.

15. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 11:20pm; Seconded 5-0

DATE: August 28, 2014

DATE: August 28, 2014

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

August 8, 2014 to August 28, 2014

August 8, 2014

Notice of ZBA Hearing 8/26 re: 2 Gage Road
Notice of ZBA Continued Hearing 8/26 re: 2 Melville Place
322-802 Inspection Report on 124 Lakeshore Drive from Davey Engineering
322-803 Inspection Report on 122 Lakeshore Drive from Davey Engineering

August 11, 2014

322-804 Inspection Report on 9 Reservoir Road from Schofield Brothers
322-802 Inspection Report (fax) for 124 Lakeshore Drive from Davey Engineering
RDA for terrace/wall/landscape project at 221 Boston Post Road from Schreiber Associates

August 12, 2014

D-844 Notice to start work at 8 Old Farm Road from B.Olshansky

August 13, 2014

D-843 Check for Consulting Fees/Peer Reviews for 8 Hill Street from Brite Excavating Co., Inc.
322-808 Ruling regarding Issue 1 of Appeal from DEP Office of Appeals via M.Lanza

August 14, 2014

322-815 Notice of transfer of ownership at 22 Pequot Road from Nathan Wilson
322-824 Pre-construction compliance conditions submission for 37 Rich Valley Road from E.Thoen
Notification of environmental sample collection at 430 Boston Post Road from ERM

August 15, 2014

322-770 Inspection Report on 10 Covered Bridge Lane from Samiotes Consultants, Inc.
322-822 Inspection Report on 18-20 Covered Bridge Lane from Samiotes Consultants, Inc.

August 18, 2014

322-794 Inspection Report on DPW Facility from Weston & Sampson, Inc.
322-759 Inspection Report on Carriage House at Lee's Farm from EBI Consulting

August 19, 2014

322-795 Update to Building Commissioner on CoC process for 11 Barney Hill Road from Schofield Bros.
322-816 Inspection Report on River Trail Place from Sullivan, Connors

August 20, 2014

322-816 Inspection Report on River Trail Place from Sullivan, Connors
RAM Status Report for 430 Boston Post Road from The Vertex Companies, Inc.

August 21, 2014

322-824 Notice to Start Work at 37 Rich Valley Road from E.Thoen
322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates
322-770 Amendment documents for 10 Covered Bridge Lane from B.Stevens/Samiotes Consultants

TOWN OF WAYLAND MASSACHUSETTS CONSERVATION COMMISSION

August 25, 2014

Notice for preparation of Annual Town Report from M.DiNapoli

SVT Calendar of Events

322-799 Request for CoC for 20 Forty Acres Drive from A.S. Elliott & Associates

August 26, 2014

322-810 Notice to start work and performance guarantee for 119 Dudley Road from C.Pompeo

Letter of Appointment to ConCom to Joanne Bertelsen Barnett from Board of Selectmen

August 27, 2014

322-794 Inspection Reports on DPW Facility from Weston & Sampson

Notice of Intent for demo/construction project at 113 Concord Road from Metrowest Engineering

August 28, 2014

Abutter notification for 9 and 15 Reservoir Road NOI from Schofield Brothers, Inc.

NOI for driveway/water service project at 9 and 15 Reservoir Road from Schofield Brothers, Inc.

LIST OF EXPENDITURES August 8, 2014 to August 28, 2014

| | | |
|----------------|--|-----------------|
| <i>8/13/14</i> | <i>Richey & Clapper (6-pk oil, etc.)</i> | <i>\$ 40.98</i> |
| <i>8/21/14</i> | <i>Forestry Suppliers (case bee spray, safety glasses)</i> | <i>100.54</i> |
| | <i>International Bronze (Ken Moon award plaques)</i> | <i>139.00</i> |
| | <i>Northeast Sanitation</i> | <i>98.44</i> |