

WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes Thursday, January 12, 2012 7:32 – 10:32pm

Location: Senior Center, Town Building, 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

Present: Commissioners: Roger Backman, Markey Burke, Ted Harding, Barbara Howell, Chairman: Andy Irwin, Conservation Administrator: Brian Monahan

Minutes: Andrea Upham

Not present: Larry Kiernan, John Sullivan

A.Irwin opened the meeting at 7:32PM noting a quorum was present.

1. **7:32 pm – Citizens Time** - No comments were offered
2. B.Howell reported on the recent passing of Alexandra Dawson, a tireless conservation advocate and environmentalist. Among her many accomplishments, she drafted Title V, was the President and Board member of MACC; authored the "Environmental Handbook" utilized by so many, was an accomplished lawyer and educator, and she will be greatly missed.
3. **7:35 pm – Continued Public Hearing, Public Hearing, Richard G. Flaherty, III, Applicant, 21 Snake Brook Road, DEP File No. 322-764:** Notice of Intent filed by Richard G. Flaherty, III, pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194. The applicant proposes to abandon a cesspool in the rear yard and construct a new septic system within the outer riparian zone to Snake Brook at 21 Snake Brook Road, Wayland. The proposed work is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 52, Parcel 054.

Scott Goddard, Goddard Consulting, and Richard Flaherty, property owner, were present.

S.Goddard provided a brief review of the plan, which proposes replacement of the existing septic and installation of a new septic, with work within 100 feet of the buffer zone. S.Goddard summarized the issues raised by Commissioners at the December 16, 2011 meeting, which had since been addressed by him. All elevations are now in NGVD, the change was made to erosion control so as to not toe in hay bales, and there is now the creation of a vegetated buffer. Though the site is challenging, S.Goddard reported having found room for a 15-foot vegetated buffer with inkberry and winterberry plants, native species appropriate for the site and the area. A.Irwin commented that the plans seemed to meet the criteria for the buffer and expressed appreciation for the applicant coming back with an updated proposal that addresses vegetated buffer concerns especially in a riverfront area. B.Howell asked about the pool water and what happens to the excess water when the water level needs to be dropped. Mr. Flaherty commented that before winter the water level is lowered about sixteen inches, and it drains back toward the stream. A.Irwin explained that it is necessary to let the water sit for a number of days so there is no remaining residual chlorine issue present before draining. B.Monahan noted that this point can be addressed in the Order of Conditions. R.Backman asked about the edge of lawn, which S.Goddard explained is no longer on the new plan.

Motion to close the hearing under Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

Motion to close the hearing under the Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 2

The Performance Guarantee process was explained by T.Harding to Mr. Flaherty. A performance guarantee will be required for the project (amount to be determined but approximately \$1,200), the money is held in Treasury, and if/when all the conditions have been met, the money is returned to the applicant. T.Harding explained that most cases where a portion or all of the money is forfeited occur when inspection reports are not submitted as required.

4. Compliance Updates

- a. A.Irwin briefly updated the Commission on work at the High school, where a recent site visit showed a breached erosion control line, and there are some missing inspection reports that the engineer thinks were submitted and will be sending along for the file. The Commission is looking to resolve those issues.
- b. A.Irwin reported that correspondence sent out to T.Dreher concerning violations at 55 Knollwood. Mr. Dreher responded that he was planning to move forward once the ground was frozen and a reply was sent back to him reminding him that the removal method had yet to be approved by the Commission.

5. Minutes

Motion to approve the Minutes of December 15, 2011; Seconded 5-0

6. Other

A.Irwin reminded the Commission of the new meeting schedule for 2012 meetings. Brief discussion ensued on recent Selectmen announcement of the potential for remote participation at Board/Commission meetings.

- 7. 7:45 pm – Continued Public Hearing, Eric Knowlton, Applicant, 11 Old Sudbury Road, DEP File No. 322-765:** Notice of Intent filed by Eric Knowlton pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194. The applicant proposes to decommission the existing septic system and install a new septic system in the buffer zone to bank and bordering vegetated wetlands at 11 Old Sudbury Road, Wayland, MA. The proposed work is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 23, Parcel 060.

Scott Goddard of Goddard Consulting and Eric Knowlton, property owner, were present.

S.Goddard reviewed the proposal for a replacement septic at the furthest point on the property that can be identified, just outside of 50 feet of bordering vegetated wetlands as no other site and is compliant. Features were identified for modification at the last Commission meeting. There was no NGVD notation on the plan submitted at the last meeting, which is now there. In addition, hay bales are out of the trench and vegetated buffer. S.Goddard reminded the Commission that this site is very tight and the dwelling is a 100-year-old house. B.Monahan and S.Goddard recently walked the site to see what was practical to address the vegetated buffer and discussed a 5-foot area along the entire edge appropriate for the landscape and the area. Observations on site were activities that took place in the last eight years without the proper Order of Conditions. Those items were discussed and S.Goddard tried to include those as "after-the-fact" as part of this

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 3

project. Those items include a chain link fence (which Mr. Goddard pointed out can be useful in preventing additional encroachment from wetlands), a small hot tub on the grass, a deck in the back of the house and a 4'-high above-ground pool.

A.Irwin pointed out that "after-the-fact" doesn't necessarily mean it is allowed and should remain; the Commission needs to review these alterations made within the inner riparian zone. A.Irwin added that the Bylaw presumption of an intermittent stream has not been overcome, so several areas of concern need review. S.Goddard shared his opinion that the structures that are there would seem to be allowable under the Rivers Protection Act, adding that once Mr. Knowlton learned of issues he is making every effort to right the wrong. T.Harding asked about mitigation plans with respect to the other improvements. Mr. Knowlton explained that he hadn't previously realized the items were under the jurisdiction of Conservation Commission. Discussion ensued about the possibility of issuing non-criminal penalties to the contractors, such as the fencing installer, who should be aware of the rules and should help to inform property owners.

T.Harding explained to Mr. Knowlton that the more mitigation that can be provided on the site, the better, such as infiltration from pool, deck, etc. A.Irwin raised the issue of the fence around the pool, about which Mr. Knowlton mentioned he had spoken with the Building Department. A.Irwin said that it may be advantageous to keep all pending items as one project, and R.Backman stated that this isn't unprecedented. Mr. Goddard stated that there are ways to handle the existing surfaces and also address the failed septic system.

A.Irwin asked for permission to continue the hearing, which Mr. Goddard granted.

Motion to continue the Hearing to February 16, 2012 at 7:35pm under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

Motion to continue the Hearing to February 16, 2012 at 7:35pm under the Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

8. 8:04 pm – Review of Plan – 7 Lingley Lane, Pool; File D-796

Steve Jackson of Jackson Pools was present for the discussion. The Commission reviewed the updated plan, and B.Monahan shared that he had reviewed the updates which he believed covered everything. T.Harding asked about the drain, and Mr. Jackson pointed out the left-hand deep end area and said that all will run toward that. A.Irwin asked for confirmation that they will have a retaining wall, and Mr. Jackson confirmed that they would.

Motion to approve the updated plan; Seconded 5-0

- 9. 8:10 pm – Informal Discussion – Economic Development Committee: Boston Post Road Present were Sam Potter, Becky Stanizzi and other EDC members in audience.** Reviewing site plans, they explained being asked by the Board of Selectmen to look at the entire site. Ms. Stanizzi explained that the Town owns the land, and there is an area of DPW dirt piles on the site along with the Lord Conservation parcel. Ms. Stanizzi pointed out the natural boundary and wetlands area, about a 7-acre parcel. She explained that given the site configuration, there is a high point which allows for a nice view of woods and pastures. There is Route 20 frontage and no commercial/residential abutters. Ms. Stanizzi explained that they had looked at commercial uses for the site but they are hearing a need for senior housing units, and with 100 units, if 25% are

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 4

affordable, then all 100 count, and the State is encouraging rental housing. Senior housing units are smaller, which will enable them to fit more on the site. Ms. Stanizzi further highlighted that senior vs. other housing will result in less traffic on Route 20 and create no issue with more kids in the schools. The Town Planner said that 216 is the number. Some could be non-age restricted. Ms. Stanizzi said the thought process is for a 40B project where we have control, write zoning for it and the developer will be happy with it at the end of the day. They have received good responses. People are excited that it is not income restricted and will allow many people to be able to afford to stay in Wayland. B.Howell raised the issue of walking in the area and how the seniors will be able to do that in this location. Ms. Stanizzi said they will most likely have a shuttle to town center and then be free to walk around when they get there. M.Burke asked if they could look at putting in a walkway on the side of the street.

A.Irwin said there are a lot of wetlands and asked if they had given any thought to the idea of preparing a request for resource area delineation so those areas can already be defined when this is put out to bid, adding that the property and project is one thing, but resource delineation is another critical element. Mr. Potter responded that they are taking this all the way to a level where the buyer could finalize the permit within a matter of months. A.Irwin raised the subject of potential 21E issues, and B.Howell asked if they had spoken to the Scenic River group. Ms. Stanizzi responded that exactly these kinds of suggestions are what they are looking for so they have everyone's input beforehand and are planning all issues into the process. R.Backman asked how the developer would be responsible for prepping and for the tax burden on the Town. B.Stanizzi responded that this is currently a tax burden and added that private developers can do it much more affordably than can two towns.

A.Irwin explained that issues of drainage, runoff, stormwater quality and riverfront will be the Commission's main areas of concern, adding that the wetlands line defines the beginning of the riverfront. Ms. Stanizzi asked if the wetlands had been delineated at all, and A.Irwin said not that side of the river. A.Irwin added that low impact development could be added as a concern for project/development. Ms. Stanizzi reiterated that they want to work together going forward. A.Irwin offered up-front suggestions for consideration to include infiltration, adding stormwater quality into design, soil testing and vegetated buffers around wetlands, adding that wetlands restoration could relate and be a concern in the area. Mr. Potter raised the issue of the Conservation Commission's position of unapproved filling of wetlands requiring restoration. R.Backman asked specifically where they would plan to build on the property, and Ms. Stanizzi said they will work with the topography of the site.

Mr. Potter summarized that the next step is warrant to the spring town meeting looking for funds to conduct due diligence, which will not be insignificant. A.Irwin raised concern for the possibility that there had been filling of wetlands after passage of the WPA and mentioned that the DEP can has tools to analyze historical infrared photos and flag where there has been filling. He suggested that historical aerial photos should be reviewed for evidence of such activity and shared one website historicaerials.com with Mr. Potter as a resource to look into that. A.Irwin added that it's not just about wetland flagging, but the land itself may have issues that need to be addressed outside of your project that should be discussed further before moving ahead. Mr. Potter added that project funding will be 25% from the CPA fund and 75% from the General Fund, adding that the site could be worth \$4-5M with ongoing revenue for the Town with the potential for a big

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 5

return on investment. A. Irwin expressed thanks for allowing the Commission to put ideas into the planning process.

10. Beavers and Breach of Dam at Hayward Brook (end of Lee Road)

B. Monahan reported to the interested members of the audience that the Board of Health issued a 10-day Emergency Permit at the request of the trapper and the Commission will be voting and signing an Emergency Certification tonight to allow the breach of the dam.

Motion to allow breach of dam; Seconded 5-0

Alice McLean asked if any beavers had been trapped, and B. Monahan explained that there was no word yet.

11. 8:15 pm – Informal Presentation – Proposed Conservation Cluster on Plain Road: *Item was withdrawn from this meeting.*

- 12. 8:45 pm – Public Hearing, Rita Schulz, Applicant, 208 Lakeshore Drive, DEP File No. 322-766:** Notice of Intent filed by Rita Schulz pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194. The applicant has filed application deemed necessary by the Conservation Commission to close out the previous Order of Conditions, DEP File 322-394 for work at 208 Lakeshore Drive, Wayland. The proposed work is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 046D, Parcel 021.

Ted Doucette of Samiotes was present for the presentation, along with Elaine Sweeney and Rita Schulz, Realtors/Applicants. Mr. Doucette summarized that at the last meeting where they were seeking a Certificate of Compliance for the property, the submitted As-Built Plan showed differences and raised three issues of concern to the Commission: stairs not shown on the septic design plan, brick patio noted on the original plan as asphalt, and a gravel foot path. The stairs were said to be there prior to the current owner and wood was put on the stairs before permit was issued (1997), two years prior to the current owner. A. Irwin asked Mr. Doucette what the designer was thinking with the grade change on the side of the building. T. Doucette responded that the person who designed the plan is no longer with the firm and had left years ago. Ms. Sweeney added that the seller doesn't know the issue of the stairs. A. Irwin said that the grade lines imply structures would have been removed. T. Doucette said that the impervious pavement shown on the plan was put down as brick pavers; the gravel pathway is bordered with 4x4s (condition #34 states it is allowable), and there are signs of runoff signs so one thought is to encourage infiltration by flattening out loam section. B. Howell asked about brick pavers being noted as impervious. T. Doucette said they are, explaining that they are somewhere between concrete and grass.

Jackson Madnick, property abutter, stated that he had no objections but shared allegations that his next-door neighbor had previously illegally removed seven trees causing damage to Mr. Madnick's house. He explained that a large tree had been removed, and the septic installer had "bashed out" the stump with a one-ton bucket seven feet from the corner of his house, which he claimed had destabilized the hill. A. Irwin responded that the Commission can't comment on those claims but assured him that slope stability will be the Commission's concern on the property. Mr.

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 6

Madnick urged that any construction needs to be looked at carefully and he felt that trees should be replanted for those that were removed. A.Irwin asked B.Monahan if he felt any slopes were unstable at this point. B.Monahan said there were none that he had noticed.

A.Irwin asked T.Doucette about amounts of pervious/impervious surface between the two plans T.Doucette noted a 20% increase of developed surface, which is 159 square feet. A.Irwin began a discussion of how to offset that with infiltration/mitigation to establish equivalency. T.Doucette discussed the curbs that add forced percolation, adding that there was no erosion seen, no silt, all vegetated, no threat to pond. B.Monahan noted that roof drainage was called out in the original order. Ms. Sweeney expressed concern if a decision is not reached tonight based on the time constraints they are facing with the buyer waiting to move forward on the purchase of the property. A.Irwin suggested that they can condition a stone trench to the side of the steps to allow infiltration. B.Monahan discussed removing some bricks and adding stone and noted that east of the retaining wall was said to be generally natural (near concrete stairs that added the wood). T.Doucette cleared up that the 30-foot area is along the pond. B.Howell mentioned there will be a condition stating that the path remains porous, which will be a continuing condition. A.Irwin commented that the 30' no-disturb is on the as-built plan. T.Doucette asked for verification of the size of the trench. A.Irwin said it should be from 6" by 12" wide and that other than the alteration of the lower brick patio, there will be no other alteration or disturbance.

Motion to close the Hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0
Motion to close the Hearing under the Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

13. **9:33 pm – Public Hearing, Margot and Keith Johnson, Applicant, 14 Country Corners Road, DEP File No. 322-7???**: Notice of Intent filed by Margot and Keith Johnson pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194. The applicants have filed an application to remove 19 trees around the existing house with replacement plantings. The site, 14 Country Corners Road, is entirely in the buffer zone and riparian zone. The proposed work is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 044, Parcel 027.

Bob Drake of Drake Associates and Margot Johnson, property owner, were present for the discussion, along with tree contractor Jeff Plant of MacDowell. It was noted by B.Monahan that this project had not yet been assigned a DEP file number so the hearing could not be closed this evening. Mr. Drake explained that the house was built in 1950 with several additions over time. The large trees were left and have grown as the house has grown. A replacement septic system was completed a couple of years ago, removing the septic in the back area, and a Certificate of Compliance was issued before the Johnsons bought the house. There are large trees from 12-36" around that are overhanging the house, along with falling branches, insects and pests, according to the applicant. Dave Pollack at Weston had informed the property owners that due to the steep slopes and size of trees, trimming would be a substantial cost. With that knowledge and problems that exist along with the storms we've been experiencing, the Johnsons requested a proposal to remove the trees and mitigate. The lot is in the riparian zone. Mrs. Johnson noted that the trees are without leaves in spring.

Jeff Plant summarized species/sizes of trees, which he noted as 2/3 ash, a handful of red maples and one white pine. He reported a 2:1 or greater slope on the south side so immediate thoughts

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 7

were to leave stumps, delicately integrate large boulders and pockets of vegetation. He noted there is a lot of natural ivy on ground, and though invasive, it is helping to stabilize the slope. Under the covered porch and deck, they can bring in crushed stone a foot to a foot and a half deep to improve infiltration. R.Backman asked if they had considered replanting the trees with bushes. J.Plant explained that is very difficult given the drop-off, feeling groundcover was the best method. B.Monahan offered to send Mr. Plant a link to a site that focuses on vegetating steep slopes, adding that there is a stream there and this would be changing the ecosystem. Mr. Plant further noted that one tree is on an abutter's property and there is agreement that removal is allowed. Mr. Drake shared that as part of mitigation, the owners would like a concrete paver terraced area with pea stone where there is currently compacted soil. The 4200 square-foot lower lawn area could be brought back to a more natural state and no longer mowed or manicured but naturalized. Mr. Drake shared his feeling that, as a package, the improvements can help to balance the tree removal and small patio area. He shared that it is a difficult site for access, which would come off Brook Trail and come down on the lower level (indicated on the plan). T.Harding inquired about the path between trees shown on the plan. J.Plant explained it as a meandering area which may become a wooded forest floor. A.Irwin explained that the most significant issue is "no significant adverse impact requirements" adding that the goal is to maintain vegetated corridor. J.Plant commented that it is a heavily wooded site and that basically there are more trees than the property has room for. The lot is essentially forested. B.Monahan commented that he will revisit the site to see the number of trees that will remain. A.Irwin commented that the plan doesn't show limit of lawn. J.Plant responded that no lawn is proposed. A.Irwin read an excerpt from the regulations and added that having mitigation with plantings is necessary. What is left on slope is an issue. B.Monahan made suggestions for plantings to provide stability. B.Monahan added the suggestion of leaving snags to create a bird habitat. A.Irwin stated that methods of removal need to be clear for slope stability. J.Plant asked how the Commission felt about silt fence and filtermitt. A.Irwin said they were open to those. B.Drake added that downspout stone cap roof leaders are to be installed.

Issues to review for next Conservation meeting are: (1) any other trees to add to plan (2) alternative slope plantings from B.Monahan discussions (3) deed restriction consideration (4) possible snags (5) assurance that between snags and cuts we have appropriate balance along with proposed planting area with different heights that will fill the area well; (6) limit of lawn must be shown. B.Drake commented that the proposed patio has a generous stone trench envelope for infiltration. A.Irwin asked for continuance to the January 26, 2012 meeting, which Mrs. Johnson granted.

Motion to continue the Hearing to January 26, 2012 at 7:35pm under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

Motion to continue the Hearing to January 26, 2012 at 7:35pm under the Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

14. **9:05 pm – Public Meeting, Wayland Conservation, Applicant, Town-Wide Request for Generic Home Repair (roofing, siding, window replacements), File D-797:** Request for Determination of Applicability filed by the Conservation Commission pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194. The applicants are seeking to issue a general permit for routine house repairs within the buffer zone for sites throughout the Town of Wayland.

Approved: January 26, 2012

Page 8

This issue will be continued to the next meeting on January 26, 2012.

15. Issuance of Order of Conditions/Chapter 194 Permit – 208 Lakeshore Drive

A.Irwin summarized conditions of requiring a stone trench for infiltration on the lower patio level, As-built and removal of materials.

Motion to issue Chapter 194 Permit under the Bylaw; Seconded 5-0

Motion to issue Order of Conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded 5-0

16. Other

- a. Proposed Warrant Articles – 2012 Annual Town Meeting

Motion to submit a warrant article to acquire a parcel or parcels of land in Wayland; Seconded 5-0

Discussion of conversations with Ms. Allen and need for B.Monahan to call to follow up on previous discussions.

- b. 56 Orchard Lane Correspondence (File D-733)
B.Monahan reported that he is still in need of preparing a response to Mr./Mrs. Hill.

17. Request for Certificate of Compliance [310 CMR 10.05 (9)]

- a. 7 Old Vineyard Way and Old Vineyard Way Subdivision: DEP Files 322-755 and 322-500
Applicant requested a continuance in this matter.

18. Land Management

- a. **Farming at Sedge Meadow Conservation Area**
B.Monahan to check on any legal issues before moving forward

19. Correspondence/Expenditures

20. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn at 10:32pm; Seconded 5-0

The next Conservation Commission Meeting is scheduled for Thursday, January 26, 2012 in the Wayland Town Building.

NOTE: Per changes to the Open Meeting Law, notice of any meeting of a public body shall include “A listing of topics that the chair reasonably anticipates will be discussed at the meeting”. AG’s Office guidelines state that the list of topics shall have sufficient specificity to reasonably advise the public of the issue to be discussed. Please list those topics on the above agenda.

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION
December 16, 2011 to January 12, 2012**

December 16, 2011

322-744 Planting Plan for Town Center/Millbrook from EMJ
Building Department notice of change of address – Barney Hill Road (9, 11)

December 19, 2011

Letter to Brendon Homes re: Wayland Commons On-Site Inspection from Sullivan, Connors
FEMA letter to Selectmen re: Discovery Report for Concord River Watershed Risk MAP Project
322-648 Inspection Report on Covered Bridge from Samiotes
Letter from Sparrow Arc Farm in Maine re: leasing land in Wayland for organic farming

December 21, 2011

Letter to Anthony DeLuca from Fred Turkington re: Town Center compliance with permits
Notice of Intent (after-the-fact filing) for 208 Lakeshore Drive from Samiotes

December 22, 2011

322-744 Arborist report for Town Center Millbrook Road area from EMJ
ZBA Notice of continued hearing 12/27/11
Board of Appeals public hearing notice 1/10/12

December 23, 2011

322-665 Inspection Report on 137 Boston Post Road from Hayes Engineering
Proposed Lundy/Plain Road conservation cluster narrative/plans from Keystone Development

December 29, 2011

322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering
322-701 Inspection Reports for onsite and offsite Town Center from RJ O'Connell

January 3, 2012

322-757 Notice to start work and performance guarantee for 101 Boston Post Road

January 4, 2012

Notice of Intent for 23 Sylvan Way septic project from Goddard Consulting
Board of Appeals Decision 11-34/Wayland Swim & Tennis Club
Board of Appeals Decision 11-36/Herb Chambers, 533 Boston Post Road

January 5, 2012

Notice of Intent for 9 Sylvan Way septic project from Goddard Consulting
322-624 Inspection Report #37 on Wayland Commons from Sullivan, Connors
322-648 Inspection Report on Covered Bridge Conservation Cluster from Samiotes

**TOWN OF WAYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

January 6, 2012

Board of Appeals Hearing Notice, 1/24/12

January 9, 2012

322-665 Inspection Report #17 on Wayland Forest from Hayes Engineering
D-760 Inspection Report on 268 Boston Post Road from Vineyard Engineering

January 10, 2012

322-701/744 On-site and Off-site inspections for Town Center from RJ O'Connell

January 11, 2012

Board of Appeals Decisions 11-35 (19 Sherman Bridge Road) and 11-37 (120 Old Connecticut Path)
322-757 Erosion Control report on 101 Boston Post Road from Eycon Construction
322-757 OOC Recording and Inspection Report #1 on 101 Boston Post Road from GPR, Inc.
322-748 Inspection Report #4 on 14 Squirrel Hill Road from GPR, Inc.

January 12, 2012

322-765 Notice of Intent (after-the-fact filing) for 11 Old Sudbury Road from Goddard Consulting
322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering

LIST OF EXPENDITURES

December 16, 2011 to January 12, 2012

December 21, 2011

Invoice: \$1200.00

Lisa Eggleston's Northbridge project review fee (11/1—11/29/11)

December 29, 2011

Invoice: \$310.00

Conservation Trail Markers (quantity: 500)