

# WAYLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes Thursday, August 9, 2012 7:35 – 11:10 PM

**Location:** Senior Center, Town Building, 41 Cochituate Road, Wayland, MA

**Present:** Commissioners: Roger Backman, Markey Burke, Barbara Howell, Larry Kiernan,

**Chairman:** Andy Irwin, **Conservation Administrator:** Brian Monahan

**Minutes:** Andrea Upham

**Not present:** Ted Harding, John Sullivan

A.Irwin opened the meeting at 7:35 PM noting that a quorum was present.

**1. 7:35 pm – Citizens Time**

No comments were offered.

A.Irwin updated Commissioners on a draft of a phase one assessment report that was done on the Economic Development septage facility, which he sent back with comments that it was incomplete and not meeting performance standards.

- 2. 7:35 pm –Continued Public Hearing, Richard & Rita Tse, Applicants, 20 Rich Valley Road, Wayland; DEP File No. 322-781:** Notice of Intent pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by Richard & Rita Tse. The applicant is seeking to replace a cesspool with a Title V compliant septic system at 20 Rich Valley Road, Wayland, MA. The property is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 025 Parcel 005.

**Mark Farrell, Green Hill Engineering, was present for the discussion.** Mr. Farrell reported that regarding the determination of the stream, they have opted not to reclassify at this time and if things change down the road, he will return to the Commission. Regarding tree removal, Mr. Farrell reported they plan to take down two trees and have designated an area to replant twenty 3-4 foot high sweet pepper bushes. If for any reason those are not acceptable, Mr. Farrell will work with the Commission. Lastly, Mr. Farrell noted that Board of Health approval was received – there is no expansion of flow and same number of bedrooms; simply replacing system with Title V compliant system. Mr. Farrell noted the proposed system has slightly raised grading – yard slopes gently down to rear so they will hold level and slope on back side.

**Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act;** **Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to close the hearing under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;** **Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to require performance guarantee of \$1200;** **Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to issue Permit under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;** **Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to issue an Order of Conditions under the Wetlands Protect Act;** **Seconded 5-0**

B.Monahan noted there will be a condition to follow details for sedimentation barriers.

- 3. 7:43 pm – Continued Public Hearing, Michael Staiti, Lingley Lane, LLP, 151 Plain Road, Wayland, DEP File No. 322-780:** Abbreviated Notice of Resource Delineation filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and a Notice of Resource Area Delineation filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 filed by Michael

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 2

Staiti, Lingley Lane, LLP. The applicant is requesting a resource area delineation for 151 Plain Road, Wayland, MA. The property is shown on Wayland's Assessors Map 025 Parcel 090.

**Arthur Allen from Ecotec was present for the discussion.** Mr. Allen reported that following the last hearing there was a third party reviewer and a site walk took place. One flag was eliminated in the line, and two plan revisions show that. Plan Revision dated 8/8/12 shows flag A3 eliminated, flag A2 tied to A4 and shows detailed topography on westerly side. Mr. Allen reviewed the site walk, reporting that they looked at existing perennial stream, walked to north side, walked cart path on east side and looked at non-stream location. Mr. Allen commented that there is an equalization culvert on the northern property line under the cart path but that is not the stream. A.Irwin commented that they had complied with the requirement of rainfall data and that the requirements of non-stream determination were well understood, which was appreciated.

A.Irwin commented for the public that this involves a review of the wetland resource area delineation and there is no particular project in front of the Commission. They are simply establishing boundary lines of the property under Conservation Commission jurisdiction under the Wetlands Protection Act and Wayland's Bylaw so that once the applicant determines what they're going to do, those lines are drawn and understood. The Commission is not yet approving any construction or activity on the property.

Ellen Tohn, 5 Fields Lane, asked if the Bylaw assumes perennial and you have to prove otherwise. A.Irwin confirmed that and commented that while there has been a drought, it hasn't been that far below average. Ms. Tohn asked for the dates of the five-day period, and Mr. Allen confirmed July 6-10.

Warren Ziegler, 157 Plan Road, questioned the relationship between the parties (Mr. Allen and Mr. Fletcher). A.Irwin confirmed it had been communicated at the last meeting that they only taught together in the past, and Mr. Fletcher was there for the BVW and hydric soils – the stream is a matter of the Commission getting info from applicant. Mr. Ziegler asked about the defining of the wetland resource areas. A.Irwin explained there are different ones to consider but in this case it is bank, riverfront, land subject to flooding and bordering vegetated wetlands and buffer zones to resource areas. Mr. Ziegler asked if FEMA is independent of USGS. A.Irwin confirmed, adding that USGS maps topography and other determines floodplain.

A.Irwin confirmed that with resource areas delineated and BVW lines reviewed by the Commission's consultant along with data received on the intermittent stream, there is a persuasive case that the stream reflected on USGS is not perennial.

**Motion that the stream on the north side is not perennial; Seconded 5-0**

Mr. Allen inquired about the stream on the westerly side. A.Irwin commented that we are accepting the stream on the plan; portions of the plan for which we do not have topography, we are not making comment on the presence or absence. R.Backman inquired about the stream on the south side the plan, and A.Irwin confirmed that is also being recognized as being perennial.

Approved: August 30, 2012

Page 3

Resident Ellen Tohn requested to have these pointed out on map, and Mr. Allen showed her and other interested audience members.

<b>Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act;</b>	<b>Seconded 5-0</b>
<b>Motion to close the hearing under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;</b>	<b>Seconded 5-0</b>
<b>Motion to issue ORAD under the Wetlands Protection Act;</b>	<b>Seconded 5-0</b>
<b>Motion to issue a Resource Area Delineation under the Bylaw;</b>	<b>Seconded 5-0</b>

4. **8:05 pm – Discussion with Recreation Commission**

**Bob Virzi of the Recreation Commission was present for the discussion.** A.Irwin commented that the policy of classifying streams has changed – the presumption is that it is perennial unless findings are presented that it is not.

Mr. Virzi reported that it has been a very slow process to get to where they are now but they now have a master field plan – soccer, lacrosse, baseball, softball. The master plan is based on survey of use. Concerning Conservation land, Mr. Virzi expressed wishing to discuss some possible uses of various parcels. For Loker, he felt the best fit and use would be for 60-foot diamonds. Mr. Virzi shared with the Commission that he thought they were getting funding from FinCom but are now going to the CPC to request funding. Assuming they receive funding, they would like to establish someone to work with for the design.

A.Irwin reported having discussed the matter with F.Turkington knowing that Recreation was looking at different sites and communicated it might be most efficient if the Conservation Commission would work with our consultant to get delineation of the resource areas. A.Irwin explained to B.Virzi that as you look at different areas, you need to understand boundary areas critical to Conservation, and he communicated that to F.Turkington over six months ago. If Conservation has our consultant getting the information, then a second review by our consultant is not needed. Mr. Virzi replied that they still don't have funding so it seems as part of the CPC funding, they would ask for a wetland resource area delineation. B.Monahan recommended to Mr. Virzi that they treat the two matters separately. The town is embarking on new mapping within the next three months, but should break apart your ANRAD – first do delineation and then field design. Mr. Virzi inquired about delineation cost, and A.Irwin said approximately \$2500. B.Monahan added that they could get wetlands defined and can get fairly high quality topography plan in six months through the town surveyor. A.Irwin raised the issue of planning space for stormwater management structures; adding impervious surface means runoff will hit stream even faster unless BMPs are used. B.Howell added that with three ponds and a stream, getting delineation first is critical. A.Irwin closed by saying it would help facilitate the process for B.Monahan to ask Peter Fletcher for a specific quotation covering not only the Loker Area but others Recreation is also considering. B.Monahan requested list of parcels from Mr. Virzi.

5. **8:25 pm – Public Hearing, Town of Wayland, Applicant, Old Sudbury Road Sidewalk, DEP File No. 322-787:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by the Town of Wayland. The applicant is proposing to install 750 linear feet of new 6-foot sidewalk and to replace the existing 36-inch culvert on Old Sudbury Road, Wayland. Portions of

Approved: August 30, 2012

Page 4

the work involve work in resource areas regulated both by Chapter 194 and the Wetlands Protection Act.

**Melissa Coady, Brad Mezquita and Joe Tyros of Tighe & Bond were present for the discussion.** Melissa Coady outlined that the proposal is to take the same section of sidewalk along Sudbury Road and the Library, and they are looking to extend it down all the way past the culvert and down to the beginning of the condo complex as the link. She summarized there is an existing 36" CMP pipe and headwalls in disrepair along with two existing catch basins on Old Sudbury -- DPW attempted to flush out existing buried pipe. There will be a concrete sidewalk with 5-foot width and brick banding extending down to the historic line and then regular concrete from that point forward. Ms. Coady explained they will take out 36" culvert and replace with 30" and 18" overflow culvert and replacing headwalls on either side. They are proposing to do all work within ROW. Ms. Coady reviewed proposed mitigation as some detention underneath sidewalk, an overflow pipe – perforated pipe with stone underneath – so whatever infiltration can happen will occur. A.Irwin inquired about headwalls. Mr. Mezquita responded that new structures tie into 30" pipe instead of through headwalls.

R.Backman asked about the difference in height between the two culverts. Mr. Mezquita explained that they ran a hydraulic model for all different storm events; as water gets higher, overflow pipe will be used.

Ms. Coady mentioned overview of wetland resource areas and buffer zone. Peter Fletcher came out and flagged wetland resource areas on north side of road. Plan was shown/reviewed and discussion ensued of flag locations on top of bank on south side. Ms. Coady commented that all work is between two headwalls and mentioned they are replacing but within that footprint and there will be a temporary coffer dam area for work. Mr. Tyros told the Commissioners that the headwalls are on Page C6 of the Plan. The means and method of construction of the coffer dam is up to the contractor with all work done from the road. Ms. Coady commented that they will be required to provide adequate surface water bypass, adding that it is a small area and low flow stream so a couple of sandbags may suffice; they assume the stream is perennial.

A.Irwin raised the issue of the existing slope and asked what will prevent water from sloughing off the slope if the drain pipe is within a depression below the stream bed. Mr. Mezquita responded that it is proposed to lay new pipes at the level of the existing stream to accommodate that, backfilling the existing pipe with stone, probably  $\frac{3}{4}$ " (it was noted that C5 gives section for bedding on bottom right). B.Monahan responded that the Commission would like that information to establish appropriate conditions on the work. A.Irwin asked why the catch basins would only have 3-foot sumps vs. 4-foot sumps. B.Monahan commented they are usually 4 feet; Mr. Mezquita said that's fine.

A.Irwin discussed the project meeting stormwater standards. Mr. Mezquita commented that there is a section in the stormwater handbook directly relating to this project for adding sidewalks and calls out that it would be redevelopment. R.Backman asked about dewatering, and Mr. Mezquita responded that if required, it would be filtered and back on downstream side. A.Irwin noted that the contractor will need to address the permit for dewatering, and the Commission would like to see their plan at a pre-construction meeting.

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 5

L.Kiernan asked for a demonstration of where the sidewalk is meeting near the stone walls, and Mr. Mezquita showed the location on the plan.

A.Irwin noted changing grades in the buffer zone right up to the wetland flags and asked for confirmation of no filling in wetland, and Ms. Coady confirmed. A.Irwin noted temporary alteration of wetland area for 250 square feet aggregate between the two ends, and Ms. Coady said that is correct. A.Irwin raised issue of whether bypass pumping is dewatering, and Ms. Coady responded that if just getting it from upstream to downstream, that's bypass, not dewatering.

Linda Segal, 9 Aqueduct Road, commented on the Wayland Commons project six years ago and said she is glad to see it working out and wondered if it went before the Historic District Commission. Ms. Coady confirmed that it had and that S.Sarkisian sent Certificate of Appropriateness. Ms. Segal recalled neighbors were concerned with the appearance of the culvert not being well maintained. Discussion ensued concerning potential planting approaches for the area.

**Motion to continue the hearing to August 30 at 7:35pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;  
Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to August 30 at 7:35pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;  
Seconded 5-0**

A.Irwin closed with a reminder that there will be a specific dollar amount set for retainage for getting the project done and vegetation stabilized.

6. **9:05 pm – Public Hearing, Mark Fried, Applicant, 3 Water Row, Wayland, DEP File No. 322-786:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by Mark Fried. The applicant is proposing to replace and expand the existing porch. An addition to the dwelling is also proposed. The addition will not contain a basement and will remain open to floodwater. A buffer zone enhancement is also being proposed on the property. The work, within riverfront area, is proposed at 3 Water Row, Wayland shown on Assessor's Map 17, Parcel 10.

**Mark Fried, applicant, and Joey Fonseca with Metrowest Engineering were present for the discussion.** Mr. Fonseca reviewed the site on the plan to the easterly side of water row – 1.88 acres total site with existing dwelling shown; the house sits on a knoll and it is Natural Heritage for protected species lot. Mr. Fonseca summarized that they propose two additions. They plan to expand the existing porch, and the rear foundation will be on piers. A.Irwin commented on the Commission's experience with the CVS project, explaining that all the way around there are openings to allow access to flood storage volume. A.Irwin added that in this case, floodplain does wrap around the building. Mr. Fried commented that he never saw water near there. A.Irwin explained that the point is to design for that condition. Mr. Fonseca asked if that meant to allow access through the foundation wall, and A.Irwin confirmed and stated that if we had one grate in that side (1 foot tall) that could suffice.

Mr. Fonseca reported a total increase of impervious area of 130 square feet, with planning for buffer restoration plan of 300 square feet. The lawn would be stripped, five highbush

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 6

blueberries planted and area to naturalize with spraying of wet meadow mix in that area for buffer zone enhancement. Mr. Fonseca pointed out the construction zone and mentioned using Filtermitt. R.Backman inquired about access and Mr. Fonseca responded that it will be over the gravel drive, outside of septic area and trees. A.Irwin commented that he didn't see limit of lawn on plan; Mr. Fonseca responded that limit is existing fence line. A.Irwin asked why the fence is beyond wetland flags, and Mr. Fried responded that it was put where lawn ended for a dog they had owned and was unintentional. A.Irwin confirmed the Commission doesn't want maintained lawn in wetland resource area. B.Monahan inquired if the fence could be removed and Mr. Fried said it could be. Mr. Fonseca suggested putting in a 3x3 stone marker. A.Irwin noted that work will include removal of the fence with no mechanical equipment in wetlands. Mr. Fried said they don't currently need the fence.

B.Monahan asked if Natural Heritage was contacted, and Mr. Fonseca confirmed that they responded.

**Motion to close the hearing under the Wetlands Protection Act;                      Seconded    5-0**  
**Motion to close the hearing under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;                              Seconded    5-0**

B.Monahan to decide on recommendation for a performance guarantee.

7. **9:25 pm - Public Meeting, Michael W. Evangelista, Applicant, 163 Glezen Lane, File D-805:** Request for Determination of Applicability filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act, G.L. ch. 131 s. 40 and the application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by Michael W. Evangelista. The applicant is proposing to replace an existing 8' x 10' shed with a 12' x 14' shed with 6" of stone put underneath the shed to help with drainage from the shed. The work, in the buffer zone to wetlands, is proposed at 163 Glezen Lane, Wayland and shown on Assessor's Map 11, Parcel 059.

**Michael Evangelista was present for the discussion.** Mr. Evangelista reviewed the project, summarizing that the existing shed is 20-25 years old and needs to be replaced/upgraded. Proposal is to remove the existing 8' x 10' and replace with a 12' x 14' with three feet of clearing, so total of 15' x 17' to allow for drainage. The footprint of the new shed is 40% larger; the vendor is Reeds Ferry and it will be delivered in pieces and constructed within 100-foot buffer but 58-60 feet from wetlands for purposes of lawn equipment storage. B.Monahan asked if the building requires sonar tubes, and Mr. Evangelista said it does not.

**Motion to issue a Negative Determination w/conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act;**  
**Seconded    5-0**

**Motion to issue a Permit under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;                              Seconded    5-0**  
**Motion to require Performance Guarantee of \$350;                                  Seconded    5-0**

8. **9:35 pm – Public Meeting, Hung-Ming Lin, Applicant, 300 Stonebridge Road; File D-807:** Application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by Hung- Ming Lin. The applicant is proposing a 16' x 14' addition to the existing house within 100 feet of the 100-year floodplain. Drainage mitigation is to be provided. The work, in the buffer zone to floodplain, is proposed at 300 Stonebridge Road, Wayland shown on Assessor's Map 41, Parcel 005G.

Approved: August 30, 2012

Page 7

**Hung-Ming Lin was present for the discussion.** The proposed project was summarized as a 16' x 14' addition with the utilization of one tube for drainage system sufficient. A.Irwin commented that the area is buffer zone to floodplain so not altering storage and providing drainage mitigation. B.Monahan recommended performance guarantee of \$500.

**Motion to issue a Permit under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;                      Seconded    5-0**  
**Motion to require Performance Guarantee of \$500;                      Seconded    5-0**

9. **9:40 pm – Public Meeting, Boston Gas Company (dba National Grid), Lake Shore Drive; File D-806:** Request for Determination of Applicability filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and an application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by Boston Gas Company (dba National Grid). The applicant is proposing 160 +/- foot gas line tie in on Lake Shore Drive, Wayland including to 219 Lake Shore Drive. Portions of the work are within the buffer zone of Dudley Pond and is shown (219 Lake Shore) on Assessor's Map 46D, Parcel 033.

**Andrea Kendall, Mason & Associates representing Boston Gas Company, was present for the discussion.** Ms. Kendall reviewed the project area on the south shore of Dudley Pond within Lakeshore Drive on southwestern portion of town. The purpose is to provide gas service to 219 Lakeshore Drive, and the existing gas line on Lakeshore Drive was shown on the plan. They propose to install a 2-inch gas line to tie into the existing line, a portion of which is in the buffer zone. Ms. Kendall reports that the work will take three to four days to complete, explaining that each day they will dig a trench 1-foot wide by 3-feet deep, lay the pipe and then backfill. A.Irwin asked if there would be any tree cutting, and Ms. Kendall confirmed there would not. A.Irwin inquired about grade change, and Ms. Kendal responded that they would be restoring existing grades and that National Grid is doing the work. Discussion ensued about the use of silt socks.

**Motion to issue a Negative Determination w/conditions under the Wetlands Protection Act; Seconded    5-0**

**Motion to issue a Permit under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;                      Seconded    5-0**  
**Motion to require Performance Guarantee of \$350;                      Seconded    5-0**

10. **9:45 p.m. – Public Hearing, James Pierce and Richard Cresswell, Applicants, 88 Dudley Road; DEP File No. 322-???:** Notice of Intent filed pursuant to the Wetlands Protection Act and an application filed pursuant to Wayland's Wetlands and Water Resources Bylaw, Chapter 194 by James Pierce and Richard Cresswell. The applicants are proposing to demolish the existing house and construct guest parking and prepare for future soil absorption system (s.a.s.) at 88 Dudley Road, Wayland. Work is within the buffer zone of Dudley Pond and is shown on Assessor's Map 47A, Parcel 061.

**James Pierce and Richard Cresswell were present for the discussion, along with Robert Drake of Drake Associates, Inc.** B.Monahan noted that the DEP had not yet assigned a file number for the project and therefore no review/comments were available on their site. Mr. Drake summarized that Mr. Pierce and Mr. Cresswell purchased 88 Dudley Road and propose to demolish the existing house, retire the septic system and construct small overflow to their existing parking area at their property with porous block as well as construct a post and rail fence to replace the existing fence in front. Four arborvitae plants will be transplanted to the

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 8

west side of the house. The existing walkway will remain in place. Mr. Drake explained that the block wall is falling down so the plan is to remove the top three or four courses, so it will be lowered but not removed; it was once a retaining wall. There is a large tree that fell down which Mr. Drake confirmed will be left natural. Sedimentation control area was reviewed near the walkway, but Mr. Drake reports no work close to 50 feet of the pond and added that a catch basin on the west will require a silt sack. A.Irwin asked if the concrete foundation will be removed off site, and Mr. Drake confirmed that. A.Irwin asked if the septic would be abandoned in place and backfilled, and Mr. Drake confirmed that as well. Mr. Pierce mentioned that the home had previously utilized oil but now is serviced by gas. A.Irwin noted that if an issue of an oil leak should arise, the Commission needs to be notified.

Mr. Drake confirmed they will be utilizing clean fill, loam and plantings, and a planting plan will be given to B.Monahan. B.Monahan noted that there will be a condition to control that fill must be clean, and Mr. Drake said he could notify B.Monahan in advance for inspection.

A.Irwin noted that the project application noted the intent to prepare for future soil absorption, and Mr. Drake reported that they decided to not address that matter at this time.

A.Irwin noted that the Commission will need to ask for a continuance of the hearing to address any comments that may come from DEP.

**Motion to continue the hearing to August 30 at 7:35pm under the Wetlands Protection Act;  
Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to continue the hearing to August 30 at 7:35pm under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;  
Seconded 5-0**

**11. 10:00 pm - Informal Discussion – 148 Woodridge Road Riverfront Development**

Charles Ming summarized that he had spoken to B.Monahan in the past about wanting to construct an art studio on his property; he is an art teacher at Wellesley College. Mr. Ming handed out a map for the proposed project. The existing garage is proposed to be moved forward and enlarged for the studio. Mr. Ming proposed to add approximately 700 square feet of building minus 350 square feet of driveway removal, so a total of 350 square foot addition. Mr. Ming reported that eventually he would like to connect the two spaces. A.Irwin summarized the project as no alteration of inner riparian and only a portion in buffer zone. B.Monahan noted that the existing garage will be torn down and the new garage and studio constructed. Mr. Ming responded that the new garage is the same size as the old garage. A.Irwin introduced the idea of stacking the two, with the studio above the garage, noting that with limits on development in the space, the Commission can consider the proposal, but it will be productive to be open to design change. Mr. Ming recalled the Board of Health stating there was a limit to how much space he could put over the garage. Mr. Ming also raised the idea of considering a roof garden. A.Irwin stated goals of keeping work as far from the river as possible. B.Monahan closed by saying he can set up a meeting with Building, Board of Health and himself to discuss the project.



**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 9

**12. Compliance Updates/Complaints**

89 Lakeshore Drive

B.Monahan reported that this was a project approved a while ago that languished for a while. About four weeks ago the Town went out and cleaned drainage structures around the house. Conservation received complaints about flooding and sent a violation notice. Debra Wiener was present for the discussion and assured the Commission that she is not ignoring the issue and is serious about it. She reported that the flooding problem existed for 16 years while she was renting there as well, and she has been paying a general contractor, site land individual and engineer to manage. Ms. Wiener said the engineer (Steve Fleming of Vineyard Engineering) came out again and was paid to get the report sent but has not provided the inspection report. B.Monahan explained that once the town cleaned the drainage structures, it was the time to get a report. A.Irwin asked why so much silt is getting to this silt sack. Ms. Wiener explained it is a difficult site and they are trying to stabilize it. A.Irwin asked if there is a written scope of work as to what the engineer is to do, and Ms. Wiener said for most of it there is, adding that she plans to get a landscape architect to hold back the dirt. She reported having invested in a heated driveway, which was very costly. A.Irwin closed by saying that the Commission would assist the property owner by writing to Mr. Fleming and request timely production of the inspection report the Commission has required from the property owner..

**13. Other**

Discussion of activities at 122-124 Lakeshore Drive

**Fred Mannix, property owner, and Steve Poole, Lakeview Engineering, were present for the discussion.** Mr. Poole reviewed that the original plan was to set a crane out on the street but they are now looking to create an access road to allow them to get to the tank. A.Irwin asked about the status of the temporary retaining wall, and Mr. Mannix said he would like to leave that there because the road is sliding. B.Monahan encouraged them to file for the wall to get what they want. Mr. Mannix commented that the main thing is to get the machine down there and get started as soon as possible.

Discussion ensued of temporary vs. permanent wall structure. Mr. Mannix proposed putting in concrete blocks that are movable and then remove them. A.Irwin commented that whatever wall is put in cannot compromise the road and someone will have interest in that. Mr. Mannix showed pictures of log retaining walls. B.Monahan noted the need to quantify trees and sizes. A.Irwin noted that B.Monahan will visit the site and assess tree removal and temporary wall being addressed and how to proceed. Mr. Poole discussed the temporary storage area and A.Irwin noted that it is temporary and will be restored. A.Irwin commented that tree cutting can be covered by the general tree cutting permit and others as an amendment to be filed.

**14. Minutes – July 19, 2012**

**Motion to approve the minutes as amended; Seconded 5-0**

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 10

**15. Request for Certificate of Compliance [310 CMR 10.05 (9)]**

- a. 7 Old Vineyard Way; DEP File No. 322-755

**Motion to issue partial Certificate of Compliance under the Wetlands Protect Act;  
Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to issue partial Certificate of Compliance under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;  
Seconded 5-0**

- b. 15 Morse Road; DEP File No. 322-600

**Motion to issue partial Certificate of Compliance under the Wetlands Protect Act;  
Seconded 5-0**

**Motion to issue partial Certificate of Compliance under the Chapter 194 Bylaw;  
Seconded 5-0**

- c. 15 Sylvan Way; DEP Files 322-576 and 322-659

B.Monahan reported that this item will be on hold until current Permit is delivered and filed with the Registry.

**16. Compliance Updates/Complaints**

- a. Wayland High School; DEP File No. 322-734

B.Monahan cited a breach of the base. A.Irwin noted the need for communication with the Building Department that we're aware of issues in need of corrective action and to note overdue inspection reports. Building should not issue Certificate of Occupancy.

**Motion for B.Monahan to communicate lack of compliance with high school;  
Seconded 5-0**

**17. Citizen Inquiries**

- a. 43/49 High Rock Road – Chapter 193

B.Monahan will be looking into this.

- b. 9 Oak Street

B.Monahan noted complaint that this property is receiving flow from adjacent property owner.

**18. Issuance of Order of Conditions/Chapter 194 Permit**

- a. 300 Stonebridge Road; File D-807
- b. 163 Glezen Lane; File D-805
- c. Lakeshore Drive Gas Line; File D-806
- d. Others

**19. Land Management**

- a. Update on Snakebrook Dam inspection

A.Irwin reported that on Tuesday at 1:30pm the inspection will be taking place. B.Monahan will be there and others are welcome.

**Approved: August 30, 2012**

Page 11

**20. Correspondence/Expenditures**

**21. Request for Return of Performance Guarantee**

- a. 26 White Road; File D-803

**Motion to return performance guarantee; Seconded 5-0**

- b. 32 Hampshire Road; File D-781

B.Monahan commented that he has issued a request to Mr. Gossels for more information.

**22. Adjournment**

**Motion to adjourn at 11:10pm; Seconded 5-0**

The next Conservation Commission Meeting is scheduled for **Thursday, August 30, 2012** in the Wayland Town Building.



**TOWN OF WAYLAND  
MASSACHUSETTS  
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**LIST OF PUBLIC DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
July 20, 2012 to August 9, 2012**

**July 20, 2012**

RDA for proposed shed project at 163 Glezen Lane from Michael Evangelista  
RDA for proposed gas line installation at 219 Lake Shore Drive from  
RDA for proposed addition at 300 Stonebridge Road from Hung-Ming Lin  
322-755 Resubmission of Request for Certificate of Compliance for 7 Old Vineyard Way from GPR, Inc.  
D-798 Edited plans for 55 Rice Road requesting slight movement of structures from builder via MJ DiModica  
322-781 Request for continuance of 20 Rich Valley Road project from Green Hill Engineering  
Sudbury Board of Appeals Hearing Notice

**July 23, 2012**

Notice of Intent for demolition of single-family home at 88 Dudley Road from Drake Associates  
Notice of Intent for Route 27 sidewalk project from Tighe & Bond  
Notification of environmental sample collection at former Raytheon facility from ERM

**July 25, 2012**

322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering  
322-701/744 Town Center Inspection Reports from RJ O'Connell  
D-798 Letter requesting location shift for addition and enlarged driveway at 55 Rice Road from Matt Roman

**July 26, 2012**

322-780 ANRAD plan copies showing topographical survey results from Thomas Land Surveyors

**July 27, 2012**

322-773 Notice to start work and schedule for 14 Squirrel Hill Road well from Brookes & Hill

**July 30, 2012**

322-624 Inspection Report on Wayland Commons from Sullivan, Connors  
RAM Status Report on former Raytheon facility to F.Turkington from Vertex Environmental  
322-665 Inspection Report on 137 Boston Post Road from Hayes Engineering

**August 1, 2012**

D-801 Letter re: vista pruning at 12 Plain Road from Sally Linden  
D-801 Letter and performance guarantee for 12 Plain Road from Sally Linden  
322-745 Request for Certificate of Compliance (incomplete) for 76 Lakeshore Drive from Bruce Saluk

**August 2, 2012**

322-772 Proposed construction control plan for 122-124 Lakeshore Drive from Lakeview Engineering

**August 3, 2012**

322-780 Letter re: Perennial Stream at 151 Plain Road from EcoTec, Inc.

**August 6, 2012**

322-786 Division of Fisheries & Wildlife letter re: construction project at 3 Water Row  
322-771 Recorded CoC for 15 Barney Hill Road from Attorney Himmelberger

**TOWN OF WAYLAND  
MASSACHUSETTS  
CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**August 7, 2012**

Memorandum re: Annual Report 2012 preparation from Selectmen's office

**August 8, 2012**

322-780 Soil Investigations Report for 151 Plain Road from Peter Fletcher

322-624 Inspection Report on Wayland Commons from Sullivan, Connors

322-710 Inspection Report on Fieldstone Estates from H2O Engineering

**August 9, 2012**

D-803 Confirmation of Registry filing and request for Performance Guarantee for 26 White Road from K.Murawski

322-780 Plans with updated flag references for 151 Plain Road from Michael Staiti

322-747 Request for Certificate of Compliance for 102 East Plain Street from Dean Bowman/Anne Beatty

**LIST OF EXPENDITURES – July 20, 2012 to August 9, 2012**

8/2/2012	Country Home Products	\$ 88.96
	Forestry Suppliers	\$180.01
	Richey & Clapper	\$ 21.99
	Richey & Clapper	\$ 9.48
	Sudbury Lumber	\$ 15.16
	Ti-Sales	\$117.32
8/8/12	Onset Computer Corp	\$1824.00 (data loggers and accessories to be paid by DPW)