Town of Wayland Board of Assessors Monday September 23, 2013

Chair S. Rufo called the meeting to order at 7:15pm

In attendance: Chair S. Rufo, Vice-Chair J. Brodie, M. Upton, Z. Ventress, Director E. Brideau and

Administrative Assessor J. Marchant

Public Attendees: David Hill and Kristopher Aleksov were in attendance to participate in the joint meeting with

the Board of Selectmen to interview for the vacant BoA position.

Minutes: J. Brodie moved that the minutes of September 9th be approved as submitted.

Z. Ventress seconded. Vote Unanimous

FY'14 Interim Valuation Update

The board discussed values vs. sale price of properties with "Q factors". Q factor = steep slope on waterfront. Director Brideau answered questions previously submitted to her by board member M. Upton. (See attached)

M. Upton stated that the Q factor should stay the same or go up.

She also stated that split levels with square feet of 2200-2500 or higher are valued lower than what they're selling for.

J. Brodie moved to set the median assessment to sale ratio for all single family homes at 0.95.

M. Upton seconded.

Chair S. Rufo opened discussion for the proposed motion. The board discussed the impact on the town.

J. Brodie and Z. Ventress expressed wanting to keep predictability for the town and not have fluctuation.

M. Upton felt the sale ratio should be raised to 0.96. After further discussion a vote was made.

In favor: J. Brodie, Z. Ventress and S. Rufo

Opposed: M. Upton

The motion passed 3-1.

Director Brideau would like to send pre-impact notices to 44 properties on Lake Cochituate to inform them that their assessed values will be going up due to sales data of properties on the lake. If those property owners haven't had an opportunity to have a data collector in for a cyclical inspection, they will be encouraged to set up an appointment for inspection or discussion with Director Brideau to ensure property card accuracy.

General Impact notices are expected to be mailed out to all property owners around October 16th.

Overlay Forecast – FY'14 & FY'15

Director Brideau and the board reviewed and discussed the working papers for forecasting the FY'15 overlay. The board discussed and made suggestions for overlay amounts.

Given that further analysis of the data is needed, the board did not vote on a specific amount for the FY'15 Overlay, but general discussions did include overlay preliminary amounts of up to \$1.2 million. The final amount of overlay to be recommended by the board will be revisited.

Correspondence and Documents for BOA Signature

Director Brideau recommended two circuit breaker applications for approval. They have been reviewed and meet the criteria. J. Brodie moved to accept the recommendation and approve by signature the two circuit breaker applications. Z. Ventress seconded. The board approved by signature.

Director Brideau recommended for denial one circuit breaker application that didn't meet the criteria. The board denied the circuit breaker by signature.

Topics not reasonably anticipated by the Chair 48 hours in advance of Meeting, if any None.

Thoughts and Concerns from BOA members

M. Upton stated that she is glad the kitchen and bathroom data is being collected.

Open Public Comment

Kristopher Aleksov notified the BoA that he was withdrawing his nomination to fill the vacant BoA position and offered his support of David Hill for the position.

Joint meeting with Board of Selectmen

M. Upton moved to recess to convene in a joint meeting with the Board of Selectmen and then adjourn the BoA meeting immediately following participation in the Board of Selectman's meeting. Z. Ventress seconded. Vote unanimous

The BoA joined the Board of Selectmen in the Selectmen's Room to participate in a joint meeting to interview candidates to fill the vacancy on the BoA created by the resignation of Bruce Cummings.

This candidate will fill the seat until the April 2014 Town Election.

David Hill was interviewed by the BoA and the Selectman and in a joint vote, was appointed to the BoA.

At 8:30 pm, participation in the BoS Meeting concluded and the BoA meeting was adjourned by previous vote.

Respectfully submitted, Jessica Marchant

from: hally U.

For meeting: as of 9.16 in blue

Notes:

SI

Q factor is too low (i.e. generous to taxpayer)

122 lakeshore graded F

124 lakeshore highly unlikely to be an A; more likely an F?

97 Dudley had a .86 asr. This is the only Q sale of home in normal condition and size

208 Lakeshore is TINY 544 sf? altho realtor says 1000. Really small kitchen

Need SI for adjacent to conservation?

9 Heard road SI 5... asr .88

Why are Rice a 5 and Glezen a 6?

Grades/condition

15 Pemberton is built like a rock, and condition is G-VG (I was in it)

Definitely not an A

We need another factor for rebuilt homes;

18 Bayfield is an E and STILL Asr was .88; not in the sales list, but 37 Pequot was extensively Rebuilt/expanded

11 Lake Road Terrace - Not VG (I was in it) it is an A

95 Lake Road Terrace may have been added/rebuilt – suggest grade 5 – if 93 is contemporary, 95 is also Certainly the kitchen was new, high end. (no building permit as I recall)

In this market, condition is paramount because buyers are picky; so perhaps the factor for F – and/or grade 1 and/or subsize – should be different?

F sales – many have other factors....

122 Lakeshore .95 (Q factor, undersized)

63 Moore 1.10 (topo)

24 OCP 1.05 (I couldn't tell if there is a factor for road)

66 Dean 95 (mass pike)

9 Reservoir 1.06

I suspect 124 Lakeshore is also an F (but wasn't in it)

6 cole road really a Good? Its asr 1.12

5 gennaro assess will be \$200k less than selling price? Was it VG or ... E? it was impeccable in photos, with pool as I recall

10 river view circle - condition G? (looks like it from pix) it is an F

AND

142 Glezen is asking \$814 with looks like FLL

Did we get into (old building permits not previously inspected?

37 pequot

The two homes on Pine Road

15 oak

21 Maguire

Styles

Splits esp. in higher si are too low..... they are not splits as on aqueduct.

Perhaps factor in their size? Anything over say 2200 gets a premium?

Capes ???

Contemporaries are low.. avg. 90

A lot of colonials are low below 90.

RANCH: There really should be a distinction between:

Ranches with livable lower levels -- eg 95 Lakeshore

Ranches with basement (the one we gave topography to on Woodridge)

Ranches with SLABS -- can you say NO storage? - and other slab homes as well

Can there be a column/factor for that going forward?

OTHER

1 Joel's Way says conservation restriction. I thought it was proximity to power transmission lines?

Others

I gather these 14 #s are before you've adjusted factors. Still might be worth looking at

? 24 and 48 country corners – inverted?

11 pequot assess went down when sale price much higher

37 and 21 highgate? inverted?

? 225 and 377 commonwealth

10 old farm circle? Assess dropped when sale price much higher

EB responses from 9/09/13 in red, 09/16/13 in green

For meeting: as of 9.16 in blue

Notes:

SI

Q factor is too low (i.e. generous to taxpayer)

122 lakeshore graded F

124 lakeshore highly unlikely to be an A; more likely an F?

97 Dudley had a .86 asr. This is the only Q sale of home in normal condition and size 208 Lakeshore is TINY 544 sf? altho realtor says 1000. Really small kitchen

Q factor would not meet DOR standards if not lowered for FY'14.

Prior to making changes to the model; the median was at 1.06, after changes it is at 0.98.

F and A are condition factors not grades. The above referenced properties did not respond to multiple requests for access into the property, therefore, the condition of the dwellings was not changed.

208 Lakeshore has 544 sfla, but has FBM area that provides access to the Pond.

The building curve will be revisited in FY15 the certification year.

Need SI for adjacent to conservation?

9 Heard road SI 5... asr .88

Why are Rice a 5 and Glezen a 6?

Currently we do not have any properties with a positive adjustment for being adjacent to conservation – to apply this type of adjustment more than 1 sale would be necessary for support.

The site index (SI) of Rice Rd and Glezen Ln established based on sales during the last certification year. This is an interim year and we typically do not make big changes to neighborhoods in an interim year.

Both of the streets have a mix of properties that warranted different SI's.

Grades/condition

15 Pemberton is built like a rock, and condition is G-VG (I was in it)

Definitely not an A

In reviewing 15 Pemberton, the Grade should be a 7 when reviewed to comparable properties. ASR is now 0.93

The A is condition factor based on the age of the dwelling – the depreciation tables are the same for each condition factor until the dwelling becomes older.

When a new home is constructed we establish the initial condition factor at A – average for the year built. This eliminates the need to annually monitor individual properties.

We need another factor for rebuilt homes;

- 18 Bayfield is an E and STILL Asr was .88; not in the sales list, but 37 Pequot was extensively Rebuilt/expanded
- 18 Bayfield was a complete gut and remodel and the depreciation on the dwelling reflects that.

```
11 Lake Road Terrace – Not VG (I was in it) it is an A
```

We were refused entry, based on sale price and exterior review condition was changed.

95 Lake Road Terrace may have been added/rebuilt – suggest grade 5 – if 93 is contemporary, 95 is also Certainly the kitchen was new, high end. (no building permit as I recall)

Staff review in comparison to other dwelling = grade 4 and a ranch.

This property was inspected by staff, the condition is excellent and the property record card notes that the kitchen was a custom remodel.

In this market, condition is paramount because buyers are picky; so perhaps the factor for F – and/or grade 1 and/or subsize -- should be different?

We typically do not make adjustments to the depreciation tables in an Interim year.

Adjusting the grade 1 factor does bring the Median to a 1.0 – something for the BoA to consider.

F sales – many have other factors....

```
122 Lakeshore .95 (Q factor, undersized)
63 Moore 1.10 (topo)
24 OCP 1.05 (I couldn't tell if there is a factor for road)
66 Dean 95 (mass pike)
9 Reservoir 1.06
```

I suspect 124 Lakeshore is also an F (but wasn't in it)

Not sure if there is a specific question here.

Did we get into (old building permits not previously inspected?—

```
37 pequot – No
```

The two homes on Pine Road - 1 Yes and 1 No

15 oak - Yes

21 Maguire - No

Styles

Splits esp. in higher si are too low..... they are not splits as on aqueduct.

Perhaps factor in their size? Anything over say 2200 gets a premium?

The grade of the dwelling and the building curve is already applied to account for difference in quality of construction.

Capes ??? Median is .95

Contemporaries are low.. avg. 90 Median is .94

A lot of colonials are low below 90. Median is .94

RANCH: There really should be a distinction between:

Ranches with livable lower levels -- eg 95 Lakeshore

Ranches with basement (the one we gave topography to on Woodridge)

Ranches with SLABS -- can you say NO storage? - and other slab homes as well

Can there be a column/factor for that going forward?

This is addressed in the Grade. This is reflected in the sketch area (showing no basement) but I cannot create a report for it.

OTHER

1 Joel's Way says conservation restriction. I thought it was proximity to power transmission lines?

1 Joel's Way does not abut the power lines.

Others

I gather these 14 #s are before you've adjusted factors. Still might be worth looking at

? 24 and 48 country corners – inverted?

11 pequot assess went down when sale price much higher

37 and 21 highgate? inverted?

? 225 and 377 commonwealth

10 old farm circle? Assess dropped when sale price much higher

6 cole road really a Good? Its asr 1.12

5 gennaro assess will be \$200k less than selling price? Was it VG or $\dots E$? it was impeccable in photos, with pool as I recall

The condition rating appears correct based on a review to comparable properties, however, based on the discussion at the BoA meeting the street is being reviewed.

10 river view circle – condition G? (looks like it from pix) it is an F

It was built in 1997 —everything is original. Changing the condition factor to G only increases it by 1% and would not follow the model.

The condition factor is at A.

AND

142 Glezen is asking \$814 with looks like FLL

We have been monitoring this one, it's already under agreement.

doc given by molly

for ellen															-		+	-	-		F	L	
													-						ا د	FY'14		3	
											Total	Act.		ă	Dep			Total		Proposed Total		FY'13 Total	~
8870							Land				Assessed			<u>.</u>				Assı		Assessed		Assessed	pes
Map	Ę	No.	Street Name	Use Code	Sale Date	Price	Area in Acres	Sit Idx	Cudtu Fact	Notes	Land Value	Style	GR AYB	EYB e	P 80	82	HB	Improv SFLA ments	ē)	Parcel Value	ASR	Parcel	-
														T						T) 	
cannot find	122 lakesh	ore. It w	as sold from s.	me seller	cannot find 122 lakeshore. It was sold from same seller to same buyer.	No deed per se in massland.	e in massla	Jud.							-				-				
46D	21	208 L	208 LAKESHORE D	1013	1/31/2012	240,000	0.15 Q	ď		1000 sf, 1 "as is"	212.000	Bungalow	1 1930	1972 A ?	<u> </u>	7		544	44 200 2	256 200	107	-	
468	32		124 LAKESHORE D	1013		125,000	0.03 Q	۵	0.65	ACCESS UNDERSIZ 5 ED	93,200	Bungalow		1952		<u> </u>				118.600	26.0	282	181 200
										fin lower level????													
47A	81	97	DUDLEY RD	1013	8/10/2012	380,000	0.27 Q	٥		1123 sf	243,200	Bungalow	3 1918	1982 G	2	F	-	906	83,900 3	327,100	98.0	346,	346,200
42D	103	62	SYCAMORE RE	1010	8/10/2012	197,500	0.16	5	1	985 fin basemnt	187,600	187,600 Bungalow	1 1920	1972 A	1	1		693	38,900 2	226,500	1.15	226,	226,500
																						-	
42D	46		32 PINERIDGE RD	1010	10/5/2012	332,000	0.34	5	-		221,900	Bungalow	3 1934	1982 G	7	F	1	1064 8	82,400 3	304,300	0.92	310,100	100
															-								
10	2	63	63 MOORE RD	1010	12/7/2012	390,000	1.30	9		TOPOGRA 0.95 PHY	326,700	Cape Cod	3 1953	1957 F	4	7		1432 10.	102,200 4	428,900	1.10	428,	428,900
30	61	240	OLD CONNECT	1010	6/29/2012	207,000	1.41	7	1		380,200	Convention	3 1890	1952 F	5	2		2291 15;	152,500 5	532,700	1.05	532,700	700
. 25	84	0 99	DEAN RD	1010	4/18/2012	322,000	09:0	Ŋ	0.8	MASS PIKE INFLUENC ED	213,100	Ranch	3 1958	1967 F	m	1		1724 9.	92,400	305,500	0.95	301,300	300
4	112	9 8	9 RESERVOIR RC	1010	5/31/2012	500,000	3.68	7	+		422,500	Split-Level	3 1960	1967 F	4	F	н	1264 109	105,000 5.	527,500	1.06	524,200	200
												1		1 1	\Box			1 1	\vdash				
SIA	\$	7 8	BENI AVE	1010	8/53/5012	435,000	0.42	2			741,800	Kaised Kar		1	4		-	- 1	-	410,200	0.94	405,100	8
88	135	34	BROOKS RD	1010	6/26/2012	525,000	0.51	9	-		282,400	Raised Rar	3 1961	1987 A	E	п		1480 163	161,900 4	444,300	0.85	433,500	200
										ffn. _								I					
47C	36	10	10 PINE NEEDLE I	1010	8/27/2012	487,500	0.46	S.	1	1 Basement	251,800 Raised Rar	Raised Rar	3 1964	1987 A	m	2	-	1346 143	143,700	395,500	0.81	396,700	8
48	63)1 06	90 LOKER ST	1010	1/17/2012	677,000	69.0	9	1	5 bdrm, shop, pot au pair, 1 aquad	304,300 Raised Ran	laised Rar	4 1964	1994 G?	4	Э		2180 255	255,700 56	260,000	0.83	558,100	90

SPRUCE TREE 1010 6/15/2012 839,000 0.96 6 1 tennis 325,400 Split-Leve 5 1967 2004 VG 4 3 1 2570 403,400 728,800 0.87 628,200 SQUIRREL HILL 1010 11/26/2012 1,025,000 1.46 8 1 3438 413,100 Split-Leve 5 1960 2004 VG 4 3 1 3150 433,400 846,500 0.83 806,900 ars that dwellings below 1000 sf should be valued less If one takes into consideration the two above factors, the Q factor should be increased on Dudley, not reduced 1 3 1 3150 433,400 846,500 0.83 806,900
Split-Leve 5 1960 2004 VG 4 3 1 3150 433,400 846,500 0.83
e Q factor should be increased on Dudley, not reduced
2 (factor should be increased on Dudley, not reduced
e. Q factor should be increased on Dudley, not reduced

Summary by Land Use WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.93	0.95	1.06	0.93	86.0	0.94
COD	6.53%	2.66%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	0.94	0.95	1.06	0.93	86.0	0.94
Median Appraised	535,250	441,550	380,300	522,600	334,800	516,100
Median SalePrice	594,675	463,750	360,000	562,500	342,500	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.94	96:0	1.06	0.93	86.0	0.94
Mean Appraised	643,135	478,829	380,300	522,600	334,800	600,028
Mean Sale Price		501,680	360,000	562,500	342,500	639,756
Count	148	48	1	1	1	
as	SINGLE FAMILY	CONDO	TWO FAMILY	THREE FAM	MULTI HSES	
Land Use Code	101	102	104	105	109	

Summary by Style WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.93	96.0	0.95	0.93	0.91	1.06	0.93	96.0	0.95	0.94
COD	5.16%	6.29%	5.50%	7.17%	8.16%	9.42%	7.64%	6.19%	0.00%	0.00%	1.95%	6.29%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.09	90.0	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.04
Median A/S Ratio	0.95	0.93	0.95	0.93	86.0	0.92	0.90	0.91	1.06	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.94
Median Appraised	377,500	600,400	783,200	469,100	301,700	413,300	691,200	488,900	380,300	522,600	441,100	442,300	516,100
Median SalePrice	402,750	625,000	849,000	488,000	332,000	435,000	745,000	527,000	360,000	562,500	450,000	501,000	256,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.93	86.0	0.95	0.93	0.91	1.06	0.93	96.0	96.0	0.94
Mean Appraised	412,855	579,962	851,580	492,575	286,200	439,356	818,125	520,636	380,300	522,600	450,857	483,605	600,028
Mean Sale Price	452,571	628,712	904,787	528,818	298,400	461,017	881,375	574,318	360,000	562,500	471,561	506,822	639,756
Count	20	13	- 29	24	5	6	8	11	1	1	7	41	
*	Ranch	Split-Level	Colonial	Cape Cod	Bungalow	Conventional	Contemporary	Raised Ranch	Two Family	Three Family	Townhouse-Avg	Condominium	
Style	01	05	03	04	05	90	07	80	60	10	45	55	

Summary by Actual Year Built WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	96.0	0.94	0.91	0.94	0.94	0.89	0.95	0.92	0.97	0.95	0.94
COD	6.42%	9.56%	6.59%	7.94%	5.75%	6.83%	6.23%	7.29%	6.36%	3.65%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	90.0	0.02	0.04
Median A/S Ratio	0.95	0.95	0.91	06.0	0.94	06:0	0.95	0.91	0.97	0.95	0.94
Median Appraised	527,650	360,500	344,700	470,200	460,900	633,750	417,400	508,600	806,400	681,050	516,100
Median SalePrice	534,750	387,500	400,000	495,000	489,900	905'699	450,000	531,750	781,500	684,900	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.95	96.0	0.92	0.95	0.95	06.0	0.95	0.93	0.97	0.95	0.94
Mean Appraised	648,890	377,800	372,400	458,186	501,862	637,318	505,052	603,705	756,867	789,856	600,028
Mean Sale Price	678,515	399,958	407,535	487,286	534,458	714,375	531,416	655,660	780,583	830,340	639,756
Count	10	12	7	7	37	28	25	61	18	36	
AYBGroup	0-1900	1900-1930	1930-1940	1940-1950	1950-1960	1960-1970	1970-1980	0661-0861	1990-2000	2000-2013	

Summary by Site Index WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.97	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.97	0.94	0.84	0.93	0.93	0.94
QOO	2.85%	7.00%	6.62%	6.80%	4.11%	7.18%	9.89%	0.00%	10.00%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.07	0.05	90.0	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.0	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	96:0	0.92	0.94	0.91	86.0	96.0	16.0	0.93	0.95	0.94
Median Appraised	587,700	498,750	410,200	586,400	773,450	1,026,500	957,500	370,600	287,100	516,100
Median SalePrice	606,600	518,500	439,000	638,666	822,500	1,140,000	1,200,000	400,000	310,000	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.97	0.93	0.94	16.0	0.97	0.94	0.84	0.93	0.95	0.94
Mean Appraised	589,688	488,561	440,917	698,827	868,985	1,028,178	1,044,833	370,600	287,100	600,028
Mean Sale Price		526,828	473,015	770,122	895,123	1,098,000	1,244,000	400,000	310,000	639,756
Count	19	18	87	26	34	6	3	1	2	
ĕ		:								
Site Index		4	5	9	7	8	L	Р	0	

Summary by Sale Price Quartile WAYLAND, MA

		r ^e	ı	1	ı
Weighted Average	0.97	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94
COD	7.38%	6.27%	5.45%	5.95%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	90.0	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	96.0	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.94
Median Appraised	357,000	445,200	597,000	942,600	516,100
Median SalePrice	369,000	481,000	631,500	985,000	256,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.98	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94
Mean Appraised	348,762	453,840	586,386	999,814	600,028
Mean Count Sale Price	47 358,563	487,888	50 641,921	49 1,071,529	639,756
Count	47	53	20	49	
	ø				
Sale Price Quartile	1	2	3	4	

Summary by Lot Size WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	96.0	0.94	0.87	0.91	0.92	0.95	1.10	1.05	0.94
COD	2.60%	7.71%	6.05%	5.13%	7.09%	4.46%	3.21%	0.00%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.04
Median A/S Ratio	0.95	0.94	98.0	0.91	0.92	96.0	1.09	1.05	0.94
Median Appraised	441,100	325,700	416,850	409,000	533,000	766,250	754,800	1,030,300	516,100
Median SalePrice	462,500	347,250	469,275	453,000	616,500	815,000	687,500	985,000	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	96.0	96.0	0.88	0.92	0.93	0.95	1.09	1.05	0.94
Mean Appraised	474,540	321,958	433,500	434,322	644,054	848,686	754,800	1,030,300	600,028
Mean Sale Price	495,652	341,708	498,255	475,108	698,289	896,610	687,500	985,000	639,756
Count	47	12	01	27	20	20	2	1	
Land Area	00.00-0.1 AC	00.10-0.25 AC	00.25-0.33 AC	00.33-0.5 AC	00.50-1 AC	01.00-3 AC	03.00-5 AC	05.00-10 AC	

Summary by Building Size WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	1.07	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.92	0.94	96.0	0.94	0.94
COD	3.70%	4.21%	9.59%	5.27%	5.41%	5.91%	5.90%	4.95%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	1.08	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.94	96.0	96:0	0.94
Median Appraised	235,000	314,550	377,000	442,300	582,800	009,689	874,300	1,098,000	516,100
Median SalePrice	218,750	343,750	424,000	470,000	006,609	745,000	000,006	1,250,000	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	1.08	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.95	96.0	0.94	0.94
Mean Appraised	235,000	315,683	383,158	455,589	569,652	705,197	873,533	1,208,230	600,028
Mean Sale Price	218,750	340,708	411,040	485,683	621,931	748,511	910,279	1,285,115	639,756
Count	2	12	38	47	29	36	15	20	
Building Size	200 - 1000	1000 - 1500	1500 - 2000	2000 - 2500	2500 - 3000	3000 - 4000	4000 - 5000	2000 - 10000	

Summary by Assessing Nbhd WAYLAND, MA

Assessing Nbhd	Count	Mean Sale Price	Mean Appraised	Mean A/S Ratio	Median SalePrice	Median Appraised	Median A/S Ratio	Median Abs Disp	COD	Weighted Average
10001	199	639,756 639,756	600,028	0.94	556,500 556,500	516,100 516,100	0.94	0.05	0.05 6.36% 0.05 6.36%	0.94

Summary by Sale Date WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.94
COD	5.51%	2.66%	6.83%	7.09%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.04		0.05	ł	ı
Median A/S Ratio	96.0	0.95	0.93	0.92	0.94
Median Appraised	545,750	517,500	511,800	451,100	516,100
Median SalePrice	586,450	559,000	555,000	531,750	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	96.0	0.95	0.93	0.94	0.94
Mean Appraised	625,424	572,346	623,505	571,120	600,028
Mean Sale Price	659,131	605,971	672,570	608,134	639,756
Count	34	<i>L</i> 9	73	25	
Sale Date Quarter	2012, Q 1	2012, Q 2	2012, Q 3	2012, Q 4	

Summary by Condo Complex WAYLAND, MA

Condo Complex		Count	Mean Sale Price	Mean Appraised	Mean A/S Ratio	Median SalePrice	Median Appraised	Median A/S Ratio	Median Abs Disp	COD	Weighted Average
		151	683,648	638,554	0.94	589,000	528,200	0.94	0.05	6.52%	0.93
05	TURKEY HILL	7	388,343	354,471	0.92	410,000	351,500	0.94	0.04	5.93%	16:0
90	STONEBRIDGE	9	384,258	379,850	1.00	409,275	384,300	86.0	0.07	8.50%	0.99
07	HILLSIDE	10	467,675	438,130	0.95	508,000	447,750	0.93	0.03	8.60%	0.94
10	WILLOWBROOK	-	580,000	544,800	0.94	580,000	544,800	0.94	0.00	0.00%	0.94
	THE MEADOWS	-	290,000	605,700	1.03	290,000	605,700	1.03	0.00	0.00%	1.03
12	6 GREENWAY	3	280,000	269,167	96.0	280,000	268,600	0.94	0.01	3.19%	96.0
13	GREEN WAY	1	785,000	680,400	0.87	785,000	680,400	0.87	0.00	0.00%	0.87
14	FLD MAINSTON	2	985,000	939,900	0.95	985,000	939,900	0.95	0.01	1.58%	0.95
20	WAYLND GARD	9	449,821	428,400	0.95	447,500	431,150	0.94	0.01	1.95%	0.95
22	WAYLAND COM	10	631,400	616,790	86.0	006,609	594,800	0.97	0.02	3.40%	86.0
24	SAGE HILL	-	602,000	585,600	0.97	602,000	585,600	0.97	0.00	0.00%	0.97
			639,756	600,028	0.94	556,500	516,100	0.94	0.04	6.36%	0.94

Summary by Sub Assessing Nbhd WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.94	0.94
COD	6.36%	0.05 6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	0.94	0.94
Median Appraised	516,100	516,100
Median SalePrice	556,500	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.94	0.94
Mean Appraised	600,028	600,028
Mean Sale Price	639,756	639,756
Count	199	
Assessing Sub Nbhd	A	

Summary by Land Neighborhood WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.94	0.94
COD	6.36%	0.05 6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	0.94	0.94
Median Appraised	516,100	516,100
Median SalePrice	556,500	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.94	0.94
Mean Appraised	600,028	600,028
Mean Sale Price	199 639,756	639,756
Count	199	
Land NBHD	5	

Summary by Gis Region WAYLAND, MA

	- ,
Weighted Average	0.94
COD	0.05 6.36% 0.05 6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	0.94
Median Appraised	516,100
Median SalePrice	556,500 556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.94
Mean Appraised	600,028
Mean Sale Price	639,756 639,756
Count	199
GIS Region	\$7

Summary by Sale Price Half WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	0.95	0.93	0.94
COD	7.01%	5.70%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.05	0.04	0.05
Median A/S Ratio	0.94	0.94	0.94
Median Appraised	409,250	705,700	516,100
Median SalePrice	435,000	750,000	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.95	0.93	0.94
Mean Appraised	404,453	797,578	600,028
Mean Count Sale Price	100 427,105	854,555	639,756
Count	100	66	
Sale Price Half	1	2	

Summary by Sale Date Half WAYLAND, MA

0	Count	Mean Sale Price	Mean Appraised	Mean A/S Ratio	Median	Median Appraised	Median A/S Ratio	Median Abs Disp	COD	Weighted Average
	IOI	101 623,86/	590,214	0.95	562,500	518,800	0.95	0.04	5.65%	0.95
	86	656,133	610,142	0.93	552,500	508,200	0.93	0.05	%68.9	0.93
		639,756	600,028	0.94	556,500	516,100	0.94	0.05	6.36%	0.94

Summary by Residential Grade WAYLAND, MA

Weighted Average	96.0	1.07	86.0	0.93	0.91	0.92	96.0	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.94
COD	3.18%	3.70%	0.00%	6.82%	6.54%	5.88%	7.02%	4.21%	3.99%	1.03%	6.36%
Median Abs Disp	0.02	40.0	0.00	0.05	90.0	0.04	90.0	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.04
Median A/S Ratio	96.0	1.08	86.0	0.93	0.93	0.94	96.0	0.95	0.92	76.0	0.94
Median Appraised	587,700	235,000	334,800	397,400	509,400	714,700	616,650	1,085,700	1,368,500	1,751,400	516,100
Median SalePrice	608,250	218,750	342,500	435,000	549,250	760,000	602,500	1,217,500	1,420,000	1,800,000	556,500
Mean A/S Ratio	0.96	1.08	86.0	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.94
Mean Appraised	594,205	235,000	334,800	411,349	517,942	716,347	662,214	1,163,000	1,332,033	1,776,733	600,028
Mean Sale Price	618,496	218,750	342,500	442,100		780,264	691,645	1,235,000	1,405,000	1,835,000	639,756
Count	20	2	1	53	48	16	42	8	3	3	
Residential Grade	*	1 GRADE_1	2 GRADE_2	3 GRADE_3	4 GRADE_4	5 GRADE_5	6 GRADE_6	7 GRADE_7	8 GRADE_8	9 GRADE_9	

WORKING PAPERS

STEPS TO DETERMINE FY'15 OVERLAY FORECAST (see note 9)

				_					_		_		_		_		_					_				_	_
FY15 final forecast by Assessors																					のできる。				15,000		
FY15 tentative forecast by Assessors																					松を飲みるので						$\bigg]$
FY15 preiminary forecast by Assessors																		559					生 ないないない				
ave of last 3			020	0,000	163,133	104,300	58,833	253,954				467,158		68,704	129,484			198,187		0							
\$ in FY13	973,215		000000	Distre	36,500	15,000	21,500	663,184				733,060		55,910	111,055	119		166,965									
\$ in FY12	1,206,447		227.70	Š	000'09	29,000	1,000	78,627				222,984		70,882	140,663	150		211,544									
\$ in FY11	1,676,988		979 678	2070	392,900	238,900	154,000	19,851				445,430		79,319	136,733	147		216,052		0							
ave of 3 "reval" years			244.404	f	249,314	191,414	92,900	26,865				490,280		79,828	100,564			180,391		•						Ī	اً
\$ in FY12	1,206,447		04 467		000'09	29,000	1,000	78,827				222,984		70,882	140,683			211,545							1		
\$ in FY09	1,039,311		977 766		365,600	200,400	165,200	1,768				704,514		85,539	90,871			176,410		•			ote				
\$ in FY06	387,629		221 000	2001	322,343	314,843	7,500	0				543,343		83,062	70,157			153,220		0			Set by BOA vote				
	Initial Allowance for Overlay	Abatements	2 Abstements cranted		3. Total Abatement-ATB initial liability (note 1)	3a. Abatement-ATB initial liability (w/o Telecom)	3b. Abatement-ATB initial liability (Telecom only)	4. Abatement-other liability (note 2)		5. Abatement-other (note 3)		subtotal-abatements		Exemptions-statutory	Exemptions-CB	8a. number of CB applications (note 4)		subtotal-exemptions		10. Certain taxes (note 5)	11. Preliminary FY'15 OVERLAY Forecast (note 6)		12. Tentative FY15 OVERLAY Forecast (note 6)	١.	13. Tax Rate Rounding (not to exceed) (note 7)	X 10120	14. Final FY 15 OVEKLAY Forecast
line #		=	r	T	3.	За.	3p.	4	Г	5.	Γ	9		7.	œ	8a.	П	6	1	6	Ξ		12.		티크	Ī	4

* avg w/o Telecom

notes:

1. assume greatest difference between applicable assessment and taxpayer's estimated value noted on abatement form or for ATB or other documentation

"uncollected taxes" (real and personal property) excluding those secured by tax title.
 Review to IGR - 11-101
 3. any significant assessment factor known to the Assessors

4. Property Owners can apply for FY2013 CB match until 12/31/13

5. Certain taxes that are budgeted elsewhere.

6. Line 6 plus line 9 - voted on

7, Include sufficient funds to allow rounding of tax rate (per \$1,000) to whole penny This requirement driven by DOR software used in "recap" preparation.

8. All data as of 09/09/13

9. Forecast for FY15 budgeting purposes only

WORKING PAPERS



Town of Wayland

41 COCHITUATE ROAD **WAYLAND MASSACHUSETTS 01778**

www.wayland.ma.us TEL. 508-358-3788

OFFICE STAFF Ellen M. Brideau, MAA Director of Assessing Denise Ellis, Assistant Assessor Jessica Marchant, Administrative Assessor Savitri Ramgoolam, Department Assistant

BOARD OF ASSESSORS Susan M. Rufo, Chair Jayson Brodie, Vice Chair Molly Upton Zachariah L. Ventress

MEMO

TO:

BOARD OF ASSESSORS

FROM:

ELLEN BRIDEAU, DIRECTOR OF ASSESSING

SUBJECT: FY 2013 CIRCUIT BREAKER APPLICATIONS

DATE:

9/23/2013

I have reviewed the following two circuit breaker applications and recommend Board approval for the Town Match.

Map/Lot	Name	Address	Amount
24-027	Millerd/Stewart & Christina	6 Melody Ln	563.92
37-022	Marshall/ David & Marianne	28 Meadow View Rd	672.00

Human M. Rufo 9/23/2013
Mary Unton
Jospon St Broke
Talant Status