



CITY OF TORRINGTON
Addendum # 1

ADDENDUM ONE HAS BEEN ISSUED REGARDING ANSWERS FOR SUBMITTED QUESTIONS TO THE DESIGN CONSULTANT FOR TORRINGTON HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES RENOVATION PROJECT

RFQ #DAF-295-111413 DESIGN CONSULTANT FOR TORRINGTON HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC FACILITIES RENOVATION PROJECT

Date of bid opening: November 14, 2013 **Time:** 11:00 AM **Location:** City Hall, 140 Main St, Room 206, Torrington

MUST SUBMIT SIGNED ADDENDUM WITH SUBMITTED BID.

The City of Torrington reserves the right to accept or reject any or all bids or any portion thereof, to waive technicalities, and to award the contract as will best serve the public interest.

Dated in Torrington: November 8, 2013

Purchasing Agent _____
Pennie Zucco

Bid Submitted By: _____

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Date _____

Title _____

SUBMITTED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS 11/8/13:

- 1) **Q)** Can you clarify the requirement of experience outside of CT being limited to the Berkshire County School?
A) The Committee picked a Berkshire County School because it's close to Torrington and climate conditions.
- 2) **Q)** Has a soil scientist already reviewed the site to confirm the presence of wetlands or associated regulated areas located within the project site?
A) The site has not been reviewed by a soil scientist. The City Inland Wetlands Official has indicated that the project is not within a regulated area and a local inland/wetland permit will not be required.
- 3) **Q)** Is local planning and zoning review anticipated to be required for this project?
A) Yes, a Site Plan Application will have to be submitted to Planning & Zoning.
- 4) **Q)** Can fields currently under design be counted toward the minimum requirement of five fields? If not, will you consider other completed projects in New England, outside of Connecticut and Berkshire County in Massachusetts?
A) Fields that are completed not in design.
- 5) **Q)** Can the proposer assume that the City will provide the survey in AutoCAD.DWG format with Z-values assigned to the contours?
A) The survey will be provided in AutoCad format. The surveyor is not required to provide contours with Z-values.
- 6) **Q)** The numbered list of scope items on the middle of page 3 of the RFQ does not include natural turf fields. However, the narrative description at the top of the page includes irrigation. Is the intent to provide irrigation for purpose of cooling the turf, for landscaping around the renovated/expanded track, or for renovations to natural turf

field areas if budget allows?

A) Irrigation for the artificial turf field is not anticipated. Irrigation for other natural grass fields may be considered if the budget allows.

7) Q) Are there any known drainage problems in and around the field?

A) Selected consultant will perform analysis of the existing conditions as part of the initial design phase.

8) Q) What are the current issues with the existing field? Drainage? Wear?

A) Selected consultant will perform analysis of existing conditions as part of the initial design phase.

9) Q) Has the City performed borings in the area of the bleachers to evaluate the soil bearing capacity?

A) No soil borings have been performed by the City.

10) Q) Are the existing lights to remain?

A) Evaluation of the need to move/replace existing lights will be part of the scope of the selected consultant during the Schematic Design Phase.

11) Q) Page 3 indicates that the scope will be dictated by the budget. Has the City established a budget? Are the funds in place?

A) As indicated on Page 2, the project budget is \$2.7 million. The funds are in place.