1	TOWN OF SUNAPEE		
2	ZONING BOARD		
3	JUNE 21, 2018		
4 5	PRESENT: Daniel Schneider, Chair; Aaron Simpson, Vice Chair; William Larrow; James Lyons, Jr.; George Neuwirt; Clayton Platt, Alternate; Nicole Gage, Zoning Administrator		
6	ABSENT:		
7	ALSO PRESENT: See Sign-in Sheet		
8	Chairman Schneider called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.		
9 10 11 12	CONTINUED: CASE #ZBA18-05: PARCEL ID: 0136-0007-0000: 179 BURKEHAVEN HILL RD "BURKEHAVEN LODGE"; RAPS REVOC TRUST, RICHARD E RAPS JR, TRUSTEE; RESIDENTIAL ZONE: SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR HOME BUSINESS IN THE RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT PER ARTICLE IV, SECTION 4.10 TO SELL ANTIQUE FURNITURE AND DÉCOR IN A 403 SQ FT AREA, SUMMER SEASON ONLY.		
13	Richard Raps continued to present the merits of the case.		
14	Ms. Gage said that she brought a copy of the subdivision plan that shows both the lots.		
15 16	Mr. Raps said that he would like to have a small antique shop in the barn that is next to his hotel and his residence. It would only be open in the summer from approximately $10:00 - 5:00$.		
17 18 19 20 21 22	Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Raps confirmed that he is applying for a home business. Chairman Schneider read the definition of a home business from the Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Raps said that his proposed business fits the definition. Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Raps said that he lives in the hotel, which is on the same lot as the barn. Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Raps explained that he is renting his house out for the summer so he moved into the hotel building. The barn where the business will go does not have any heat or plumbing and he does not live in that structure.		
23	Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mr. Raps said that he will be selling antique furniture and antique décor.		
24	Chairman Schneider asked if there were any questions or comments from the audience.		
25 26 27 28 29	Anthony Lenhart, an attorney for Gregory Parzych, 173 & 175 Burkehaven Hill Rd, said that they are hearing something different from what they heard in at the meeting held only weeks ago as Mr. Raps is saying that he has changed his residence. Mr. Raps said that all he needed to do was move his clothes. Attorney Lenhart said that it is clear that Mr. Raps' home, which is where he stated he lived at the prior meeting. Additionally, this does not meet the definition of a home business as the business will not be		
30 31	conducted in the home; this is a hotel, which is a commercial operation. Attorney Lenhart continued to explain how he does not believe that the proposed antique store is a home business.		

- 32 Attorney Lenhart said that the right of way that goes over the property is shared by three properties.
- 33 The gentleman who spoke in favor of Mr. Raps application at the last meeting, stated that the intent is
- to draw the traffic in from the road, not to draw the visitors staying at the hotel. This will cause more of
- 35 a bottleneck on the right of way. Attorney Lenhart continued to explain more about the effects the
- 36 traffic will have on the right of way.
- 37 Doris Raps said that she will be renting the house at 183 Burkehaven Hill Rd and asked if there has ever
- been a history of the right of way being blocked. Chairman Schneider asked and Ms. Raps explained
- that she and Mr. Raps are no longer married but she will be renting the residence from him. Attorney
- 40 Lenhart said that his client's concerns do not have anything to do with the history of the usage of the
- 41 right of way but what will happen if the commercial nature of the property is extended to the structure
- on the edge of the right of way. Mr. Raps said that it is a 400 sq ft building and will be an antique store,
- 43 there will not be hundreds of cars going in.
- 44 Chairman Schneider asked and Ms. Gage confirmed that if the Special Exception is approved Mr. Raps
- will need to go to the Planning Board for Site Plan Review.
- 46 Mr. Lyons asked if the Board grants the Special Exception if they will be granting a commercial use that
- 47 could continue after Mr. Raps sold the property. Vice Chair Simpson said that if someone wanted to do
- 48 another business it would have to be a home business, not a separate commercial business. Mr. Larrow
- 49 agreed that the Board would not be approving a commercial business, they would be approving a home
- 50 business.
- Mr. Larrow asked and Mr. Raps said that he will not be living in the structure. Mr. Raps said that a home
- 52 business could be in a garage and there is not a difference. Chairman Schneider said that the difference
- 53 is that a home is a residence and this structure is not a residence. There was further discussion
- regarding this matter.
- Mr. Raps said that 179 Burkehaven Hill Rd is his residence and is what is on his license, tax bills, etc. He
- does own another residence next door, however, that is not where he lives.
- 57 Mr. Raps said that he would like to know if Attorney Lenhart has proof that he represents Mr. Parzych.
- 58 Attorney Lenhart said that he asked if that is a requirement and was told that it is not. Chairman
- 59 Schneider agreed that it is not required.
- 60 Attorney Lenhart said that the applicant believes that this is all one property, however, it has been
- 61 subdivided. Mr. Raps said that he has moved back to the hotel since the last meeting. There was
- 62 further discussion regarding this matter.
- 63 Mr. Neuwirt asked if Mr. Raps wanted to lease the building to someone else what that person would
- 64 have to do to open a business and if a Variance would be required. Vice Chair Simpson confirmed that is
- 65 what would have to happen. Mr. Neuwirt asked why this should not be done with this application
- because it will be a second commercial business on the same parcel.

- 67 Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mr. Raps said that he has ten units in the hotel and he is not occupying
- 68 any of them. Mr. Raps said that he is living in a two-bedroom unit on the second floor of the hotel,
- 69 which he used to rent out.
- 70 Mr. Neuwirt said that he believes that if Mr. Raps wants to open a second commercial business on the
- 71 same parcel he should get a Variance.
- 72 Mr. Raps asked and Ms. Gage said that she had a conversation with the Town Planner who explained to
- her that uses may be layered on top of each other but they are typically addressed one at a time. Ms.
- 74 Gage continued that the Town Planner also said that there have been cases in Town where the work
- 75 was allowed to be done in a garage. Attorney Lenhart said that the hotel is not a use that is permitted in
- 76 this Zone and the antique store should not be considered an accessory use or a layered use and the
- 77 building is not owner occupied.
- 78 Chairman Schneider closed the meeting to public comment.
- 79 Mr. Neuwirt asked and Vice Chair Simpson confirmed that if the structure was being rented out to
- someone they would need a Variance. Vice Chair Simpson continued that this is not the issue in front of
- 81 the Board. Mr. Neuwirt said that this is an issue where there is already a commercial enterprise and Mr.
- 82 Raps is seeking another commercial enterprise that is unrelated to the first. Mr. Neuwirt continued to
- 83 express his concerns regarding the Mr. Raps proposal and that he does not think that it fits the criteria
- of a home business. There was further discussion regarding this matter.
- 85 Chairman Schneider said that he thinks that this is a commercial property, not a residence, and the
- proposed business does not qualify as a home business.
- 87 Vice Chair Simpson said that he heard the applicant say that historically someone has lived in the hotel
- 88 building. However, if it is a home business and Mr. Raps moves out then it is no longer a home business.
- 89 Vice Chair Simpson continued that he questions if when Mr. Raps built his new house if the hotel
- 90 remained a grandfathered use and if he should have applied for a Variance as he no longer lived on the
- 91 property. However, the Board is now being told that Mr. Raps moved back into the hotel and wants to
- 92 qualify the proposed business as a home business. There was further discussion regarding this matter as
- 93 the hotel was being operated before Zoning.
- 94 Mr. Lyons said that he does not believe that the criteria for a home business have been met.
- 95 Chairman Schneider asked and there were no further comments from the Board.
- 96 Vice Chair Simpson made a motion to approve Case #ZBA18-05: Parcel ID: 0136-0007-0000;179
- 97 Burkehaven Hill Rd "Burkehaven Lodge"; Raps Revoc Trust, Richard E Raps Jr, Trustee; Zoned
- 98 Residential; for a special exception for home business in the residential district per Article IV, Section
- 99 4.10 to sell antique furniture and décor in a 403 sq ft area, summer season only. Mr. Lyons seconded
- the motion. The motion failed unanimously. Chairman Schneider explained that the application for the
- 101 Special Exception was denied due to the fact that the structure does not meet the criteria for a home
- 102 business.

103 104 105	Mr. Raps asked and Chairman Schneider explained that the structure is not a residential structure as no one lives there and the property is commercial and not residential. Mr. Raps asked and Vice Chair Simpson said that he has 30 days to file a motion to reconsider and then he can go to Superior Court.
106 107 108 109	CASE #ZBA18-10: PARCEL ID: 0115-0004-0000: 29 BURMA RD, SHANNON M MARTINEZ; SPECIAL EXCEPTION PER ARTICLE III, SECTION 3.50(I) AND ARTICLE VI, SECTION 6.12 TO REPLACE A NON-CONFORMING STRUCTURE (SHED / GARAGE) WITH SAME FOOTPRINT BUT DIFFERENT ENVELOPE AND ROOF.
110 111	John Parris presented the case on behalf of his wife, Shannon Martinez. Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mr. Parris confirmed that he is one of the property owners.
112 113 114 115 116 117	Mr. Parris said that he would like to rebuild the garage in the back of his property, which is a little too close to the property line and that they have a survey showing the garage. A few years ago, they received the permit from Roger Landry to rebuild, however, they had another sizable project, which was to connect to the new sewer line, that could not get done at the same time. They cannot bring the garage away from the property line because the land is very small, less than a 1/3 of an acre, and it would put the garage in the middle of where his daughter plays.
118 119 120 121 122	Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Parris confirmed that the horizontal dimensions of the structure are the same as the existing garage. Chairman Schneider read Section 6.12 and said that this application meets the criteria for the horizontal dimensions. Mr. Parris said that he would like to change the roofline of the garage to align with the roofline of the house so they look more cohesive. Mr. Parris gave further explanation regarding this matter.
123 124 125 126 127 128	Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Parris explained to the Board how his proposal meets the criteria for a Special Exception under Section 3.50(i): the horizonal dimensions will not be increasing; the existing structure is a garage; the existing structure is 13 ft 6 in; and the proposed structure will be approximately 14 ft 6 in. Chairman Schneider asked if Mr. Parris has plans for the proposed garage. Mr. Parris explained that he tried but he does not have the plan from LaValley's. The Special Exception criteria continued to be discussed.
129 130	Chairman Schneider asked and there were no abutters with any questions or comments regarding the case.
131 132 133 134 135 136 137	Mr. Larrow asked and Vice Chair Simpson confirmed that the envelope does change because the structure will be changing by one foot. Mr. Larrow asked and Mr. Parris explained that the roofline is being changed 90 degrees and the roofline is being changed by one foot. Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Parris confirmed that it is acceptable that if the Board chooses to approve the application they can but a condition on the height of the building to not be more than 16 ft. Mr. Lyons asked why the restriction would not be 14 ft 6 in and Chairman Schneider explained that Mr. Parris might have measured incorrectly. Mr. Lyons said that his concern is that if this structure goes higher it would be more visible from the road. There was further discussion regarding this matter.

- Mr. Platt, who recused himself from the case, said that the total height change might be one foot but
- with the way the structure sits it might look like more. Mr. Parris has the right to raise the structure 10
- feet and he does not think that the visibility from the road is a part of the criteria for a Special Exception.
- 142 If the condition is only one foot and it is incorrect then Mr. Parris will have to return to the Board.
- 143 There was further discussion regarding that Mr. Parris does not have a submitted plan and the proposed
- height of the building and that LaValley's is customizing the pitch of the roof to match the house. Mr.
- Neuwirt said that it looks as though the roof height will be increasing by at least 4 ft, which is allowed
- 146 under the Special Exception criteria.
- 147 Chairman Schneider asked and there were no additional comments or questions from or for Mr. Parris
- so he closed the meeting to public comment.
- 149 Case #ZBA18-10: Parcel ID: 0115-0004-0000: 29 Burma Rd; Shannon M Martinez and John Parris;
- 200 Zoned Rural Residential within the Shoreland Overlay District; Special Exception per Article III, Section
- 151 3.50(i) to replace a non-conforming structure (shed / garage) with same footprint but different envelope
- and roof subject to the approved Shoreland Permit. Mr. Lyons seconded the motion. Chairman
- 153 Schneider said that he would like to add a condition regarding the maximum height of the garage not to
- be more than 20 ft. Vice Chair Simpson agreed to amend his motion if Mr. Neuwirt would second the
- amendment. Mr. Neuwirt seconded the amendment. Chairman Schneider said that the motion is now
- 156 conditioned that the maximum height will not be more than 20 ft high. The motion as amended passed
- with three in favor and two opposed.
- 158 CASE #ZBA18-06 & ZBA18-07: PARCEL ID: 0113-0021-0000: 60 RIDGEWOOD RD, TIMOTHY L & BETTE
- 159 L NOWACK (GEORGE NEUWIRT); TWO (2) VARIANCES FROM ARTICLE III, SECTION 3.10 (RURAL
- 160 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT) TO PERMIT A GARAGE TO BE BUILT CLOSER TO THE PROPERTY LINE THAN THE
- ORDINANCE ALLOWS, ASKING FOR A 10 FT RELIEF FROM THE 15 FT SIDE SETBACK REQUIRED AND
- ASKING FOR A 20 FT RELIEF FROM THE 50 FT FRONT SETBACK REQUIRED.
- 163 Mr. Neuwirt recused himself from the case. Vice Chair Simpson made a motion to appoint Mr. Platt as a
- voting member for the case. Mr. Larrow seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
- 165 Chairman Schneider said that because there are two different Variances being asked for each Variance
- 166 will require a separate vote.
- 167 Ms. Gage gave the Board additional plans for their review as well as a letter from an abutter.
- Mr. Neuwirt presented the case on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Nowack and confirmed that he has a letter
- 169 granting him permission to do so.
- Mrs. Nowack explained that they moved to Sunapee full time last April into the vacation house they
- owned for several years. They have spent one winter here and did not have a garage and found it to be
- difficult to navigate the walkway down from the street. They have two head in parking spaces off the
- road, which is where they want to put the garage and it is very slippery with the drainage in the area.
- 174 The Nowacks gave the Board copies of pictures of the parking area in the winter. Mrs. Nowack

- explained that they only have 1/5 of an area and 42 ft of frontage on the road and an 8 ft right of way
- for Stuart Caswell to access his dock so there isn't a lot of area for the garage. They have gone as small
- as they can with the garage while being able to fit two cars in it. Mrs. Nowack continued that they are
- 178 concerned about safety while walking down the walkway in the winter and about the snow removal in
- the winter. Mr. Nowack said that he sprinkled sand every morning as he could not get up and down the
- walkway to even get mail. There was further explanation regarding the ice and walkway and the snow
- removal and how the garage helps the Nowacks.
- 182 Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Nowack confirmed that they could still build the steps down to the
- house without building the garage, however, they will still have issues with ice and snow with the cars.
- Mr. Neuwirt explained that they originally continued the case so that they could apply for a Shoreland
- Permit and they have one that is approved. The plan that was submitted for the Shoreland shows that
- this is a complicated project because the improvements that the Nowacks want to make to their
- property address issues having to do with the Town, specifically maintenance and emergency vehicles
- 188 because the end of Ridgewood Rd does not meet the current turn-round criteria. They have spent time
- 189 with Mr. Hazelton as well as the other neighbors regarding how they can get a turn around for egress for
- 190 a plow truck, fire truck, etc. The Nowack's property improvement proposal is a catalyst for
- improvement to the area; they want to build the garage but also want to help the Town. Mr. Neuwirt
- continued that they had a meeting with Mr. Hazelton as he initially wrote a letter regarding this project
- that was very damning but through negotiating different terms and such Mr. Hazelton is now more on
- 194 Board with the project.
- 195 Mr. Neuwirt gave the modified Shoreland plan that has been accepted by DES.
- 196 There was a discussion regarding the size of Ridgewood Rd if it is two rods wide. Mr. Neuwirt said that
- 197 he does not believe that all the road is two rods wide.
- 198 Jeff Fitzgerald, 62 Ridgewood Rd, said that the turn around on the end of Ridgewood Rd is on his
- 199 property.
- 200 Mr. Neuwirt explained the existing conditions plan to the Board, which was done based on a previously
- done survey. The house was torn down and replaced in 2001 and there are setback lines on the plan as
- well as the location of the current parking area. Mr. Neuwirt continued to explain the plan to the Board;
- they are asking for a 10 ft reduction of the 15 ft side setback, leaving 5 ft.
- Mr. Platt asked and Mr. Neuwirt said that they have agreed with Mr. Hazelton to move the garage back
- 205 towards the southeast by 5 ft. Mr. Larrow asked and Mr. Neuwirt said that the modified Shoreland plan
- does not show this change. Mr. Neuwirt said that the plan to move the building 5 ft closer to the lake
- was not agreed to by the Nowacks until 4:30 this afternoon.
- 208 Mr. Neuwirt explained that Mr. Hazelton wrote letters to all the abutters to tell them that he wanted to
- 209 hold a meeting at the Town Office this afternoon to have a discussion regarding improving the end of

- 210 Ridgewood Rd. Mr. Hazelton had several concerns regarding drainage and Mr. Neuwirt and the
- 211 Nowacks have tried to work with him.
- Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mr. Neuwirt said that they meet the setback for the other property line.
- Vice Chair Simpson asked if they are moving the garage 5 ft southeast if it will fall into the other setback.
- The Nowacks explained that the lot widens but it has not been measured. Mr. Neuwirt said that they
- are intending to meet the setback on the other side and are asking for 10 ft relief from the one side.
- Vice Chair Simpson said that Mr. Hazelton's letter says that they will be moving the garage 5 ft
- 217 southeast. Mrs. Nowack explained that is closer to the lake. Mr. Neuwirt said that the proposed garage
- 218 will actually be more conforming. There was further discussion regarding moving the garage toward the
- 219 house.
- 220 Mrs. Nowack said that if the garage is not built then the cars remain where they are, which does not
- help with the snow. Mr. Neuwirt explained how moving the garage back the 5 ft towards the lake will
- help address Mr. Hazelton's concerns. Mr. Neuwirt said that it is not uncommon in Sunapee to have
- 223 garages close to the road. Moving the garage back 5 ft will allow the setback from the road to be 35 ft
- from the centerline of the road rather than 30 ft. Mr. Lyons asked and Mr. Neuwirt confirmed that if the
- 225 garage gets moved back 5 ft then this would allow for more room for the Town and emergency vehicles.
- 226 Mr. Lyons said that Mr. Hazelton's letter says that this will "assist the Highway Department in our
- 227 pursuit of an easement to construct and maintain a modified hammerhead...". Mr. Neuwirt said that
- they can only do their part; if you look at Mr. Hazelton's proposal, he is going to approach the
- 229 Fitzgerald's to address the end of the road. There was further discussion regarding this matter.
- 230 Mr. Neuwirt said that Mr. Hazelton was also concerned about drainage. The water comes down the
- road and goes into the lake through a stone trench by the Hack's property. Mr. Neuwirt continued that
- 232 Mrs. Nowack is an Environmental Engineer and Mr. Nowack worked at NH DES for many years so they
- 233 understand their property and are trying to be contentious about their own water retention. They have,
- therefore, added a rain garden to help with the storm water that comes down the back side of their
- property. The water also comes down the walkway, runs across their property and into that same area.
- They are going to install the retention pond, which has been approved by the State, to handle the
- 237 surface storm water. They are also trying to capture water at the top of the driveway and prevent it
- from running into their neighbor's property and into the lake. There was further discussion regarding
- the plans for the storm water drainage system including what will be going around the garage and that
- there is currently drainage around the house.
- Mr. Platt asked how far the garage will be from the edge of the road after it is shifted back 5 ft as he
- 242 knows that if you apply for a Special Exception it says the garage must be no closer than 10 ft to the
- edge of the road right of way. Mr. Neuwirt said that they are applying for a Variance. Mr. Platt said that
- he thinks that the Town has set 10 ft as a benchmark. Mr. Neuwirt said that he believes it will be
- approximately 11 ft from the edge of the road and Mr. Platt said that he thought that the setback is
- measured from the edge of the right of way, not the centerline of the road. There was further
- 247 discussion regarding the front setback location and where the road ends.

- 248 Mr. Lyons asked about the grade stakes that are in the driveway and Mr. Neuwirt explained that they 249 are for the old plan. Mr. Nowack said that the proposed garage will be moved 5 ft back from those 250 stakes after meeting with Mr. Hazelton. 251 Mrs. Nowack explained the design of the garage and that they chose a low profile to help create less 252 runoff. Mr. Nowack said that they plan to do terracing on the sloped side to also help with the runoff. 253 Chairman Schneider read three abutter letters, from Charles Dunlap, Sue and Shaun Murphy, and 254 William T and Emily D Hack that are supporting the proposed garage into the record (see attached). 255 Mr. Fitzgerald said that he owns the property to the immediate west of the Nowack's property and 256 extends across the end of Ridgewood Rd and he objects the request for the Variance from his property 257 line. This is the second Variance that the Nowacks have requested as they received one when they built 258 their house. They are continuing to overdevelop this property from what the property is capable of. 259 The 5 ft Variance will affect the overall Rural Residential character of the area the properties are zoned 260 in and this is not the harbor. He has researched and no one else in the area has received a 66% setback 261 reduction and this would set a precedent. 262 Chairman Schneider read Mr. Fitzgerald's letter into the record (see attached). Chairman Schneider 263 asked what Mr. Fitzgerald meant about how the proposed garage would block access to future 264 conforming buildings on his property. Mr. Fitzgerald explained that at the time he wrote his letter the 265 garage was proposed to be built right on the street, which he was concerned about, however, the 266 garage being moved back 5 ft is better as now he can access any part of his property from the end of 267 Ridgewood Rd. 268 Chairman Schneider asked if there is anyone else in the audience with questions or comments. 269 David Howland, 56 Ridgewood Rd, said that he has spoken with the Nowacks and he thinks that they 270 have made a sincere effort to manage storm water with their proposal. He was concerned that any 271 development might exacerbate the drainage issues and was hoping that there would be a condition in 272 the approval that he current conditions are not exacerbated. The drainage on Ridgewood Rd is not 273 managed and is currently left to the homeowners, which leads to disputes between neighbors and is not 274 good for their community. He welcomes any conversation regarding reworking the end of the street in 275 terms of drainage, however, where the water goes is an issue. Mr. Howland continued that he did not 276 know about the meeting with Mr. Hazelton and asked if the case should be continued so that something 277 can be worked out regarding the road. 278 Mr. Neuwirt said that the Nowacks are doing all that they can in regards to their own water retention.
- 279 They have answered the concerns of the Town by moving the garage back and the information
- presented has been accepted by the State and by the Highway Director. He can't imagine that Mr.
- 281 Hazelton will ask them to do more as they have already gone over and above what the State required of
- them. They did not have to do the additional improvements to get approval by the State and they trying
- to do what they can to contribute to the end of the road. The key is to get the other people on
- 284 Ridgewood Rd to do their part.

- 285 Mr. Neuwirt said that in response to Mr. Fitzgerald's letter, a reduction in property value is a subjective
- argument; garages are traditional and desired in New England with the winters. They were only one
- property away on this road from seeking a Special Exception regarding the front setback. Vice Chair
- 288 Simpson asked if they move the garage back 5 ft if they qualify for a Special Exception. Mr. Neuwirt said
- that they do not qualify because more than 50% of the properties within 500 ft must have non-
- 290 conforming structures and were one property away from that.
- 291 Mr. Neuwirt said that regarding Mr. Fitzgerald's concerns about drainage, they have created an
- comprehensive plan and are going over and above the State's requirements in order for the Nowacks to
- do their part in capturing and filtering water as it goes to the lake in three locations not specific to the
- structure area. Mr. Neuwirt and Mrs. Nowack continued to explain the drainage system and how Mr.
- 295 Fitzgerald's property will not get water from the Nowack's property.
- 296 Mr. Neuwirt said that regarding snow removal, they are moving the garage back 5 ft to help with this
- 297 matter and explained how the snow removal has been done in the past by the Town and that the
- Nowacks will be allowing the plow trucks to back into their driveways to turn around in. Mr. Platt asked
- if the Nowacks were going to give the Town permission or an easement to use their driveway. Mr.
- Nowack said that Mr. Hazelton told them that his drivers are told that if they have to back up onto
- private property, they are supposed to notify the owner first and it was only to be done in an
- emergency, therefore, an easement is not required. Mr. Platt asked and Mr. Nowack said that the Town
- 303 will use his driveway to turn around as needed. Mr. Nowack said that he asked Mr. Hazelton about
- written permission and was told that they would just receive a phone call if they need to use their
- 305 property to turn around in.
- 306 Stuart Caswell, 57 Ridgewood Rd, said that he received a notice yesterday from the Town regarding this
- proposal. He is hearing that Mr. Neuwirt and Mr. Hazelton have been talking for weeks about this
- 308 project. The registered letter that he received said that the Town wants to take land from his property
- and Mr. Caswell would like to know how the Board can approve this project without the issue with the
- Town worked out. Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mr. Caswell confirmed that the letter that he received
- 311 from the Highway Director said that the Town might take property from him in order to do the
- 312 hammerhead. He did not receive the letter until yesterday and was not able to attend the meeting that
- was held at 4:30 today. He thinks that this case should be postponed so that they can see what is going
- on. Chairman Schneider explained that they are a Zoning Board and they cannot speak to what the
- 315 Highway Department is doing.
- 316 Mr. Caswell said that what the Board has been presented is a set of plans that is not correct and that the
- 317 setbacks have changed. Mr. Neuwirt said that the side setback has not changed and the front setback
- 318 will improve. There was further discussion regarding this matter.
- 319 Mr. Neuwirt said that Mr. Fitzgerald's letter says that the front door of his property will face the
- proposed garage, which would be approximately 30 ft away. He used a range finder and took a picture
- with a camera from where the back corner of the garage will be located and it says it is 69 ft. Mr.
- Fitzgerald asked if this is from the new proposed location and if the measurement is to his front door or

323 324	his porch as he has a porch and measured it to the 5 ft setback. There was further discussion regarding this issue.			
325 326	There was a discussion regarding the plans that have been submitted to the Board and for the Shorelan Permit.			
327 328 329 330	Vice Chair Simpson asked and Ms. Gage said that there is not a copy of the Nowack's prior Variance approval in their property file. Vice Chair Simpson asked and Mrs. Nowack said that they received the Variance in 2000 as the house was completed in 2001. Mrs. Nowack explained that they received a Variance to reduce the side setback for a non-conforming lot from 25 ft to 12.5 ft.			
331 332 333 334 335 336	Vice Chair Simpson said that he thinks that there are grounds for continuing the hearing. The Board has been told that there is a letter from the Town regarding taking property and they don't know if the proposed setbacks will be correct; the Board also does not have the correct plans. Vice Chair Simpson continued that he thinks all the property owners should talk to each other as well as talk to the Town. Until they have accurate plans and know what was previously permitted and if there were any condition, the Board does not have enough information.			
337 338 339 340 341	Chairman Schneider said that he questions the compliance with Mr. Hazelton's letter. Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Neuwirt confirmed that they will keep the garage the same size as proposed just move it back. Chairman Schneider said that there is a fairly steep slope on the lot. Mr. Neuwirt said that moving the garage back 5 ft will not have a big impact as the gradients are the same. Chairman Schneider said that he would like to see a revised plan.			
342 343 344 345 346 347	Vice Chair Simpson said that he does not know the distance from the Hack's property on the other side but wanted to know why if the garage is moving deeper into the property it cannot be moved further from the setback. Mr. Neuwirt said that in all his discussions with Mr. Hazelton, he essentially takes a template and lays it over the road and they have discussed how the Nowack's property fits with the template. The Nowacks agreed to do what they could do to help better the end of Ridgewood Rd. Mr. Neuwirt continued that he does not know how they can solve the neighbors' issues.			
348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355	Vice Chair Simpson asked and the Board did not have a copy of the letter sent to the owners on Ridgewood Rd regarding taking property. Mr. Caswell said that he has a copy of the letter on his phone and gave the Board permission to read it. Vice Chair Simpson read the letter to the record (see attached). Vice Chair Simpson said that the letter does not say anything about taking property. Mr. Caswell said that it says that the Town might be going onto his property and asked why he only got 24 hours' notice of the meeting. Mrs. Nowack said that they only received 24 hours' notice of the meeting as well. Vice Chair Simpson said that his concern was that the Town was going to take property from various abutters and the letter does not say that.			
356 357 358 359	Mrs. Nowack asked if they move the garage back 5 ft how it effects what is done with the other properties. Vice Chair Simpson said that one of the Variance criteria is to show why they cannot meet the setback and if they are moving the garage back he thinks that it would be easier to meet both setbacks as he does not know why they cannot move it closer to the other property line if their lot gets			

- wider. Mrs. Nowack said that she does not know if there is any way to do that so they can have a two car garage. Chairman Schneider asked if they changed the garage to a one car garage if they can better meet the setbacks. Mr. Neuwirt said that they are applying for a basic 20 ft by 20 ft two car garage which is as small as it can get for their usage. There was further discussion regarding this matter.
- Mr. Larrow said that there is a neighbor who developed a garage using the slope and made it part of the design and it solved a lot of problems for them and for the Town. He is not suggesting that is what they should do but wanted to know if they had thought about doing something like that if it was feasible.
- 367 Mr. Neuwirt explained that the cost of doing that would be substantially more.
- Mr. Neuwirt said that in responding to Mr. Fitzgerald's last bullet point in his letter regarding tree removal and privacy impacts, the Nowacks have gone through a considerable expense to try and buffer Mr. Fitzgerald's property and they plan to plant privacy trees. Mr. Fitzgerald said that he is happy with the trees that are there now. Mr. Neuwirt said that there is a grouping of trees on Mr. Fitzgerald's property and they will be 8 ft from that grouping of trees. They will be removing 10 trees from the
- building envelope and one of the trees is 10 inches in diameter and all the others are under that. There
- was further discussion regarding this matter.
- Chairman Schneider asked and Mr. Neuwirt went over the criteria for a Variance per the application submitted. Mr. Neuwirt said that the basis of a Variance is that there is a uniqueness to the land that
- distinguishes itself so that it requires relief. In this case, because of the slope down from the road, the
- design of the building kills two birds with one stone and provides the basis for the Variance.
- 379 Mr. Larrow asked and Mr. Neuwirt said that the lot coverage will be 21% and the requirement is less
- than 25%. There was continued discussion regarding this matter and that the bluestone area will be
- removed and turned into grass as well as about the right of way.
- Mr. Platt said that he questions the setback and where the garage will be located on the drawing. Mr.
- Platt asked if the garage can be moved back 5 ft why it cannot be moved back 10 ft. Mrs. Nowack
- explained that the further back it goes requires more retaining walls and she would only see the
- concrete walls from her house. Mr. Neuwirt said that they also need space for the stairs and the gas
- tank to be situated and have room to work. Mr. Lyons asked if the garage can be moved towards the
- 387 right of way. Mr. Neuwirt explained that if the garage moves back 5 ft then they could possibly move it
- away from the Fitzgerald's property by 1 ft. Mr. Lyons asked if the garage can be smaller and Mr.
- Neuwirt explained that it is already as small as they can make it while being adequate for the Nowack's
- 390 use. There was further discussion regarding the size of the garage.
- 391 Mrs. Nowack said that for every foot the garage is moved back, they add impermeable surface so that
- 392 will need to be calculated and might need to revise the Shoreland Permit. They wanted the garage
- 393 closer to the road to go with the natural flow of the land and keep the impermeable surface as little as
- 394 possible. Mr. Lyons asked and Mr. Neuwirt confirmed that the Shoreland Permit does not say anything
- about moving the garage back. Mr. Neuwirt said that one of the solutions they have considered is to
- 396 have permeable pavers that they will have in front of the garage to not increase the impervious surface
- and the Board could make an approval conditional on this. Mr. Platt asked if the amended plan will

- need to be approved by Shoreland and Mr. Neuwirt confirmed that it will need to be modified. Therewas further discussion regarding this matter.
- Vice Chair Simpson asked about the Variance that the Nowacks previously received. Mrs. Nowack said that there were no conditions placed on that approval. There was further discussion regarding this issue.
- Vice Chair Simpson made a motion that he would like to continue the hearing until the Board can review a copy of the revised drawings as well as the prior Variance that was granted when the house was
- 405 constructed. Mr. Platt said that he would like to know the final location of the garage.
- Mr. Neuwirt said that the Board has approved Variances with building locations that the Board decided where the building would go. Vice Chair Simpson said that there is at least one abutter present who is objecting to the Variance. Mr. Neuwirt said that will not change by showing that they will be moving the garage back 5 ft.
- Mr. Neuwirt said that on March 9th Mr. Fitzgerald approached the Nowacks and said that was OK with the project if they would stipulate on their deed that they would not allow renters any longer; projects like his have a way of introducing facts that are not necessarily relevant.
- Vice Chair Simpson asked for a second on his motion and Mr. Lyons seconded the motion. Chairman
 Schneider closed the meeting to public comment to address the motion, which is to continue the
 hearing to allow the Board to review the previous Variance that was granted on the property and for
 Mr. Neuwirt to revise the drawings to reflect where the proposed structure is going to be built. Mr.
 Larrow asked and Chairman Schneider said that the Board cannot require that a DES Permit be approved

prior to granting a Variance; they can condition an approval based on acquiring a DES Permit.

418

- Ms. Gage said that the next meeting will be July 5th and Vice Chair Simpson said that he will not be at that meeting. Mr. Larrow asked if the Board can get more information from Mr. Hazelton about the impact of the hammerhead. Ms. Gage said that she sat in on the meeting and they are two distinct issues but Mr. Hazelton was satisfied with the garage moving 5 ft. The desire to work with the neighbors at the end of the road is not directly related to this case. Chairman Schneider said that he would like to see new plans and another letter from Mr. Hazelton that he is satisfied.
- Chairman Schneider asked if there was any further discussion regarding the motion. Ms. Gage asked if there are only four Board members at the July 5th if the Board will be able to continue to hear the case. Chairman Schneider said that will be up to the applicants but they can also choose to defer the hearing until July 19th. The motion passed unanimously.
- 429 Chairman Schneider asked the Board members to let Ms. Gage know if they are available on July 19th.
- Mr. Neuwirt asked about presenting the criteria for the Variance for the front setback and Chairman
 Schneider said that hearing will be continued to the next meeting as well. Vice Chair Simpson said that
 he will make another motion if needed but the cases go hand in hand. Mr. Neuwirt said that he was
 asked to present the criteria for the Variance for the side setback. He would like to know if he will need

434 435	to go through everything again and Chairman Schneider said that he will not. Mr. Neuwirt asked and Chairman Schneider said that he will need to present the criteria for the Variance for the front setback		
436	in its entirety.		
437	Mr. Platt excused himself from the rest of the meeting.		
438	MINUTES		
439	Changes to the minutes from June 7, 2018: Change Line 154 to read "having the barn as more"		
440 441	Change Line 216 to read "Mr. Hayes, who said he has experience as a contractor, said that at the price" On Line 336 remove the line that starts "Chairman Schneider agreed"		
442 443	Vice Chair Simpson made a motion to approve the minutes as amended. Mr. Lyons seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.		
444	MISCELLANEOUS		
445	Ms. Gage asked if Mr. Neuwirt can attend the July 5 th meeting so they will have five Board members to		
446	hear the other case that is on the agenda for that night even if the Nowacks might choose to continue		
447	the case until there is a full Board. Chairman Schneider said that if the Board knows that they will not		
448 449	have enough members if an applicant can inform Ms. Gage that they would like to continue the hearing before the meeting and Ms. Gage said that she will check on the legality of this.		
450	ZONING AMENDMENTS		
451	Chairman Schneider gave the Board copies of the newest version of Zoning changes and explained the		
452	proposed change which is that he added a definition for a primary structure.		
453	There was a discussion regarding Section 3.50(i)(1) and Section 6.13 and which height requirement		
454	Section 3.50(i)(1) refers to as there are various height requirements throughout the Ordinance and		
455 456	having a reference in Section 3.50(i) to the specific height requirements. The Board discussed all the different places where height is referenced in the Ordinance.		
457	Vice Chair Simpson made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:50 pm. Mr. Larrow seconded the		
458	motion. The motion passed unanimously.		
459	Respectfully submitted,		
460	Melissa Pollari		
461			
462			
463			

465	Zoning Board of Adjustment	
466		
467	Daniel Schneider, Chair	Aaron Simpson, Vice Chair
468		
469	James Lyons, Jr.	William Larrow
470		
471	George Neuwirt	Clayton Platt, Alternate