| 1 | TOWN OF SUNAPEE |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | PLANNING BOARD |
| 3 | AUGUST 2, 2012 |
| 4 5 | PRESENT : Peter White, Vice-Chair; Robert Stanley; Erin Andersen; Kurt Markarian; Donna Davis Larrow; Shane Hastings, ex-officio member, Michael Marquise, Planner |
| 6 | ABSENT: Bruce Jennings, Chair |
| 7 | ALSO PRESENT: See attached Sign-in Sheet. |
| 8 | Peter White, Vice-Chair, called the meeting to order at 7:05 PM. |
| 9 10 11 12 | Changes to the Minutes for the July 19, 2012 Planning Board Meeting: Michael Marquise explained to the Board that on lines 197 – 218 the Lot numbers are swapped so anything that says 37 needs to be changed to say 38 and anything that says 38 becomes 37. Michael Marquise confirmed that he has received the corrected Mylar and reminded the Board it needs to be signed and recorded. |
| 13 14 | Robert Stanley made a motion to approve the minutes as corrected. Kurt Markarian seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. |
| 15 16 | Mr. Marquise explained to Mr. White that the meeting for September has been changed from September 6^{th} to September 20^{th} . |
| 17 18 19 | PARCEL ID: 0137-0011-0000; SITE PLAN REVIEW, EXPAND EXISTING BUSINESS TO INCLUDE FIREWOOD PROCESSING AND SALES, PER ARTICLE VI, SECTION 6.30. 127 EDGEMONT RD, RICHARD & RAYLENE BLY |
| 20 21 22 23 | Mr. Marquise stated that the application was filed in advance, notices were mailed and posted, and fees were paid. The application falls under Article V, Section D of the Site Plan Review. The two items under Section D that are missing, which can be detailed in the minutes, are the number of employees and the days and hours of operation, otherwise the application is complete. |
| 24 25 | Robert Stanley made a motion that the application as complete pending the number of employees and the hours of operation. Kurt Markarian seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. |
| 26 27 28 29 | Richard Bly presented the merits of the case. Mr. Bly stated that he started by bringing logs to his property and they were not selling any at first as they used wood but then ended up selling about 15 cords last year. Mr. Bly explained that it is not a big operation, they just chainsaw the logs and then cut them with a wood splitter, there is no processor or big machines. |
| 30 31 | Mr. Stanley asked if there was going to be a sign and Mr. Bly said that there is only going to be a "For Sale" sign or something added to his current sign. |

- 32 Mr. Stanley asked if the business is within the guidelines of a Home Business. Mr. Marquise said that
- 33 Mr. Landry feels as though it is and that it is an expansion of a non-conforming use as he has a machine
- 34 shop. Mr. White asked and Mr. Bly confirmed that the Site Plan Review is to expand the existing
- 35 business to include the firewood business.
- 36 Mrs. Larrow asked and Mr. Bly confirmed that he started the business of the wood processing last year.
- 37 Mr. Bly said that he actually started doing it a while ago but he used to go get the wood and now he has
- a local person deliver it to him and he has the logs stacked behind his house.
- 39 Mr. Stanley said that he is concerned about the sign as it would have to meet the guidelines. Mrs.
- 40 Larrow said that there are other issues to address as well which include allotted parking spaces and the
- 41 landscaping plan. Mr. Bly said that he does not need any parking as there is no one going onto the
- 42 property. Mrs. Larrow said that a parking area is shown on the plan. Mr. Bly said that there is plenty of
- parking out back, there about ten spaces on the road and then around twenty out back.
- 44 Mr. Stanley asked how many employees are in the shop. Mr. Bly said that he has three employees plus
- 45 himself. Mrs. Larrow asked how many Mr. Bly normally has and Mr. Bly replied that he had eight
- 46 employees plus himself last year which was the maximum.
- 47 Mr. White asked and Mr. Marquise confirmed that the selling of the wood does not change the use of
- the property as it is an expansion of a home business.
- 49 Mr. Bly explained that typically he does not sell the wood onsite but he brings it to people. Mr. White
- asked about the parking areas on the plan Mr. Bly explained where the employees and others park.
- 51 Mr. Bly was asked if the splitting would be done Monday through Friday only and replied that it is
- 52 sometimes done on a Saturday morning as well.
- Mr. White explained that reason for the questions is because whatever is approved goes with the
- 54 property and without structure someone could have a huge operation and there would be an issue.
- 55 Therefore there needs to be things, such as hours, defined. The Board is concerned about what could
- 56 happen in the future.
- 57 Mr. White asked what Mr. Bly feels will cover the hours of operation. Mr. Bly said 7:00 to 5:00, Monday
- 58 through Saturday but there was a time that someone worked until 7:30 so there might be a need to
- 59 make it longer. Mr. Stanley suggested having the hours from 7:00 am until sunset, Monday through
- 60 Saturday.
- 61 The Board agreed that there are enough parking spaces. Mr. Bly was asked and confirmed that the
- business will be year-round with at least three employees for the wood part of the business.
- 63 Mr. White asked about the trucks that deliver the wood. Mr. Bly stated that it is a logging truck and it
- not backing out onto 103B, it drives in, dumps the load and keeps going out.
- 65 Mr. White asked if there were any abutters who wanted to speak.

- 66 Scott Turner of 122 Edgemont Rd said that he is in support of Mr. Bly. He lives directly across from Mr.
- 67 Bly and he has been doing it off and on part time and hasn't bothered him.
- 68 Mr. White closed the hearing to public comments.
- 69 Mrs. Larrow said that she has a couple of questions that she would like clarified. The first question is
- 70 how the wood processing business fits into Section 6.30 of Non-Conforming Uses as she doesn't see how
- 71 the business is related to the machine shop business. Mr. White explained that it might not be related
- 72 to it but as long as it is allowed in the Zoning District, though it is not an expansion of the machine shop,
- it is additional work. Mr. Marquise said that he feels that Mrs. Larrow's questions is reasonable and he
- is not sure that Mr. Landry isn't misplacing Section 6.30 as a home business is an allowed use but 6.30 is
- 75 for businesses that are not allowed. The Board discussed this issue further and also discussed if the
- businesses took over more of the property than the residence and therefore made the property a
- 77 commercial property. It was confirmed that the size of the lot is roughly 1.8 acres. Mr. Marquise read
- 78 the definition of a home business to the Board and said that it is not a number but a judgment call to
- 79 determine if a business no longer classified as a home business.
- 80 Mrs. Larrow asked about the Zoning Board minutes from March 8, 2012 which on Line 75 says
- 81 "Chairman Kish also asked about the cord wood business that Mr. Tagliatela said was being run on the
- 82 property. Mr. Landry stated that the business has been addressed with Mr. Bly and has stopped." Line
- 85 says the "implication that the business is selling wood Mr. Bly has ceased to do so and will not do so
- 84 in the future." Mr. White asked and Mrs. Larrow confirmed that the minutes were from the Zoning
- 85 Board case requesting the building addition. Mr. White asked and Mr. Bly confirmed that Mr. Tagliatela
- 86 is an abutter.
- 87 Mr. White asked Mr. Bly about the hearing and the implication that the firewood part of the business
- 88 was being terminated. Mr. Bly said he was going to terminate it but with all the wood there he decided
- 89 he had to do something with it. Mr. White asked if the approval hinged on the testimony that the wood
- 90 business would not be continued. Mrs. Larrow said that the motion that was made was conditioned
- 91 upon if the business expanded into the lower level addition then it would require a Site Plan Review and
- 92 the second condition required that the egress be approved for the Fire Chief for the second story
- 93 addition. Mrs. Larrow continued that it was not a condition but it was something that was part of the
- 94 testimony.
- 95 Mrs. Larrow said that she is not sure that she feels the use is appropriate under the current regulations.
- 96 Mr. Stanley said that it seems as though there is always wood at the property and Mr. Bly said he uses it
- 97 himself. It does not seem as though it is a very big operation and even if he didn't sell the wood there
- 98 would still be processing for his own use so he doesn't feel as though it is changing the property. Mr.
- White said that he feels similar to Mr. Stanley but his concern is that it will expand outside of what it
- 100 currently is and wonders if it is possible to put a limit on the number of cords of wood sold. Mr.
- Marquise said that he doesn't feel like that is reasonable. Mr. White asked how to limit the size of the
- business and Mr. Marquise replied with employees, hours of operation, a limit on machinery and the

| 103 104 | existing areas that are outlined on the Site Plan such as where the logs are stored, the size, the wood splitter, etc. |
|---|---|
| 105 106 107 108 | Robert Stanley made a motion to accept the application for a wood selling business to operate from 7:00 am to sunset, six days a week, Monday through Saturday, with a maximum of three employees. The business will be limited as drawn on the Site Plan and with the equipment shown on the Site Plan. Shane Hastings seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. |
| 109 | PARCEL ID: 0225-0062-0000 & 0225-0063-0000; LOT MERGER. 53 ROUTE 11, EDWARD BAILEY |
| 110 111 | Mr. Marquise passed a map around for the Board members to review. Mr. Marquise explained that Lot 63 is a landlocked piece without frontage and the Merger is probably the best thing for the two parcels. |
| 112 113 | Kurt Markarian made a motion to accept the Lot Merger of Parcel 0225-0062-0000 and Parcel 0225-0063-0000 at 53 Route 11. Robert Stanley seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. |
| 114 | MISCELLANEOUS |
| 115 | PARCEL ID: 0133-0107-0000; CONCEPTUAL, MAJOR SUBDIVISION. 33 MAPLE ST, ANDY POLLARI |
| 116 117 | Mr. White explained that the discussion is just a conceptual and they will just be talking informally. There will be no vote, it is just to get a sense of the concerns of the Board. |
| 118 119 120 121 | Andy Pollari explained that he is interested in a property that is for sale. The property is 8.6 acres and is on the corner of Beech St. and Maple St. Mr. Pollari continued that he wants to develop the property and wanted to get the Board's opinion of what they wanted to see in that area with the Master Plan and maybe work together on it. |
| 122 123 | Mr. Pollari showed the Board a map of the property and explained that it abuts the condominiums on Burkehaven Hill Rd. |
| 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 | Mr. Pollari said that he spoke with the Water & Sewer Dept. and there is Town Water & Sewer available for the site and he spoke to the Road Agent about driveway accesses and there didn't seem to be any problems. Mr. Pollari continued that Mr. Landry said there have been a few instances where the Town has granted Right-of-Ways instead of driveways to allow two houses off one driveway and using the frontage of the ROW for frontage. Mr. Marquise explained that every lot has to have 75' of frontage but what can be done for the portion that counts as frontage is to have a driveway that is up to the specs of a private road which is 18' wide. Mr. White asked how many lots can come off the private road and Mr. Marquise answered that he believes it is up to ten lots and a driveway can only go to two houses. |
| 132 133 134 135 | Mr. Marquise stated that the Zoning line cuts through the property and that would need to be addressed. Mr. Marquise explained that the District changes from Village Residential to Residential which affects lot sizes, density, etc. Mr. Pollari said that he understands that he would have to get the lot surveyed because the line cuts through the middle of the property. |

- 136 Mr. Marquise asked what Mr. Pollari is looking to do with the property, if it would be lots or apartments.
- 137 Mr. Pollari said he is looking to do single family homes. Mr. Marquise said that this might be a good
- property to do clustering. Mr. Stanley said he liked the idea of the clustering as then there could be
- Open Space. Mr. Pollari explained that he would like to try to keep the development in the back of the
- property to give the people on the road a buffer.
- 141 There was a brief discussion regarding the road frontage and where Beech St. turns into a Class VI road.
- Mr. White explained that a cluster development is a "residential subdivision of a tract of land where
- housing units are grouped on lots of reduced dimensions." The houses are a little closer together and
- the setbacks get reduced between the houses and the road so there can be a little cluster of houses.
- "The remaining land in the tract, which does not have buildings or improvements thereon, shall be
- reserved as permanently protected open space. The density of a cluster development shall not exceed
- the density proscribed in these regulations or the Zoning Ordinance." This means that if you were
- normally allowed eight units on a property, doing a cluster development would not mean that you could
- do more units, it just means the houses can be a little closer together to form a neighborhood and there
- is more Open Space.
- 151 Mr. White asked what the density requirements in the two Districts are and Mr. Marquise answered
- that in the Village Residential the density is 10,000 square feet and a half acre lot size and in the
- 153 Residential district is it one acre for both.
- Mr. Pollari said he is looking for six to eight lots or the cluster development. Mr. Pollari said that it
- depends on what he discovers after he does more research. There was a discussion about the
- difference between lots and a cluster development and the benefits of a cluster development such as
- the ease of installation of the road, utilities, Water & Sewer, etc.
- 158 Mr. White explained that the Board would also require an engineering plan regarding drainage and
- runoff and such. Mr. White also suggested looking at Low Impact Development as it is a much better
- 160 way to develop a site environmentally.
- Bob Lester of 49 Maple St. said that he appreciates Mr. Pollari coming before the Board. His property is
- right next to the Maple St. access for the property and he has concerns about what will be developed.
- Heather Melson of 63 Maple St. said her property is on the corner of Beech and Maple. She is
- concerned about the runoff and development of the property and what will be behind her house.
- Mr. White said that he understands that there will be concerns from abutters. He explained to Mr.
- Pollari that the best thing is having a civil engineer develop a plan that is as complete as possible to help
- people understand what Mr. Pollari is doing. It usually comes down to drainage, topography, screening,
- 168 etc.
- Ms. Melson asked if the 8.6 acres was all buildable or if any was wetlands and how that would figure
- into what is buildable and what is not. Mr. White explained that in Sunapee you do not detract the
- delineated wetlands area from your development acreage. Setback still must be met but wetlands are

| 172173174175 | not taken out of the acreage that is calculated for development. Mr. Marquise said there is also a 60% rule for example, if the minimum lot size is a half an acre, at least 3/10 of an acre must be buildable for every lot. Mr. White said that a wetlands person should go to the site before the surveyor/engineer so that it is indicated on the Plan. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 176 | PREVIOUS BUSINESS | | |
| 177 178 179 | PARCEL ID: 0106-0037-0000 & 0106-0038-0000; SUBDIVISION / LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT –ANNEXATION, SUBDIVIDE .19 ACRE FROM LOT 38 AND ANNEX TO LOT 37. WALTER & KAREN DYKEMAN, SUNNY KNOLL, GEORGES MILLS. | | |
| 180 181 182 | At the July 19, 2012 the Dykeman case had the Lot numbers mixed. There was a discussion whether the vote regarding the Lot numbers being changed in the minutes was enough to reaffirm the vote at the meeting. The Board decided to redo the vote to ensure that everything is done correctly. | | |
| 183 184 185 | Kurt Markarian made a motion to reaffirm the vote for the Annexation and Subdivision with the corrected number being from Lot 38 and annexed to Lot 37 for Walter and Karen Dykeman, Sunny Knoll, Georges Mills. The motion to reaffirm the vote passed unanimously. | | |
| 186 | The meeting was adjourned at 8:20 PM. | | |
| 187 | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 188 | Melissa Pollari | | |
| 189 | | | |
| 190 | | | |
| 191 | Bruce Jennings, Chairman | Peter White | |
| 192 | | | |
| 193 | | | |
| 194 | Erin Andersen | Donna Davis Larrow | |
| 195 | | | |
| 196 | | | |
| 197 | Robert Stanley | Kurt Markarian | |
| 198 | | | |
| 199 | | | |
| 200 | Shane Hastings ex-officio member | Emma Smith ex-officio alternate member | |