1	TOWN OF SUNAPEE
2	PLANNING BOARD
3	JANUARY 15, 2015
4 5	<b>PRESENT</b> : Peter White, Chair; Robert Stanley, Vice-Chair; Kurt Markarian; Tanner Royce; Shane Hastings, ex-officio member; Michael Marquise, Planner
6	ABSENT: Donna Davis Larrow
7	Chairman White called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.
8 9 10	PARCEL ID: 0106-0021-0000 & PARCEL ID: 0104-0087-0000: SITE PLAN REVIEW: EXPANSION OF USE AT ASSISTED LIVING CENTER WITH AN ADDITION TO HOUSE A MEMORY CARE FACILITY. HK SUNAPEE COVE, LLC, HALLKEEN MANAGEMENT, INC., 1250 ROUTE 11.
11 12	Charlie Hirshberg of CLD Engineers, and Andy Burnes and Caroline Caranci of HallKeen Managament / HK Sunapee Cove, presented the case.
13 14	Mr. Marquise indicated that the hearing was not to be a final hearing so no acceptance for completeness was necessary.
15 16 17 18	Mr. Hirshberg discussed the new wing that will be placed on the northeast side of the existing building. He noted most of the property was in the Village Commercial Zone where this use is allowed. If the project is approved, the two existing lots will be merged. The Board had previously noted that any approval would have a condition that the lots are merged.
19 20 21	Mr. Hirshberg discussed the setback to Otter Brook and Lake Sunapee. Some of the fire lane will be in the setback but it is proposed that this will have a loam/grass surface so it will not be an impervious drive.
22 23	It is proposed that the new parking spaces will be pervious pavers to help cut done on the shoreline/storm water impact. More details on the storm water will come at the final hearing.
24 25	Mr. Hirshberg felt the parking was adequate based on one space for three beds. The numbers will need to be verified.
26 27 28	The State Fire Marshall will be reviewing this project. Mr. Hirshberg asked if the Board could give approval subject to receiving that approval which may take up to 6 months. The Board agreed as long as Fire Chief Danny Ruggles gave his approval to the overall site plan.
29 30	PARCEL ID: 0237-0025-0000: CONCEPTUAL REVIEW: REVIEW AVAILABLE OPTIONS AND POSSIBLE SITE PLAN CHANGES ON "THE PRESERVE AT MOUNT SUNAPEE" LOCATED ON BROOK ROAD.
31 32	Charlie Hirshberg, of CLD Engineers, and Ari Pollack, an attorney with Gallagher, Callahan & Gartrell law firm in Concord, NH, presented the Conceptual Review to the Board.
33 34	Chairman White explained that a conceptual review is an informal meeting where the applicant explains to the Board what they want to do. The Board can then discuss their ideas, thoughts, concerns, etc.

- 35 There will not be a vote at the meeting, nor will the Board say that if something is done it will guarantee
- an approval. The Board will just give the applicant guidance the best that they can.
- 37 Mr. Hirshberg said that this project was originally called "The Preserves" and is on Brook Rd, right at the
- 38 Goshen town line. The project started in 2005 and was approved for 12 duplexes / 24 units. The
- 39 developer at the time got Site Plan approval and construction approval for a first phase, which he
- 40 believes was for the first 4 duplexes. They also built 1,050 feet of road. Mr. Hirshberg explained that
- one set of plans that he gave the Board was from the original approval.
- 42 Mr. Hirshberg said that the property is approximately 37 acres, so density wise there could be 24 units.
- The previous developers started the construction and built 1,050 feet of access road, a driveway that
- 44 was supposed to go to two duplexes, and one of the duplexes. The Board asked and Mr. Hirshberg said
- 45 that one half of the duplex is rented and the other is only about 80% complete.
- 46 Mr. Hirshberg said that the first phase was supposed to be the driveway to four duplexes, but not the
- 47 cul-de-sac. The condition after the first phase was complete was that they had to build the cul-de-sac
- and the fire protection cistern. All of the first section of the road was built with the drainage features
- 49 required and at the time there was a State Alteration of Terrain (AoT) permit for the entire project,
- which was only good for two years and is now expired. Mr. Hirshberg said that he and Mr. Pollack met
- with someone from the State to ask about the AoT permit and they were told that what was built falls
- 52 under the old regulations. However, anything continuing on would fall under the new regulations and
- 53 the requirements would be different. Storm water is handled quite differently between the old and new
- regulations and there is more area requirement. Mr. Hirshberg continued that he does not believe that
- 55 they would be able to get 24 units on the property with the new regulations, but the plan would be to
- 56 get between 18 to 20 units. Mr. Hirshberg said that they are asking the Board for feedback because
- 57 there really isn't a market for duplexes.
- 58 Mr. Pollack said that the original developer went bankrupt and that the property was sold to their client
- 59 through foreclosure. The properties were not well marketed, whether there was a reasonable market
- for duplexes at the time or not. The new owners would like to get approval of some type of mixture of
- 61 housing.
- 62 Vice-Chair Stanley asked how close the property is to Mt. Sunapee. Mr. Hirshberg said that it is on the
- back part of the mountain and if it expands then it will be about 2.5 miles from the new base. Mr.
- 64 Hirshberg said the property is not far down Brook Rd and the entrance is a paved road.
- 65 Mr. Hirshberg said that they are considering a mixture of housing, with maybe the lower sites duplexes.
- 66 He thinks when they get higher onto the property there will be views and that individual units would be
- 67 more appealing. The units would have limited common areas. The other option would be clustered
- lots, though he does not know if this would necessarily work.
- 69 Chairman White asked Mr. Hirshberg if he thinks that the biggest constraint would be the Planning
- 70 Board regulations or the change in the State regulations. Mr. Hirshberg said that it will be the change in
- 71 the AoT and the way drainage is handled. Today, there are pretreatment and treatment requirements
- 72 so it requires an infiltration component and more area to accommodate storm water. Chairman White
- 73 asked and Mr. Hirshberg agreed that this type of development could be done today with the Town's

- 74 regulations. It was set up with the septic systems clustered with four units on a system and if they go
- 75 with individual units they may go with individual septic systems as there can be issues with shared
- 76 septics.
- 77 Mr. Hirshberg said that one of the questions he has is that at the time that they did the original plan,
- they had two duplexes on a drive and if they do four detached units there would have to be roads.
- 79 What they may do is create a loop in order to get into areas where they could do detached units if this is
- 80 allowed. There may also need to be some driveways where the grade exceeds the rules. Chairman
- 81 White asked and Mr. Marquise confirmed that the regulations can be waived and there can be
- 82 exceptions. There was further discussion about the grades and creating a loop road. The Board
- 83 explained that they will need approval from Highway and the Fire Department as well.
- 84 Mr. Marquise asked and Mr. Hirshberg explained that they already have one duplex built so they may
- 85 carry the theme for the lower portion where they don't have the views, though this depends on if they
- 86 can take care of the storm water for the units. The areas that don't have the views are the lower
- 87 sections so they may do four duplexes and then, continuing up the hill, they will change into individual
- 88 homestead areas. Mr. Marquise asked and Mr. Hirshberg said that he does not think that they will have
- 89 24 units, they are looking more at 20.
- 90 Mr. Hirshberg said that one of the other questions that came up is that they had architectural plans for
- 91 the duplexes, and considering that one is built they may want to stay in that style. However, with the
- 92 detached units, the Boards typically look at elevations and they wouldn't be overly designed but would
- 93 give a sense of what they might be. Chairman White said that the Board's point of interest would be
- 94 how the units fit into the land but they would not need to be working drawings. There have been times
- 95 where owners came in with just sketches. Mr. Hirshberg said that it is sometimes easier to design
- 96 around a specific building rather than just design around a specific footprint. Mr. Pollack said that there
- 97 is intent to have the buildings blend together.
- 98 Mr. Pollack said that comparing the State regulations and the Town's, the biggest change is with the AoT
- 99 regulations. He thinks that it makes more sense to go the route of getting that approval first and then
- allow that to drive the space that is left after the storm water drainage to map the units. Mr. Pollack
- 101 continued that he thinks that the purpose of their visit was to see if there were any changes that would
- 102 need to accommodate.
- 103 Mr. Pollack asked and Mr. Marguise confirmed that he does not remember any Variances that were
- required for the prior approval. Mr. Hirshberg said that there was the exception of the drive grade,
- which the Fire Chief approved. The Board said that Mr. Hirshberg will want to also talk to the Road
- 106 Agent.
- 107 Mr. Hirshberg said that he does not remember how many units were approved for the first phase. Mr.
- Marquise said that they can look it up but that with the changes they may want to phase it differently.
- 109 Changes to the Minutes from the December 18, 2014 meeting:
- 110 Shane Hastings made a motion to accept the minutes for December 18th. Tanner Royce seconded the
- 111 motion. The motion passed unanimously.

112	Changes to the Minutes from the December 4, 2014 meeting (exempting lines 13-33 and lines 182 to		
113	185): Change line 46 to 47 to read " the Zoning Board will make their decision first"		
114	Robert Stanley made a motion to approve the minutes as amended. Tanner Royce seconded the		
115	motion. The motion passed unanimously.		
116	MISCELLANEOUS		
117	The Board noted that Mr. Lemieux, owner of the old Chase Marine property, has not come back		
118	regarding the excavation that he is doing on the property. If he does not come to the next meeting, Mr.		
119	Marquise assumes that there will need to be a Cease & Desist order as the Board will have to deny the		
120	application.		
121	Robert Stanley made a motion to adjourn at 8:23 PM. Tanner Royce seconded the motion. The motion		
122	passed unanimously.		
123	Respectfully submitted,		
124	Melissa Pollari		
125			
126	Planning Board		
127			
128	Peter White, Chairman	Robert Stanley, Vice-Chairman	
129		,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
130	Donna Davis Larrow	Tanner Royce	
131			
132	Kurt Markarian	Shane Hastings, ex-officio member	