1			
2			
3	SEPTEMBER 15, 2016		
4 5	PRESENT : Peter White, Chair; Donna Davis Larrow, Vice Chair; Richard Osborne; Joseph Furlong; Shane Hastings, ex-officio member; Joseph Butler; Randy Clark, Alternate; Michael Marquise, Planner		
6	ABSENT: Kurt Markarian		
7	See attached sign in sheet		
8	Chairman White called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.		
9	Chairman White appointed Mr. Clark to sit for Mr. Markarian.		
10	MISCELLANEOUS		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Chairman White said that he attended the last Zoning meeting as he was concerned about one of the cases, a Use Variance for an auto body shop where the dance studio is on Route 11 right before the storage place. The proposal was for an auto body repair shop, the owner's future home on the site, and the dance studio and offices to remain. He went to express his concerns about the case; though the applicants had 20 to 25 people in support of them. Chairman White continued that one member of the Zoning Board has told him that he got a little carried away but he was concerned as the Board has granted nine Variances out of nine requests, though they did end up denying this Variance; the three Variances after this case was heard were approved.		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Chairman White said that he thinks that at some point a joint meeting between the Planning Board and the Zoning Board is needed. He does not feel as though the Boards are aligned with how they look at the Master Plan. Vice Chair Larrow said that she thinks that there should be a review of the Master Plan because in the minutes of the Zoning meetings, Mr. Landry says that he feels as though it should be commercially zoned from Sunapee to Georges Mills. Chairman White said that it is Mr. Landry's personal opinion. Vice Chair Larrow said that it is not what the Master Plan says and she feels as though they need to make it clear that the goal is to stick with the Master Plan or they need to modify the Master Plan.		
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Chairman White said that there were a number of people that were in support of the project; though maybe 10 of them were not year round residents. He heard a few Zoning members say that the Ordinance and the Master Plan is wrong as the Route 11 corridor should be where commercial development should be, which is where he got offended as it is not up to the Zoning Board to decide, it is up to the Town's people to decide where development happens. Vice Chair Larrow said that it brings to light the training aspect of both Boards to make sure that everyone has a good grasp of the goals in order to keep personal opinions out. Mr. Marquise said that there cannot be Zoning Ordinances without a Master Plan.		
35 36	Chairman White said that many people stood up and spoke about how good the owners are and business is and the only person who spoke against it was an abutter of the property who said that he		

- just bought the house a year and a half ago and does not want an auto body shop next door to him. The
- 38 response to the abutter was that he bought on Route 11 and he is going to get noise anyway; which is
- 39 not necessarily an appropriate response.
- 40 Chairman White said that he does not know if the applicant is going to appeal the Zoning Board's
- 41 decision or what is going to happen.
- 42 Mr. Furlong asked and it was explained that the applicant was going to have two locations and on the
- 43 proposed location they were also going to have a residence.
- 44 Chairman White said that the concern is if you develop along Route 11 that the look will be more like
- 45 going from Newport to Claremont than what he thinks most people want Sunapee to look like. The
- 46 Master Plan was done in 2010 and will need to be redone in four years.
- 47 Mr. Butler asked and Chairman White confirmed that Mr. Landry was in support of the application. Mr.
- 48 Butler said that concerns him if the Master Plan shows something different. Chairman White said that
- 49 Mr. Landry seems to think Route 11 is where commercial development should happen in Town. Vice
- 50 Chair Larrow said that she thinks that Mr. Landry has sited that there have been other businesses
- approved in residential neighborhoods in the past few years so why would this application not be
- 52 approved. Chairman White said that these businesses have been approved through Variances.
- 53 Mr. Furlong asked about the reason that the application was denied. Chairman White said that there
- was no hardship. The attorney who presented the case did allude to the fact that there have been other
- businesses approved. Vice Chair Larrow said that this may be grounds for appeal. Chairman White said
- that he would be surprised if the applicant does not appeal.
- 57 There was further discussion regarding the Master Plan, Route 11 corridor, commercial properties, and
- 58 sprawl as well about the Variance application.

59 **PROPOSED ZONING AMENDMENTS FOR THE 2017 TOWN MEETING**

- 60 Mr. Marquise said that the Zoning Board was invited to attend this meeting and Mr. Platt is in
- attendance to discuss some of his suggestions. Mr. Platt said that Mr. Landry did not really encourage
- the Zoning Board members to attend the meeting.
- 63 Mr. Marquise said that from a Planning standpoint, one issue that they have come across that needs to
- 64 be addressed is the Accessory Dwelling Unit law. The State passed a law last year that mandated that
- Towns must allow Accessory Dwelling Units, without regard to density, road frontage, shore frontage,
- etc. The law comes into effect on July 1, 2017, and if the Board does nothing, it is a free for all. They
- 67 can make it so they are only allowed through a Special Exception with some restrictions that the State
- 68 allows. Mr. Clarke asked and Mr. Marquise explained that the only requirement that has to be met is a
- 69 setback requirement but if it is non-conforming based on size, it must be allowed. There was further
- 70 discussion regarding the restrictions and about Special Exceptions as well about this law. The Board
- 71 discussed limiting the size of the Accessory Dwelling Units to 1,000 square feet. The Board determined
- that they would not include design standards in the Ordinance. Mr. Marquise said that he will need to
- 73 write a definition and then the Ordinance with the requirements.

- Mr. Platt said that one of his proposed Amendments is in regards to the language for pre-existing lots, non-conforming lots. Currently, if you make a change to a pre-existing lot, it no longer qualifies as a pre-existing lot as it has been changed so setbacks and such are no longer applicable for a pre-existing lot, even if it is still non-conforming. Mr. Butler asked and Mr. Marquise said that the lot would no longer be a Grandfathered lot either. Mr. Platt said that he is suggesting changing the wording from "pre-existing" to "legal" so they still have the benefits of the pre-existing lots. There was further discussion regarding this proposed Amendment and the Board decided it is a reasonable idea.
- 81 Mr. Platt said that another Proposed Amendment has to do with road setbacks. Two years ago the front 82 setback definition was changed, however, they did not change the language in Article III, the chart, 83 which requires all the roads and private roads to be on the official map. If there is a lot that has a road 84 going through the middle, to have a 50 ft setback on both sides it greatly reduces the buildable area. 85 Mr. Platt suggested removing the language in Article III that says "official map" and to say "private 86 roads, as defined in the Ordinance", to make everything consistent. There was further discussion 87 regarding this proposal. Mr. Marquise asked about Oakledge as the roads there are private and not to 88 Town standards nor are they Town maintained. Mr. Platt said that he believes that they have their own 89 set of Covenants and setbacks. Chairman White asked if a subdivision is created and the road is kept 90 private at first if the development is not built to Town specs, can the lots not have a road setback. Mr. 91 Marquise said that roads must be built to Town specs now, so new developments should not be an 92 issue. Chairman White asked there is a private road already existing and things are not built to Town 93 specs but then they upgrade the road and ask for it to be taken over, if in the conversion process they 94 will look at the setbacks. Mr. Osborne said that the road would not meet Town specs. The Board 95 agreed that Mr. Marquise would write something up on this proposal.
- 96 Mr. Platt said that it seems like the Boards should be able to make some changes to help people not 97 have potentially three month before a project is approved. Mr. Platt suggested to change it so that the 98 Zoning Board meeting is held before the Planning Board meeting or for simple things the Boards could 99 have joint meetings. Mr. Marquise said that they discussed having joint meetings and asked if both 100 Board would be in favor of holding additional meetings. Chairman White said that another concern that 101 he would have would be how he could voice his concerns about Zoning. Vice Chair Larrow said that 102 agenda items would also need to be determined so that the agendas are not too packed. Mr. Osborne 103 said that he likes the ideas of switching the meetings. There was also a discussion about having just one 104 day per month to have the applications in for both Boards.
- There was a discussion about applicants paying for abutters notices with separate checks as it used to be done like this, however, the postage would come out of the Planning and Zoning Budget. The
 Selectboard would have to be OK with the budgets going up for this to work as the money comes back in but it doesn't go to their budget.
- Mr. Platt made some suggestions on how to reduce paperwork as well as how to make things easier for audience members to know what is going on such as putting plans up during a meeting or having flat screen televisions, a projector, etc. Mr. Clark asked about electronic packets for the Board members.
- Mr. Platt said that another Amendment proposal he has is in regards to structures that are being built further away from a setback, but not within the footprint and still within the setback so they require a Variance. Mr. Marquise said that the complication is how to fit it into an Ordinance as the law says that

- the footprint is what is Grandfathered. Mr. Osborne said that it makes sense to change the Ordinance if
- 116 you are further away from a non-conforming setback and not encroaching on another setback. There
- was further discussion regarding this proposal.
- 118 There was a discussion about the need for a Variance to change two non-conforming lots.
- 119 Chairman White asked if there were any issues with any definitions.
- Mr. Platt said that there was an issue with a Special Exception for lesser front setbacks where it talks
- about "a majority of lots on the same side of the road and within 500 ft either side of the subject lot
- have structures of equal or greater type." He has always thought it was one side of the lot or the other
- and some people on the Board thinks that it is both sides of the lot. Mr. Marquise and Chairman White
- said that they believe that the intent was to be both sides of the lot. Mr. Platt suggested changing the
- wording to not have any confusion.
- 126 There was a discussion regarding Accessory Dwelling Units and septic systems and the requirement to
- 127 replace a tank with a bigger tank if a septic system fails and the need to have a plan in place but you
- don't have to put in a bigger tank unless it does fail.
- 129 There was a discussion about changing the tree cutting allowance on the Shoreland from five trees per
- 130 year calendar year to five trees per 12 month period as well as about if abutters should be notified for
- cutting and clearing requests to cut more than five trees. There was a discussion regarding setbacks and
- buffers for cutting trees on shorefront lots within 150 ft. The Board agreed to cut down the buffer to 12
- 133 ft around the driveway, 10 ft around the parking area, and maybe making it a percentage allowance
- 134 such as 25%.
- 135 Changes to the Planning Board minutes from August 4, 2016: Change "Mr. Dunn" to "Attorney Dunn"
- throughout the minutes.
- 137 Vice Chair Larrow made a motion to accept the August 4, 2016 minutes with the exception that Attorney
- Dunn will be referenced as Attorney Dunn as opposed to Mr. Dunn throughout the August 4th minutes.
- 139 Mr. Osborne seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
- 140 Changes to the Planning Board minutes from August 18, 2016:
- 141 Vice Chair Larrow made a motion to accept the August 18, 2016 minutes. Mr. Furlong seconded the
- 142 motion. The motion passed unanimously.
- 143 Mr. Butler made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:19 pm. Mr. Furlong seconded the motion. The
- 144 motion passed unanimously.
- 145 Respectfully submitted,
- 146 Melissa Pollari

149	Planning Board	
150		
151	Peter White, Chairman	Donna Davis Larrow, Vice Chair
152		
153	Kurt Markarian	Richard Osborne
154		
155	Joseph Furlong	Joseph Butler
156		
157	Shane Hastings, ex-officio member	Randy Clark, Alternate Member