#### **STURBRIDGE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

MINUTES OF September 28, 2005

Present:	Ginger Peabody, Chair Mary Blanchard Margaret Cooney Robert Cornoni Pat Jeffries Bruce Sutter
Absent:	Theophile Beaudry
Motion: 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Vote:	to approve the minutes of August 25, 2005 by, M. Blanchard P. Jeffries all in favor

## 7:05 Public Hearing continuation for Stoneleigh Woods Special Permit

G. Peabody read Town Counsel opinion into the record.

Mark Donahue appeared before the Board to request a continuation of the Public Hearing.

Motion:	to continue the Public Hearing to October 12, 2005 at 7:30 P.M.,
	by M. Blanchard
2 <sup>nd</sup> :	P. Jeffries
Vote:	In favor: G. Peabody, M. Blanchard, R. Cornoni, P. Jeffries,
	B. Sutter
	Opposed: M. Cooney

7:20 G. Peabody read legal notice for Special Permit/Variance – Frederick and Margaret Gunn – to Demolish the existing structure, to permit the construction of a single family dwelling and allow it to encroach 10 feet into the street setback on a lot that lacks the required frontage at 36 and 38 Goodrich Rd.

Motion: 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Vote:	to grant the variance to allow the construction within 10 feet of the street setback, by P. Jeffries R. Cornoni all in favor
Motion:	to continue the Public Hearing to October 12, 2005 at 7:45 PM for other Variances required, by M. Blanchard
2 <sup>nd</sup> : Vote:	P. Jeffries all in favor

#### 7:40 Determination Request for Alfred and Bryan Trifonne of 16 Eastern Avenue.

Motion:	to make a negative Determination, by P. Jeffries
2 <sup>nd</sup> :	M. Blanchard
Vote:	all in favor

## 7:50 Determination Request for Michael and Carolyn Cook of 46 Old Village Road

Motion:	to make a positive Determination, by M. Blanchard
Second:	P. Jeffries
Vote:	all in favor

# 8:20 Determination Request for Thomas and Carolyn Neill of 20 Tantasqua Shore Drive

	to make a negative Determination, by B. Sutter
2 <sup>nd</sup> :	P. Jeffries
Vote:	all in favor

8:30 Steve Paquette of Crescent Gate appeared before the Board to discuss a possible minor modification of the 55 years old age requirement of the qualified occupant. It was the consensus of the Board that another age would not be considered.

## 9:30

Motion:	to adjourn, by G. Peabody
2 <sup>nd</sup> :	P. Jeffries
Vote:	all in favor

MB/ljc