1		Town of Sandown	
2	Zoning Board of Adjustment		
3	Minutes 6/30/16		
4			
5			
6	Meeting Date:	June 30, 2016	
7	<u>Type of Meeting</u> :	Public Hearing	
8	Method of Notification:	Public Posting - Sandown Town Hall, Sandown Post Office,	
9		Sandown Website, Eagle Tribune	
10	Meeting Location:	Sandown Town Hall	
11	Members present:	Chairman - Steve Meisner, Vice Chairman - Christopher True,	
12	Mambang abaan4.	Dave Ardolino, Curt Sweet, Chris Longchamps – Alternate	
13 14	Members absent:	Brian St. Amand, Jim Devine –Selectmen's Liaison	
14 15			
16	Mr. Meisner opened the r	neeting at 7:03 n m	
17	with weisher opened the meeting at 7.05 p.m.		
18	Mr. Longchamps was appointed as a voting member.		
19			
20	M25 L67, 10 Steele Driv	e – An application submitted by Ronald and Sharon Frick	
21	requesting a special exco	eption in accordance with Article V, Section 6 to permit the	
22	conversion of a seasonal	to full-time occupancy.	
23			
24	-	e application. He noted the properties have been in the family since the	
25		well 13 years ago. In 2003, they made renovations to the house. They	
26	installed a new septic system in 2012. Previous to that, they had an easement on the abutting		
27	property for the septic. They did a lot line adjustment in April of 2016 to encompass the septic		
28	system and increase their lot to 21,000 sq. ft. There is only one dwelling on the property, they meet all the criteria for the special exception to convert from a seasonal property to year-round		
29 30	property.	e special exception to convert from a seasonal property to year-round	
30 31	property.		
32	Mr. Meisner reviewed the	e criteria and felt he met them all.	
33			
34	Mr. Meisner noted his con	ncern was that it was on a private road and questioned if the Planning	
35	Board required he submit a hold harmless agreement to the town. Mr. Frick noted the Planning		
36	Board didn't require he do that. He added that he has to do a new deed so this would be the time		
37	to do that and would have no problem with it. Mr. Meisner noted it would help if he ever sold the		
38	property; a future mortgag	ge company would look for that to be in place.	
39			
40	1	propriate because it was a change of use and going from a seasonal	
41	property to full-time. The	board agreed.	
42			
43	Ma Mainun 141	enotion to the multic. There are a start that are stall to the start	
44 45	Mr. Meisner opened the meeting to the public. There was none that wanted to speak to this application.		

46 47 **MOTION:** Mr. True made a motion to accept the application submitted by Ronald and Sharon Frick requesting a special exception in accordance with Article V, Section 6 to permit the 48 49 conversion of a seasonal to full-time occupancy with the condition that he submit a hold harmless agreement to the Town of Sandown. Mr. Longchamps seconded the motion. All 50 51 members voted in favor. The motion passed. 5-0. 52 53 Mr. Meisner noted for the applicant that according to RSA 677:2 there was a 30-day appeals 54 timeframe. 55 M27 L71, 5 East Lane – An application submitted by Debra Bretton requesting a variance 56 from Article II, Part B, Section 3.C.1 to allow the conversion of a residential structure from 57 seasonal to full-time occupancy which does not meet the minimum receiving soil and 58 receiving layer requirements for non-sewered lots. 59 60 Debra Bretton presented the application. Ms. Bretton noted she purchased the home two years 61 62 ago and was informed that it was a year-round residence. She was recently told that it was only seasonal. There was a 1,250 gallon state approved septic system installed in 2004. It is a 3-63 bedroom home. 64 65 Mr. Meisner noted that the answers to the criteria questions were very important. Ms. Bretton 66 acknowledged that she had a difficult time understanding them and answering them. 67 68 Mr. Meisner noted that the regulations for conversions have changed and gotten stricter. 69 70 71 Mr. Meisner questioned if she had a foundation and she noted she did, a slab foundation. 72 73 Mr. Meisner opened the meeting to the public. 74 75 Richard Farrell, 7 East Lane 76 Mr. Farrell had no concerns with Ms. Bretton staying year-round. His concern was whether her 77 septic system met requirements since it is close to his well. He tests his well every couple of 78 years and hasn't had any issues. Mr. Meisner noted it is a state approved septic system. The 79 required setback is 50 feet and his well is 48 feet from the septic. So it is just barely within the 80 radius. 81 Mr. Longchamps questioned if the lot size was similar to the other lots in the neighborhood. The 82 board reviewed the tax map and the lots are all very similar size. All but four lots are year-round. 83 84 85 Mr. True noted that if he went by the criteria questions, he does not feel the property being seasonal denies them reasonable use of their property. He has concerns with conversions. The 86 87 intent of the ordinance is to prevent overcrowding and he doesn't think they should grant an 88 exception. 89 90 Mr. Meisner added that the house has a new septic. The home is a reasonable year-round home and on a foundation. It meets all state setbacks for well and septic. The downside is how Ms. 91

92 93 94	Bretton answered the criteria questions since he doesn't feel like she addressed all of them correctly.
95 96	Mr. Sweet felt that the intent of the ordinance was so the property could meet all their setbacks for well and setback. The property does meet those setbacks.
97	
98 99	Mr. Meisner noted that until about two years ago, we have not let anyone convert on lots under 20,000 sq. ft.
100	
101	Mr. True added he doesn't feel there is anything special about the property since the
102 103	neighborhood is a mix of seasonal and year-round.
104	Mr. Longchamps felt that a precedence had been set since adjacent properties are also year-
105 106	round. It's been proven that a year-round home can be there. It also meets all the setbacks.
107	Mr. Meisner explained the process for Ms. Bretton. He noted that she would need to apply for
108	the special exception if the variance was granted. The variance was just for the 20,000 sq. ft. It
109	did not mean that she was approved for year-round residency. She would need to submit a
110	separate application for the conversion.
111	
112	Mr. True noted that he had issues with Ms. Bretton's answers to questions 3 and 5. He does not
113	feel there are any special conditions and does not feel substantial justice was met.
114	Teel mere are any special conditions and does not reel substantial justice was met.
115	MOTION: Mr. True made a motion to grant the variance for an application submitted by Debra
116	Bretton requesting a variance from Article II, Part B, Section 3.C.1 to allow the conversion of a
117	residential structure from seasonal to full-time occupancy which does not meet the minimum
118	receiving soil and receiving layer requirements for non-sewered lots. Mr. Longchamps seconded
119	the motion.
120	
121	<i>Discussion:</i> Mr. Sweet noted the questions can be tricky to answer and everyone interprets things
122	differently.
123	y.
124	Mr. Meisner felt the property met the criteria, but has concerns with how Ms. Bretton answered
125	some of the criteria.
126	
127	Mr. Sweet noted he understands Mr. True's concerns. He would have an issue with the
128	conversion if the property didn't meet the required setbacks.
129	
130	Mr. True added that he appreciates that the property meets all the setbacks, but still has issues
131	with the answers to the criteria questions.
132	
133	Mr. Longchamps agreed the questions can be tricky, but you can get the gist of what Ms. Bretton
134	was trying to convey.
135	
136	Mr. Sweet, Mr. Meisner and Mr. Longchamps voted in favor. Mr. True and Mr. Ardolino voted
137	against. The motion passed 3-2.

138

- 139 Mr. Meisner noted for the applicant that according to RSA 677:2 there was a 30-day appeals
- timeframe.
- 141

142 **Review of the 5/26/16 Minutes**

- 143 **MOTION:** Mr. True made a motion to approve the 5/26/16 minutes as written. Mr. Ardolino
- seconded the motion. Members voted in favor. Mr. Longchamps and Mr. Sweet abstained. The
- 145 motion passed.
- 146
- 147 **MOTION:** Mr. True made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Longchamps seconded the motion. All
- 148 members voted in favor. The motion passed. Meeting adjourned at approximately 8:30 p.m.
- 149 Respectfully submitted,

Idres Mains

150 151 Andrea Cairns