Town of Sandown Zoning Board of Adjustment

Minutes 04/29/10

Draft Only

Meeting Date: April 29, 2010

8 Type of Meeting: Regularly Scheduled
9 Method of Notification: Public Posting ~ Sand

Method of Notification: Public Posting ~ Sandown Town Hall & Sandown Post Office; Website and the Carriage Towne News.

Meeting Location: Sandown Town Hall

<u>Members present:</u> Vice Chairman Doug Martin, Ken Sherwood, Curt Sweet,

Alternate B.J. Richardson and Steve Brown, Selectmen's

Liaison

Also present: Administrative Aide Bette Patterson

Absent: Chairman Steve Meisner

Vice Chairman opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

Case #1 Map 28 Lot 33 Property Location 38 Lakeside Drive

LeBaron S. Atherton requesting a variance from Article II Part A Section 16 (B.1) of the Sandown Zoning Ordinance to install a septic system with less than required setbacks from wetlands and lot lines.

Tim Lavelle presented the plan on behalf of Mr. Atherton. Mr. Lavelle stated that the septic system is a clean solution system. The affluent goes into a tank that is aerated and acts like a filter. The reason for the design is to clean the affluent as much as possible. The affluent coming from the new system is supposed to be 90% clean when it comes out of the tank. There will be an air pump in the basement that will operate it. The proposed location of the system is 27 feet from Angle pond. The existing system is on Town owned land. This property was granted an easement to continue to use the existing system by the Board of Selectmen in April of 2000. The easement is specific in that it says that in the event of failure, it has to be moved on to the owner's property.

Ken Sherwood stated that there is an old dry well in that location. He asked if that was the original septic tank.

Mr. Lavelle responded yes, however, it was the tank cover that collapsed. The water line actually runs through the well. Mr. Lavelle stated that he would add notes to the plan referencing any waivers that are issued by the State of NH.

The board discussed with Mr. Lavelle the possibility to moving the system back further or on a different part of the property. Mr. Lavelle stated that they could not meet the well radius requirement to the abutting property. Mr. Sherwood asked if the system could be moved up to the shed/driveway area and pump up to it. Mr. Lavelle stated there is not enough room to do that and there is too much ledge.

ZBA Minutes Draft Only

Mr. Lavelle also stated that there is a concrete pad under the deck which is where the

Mr. Richardson stated that he is concerned that the deck, as shown on the plan, would

Mr. Lavelle explained that it's not uncommon to do this, however, if the board doesn't

Mr. Atherton, the applicant, stated that they tried to empty the existing tank, however,

proposed new system would be located.

be supported by the septic tank.

want it that way, they can change it.

they could only go down four feet.

51

52 53

54

555657

58 59

60

61

| 62 | | |
|----------------------------|---|----|
| 63 | Mr. Richardson asked if the old tank would be removed. | |
| 64 65 66 | Mr. Lavelle stated that the old tank would be removed and replaced with the new system. The leach field would remain on the town property as is. | |
| 67 68 69 | Mr. Sherwood stated that if it's a dry well it should be filled in. He commented that the new system is an improvement to what is there now. | |
| 70 71 72 | Mr. Lavelle reviewed the criteria for a variance as submitted in the application. | |
| 73 | Mr. Sweet noted that the plan presented is not certified. | |
| 74 75 76 77 78 | Mr. Lavelle stated that the measurements are correct, however, the reason it is not certified is because this is strictly a septic plan only. This plan could not be used for survey purposes. | |
| 79 80 | Vice Chairman Martin opened the meeting to abutter's comments: | |
| 81 82 | Several of the abutters took the time to review the plan. | |
| 83 84 | Abutter's comments: | |
| 85 86 87 | Robert Millard stated he has a document that says if the tank fails it has to be taken ou and the land restored. | t, |
| 88 89 | Mr. Lavelle stated that they are only asking for the variance for the design at this time. The existing tank is not in failure at this time. | |
| 90 91 92 | Robert Millard disagreed, stating that the system is in failure. The property has been empty for 5 years but every time it rains it fills up and flows down the hill. | |
| 93 94 95 | Vice Chairman Martin stated that there is no record that the system is in failure. | |
| 96 97 98 | Robert Millard stated concern that the right of way on the property be put back to the way it was originally. | |
| 98 99 100 101 | Vice Chairman Martin stated that the board understands the concerns raised by Mr. Millard, however, the ZBA is only here to address the request for a variance to locate a septic system without the proper setbacks. | ı |
| | | 2 |
| | | |

ZBA Minutes Draft Only

102103

104 105

106

Robert Millard asked if the board grants this and the property restored will the parking lot be removed and the two out buildings that were installed without permits? He also asked if the variance could be granted with those conditions. He stated the Town does a terrible job of protecting the lake. Mr. Millard also showed the board photos of the property.

107 108

Vice Chairman Martin read the following letter from an abutter into the record:

109 110

Dear Zoning Board of Adjustment,

111 112 113

114

115

116 117

118

119

120

121 122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

My wife and I have lived here at 33 Lakeside Drive since 2003 and in that time have seen the ROW property change in appearance due to the previous abutter John Wyman. During this time, Mr. Wyman has permanently parked several construction vehicles and a 26' trailer on the ROW. paved to extend his driveway on to the ROW property, cut down trees and bushes, blocked access to the lake by snowmobiles during the winter, and intimidated residents from using the ROW until his departure sometime in 2008. In speaking to many of the residents in the neighborhood who are also deeded rights to this ROW property, we are fearful that this may occur again with the new or future owners of 38 Lakeside Drive. In speaking with LeBaron S. Atherton, I made it extremely clear that actions of this type will not be tolerated in the future and that this ROW is for use by all whom are deeded to it and he assured me that he will abide by this. As for the petition for a variance, I have but a few questions, I would like to know what if anything Mr. Atherton plans on doing or is required of him relating to the removal of the old septic holding tank or parts that are currently installed on the ROW property? I feel that any part of the old septic system must be removed due to my environmental concerns before any new septic system is installed on or near the ROW property and that the ROW be returned to it's current appearance following the installation. My second question relates to the Septic plans that I viewed this morning for that property. The plans dictate that the deck be removed so that the second septic holding tank, piping, and leaching field can be installed in that area however Mr. Atherton recently renovated this same deck with new decking, rails, etc.. What is to happen with this deck and can it be re-installed over the planned septic system. If these two questions can be resolved and the complete understanding that this property of not the property of any current and/or future owner of 38 Lakeside drive, I have no objections to allowing a variance on the ROW.

135136

137 Sincerely138 James Conte139 Leslie Morgan Conte

140 141

Mr. Lavelle stated that this plan shows exactly where the right of way is and the boundaries of the road.

142143144

Vice Chairman Martin closed public comment.

145146

147

148

Ken Sherwood stated that some of the concerns that Mr. Millard raised have not been addressed. Paving on the right of way is something that may be able to be addressed by the road agent. If the existing system is a stone and pipe system, it should be removed, however, if it's another type, it should be filled in.

149 150 151

Curt Sweet stated that this board is really here to address the setback issue. The concerns brought to this board need to be addressed by the Board of Selectmen. The proposed new septic system will be better than what is existing.

153154155

152

ZBA Minutes Draft Only

| 156 | Ken Sherwood agreed that installing a better system will protect the pond and there |
|-----|--|
| 157 | could be a condition in the approval that the system must be installed within 6 months. |
| 158 | Mr. Sherwood reiterated that the existing system is not in failure at this time. |
| 159 | |
| 160 | B.J. Richardson stated that his first concern is that there was a problem with trying to |
| 161 | pump the existing system which may indicate that it is in failure. He stated that he is |
| 162 | leaning in the direction of mandating that the existing system be removed. |
| 163 | g v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v |
| 164 | Vice Chairman Martin stated that he likes the new system but would like to see it |
| 165 | installed without the deck being built on it. |
| 166 | instance without the door being built of it. |
| 167 | |
| 168 | MOTION: Ken Sherwood made a motion to GRANT a variance to LeBaron Atherton |
| | from Article II Part A Section 16 (B.1) of the Sandown Zoning Ordinance to install a |
| 169 | · · · |
| 170 | septic system with less than required setbacks from wetlands and lot lines. The property |
| 171 | is shown on Map 28, Lot 33 on the Sandown Tax Map, 38 Lakeside Drive. |
| 172 | The fellowing conditions shall control |
| 173 | The following conditions shall apply: |
| 174 | Cuistian (ald) soutia sustant to be recoved |
| 175 | Existing (old) septic system to be removed. |
| 176 | New septic system, meeting all required standards, shall be installed no later |
| 177 | than October 31, 2010 or this variance shall be null and void. |
| 178 | |
| 179 | Curt Sweet seconded. Voted unanimously in the affirmative. MOTION PASSED. |
| 180 | |
| 181 | |
| 182 | Application Review(s) for May Meeting - No applications submitted |
| 183 | |
| 184 | Review of Minutes – March 25, 2010 - Approval delayed until May meeting |
| 185 | |
| 186 | Old & New Business |
| 187 | |
| 188 | Ken Sherwood recommended that the zoning ordinance be re-codified. |
| 189 | |
| 190 | Adjournment |
| 191 | |
| 192 | MOTION: Curtis Sweet made a motion to adjourn. B.J. Richardson seconded. Voted |
| 193 | unanimously in the affirmative. MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:30 P.M. |
| 194 | |
| 195 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 196 | |
| 197 | |
| 198 | |
| 199 | Bette Patterson |
| 200 | Administrative Aide |
| _00 | |
| | |