1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	February 16, 2016
4	·
5	Date: February 16, 2016
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	<b>Members Present:</b> Ernie Brown - <i>Chairman</i> , Ed Mencis - <i>Secretary</i> , Matt Russell - <i>Vice</i>
8	Chairman, Steven Meisner, Doug Martin, Terry Treanor - Ex Officio, Erik Dykeman -
9	Alternate
10	Members Absent: Mark Traeger, Lisa Butler – Alternate
11	Also Present: Andrea Cairns – Administrative Assistant
12	M. D
L3	Mr. Brown opened the meeting at approximately 7:07 p.m.
L4	Mr. Dylamon was appointed for Mr. Tracegor
l5 l6	Mr. Dykeman was appointed for Mr. Traeger.
L7	Review of the 1/19/16 Minutes
18	<b>MOTION:</b> Mr. Russell made a motion to accept the 1/19/16 minutes as written. Mr.
19	Martin seconded the motion. Members voted in favor. Mr. Treanor abstained. The motion
20	passed.
21	
22	Tim Lavelle - Wells Village Estates
23	Tim Lavelle was representing Kasher Corporation – Wells Village Estates project
24	Mr. Lavelle noted that at the recommendation of Keach Nordstrom, they went out and
25	surveyed the existing conditions. They now have a more accurate depiction of what exists
26	and what road work needs to be done to bring it to completion. Mr. McCarthy decided he
27	didn't want to move forward with the single family configuration and wanted to look at a
28	multi-family open space development. They would change the road back to a private road
29	and construct 50 multi-family tri-plex and four-plex units. They met with Steve Keach
30	and with his feedback are coming to the board with what they are considering.
31	Mr. Dansun noted that Ctave Woodh had register on ancil with avacastions of what they
32	Mr. Brown noted that Steve Keach had written an email with suggestions of what they
33 34	should discuss.
35	Mr. Lavelle noted that they changed the secondary access after speaking to the fire chief.
36	Chief Tapley will still need to review and approve the updated plans.
37	omer rupley will still need to review and approve the apatica plans.
38	Mr. Lavelle also noted they were still interested in satisfying the recreation requirement
39	with their proposal to build a parking lot at the conservation property off Wells Village
10	Road and give a recreation donation per building as long as the board was satisfied with
11	that scenario.
12	
13	Mr. Brown reminded the board of Mr. Keach's last paragraph stating that their discussion
14	was just conceptual and was without benefit of abutter notification and public notices so

72

79 80

81

82

83

84 85

86 87

88

89

90

- they could not make any decisions. Mr. Lavelle was aware of that and just wanted to raise some issues for the board to think about.

  Mr. Brown questioned if there was a cistern planned. Mr. Lavelle noted they had planned to sprinkler the buildings so there was no cistern planned.

  Mr. Mencis noted it would be helpful if they could come to the next meeting with what the buildings would look like. Mr. Lavelle will bring full renderings.
- the buildings would look like. Mr. Lavelle will bring full renderings.
   Mr. Russell questioned if the intent was to make them rentals. Mr. Lavelle confirmed
   they would be at first. They will likely create condo documents so they could be sold
- eventually.
  Mr. Russell noted his concern with all the changes. At first the plan was for 55+ which
  would have little impact on the schools; it changed to single family homes which from a
  population and concentration standpoint was less impact than 50 units. He questioned if
- there was a traffic study done on that road and would the new proposal impact it differently.
- Mr. Lavelle noted a traffic study was done for that entire section of town for Hillside
   Estates and looked at the build-out of all the undeveloped land. The total number of unit
- Estates and looked at the build-out of all the undeveloped land. The total number of units of the new proposal is two less than the 55+ proposal so it shouldn't impact it differently.
- Mr. Martin noted the age restriction would have less impact on the road than families living there. He requested that the board get a copy of that traffic study. Mr. Lavelle noted the density is allowed under the open space development ordinance. His major question is what the fire chief will require for a second entrance.
- Mr. Martin questioned if it was the same number of bedrooms as the 55+ proposal. Mr.
  Lavelle noted they hadn't determined that yet. Mr. Meisner noted under the OSD ordinance, they could have 50% be two-bedroom units and 50% be three-bedroom units.
  Mr. Lavelle noted they had not yet determined what the breakdown would be. Mr. Martin added that they could potentially yield 30% higher population by going from 104 units to 125 units.
  - Mr. Martin also noted that they changed the wetland crossing and wondered if that would need to be addressed as well. Mr. Russell noted that changed because NHDES changed their requirements.
  - Mr. Russell questioned if they would notify abutters. Mr. Lavelle noted they would come back with a full application that included notification of abutters.
  - Mr. Brown recommended they come back to the board and go through the design review process. He also noted that Mr. Keach recommended that he provide the board with intent to withdraw the previous application. He recommended that Mr. Lavelle find the old traffic study, noting it may need to be updated. He also needs to explore what the fire

- 91 chief wants for secondary access. He would prefer to go through a design review and 92 have a greater discussion prior to accepting the application for jurisdiction. 93 94 Mr. Meisner suggested all the members review the OSD ordinance again especially 95 against the plans submitted. 96 97 Mr. Lavelle noted that the traffic study took into consideration Riverbend, Hillside 98 Estates and Vantage Point. 99 100 **Other Business** Brian Tammany – Petra Paving 101 102 Mr. Tammany noted he purchased the property at 531 Main Street which is a 2-family. 103 They have been thinking about subdividing that property and putting in a second multi-104 family unit. They are short for what they need in terms of acreage. He spoke with Steve 105 Keach and Tim Lavelle and got some good information. He would like to hear the 106 board's opinion on the possibly. He also noted the second option they are looking at is 107 purchasing additional land from the Celeste family. He is new to the process and was 108 hoping for education and guidance on what is possible what requires a variance. 109 110 Mr. Tammany noted his lot is 3.25 acres and 3.5 acres is required. 111
- Mr. Meisner noted he could put a single-family house on there with an accessory apartment. That home would need to be owner occupied whereas the duplex does not. 114
- Mr. Meisner noted he would not need a variance to put a single-family house on there. He would need a variance for the duplex. The problem he foresees with the five criteria questions is proving extreme hardship. It might be hard because you could possibly put in a single-family home. It would be an interpretation by a five person board.
- Mr. Lavelle noted that lot was created within the last 10 years from the last piece of property owned by Vinnie Celeste so there should be lot size calculations.
- Mr. Brown noted that just because he has the correct size, it's based on soils not necessarily the dimensions. He needs to look at the soils and slopes.
- Mr. Brown recommended he hire a surveyor to look at the soils, water tables and slopes and from there determine if you can get a single family house. If you want to do a duplex, from there you can figure out if you need more land and how much. That is something you need to do no matter what.

## Correspondence

119

125

130131

132

133134

- Town of Salem Verizon Wireless Cel Tower
- Danville NOD Cotton Farm Road
- Plan NH help with developing a town center
- Notice of Decision from Danville Board of Adjustment they approved the
   reconstruction of the four unit apartment

170

171

Andrea Cairns

137 • Water conservation plan approval for Wells Village Estates 138 • Letter from the Department of Environmental Services to the EPA asking for 139 clarification on where measurements needed to be taken for the MS4 permit. 140 141 The board thought they should contact the lake associations and get them involved and 142 share information. Mr. Mencis will call Al Lake. 143 144 **Impact Fees** 145 The board thought they should discuss it after the elections so they can determine if they 146 are going to continue the process of withdrawing from the district. They can't determine 147 impact fees until they know that. 148 149 Members agreed impact fees needed to be addressed. They will contact Bruce Mayberry 150 after the election. 151 **MS4 Permit** 152 153 Ms. Cairns noted they need to start moving forward with some of the recommendations 154 made by Julie LaBranche. They could potentially talk with her again about applying for a 155 grant to get RPCs assistance again. 156 157 Mr. Brown noted there were some changes in legislation that will need to be addressed in 158 their zoning – signs and accessory apartments. They need to look at their ordinances to 159 make sure they are in compliance. The accessory apartment ordinance will likely need to 160 change since the new legislation does not allow it to be restricted to one bedroom. 161 162 Mr. Mencis took a moment to thank Matt Russell for his service who has served on the 163 board for 10+ years. 164 165 MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Martin seconded the motion. All 166 members voted unanimously in favor. The motion passed. MEETING ADJOURNED at 167 8:45 p.m. 168 169 Respectfully Submitted,