1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	July 15, 2014
4	July 13, 2014
5	<b>Date:</b> July 15, 2014
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	Members Present: Ernie Brown - Chairman, Matt Russell -Vice Chairman, Steven
8	Meisner, Doug Martin, Lisa Butler – Alternate
9	Also Present: Recording Secretary - Andrea Cairns
10	Absent: Mark Traeger, Ed Mencis – Secretary, Steve Brown – Ex Officio,
11	Town Engineer - Steve Keach
12	10WH Engineer Steve Reach
13	<b>Opening:</b> Mr. E. Brown opened the meeting at 7:10 p.m.
14	opening. Wit 2. Brown opened the meeting at 7.10 pinns
15	Ms. Butler was appointed in Mr. Traeger's position as a voting member.
16	
17	Review of the 6/17/14 Minutes
18	<b>MOTION:</b> Mr. Russell made a motion to accept the 6/17/14 minutes as written. Ms.
19	Butler seconded the motion. All members voted in favor. The motion passed.
20	1
21	Correspondence
22	The Board received a letter from Dr. Sheila and Mr. Blaine Wotring from Little Mill
22 23	Woods asking for a waiver for the 55+ restriction to allow her daughter, who has been ill
24	and was receiving care locally, to stay with them until she is able to find a new
24 25	employment and a new home.
26	
27	Dr. Wotring provided a copy of the condominium association documents for Little Mill
28	which state the Sandown Planning Board needed to authorize the waiver. If the Planning
29	Board declined to comment then the Board of Directors of the Association would be the
30	deciding party.
31	
32	Mr. Russell had no issue with granting the temporary waiver so that her daughter could
33	complete her care locally.
34	
35	Mr. Brown noted that the board received a recommendation from the town's engineer. He
36	noted that recognizing the ordinance, as well as state and federal law, the planning board
37	should decline to comment. The planning board does not have the statutory purview to
38	grant the waiver. If they decline to comment then the Board of Directors would be the
39	deciding party.
<del>1</del> 0	
41 42	Mr. Russell felt it was clearly noted in the documentation that the Planning Board does
12	have the purview to grant the waiver. The document would have been reviewed by the
43 14	towns' attorney and the attorney of the developer.
14	

54

60

68

74

78

79

80 81

82

83

84

85

- 45 Mr. Brown felt if the board declined to comment, that gives the board of directors of the 46 condo association the authority to make the decision. 47 48 Mr. Russell noted as far as he is concerned, it would be heartless of them as a board to 49 not grant this individual's request. He feels it is a very reasonable request. 50 51 Mr. Meisner noted he tends to err on the side of caution, so he would be against granting 52 the waiver. Taking into consideration the federal law and Mr. Keach's comments, he 53 would be inclined to decline comment so that it would go back to their board to make the
- Ms. Butler noted if the condo board is primarily residents, the Planning Board would have more of an unbiased opinion. If it goes back to the condo board, they are asking residents of the association to make the decision. She did not have an issue with granting the waiver.

decision. It would put the liability on the association and not on the town.

- Mr. E. Brown noted if the Planning Board declines to comment, the decision goes back to the association. He feels the association should run their own development.
- Mr. Russell agreed with Mr. Brown but noted the language of the association document would have been reviewed and approved by the town's attorney and approved by this board. Mr. Martin added that the attorney general for the state of NH would also have reviewed it.
- Mr. Meisner noted the laws for condos have changed over the years, federally, state and locally.
  71
- Mr. Martin questioned if the board had an opinion either way from the condo association.
  Ms. Cairns noted they didn't.
- Mr. Russell felt that even with a decision from the Planning Board it would still go back
  to their board for final decision.
  - Mr. Brown read through Sandown's Zoning Regulations. The regulations give the homeowner's association the authority to make the decision.
  - **MOTION:** Mr. Russell made a motion to decline to comment for the simple reason that the Board members are not attorneys and don't know federal and state law. They would prefer for the condo association board to make the decision. Mr. Meisner seconded the motion.
- Discussion: Mr. Brown noted he would love to give them what they want, but does not feel it is in the Planning Board's purview to grant the waiver.
- Mr. Meisner noted he feels the board shouldn't get involved without the town attorney's opinion. He hopes that the association allows the daughter to stay.

All members voted in favor. The motion passed.

Mr. Russell suggested they review condo documentation and federal and state law at their next work session. Mr. Martin suggested they notify local condo associations that they need to bring their bylaws up to date.

Mr. Russell noted it would be helpful for the board to understand state and federal law and understand the differences so they can make an informed decision.

Mr. Martin suggested they look at the zoning regulations surrounding 55+ developments to see what impacts are coming their way and also look at the possibility that some 55+ developments might want to change their status.

## **Other Business**

Mr. Martin wanted to follow-up on the Nordic Lincoln mylars that were supposed to be signed. Mr. Russell explained they were signed and all requirements had been met. Ms. Cairns noted there was an error on the plans—the lot numbers that had been assigned to the two new lots were already used. The plans will need to be corrected and signed again. The corrected plans will need to be recorded at the registry of deeds.

Mr. Meisner noted that at the last Zoning Board meeting, they reviewed an accessory apartment application and there was some language regarding the septic requirements that the board found confusing. He wanted the Planning Board to review the language: Article V, Section 5 – Accessory Apartments, E, 7a – The septic system shall meet the NH Water Supply and Pollution Control Division requirements for the combined use.

119 Mr. Meisner noted they've only ever required a septic plan showing the lot will accept 120 the updated septic system that includes the home and the apartment. They've never 121 required the system to be installed prior to approval.

Mr. Brown read the regulation. He felt it read that the existing system needs to meet the regulations.

Mr. Meisner noted that to make them have the updated system in place before it was approved is a large expense, especially if for some reason the application was not approved.

Mr. Martin noted he always understood it to mean if the system failed, we had another approved system in place to install as an expanded system.

Mr. Brown felt the wording read that it should be in place, but understands the logic of not requiring it be installed beforehand.

136	Mr. Brown questioned if Mr. Meisner wanted the wording clarified. Mr. Meisner noted
137	he would like to get the opinion of the town engineer, but feels the wording should be
138	clarified since it is vague.
139	
140	He also noted there was other criteria under the accessory apartment ordinance dealing
141	with electricity that he needed to get clarification from the electrical inspector on.
142	
143	The Board agreed to add the accessory apartment language to the zoning ordinance
144	discussion.
145	
146	MOTION: Mr. Russell made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Meisner seconded the motion. All
147	members voted unanimously in favor. The motion passed. MEETING ADJOURNED at
148	7:56 p.m.
149	
150	Respectfully Submitted,
	$\sim 1$
151	Andrea Cairns
151 152	Andrea Cairns
132	marca Carno