1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	May 21, 2013
<i>3</i>	Way 21, 2013
5	Date: May 21, 2013
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	Members Present: Matthew Russell -Vice Chairman, Ernie Brown, Steve Meisner, Ed
8	Mencis, Hans Nicolaisen – Ex-Officio
9	Also Present: Steve Keach – Town Engineer, Andrea Cairns - Recording Secretary
10	Absent: Mark Traeger – Chairman, Matthew Brown, Jim Carroll
11	Tibsent. Mark Tracger Chairman, Matthew Brown, Jim Carron
12	Opening: Mr. Russell opened the meeting at 7:06 p.m.
13	opening in right opening we have prince
14	Approval of the 4/16/13 Minutes
15	L10 Change "Selectman's Liaison" to "Ex-Officio"
16	
17	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to accept the 4/16/13 minutes as amended. Mr. E.
18	Brown seconded the motion. Members voted in favor. Mr. Nicolaisen abstained. The
19	motion passed.
20	
21	Approval of the 5/13/13 Minutes
22	L204 Mr. Mencis questioned if the Selectman could remove someone from office if they
23	are elected. Mr. Meisner will do research on that and report back to the Board.
24	
25	MOTION: Mr. Nicolaisen made a motion to approve the 5/13/13 minutes as written. Mr.
26	Mencis seconded the motion. All members voted in favor. The motion passed.
27	
28	Correspondence
29	Note from RPC regarding the 2013 annual meeting on June 12 th at the Granite Rose in
30	Hampstead, NH. It is \$30/per person.
31	
32	Letter to the Board of Selectman from Fitzgerald-Boyd, Attorney, regarding Hillside
33	Estates. The letter questioned Mr. Russell's integrity, he was not going to reading it into
34 35	the minutes, but asked if another member of the Board would like to read it aloud.
	Members agreed it did not need to be read aloud, but the letter was passed around for
36 37	everyone's review.
38	Letter from People's United Bank regarding JH Chase's \$5,000 letter of credit for
39	Phillips Pond Estates. They are seeking the Board's approval to close out the letter of
40	credit for Phillips Pond Estates. Mr. Keach noted that work has not been completed and
41	feels the surety should be retained. The Board agreed.
42	reers the surery should be retained. The Dould agreed.
43	Two letters from Attorney Gorrow regarding the Town of Sandown vs. Peter Holmes The
44	letters were regarding the scheduling stipulations.
45	

46 Town & Country Magazine

7:15 – Public hearing for Design Review of an application for a Major Subdivision submitted by Rebecca Janco for a four lot Open Space Development. The subject property is identified on Map 20 as Lot 3 and is located at 218 Fremont Road.

Mr. Nicolaisen stepped down from the case because he is an abutter. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he reviewed the case for ZBA. Mr. Lavelle noted he would still like to move forward with the design review.

Mr. Lavelle gave an overview of the project. He noted it was a four-lot Open Space Development (OSD). He noted the fourth lot will contain the existing home. They are proposing a private road that will access the four building lots. It is intended for family at this time. The existing house is far off the road and the three new homes would be behind it. Each house will have it's own well and septic. They received a letter from Keach-Nordstrom Associates and there are some talking points that he needs to discuss with the

Board.

Mr. Lavelle noted they received a variance from the zoning board for the lack of frontage and the minimum 15 acre requirement for an Open Space Development. He noted they currently access the property through an easement.

Mr. Lavelle noted the proposed road is 20' wide and will be gravel. He noted the plans say "proposed driveway", but it will be a private road. He noted they would like to keep it gravel.

Mr. Keach walked the Board through his report dated May 20, 2013. He recommends that the Board take a vote at some point to say that the subdivision does not constitute a regional impact. He would like the board to do that for any major subdivision application moving forward.

Mr. Lavelle needs to get a local driveway permit. Mr. Russell questioned since it is a road and not a driveway if that changes the way Mr. Genualdo will review it. Mr. Keach noted any curb cut would require the same level of review by Mr. Genualdo.

Mr. Keach reminded the Board that in the case of private roads, the Selectmen have to authorize that the building inspector grant building permits. The applicant has to provide the town with a statement, which will be recorded at RCRD with the plans, stating that they remove any liability from the town for access of emergency vehicles.

Mr. Keach noted they will need to apply for a CUP through Conservation. Mr. Lavelle noted he will be filing an application with them, but wanted to wait for the design review.

Mr. Keach noted that item three under zoning, deals directly with the requirements of an open space development. They will need to provide the previously submitted plans to demonstrate an equal or more number of lots could be put on the property in a standard subdivision in order to comply with OSD. That is a requirement of the application.

109

121

- 93 Mr. Russell questioned if ZBA reviewed the OSD plans. Mr. Lavelle noted they reviewed 94 the same plans submitted to the Planning Board. 95 96 Mr. Keach noted that every OSD requires a homeowners association to manage the open 97 space. He recommended they draft a letter for the Board to make sure it satisfies the 98 requirements. He noted they should also address future maintenance of the road in that 99 letter. Mr. Russell questioned if that would need to be reviewed by the town attorney. Mr. 100 Keach and Mr. Lavelle noted it usually is. 101
- Mr. Keach noted the new requirements for utilities are that they be under ground. The existing home has utilities above ground and noted Ms. Janco would likely ask for a waiver to keep her utilities above ground. Mr. Mencis questioned if they should add street lights since they already have poles. Mr. Lavelle noted he would discuss that with his client. Mr. Keach noted that for Ms. Janco's home, it would be a pre-existing non-conformity, but to have the utilities for the three other homes above ground in order to run street lights, they would need to get a variance.
- 110 Mr. Keach questioned what they had planned to do with the existing barn on the property 111 He noted that too is a pre-existing non-conforming structure since it will end up in the 112 open space. Mr. Lavelle noted he needed to check with his client, but thought they would 113 likely want to keep it. Mr. Keach noted that as long as it is used in harmony with the open 114 space, they can keep it. Mr. Russell asked if it would also need to be part of the homeowner's association documentation. Mr. Keach noted it would. He also noted they 115 116 will need to present something to the Board indicating that it will be used under the 117 purposes of open space.
- 118
 119 Mr. Keach asked if they had a plan for the recreation requirement. Mr. Lavelle noted he had no proposal at this time, but will have a written proposal soon.
- Mr. Keach recommended that Mr. Lavelle discuss the plans with Chief Tapley. Mr.
 Keach noted that under the regulations, the road has to be built to the same standards as a road intended to be publicly dedicated and accepted. The road put forward is less that that. The width is 20' wide with a foot of shoulder. They are proposing that it not be paved which will require a waiver from the Board.
- Mr. Russell questioned if there would be a turnaround for emergency vehicles. Mr. Lavelle noted that was shown on sheets 6 & 7 and it would be a hammerhead style turnaround.
- Mr. Russell questioned the steep grade. Mr. Lavelle noted it was designed as a 10% grade, mostly because of input from Conservation to avoid a larger cut in the hill. Mr. Russell asked if Conservation had a recommendation for material in terms of water sheeting. Mr. Lavelle noted that wasn't brought up at all.
- Mr. Keach noted that a steep gravel road is subject to erosion the way a paved road is not.

 He questioned if it could be maintained effectively at that grade. He did review the

139 drainage report and it conforms to all stormwater regulations. He wouldn't want to see a 140 10% grade on a highly traveled road. He feels Chief Tapley needs to give his opinion. 141 142 Ms. Cairns noted that she spoke with Chief Tapley and his main concern was the grade 143 and the material of the road. He also expressed concern over having a turnaround and 144 wants to make sure the road conforms to the new E911 regulations. 145 Mr. Lavelle asked if the Board would be interested in doing a site walk. Mr. Nicolaisen 146 147 felt it would be a great idea for the Board to start doing those again. Mr. Russell 148 questioned if Mr. Lavelle should have a discussion with Chief Tapley first to work out 149 the issues with the road. Mr. Lavelle felt it would be nice to have the walk before the next 150 meeting so they are all on the same page. Members agreed to meet at the property before 151 their regular meeting on June 18th at 6:00. 152 153 Mr. Russell asked if there was any input from the public. 154 155 Mr. Nicolaisen feels it's a great thing for the family. 156 157 Mr. Layelle noted they would not likely be ready to be on the agenda for the meeting on 158 the 18th since they have issues to work out with Chief Tapley and Conservation. 159 160 Mr. Keach reminded the Board that they need to decide if they are finished with the design review phase or if they want to keep it open until another date. Mr. Lavelle noted 161 162 he wanted to move forward. The Board and the applicant need to agree on how they 163 would like to move forward. 164 165 Mr. Russell noted that typically, if they are going to do a meeting on-site that would be 166 during design review. Mr. Keach noted that in this instance, it doesn't really matter, but it 167 needs to be handled for the record. 168 169 Mr. Keach noted they could conclude design review at the walk-through and then take 170 the application for jurisdiction at the meeting following. Mr. Russell recommended that 171 the applicant speak with Chief Tapley before the next meeting. Mr. Lavelle agreed. 172 173 8:33 p.m. - Public hearing for Design Review of an application for a Major Subdivision 174 submitted by Virginia Morris for a five lot subdivision. The subject property is identified on 175 Map 5 as Lot 3 and is located at Walnut Hill Road (Route 121) and Chase Road. 176 177 Tim Lavelle from James Lavelle Associates was representing Mrs. Morris. 178 179 Mr. Lavelle noted that the property is in Sandown and Hampstead with frontage on Chase Road and Walnut Hill Road. The proposal is to create four new building lots on Walnut 180 181 Hill Road and one with frontage on both Chase and Walnut Hill Roads. The 23.58 acres 182

will be the remaining lot when they are done. The proposal does propose to cross a

Associates dated May 20, 2013 and they made all corrections that they could do.

wetland on the two southerly lots. He noted they received a letter from Keach Nordstrom

184 185

Mr. Russell noted there were several members of the public present. Since it was a design review, he hoped to keep the meeting open and casual and allow questions from the public as they came up.

189

Members of the public questioned where the wetlands were and where the proposed driveways would come out. Mr. Lavelle explained where they would be and explained why they had the wetlands crossings where they did. He explained where the building areas would be.

194

Mr. Russell noted it was a conceptual design review and the Board hasn't seen the plans before. He noted the process and that it was the time to get input from the Board and the public.

198

- 199 Vanda Solarz-O'Neal, 67 Chase Road
- Ms. O'Neal noted that she has concerns over disturbing the wetlands and the impact that would have on her property.

202

- 203 Joan O'Connell 29 Walnut Hill Road
- Ms. O'Connell noted that she can no longer get mail delivery to her home because of the proposed subdivision. The road is dangerous. She doesn't understand why that particular parcel is being developed when it is so wet and doesn't feel there is anything buildable there. She has already talked to a real estate developer and they indicated the land values, wells and drainage will be impacted. She noted it wasn't personal, but doesn't understand why they chose that property.

210

- Mr. Lavelle noted that he has had the wetlands mapped and the soil is buildable. He indicated where the driveways would go and explained they chose to place one of the
- 213 driveways on Chase Road instead of Walnut Hill Road because of the dangerous access.
- He reminded them that he has applied for state driveway permits and they state would
- review the driveways and make all efforts to ensure they have the proper 400' site distances.

217

Mr. Lavelle noted that they do have to go to the Hampstead Planning Board. They aren't altering land in Hampstead, but since the project includes land in Hampstead, they need to get their approval as well.

221

222 Mr. Keach reviewed his letter to the Board dated. May 20, 2013.

223

Mr. Keach noted that the Board needed to send a letter to the Hampstead Planning Board, attaching a copy of all the materials included in the application. The letter needed to acknowledge that the Sandown Planning Board received the application and it is under design review. Once they receive the application for final review they would ask for their input. He suggested they also include the letter from Mr. Keach's office.

- 230 Mr. Keach noted there are three state permits that need to be obtained: NHDES
- 231 Subdivision Approval, NHDES wetlands permit and NHDOT driveway permits.

Mr. Lavelle noted they have not been to Conservation yet, they were waiting to get input from the Planning Board before going for the CUP.

Mr. Keach questioned what they would be doing in terms of recreation. Mr. Lavelle noted that the Morris family has always been gracious allowing the use of their property for sledding and hiking and because of that they will likely ask for a waiver for recreation.

Mr. Keach noted that with any subdivision, they need to make roadway shoulder improvements. He noted those shoulder improvements do not need to be made since that road has already been redone and they don't want to compromise the work they already did. Mr. Lavelle submitted a waiver request. Mr. Russell asked that he wait and submit it with the final application.

Mr. Keach noted that he is familiar with the property because of the road construction he was involved with. He noted the area along the road is extremely wet and the members of the public were correct. He noted the land behind the wetlands where the proposed building sites were supposed to go is good, dry, buildable land. He noted he reviewed the soil mapping materials submitted with the application. It is entirely true there are significant wetlands on that property, but the land to the south is high and dry and more than meets the requirements of the town zoning ordinances and subdivision regulations.

Mr. Mencis asked for clarification on what the soil types indicated in the report meant. Mr. Lavelle reviewed the different soil types.

Ms. Solarz-O'Neal noted that some of her neighbors did some construction on their property, removed trees and as a result caused her basement to flood which resulted in her having to spend \$12,000 in repairs. She had no issues with her basement in the 29 years before that work. She had major concerns about disturbing the wetlands and asked if the town could guarantee that water is not going to get into her basement. She is concerned, after spending all that money that she is going to have to make more improvements and would get water in her basement again. She also expressed concern with the proposed driveways going through the wetlands and that the road would flood. She noted the culvert in her driveway was replaced with a smaller culvert and it gets clogged frequently. She also referenced the Little Mill 55+ development as one where they altered the road and the terrain and they get flooding.

Mr. Russell noted that he didn't have an answer for her, but part of the requirement is that they have to get state wetlands permits and the project will be reviewed by DES. He noted her concerns are valid, and those types of issues are what DES will look at before they give approval for a subdivision.

Ms. O'Connell questioned why they had to build on wet land when they have so much other land to build on.

278 Mr. Russell noted the buildings were not going to be in the wetlands.

Mr. Keach noted that what happened with the tree clearing was to the north of Ms. Solarz-O'Neal's property and the water was flowing downhill. He doesn't feel the water would flow uphill and impact her property. He cannot guarantee anything except for the fact that he's never seen water flow uphill and that's what it would take for her to be impacted. He has a good feeling of where the land clearing occurred in relation to her house. Taking down forest will create additional runoff and it doesn't surprise him that she got water in her basement as a result of that work. It really would surprise him, even if something went really astray, that she would get water in her basement from the proposed subdivision.

He is familiar with the culvert that goes under Chase Road. They cleaned out the culvert to help water move freely. He noted the regulations were just recently updated and they have an enhanced stormwater management system in place now, that wasn't in place with the Little Mill development. As long as that culvert is properly maintained, which he feels it is, water will flow freely. He has a responsibility to the town to make sure technical matters put before the Board are truthful. He feels that the representations made regarding this subdivision should not have an impact on her property because she is uphill and upstream from the wetlands. The Morris' live to the South and if there is any impact, it will only impact the Morris' property.

Ms. Solarz-O'Neal feels he explained it well but is still skeptical. She questioned if there would be any trees taken down in the wetlands. Mr. Lavelle noted they cannot build within the wetlands and they can't take any trees down there.

Ms. O'Connell is concerned about all the variances that have been granted in town. It is going to change the water table and change the accessibility to their wells. She's concerned with egress directly across from her house. The grade is going to be changed and the land is going to be altered. They can put culverts in but they are still changing the land, access and water table. She doesn't understand why that is the premium place to build instead of using land that is much more accessible. She spoke with a real estate agent and found there will be a significant loss to property value. You can't control where the water is going to go. She was also told by the post office that she can't get mail delivered anymore because of the proposed subdivision. Mr. Russell noted that the post office would have no knowledge of the proposed subdivision and that wouldn't affect her mail delivery. Members discussed that issue.

Mr. Nicolaisen understands where they are coming from, but as a landowner, he would be upset if he had a lot of opposition to developing his own property. He noted everyone has to conform to the same rules in terms of wetlands, and the state has to approve what they are doing. He noted the term "major" subdivision might scare some people, but this is only three homes.

Mr. Meisner suggested that Mr. Lavelle indicate for them where the driveways were going to go.

9:30 – Review of Sub Accounting Funds

324	Mr. Lavalla avalained the different hetyroon a "major" and "minor" auhdivision
325 326	Mr. Lavelle explained the different between a "major" and "minor" subdivision.
327	Ms. Solarz-O'Neal questioned the safety of the road and the sharp corner.
328	
329	Bill McCallen, 726 Main Street
330 331	He doesn't think it matters where the driveway is, cars drive too fast along that road.
332	Mr. Keach noted that it is a state highway and they have no jurisdiction and the driveway
333	permits are given by NHDOT. Mr. Lavelle noted they applied for the permits but they are
334	pending and the state could make changes to what they've requested.
335	
336	Mr. Russell noted they would also be going to Conservation for review and the town had
337	an excellent wetland scientist.
338	
339	Ms. O'Connell asked if telephone poles would be moved. Members indicated they
340	wouldn't.
341	
342	Mr. Lavelle noted the placement of the driveways is on the outside of the curve which is
343	a much safer line site. They are not proposing any driveways on the dangerous part of the
344	curve.
345 346	Mr. Lavelle noted he was ready to close design review. Mr. Keach felt the project was
347	ready for them to close design review.
348	ready for them to close design review.
349	Mr. Russell questioned if that was appropriate since they haven't been before
350	Conservation, the Town of Hampstead and haven't receive all their state permits.
351	
352	Mr. Lavelle noted that there was still a question concerning recreation. He noted the
353	Morris' land has been used for recreation purposes for years; people sled and walk the
354	trails. Since they are only proposing street lots, they would like a waiver for on-site
355	recreation and asked the Board what their thoughts were on that.
356	
357	Mr. Meisner had no issue with it. Mr. Nicolaisen noted that it's an issue they often have.
358	He had a recreation obligation to fulfill on his own property and feels other should too.
359	Mr. Manais noted he would be in favor of a denotion
360 361	Mr. Mencis noted he would be in favor of a donation.
362	Mr. Lavelle noted he would discuss it with his client, but they may adjust the donation
363	amount.
364	uniount.
365	Mr. Russell suggested they submit a proposal.
366	FF
367	The Board agreed design review was complete.
368	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

370	Ms. Cairns noted that they receive a monthly statement of cash sureties and questioned
371	what the accounts were for. Mr. Keach did some research and sent a detailed memo to the
372	Board dated May 7, 2013 which explained what each surety was for. Mr. Keach reviewed
373	that memo.
374	
375	Mr. Keach recommended the release of several of the sureties.
376	
377	Amy L. & Jeffrey Newton – Mr. Keach noted that the money was retained because the
378	final monuments weren't set on the property. He contacted Tim Lavelle to see if he
379	would complete the monuments since the project was theirs originally. He is waiting to
380	hear from him to see if he is able to complete the work for the amount that remains in the
381	account.
382	
383	Mr. Keach noted that the Jonathan Longley, Ryan Normand and Vincent Celeste
384	Subdivision funds should be put in the DPW's Capital Reserve Fund since the town
385	completed the road improvements.
386	
387	Lawrence Road – He has no record of this project. He thinks it is for a subdivision under
388	Lawrence Academy, but he cannot confirm that and cannot confirm what the funds were
389	held for. He feels the town should retain the funds.
390	
391	Recreation/Celeste Subdivision – Mr. Keach noted the funds were held as a recreation
392	requirement for the development. Since the subdivision has yet to be built, they should
393	continue to hold the surety for the intended purpose.
394	
395	Vantage Point Boundaries – This is for the Vantage Point Subdivision which Mr.
396	Barnes is currently moving forward with. The intent of the funds was to insure all
397	boundary monuments were installed. Since Mr. Barnes is moving forward with this
398	project, he recommended they hold the surety for its original intent.
399	
400	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion return the residual balances for:
401	
402	Cann's Road Bond - \$6,485.36
403	Joyce Cann, 2309 Goya Drive, Nokomis, Florida 34275
404	
405	Chestnut Estates - \$1,880.57
406	Hersey Road Development Group, P.O. Box 113, Sandown, NH 03873
407	
408	Delridge Realty Corp - \$1,597.50
409	Delridge Realty Corp., 14 Deer Run Circle, Kingston, NH 03848
410	<i>8</i> , <i>8</i>
411	Delridge Realty Corp/Lilac Lane Phase II - \$2,760.28
412	Delridge Realty Corp., 14 Deer Run Circle, Kingston, NH 03848
413	=
414	Fred Blake Road Bond - \$492.29
415	Frederick Blake, 532 Haverhill Road, Chester, NH 03036
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

416	
417	Gary Barnes & Sons - \$1,361.72
418	Gary Barnes & Sons, P.O. Box 527, Sandown, NH 03873
419	
420	Gary Barnes & Sons Rd. Bond - \$542.66
421	Gary Barnes & Sons, P.O. Box 527, Sandown, NH 03873
422	
423	Little Mill Road - \$5,322.31
424	Delridge Realty Corp, Deer Run Circle, Kingston, NH 03848
425	
426	Raphael Mullet - \$882.67
427	Raphael Mullett, Trustee, Jeremy Meadows Trust, Birch Road, Chester, NH
428	D 110 #1 450 01
429	Ronald Snow - \$1,258.81
430	12 Kelleher Ave., Plaistow, NH 03865
431	M. Niedeien and date maties. The Decades to decay in contact for The maties
432	Mr. Nicolaisen seconded the motion. The Board voted unanimously in favor. The motion
433 434	passed.
435	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to pay the residual balance for the Amy Newton
436	account to the Town of Sandown to set the remaining boundaries on the property. Mr.
437	Nicolaisen seconded the motion.
438	reconsense seconded the motion.
439	Discussion: Mr. Mencis questioned if they should wait until they received a formal
440	estimate from Mr. Lavelle to complete the work or authorize Ms. Cairns to hire Mr.
441	Lavelle to complete the work if the estimate is equal or less than the amount of the surety
442	Mr. Keach recommended getting the estimate first and discussing it at the next meeting.
443	
444	Mr. Mencis rescinded his motion. Mr. Nicolaisen rescinded his second.
445	
446	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to pay the total sum of the Jonathan Longley
447	account to the DPW Capital Reserve Fund. Mr. Nicolaisen seconded the motion.
448	
449	Discussion: Mr. Nicolaisen questioned if the money could be moved into that fund and
450	before they made the motion to move it, he wanted to speak with Ms. Blaisdell to
451	determine if it is possible to put the funds into that account and what the procedure
452	should be.
453	
454	Members agreed they should get more information before moving forward.
455	NA NA ' ' 1 11' ' NA NT' 1' ' 1 11' 1
456 457	Mr. Mencis rescinded his motion. Mr. Nicolaisen rescinded his second.
457 450	Other Pusiness
458 459	Other Business Mr. Russell feels the Board should draft a letter to John Tilton of Tiffany Lee Homes
460	advising him that he owns a piece of property that needs to get a wetlands permit. He wil
1 60 461	work with Ms. Cairns to draft the letter. The Board agreed that would be appropriate.
101	work with 113. Canno to draft the fetter. The board agreed that would be appropriate.

167
402

- 463 Mr. Russell had a question regarding the article in the *Tri Town Times*; he wanted to
- 464 make sure certain members were quoted accurately. Mr. Nicolaisen, Mr. E. Brown and
- 465 Mr. M. Brown were quoted as saying they would approve subdivisions with outstanding
- conditions on a case-by-case basis. Mr. Russell questioned that because it's his 466
- 467 understanding that mylars couldn't be signed with outstanding conditions and he doesn't
- 468 feel it's fair that one plan would be signed prior to the conditions being met, but another
- 469 wouldn't be signed. Mr. Nicolaisen agreed there needs to be uniformity with how those
- 470 situations are handled.

471 472

Mr. Russell noted that thy approved mylars without conditions being met and he had to sign them. He doesn't want to be in that position again. He isn't going to sign a mylar if conditions haven't been met and if the Board has an issue with that, he will step down.

474 475

473

476 Mr. Nicolaisen understands how he feels, but feels that if the Board voted on it, as 477 Chairman, he needs to carry out their wishes.

478

479 Mr. Russell made it clear that from now on, he is not going to sign anything until all 480 conditions have been met. He is uncomfortable with what the Board asked of him. He is 481 also uncomfortable when he is accused of having a vendetta against a certain individual.

482

483 Mr. E Brown thought the conditions had been met.

484

- 485 Mr. Keach feels that the Board approved the plans because they had an agreement with
- 486 Mr. Villella that the easement would be obtained and submitted by the following
- 487 Thursday. He doesn't feel the Board would have voted the way they did if there was no 488 agreement on the easement.

489

- 490
- Mr. Russell questioned if the Board would ever sign something without a CUP. He feels 491 he made a mistake and buckled and they shouldn't have signed the mylars. They now
- 492 have no way to ensure those permits are obtained, and they are perpetuating a situation 493 with the same individual who defies town rules.

494

495 Mr. E. Brown noted he has no history with Mr. Villella and is taking the man at his word 496 that he would get the proper permits.

497 498

Mr. Meisner felt the Board needed more input from Mr. Keach in regards to those decisions and didn't feel there was a lot of opportunity for him to speak up and he would have been interested in his expert opinion.

500 501

499

- 502 Mr. Keach noted he felt the request and the coercion that happened that night was 503 inappropriate. It may not have been an explicit condition of approval, but it was implied.
- 504 He feels Mr. Russell should not have been pressured to sign the mylar that evening and it 505 was appropriate to wait until the easement was obtained. He wasn't aware of the fire
- 506 pond until that evening and would have liked time to review it himself.

508	Administrative Report
509	Ms. Cairns noted they needed to decide on a prize for photo submissions for the Master
510	Plan. Mr. Mencis suggested they could receive recognition at Old Home Days or have
511	their photos displayed.
512	
513	The Board agreed to start their summer schedule which would mean only one meeting
514	per month. Since they scheduled a site walk for June 18 th that will be their only meeting
515	for June.
516	
517	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Meisner seconded the motion.
518	The Board voted unanimously in favor. The motion passed. MEETING ADJOURNED at
519	10:17 p.m.
520	
521	Respectfully submitted,
522	Chares Dains
522 523	Andrea Cairns, Recording Secretary