1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	February 19, 2013
4	1 cordary 19, 2015
5	Date: February 19, 2011
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	Members Present: Chairman Mark Traeger, Steven Meisner, Ed Mencis, Ernie Brown,
8	Matthew Brown, James Devine – Ex-Officio
9	Also Present: Town Engineer Steve Keach, Recording Secretary Andrea Cairns
10	Absent: Matt Russell - Vice Chairman, Jim Carroll
11	
12	Opening: Mr. Traeger opened the meeting at 7:09 p.m.
13	
14	Review of 2/5/13 Minutes
15	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to accept the minutes as written. Mr. Ernie Brown
16	seconded the motion. All members voted in favor. Mr. Matthew Brown abstained.
17	
18	7:15 - Montana Realty Trust, which proposes to adjust the lines of five (5) existing
19	parcels; identified on Map 17 as Lots 3-32, 3-33, 3-34, 3-50 & 3-51 and fronting on
20	Meghan Drive, Jana Circle and Patricia Circle in order to create a total of six (6)
21	parcels to be identified on Map 17 as Lots 3-32, 3-33, 3-34, 3-40, 3-50 & 3-51 and
22	fronting on the same streets.
23	Tim Lavelle, James Lavelle & Associates presented the application.
24	Mr. I shall a hand a down new i and related which not least ad the share area in the letter from
25	Mr. Lavelle handed out revised plans which reflected the changes in the letter from
26 27	Keach-Nordstrom, Inc., dated February 18, 2013. He then reviewed the plans. He noted
28	when they originally subdivided the property they had a different road layout. The area of the new lot was in the middle of a proposed roadway. Now that the configuration of the
28 29	road is different, they are able to use that lot. They have done test pits.
30	Touch is different, they are able to use that lot. They have done lest pits.
31	He noted that Meghan Drive from Jana Circle to the west is a town approved road.
32	Meghan Drive to the East of Jana Circle is base coat only and not town approved yet.
33	Patricia Way and Abby Lane are platted out but have yet to be constructed. The new lot is
34	on the existing paved road.
35	
36	Mr. Keach noted the changes were pretty straight-forward and he reviewed his letter.
37	
38	Mr. Keach reviewed comment number four under the General Comments section. That
39	comment addressed the existing development agreement. Four of the lots are located in
40	Phase IVA and two of the lots are located in Phase IVB. The lots located in IVB cannot
41	have building permits issued until the roads are built. That development agreement also
42	vests the development so any changes in zoning will not apply to this development.
43	
44	Mr. Traeger questioned the DES approval. Mr. Lavelle noted it is pending for the lots less
45	than five acres. Mr. Traeger questioned what DES was reviewing. Mr. Lavelle noted they

46 have to review the test pits. Even though they only added one lot, they need to review all 47 the lots again. Mr. Lavelle spoke with them today and they indicated they don't have any 48 issues with the plans and they should get approval soon. 49 50 Mr. Traeger noted the Board received a letter from the Fire Chief stating all subdivisions 51 must be reviewed and approved by the fire chief before the Planning Board approves 52 them. 53 54 Mr. Lavelle noted that they are only creating one new lot and doesn't feel a cistern or dry 55 hydrant would be warranted. 56 57 Mr. Lavelle noted they are exploring the idea of putting a dry hydrant on Abby Lane into 58 Hunt Pond. He also noted they were never asked for any fire suppression in the Meghan's 59 Way subdivision by the previous fire chief. Mr. Lavelle also noted that the subdivision is 60 under the development agreement, so to come in and create the need for a cistern for one 61 lot is unwarranted. 62 63 Chief Tapley joined the meeting. 64 65 Chief Tapley does not feel it is feasible, after reviewing the site, to put a dry hydrant at 66 their proposed location on Hunt Pond. Mr. Lavelle agreed that the original area they 67 spoke about was not going to work, but they are exploring other areas. 68 69 Mr. Lavelle noted that many of the lots in the Jana Circle area are no longer owned by 70 Mr. Villella. 71 72 Chief Tapley noted the former chief didn't require a cistern for Hillside estates. Now that 73 they are reopening the subdivision to add the additional lot, they are reopening the entire 74 plan and he can now ask for fire protection. They need access to water for that end of the 75 development. He needs a cistern off of Meghan Drive. 76 77 Mr. Mencis asked if what he was saying was legal and questioned if town council should 78 be consulted. He questioned if the Board could proceed if the Fire Chief stated they 79 couldn't. Mr. Keach noted that the Board has the final authority over whether the lots get 80 created. Chief Tapley has the authority under his codes to prevent the issuance of a 81 building permit or certificate of occupancy. He felt Chief Tapley was correct in saying 82 once the development is reopened, he can request changes. 83 84 Mr. Keach encouraged the applicant to meet with the chief. He noted that a future phase 85 for the development is in the works. Once that phase is under review, they would have 86 the opportunity to add a source of water to that end of the development. He doesn't feel 87 there is urgency because the lots on the undeveloped road cannot be built on yet. 88 89 Mr. Keach also added that it would be a reasonable compromise to tie the fire protection

90 to the development of phase 4B. One lot is not going to pay for a cistern and it would

91 02	make no economic sense to create the additional lot if they have to pay for the cistern. The cost of the cistern would be approximately $$75,000$
92 93	The cost of the cistern would be approximately \$75,000.
93 94	Chief Tapley noted it's a life safety point. Developers need to have a plan to protect the
95	homeowners.
96	nomeowners.
97	Mr. Keach suggested that Chief Tapley, Mr. Villella and Mr. Lavelle sit down and
98	discuss the issue and come to a solution. Chief Tapley noted they spoke a few weeks ago
99	and he thought he would have the opportunity to discuss it again, but they never came
100	back with revised plans.
101	
102	Mr. Lavelle noted they understand the need for it and agree it's necessary. They aren't
103	saying no.
104	
105	Mr. Keach recommended that the Board should accept the application and table approval
106	until there is a consensus between the applicant and Chief Tapley.
107	
108	Mr. Meisner questioned if they were looking for something on Meghan Drive. He
109	indicated a lot where there would be enough frontage to put in a cistern.
110	
111	Mr. Traeger invited the public up to speak, no one had any input.
112	
113	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to accept the application for jurisdiction. Mr.
114	Matthew Brown seconded the motion.
115	
116	Discussion: Mr. Traeger clarified they were accepting the plan for review. They will not
117	vote to approve the plans until the three parties agree on a plan and it would be next
118	month before they could have the opportunity to approve the plans.
119	
120	All members voted in favor. The motion passed.
121	
122	Mr. Keach noted they need to address the issue of recreation. Mr. Lavelle noted they
123	would like to request a waiver to Section 9.23 of the Subdivision Regulations pertaining
124	to on-site recreation. They would like to voluntarily donate \$1,000 to the Sandown
125	Recreation Commission Revolving Fund.
126 127	Mr. Jim Devine joined the meeting.
127	MI. JIII Devine Joined the meeting.
128	7:45 – Hersey Road Development Group, proposes to adjust the lines between Map
129	7 Lot 19, Map 8 Lot 14 and Map 11 Lot 13 (owned by Hersey Development Group),
130	and Map 7 Lot 17-18 (owned by the Town of Sandown) to create a total of four
131	parcels with frontage on Hersey Road, Odell Road and Snow Lane.
132	Tim Peloquin from Promised Land Survey, licensed land surveyor, presented the
134	application
135	

136	Mr. Peloquin reviewed the history of the property. He reminded the Board that there is an
137	approved 142 unit, 55+ development approved for the parcel. He noted the Drowne's
138	entered into negotiations with Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and are
139	in the process of securing a 202 acre conservation easement. He noted the Drowne's also
140	entered into negotiations with the Sandown Conservation Commission to secure an 83
141	acre parcel of land within the larger parcel. The Drowne's are looking to secure three
142	building lots as part of their concession to creating the conservation land. They are
143	creating two 6-acre buildable lots along Hersey Road and one building lot at the end of
144	Snow Lane. There will be four lots of record. Mr. Peloquin will work with assessing to
145	figure out the final parcel numbers.
146	8 restriction of the second
147	Mr. Keach reviewed his letter dated February 18, 2013. He noted that they may need to
148	gain a variance for the two lots on Hersey Road. Mr. Traeger noted that the Selectmen
149	voted last year saying they were comfortable with the two lots.
150	
151	Mr. Keach noted that he fully supports the proposal, but not everyone may feel that way.
152	He has an obligation to the town to keep things appeal proof. He also wants to protect the
152	Drowne's if they were trying to sell one of those lots he wouldn't want there to be any
155	issues. He feels they are technically subdividing; what is proposed to be fronted on
155	Hersey Road is becoming two lots and they would need minimum frontage requirements.
156	Trensey Troug is becoming the fors and mey would need minimum montage requirements.
157	Mr. Peloquin noted that they would go ahead and apply for the variance if they needed to.
158	
159	Mr. Keach noted that because of the nature of the proposal, one of the conditions should
160	be to go get a variance before the chairman signs the plans. The Board typically doesn't
161	do that, but they could in this instance.
162	
163	Mr. Peloquin indicated they would appreciate the board's endorsement.
164	
165	Mr. Keach noted that the Board of Selectmen have to authorize the building inspector to
166	issue a building permit on a class VI road. The Planning Board has the opportunity to
167	advise them that they support the plans.
168	
169	Mr. Meisner suggested that the Board also send a note to ZBA stating their approval.
170	
171	Mr. Traeger questioned if they would need to have the Fire Chief review the application.
172	
173	Mr. Peloquin noted he would meet with the chief.
174	
175	Mr. Traeger invited the public up to speak, no one had any input.
176	
177	Mr. Traeger made the public aware of a presentation he is hosting for DES regarding
178	stormwater management for homeowners. They will talk a little about the new MS4
179	guidelines. The presentation would be Wednesday, February 20, 2013, 7:00 p.m. at the
180	Town Hall.
181	

181

182	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made motion to accept the application with the following
183	conditions:
184	1. Receipt of correspondence from the Town Engineer confirming matters contained
185	in his letter report of February 18, 2013 have been satisfactorily addressed.
186	2. Maintain a positive PREA account balance.
187	3. Receipt of written confirmation from the licensed land surveyor that all boundary
188	monuments specified as "to be set" or required for fulfillment of section 9.11 of
189	the Subdivision Regulations have in face been installed.
190	4. Receipt of a variance from the terms and conditions of Article II, Part B, Section
191	3.B of the Sandown Zoning Regulations.
192	5. Written confirmation from the Sandown Fire Department that the proposal
193	satisfies applicable code requirements.
194	
195	Mr. Devine seconded the motion. Members voted in favor. Mr. Meisner abstained and
196	noted for the record he had no input in the discussion. The motion passed.
197	1 1
198	Mr. Keach noted for the Board that they should send a letter to the Board of Selectman
199	recommending the building of two lots on a class VI road. He felt it would be appropriate
200	to send that letter when the final plans are signed.
201	
202	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to send a letter to the Board of Selectmen
203	recommending the building of two lots on a class VI road. Mr. Ernie Brown seconded the
204	motion. Members voted in favor. Mr. Meisner abstained. The motion passed.
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
205	
205 206	Review mylars for a Minor Subdivision Application submitted by Albert Lake for a two-lot
	Review mylars for a Minor Subdivision Application submitted by Albert Lake for a two-lot subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road.
206	
206 207	
206 207 208	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road.
206 207 208 209 210 211	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board.
206 207 208 209 210 211	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road.Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board.Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213	subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road.Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board.Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013.Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis felt the Board could give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the plans outside of the
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis felt the Board could give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the plans outside of the
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis felt the Board could give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the plans outside of the meeting since they were reviewed as a group.
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis felt the Board could give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the plans outside of the meeting since they were reviewed as a group. MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to authorize Mr. Traeger to sign the mylars
206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225	 subdivision. The property is shown on Map 2, Lot 6-4, located at 174 Hampstead Road. Mr. Meisner stepped down because he sat on the case for the Zoning Board. Mr. Keach reviewed his letter of approval dated February 6, 2013. Mr. Keach reminded the Board that the warranty deed conveyed the 75' setback. That setback is also written on the plans. Mr. Meisner made the board aware that there is a 30-day appeals process for the variance which has not lapsed yet for this particular case. If you are going to vote, it should be contingent on the 30 days running out. Mr. Traeger felt the Board should wait until after that date to sign the plans. Mr. Mencis felt the Board could give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the plans outside of the meeting since they were reviewed as a group. MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to authorize Mr. Traeger to sign the mylars outside of a public meeting and the plans should not be recorded until March 3, 2013. Mr.

229 230 Correspondence 231 A Newsletter from the American Planning Association 232 233 Letter from the City of Concord Planning Board notifying them of items going into 234 Concord. 235 236 **Town Engineer** 237 Mr. Keach noted that regarding the correspondence they received from the Fire Chief, the 238 Board should support him, but they should be reminded that his authority is derived from 239 code and the RSA that gives this board authority to review subdivisions and site plans is a 240 separate thing. Fire safety is something that needs to be considered, but if it is going to be 241 the policy of this board to require an applicant to have formal reviews by the Fire Chief, 242 they need to amend the subdivision and site plan regulations to do that. Currently, fire 243 protection is not in the regulations. The applicant needs fair warning that they will need 244 to gain his approval as part of the application process. 245 246 Mr. Traeger agreed that they should put guidelines in the regulations. 247 248 Mr. Keach noted they could make that change at any time; they did not need to wait for a 249 town vote. He has language he can borrow from other towns. 250

251 Members agreed to discuss the amendments at the second meeting in March. 252

253 Adjournment

- Mr. Mencis made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Ernie Brown seconded the motion. The Board
 voted unanimously in the affirmative. MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:58 p.m.
- 256
- 257 Respectfully submitted,

rdres Mains

258
259 Andrea Cairns, Recording Secretary