1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	February 7, 2012
4	1 Columny 7, 2012
5	Date: February 7. 2012
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	Members Present: Mark Traeger – Chair, Donna Green—Vice Chair, Fred Daley, Ed
8	Mencis, Alternate Ernie Brown, Alternate Matt Russell
9	Also Present: Recording Secretary Andrea Cairns
10	Absent: Steven Meisner, Hans Nicolaisen - Ex-Officio
11	
12	Opening: Mr. Traeger opened the meeting at 7:03 p.m.
13	
14	Several members of the public were in attendance.
15	
16	Mr. Traeger explained that the meeting was a visioning session for the master plan. The
17	meeting was being hosted by both the Planning Board and the Master Plan Advisory
18	Committee.
19	M D 1 ' 4 1 1 1 C4 A 1 ' C ' 44 1 ' C
20	Mr. Daley introduced members of the Advisory Committee and gave an overview of
21	project thus far. Mr. Daley noted that Dan Reidy from the UNH Cooperative Extension
22 23	and Julie LaBranche from Rockingham Planning Commission have been helping the committee with their efforts and would be facilitating the meeting.
24	committee with their errorts and would be facilitating the meeting.
25	Ms. LaBranche introduced herself to the group and reviewed the agenda for the evening.
26	1715. Labranene introduced herself to the group and reviewed the agenda for the evening.
27	Members of the public introduced themselves.
28	The state of the past of the state of the st
29	Ms. LaBranche gave members of the audience a slide presentation that incorporated a
30	condensed summery of the areas that ranked highest on the public survey that was
31	completed by the Master Plan Committee. (See attachment)
32	
33	Ms. LaBranche noted that approximately 126 people completed the survey, which was
34	roughly 4% of the population of Sandown. She also noted that was a typical response rate
35	for surveys.
36	
37	Ms. LaBranche reviewed the major findings. There was no discussion from the audience
38	on these points.
39	E Vitalita
40	Economic Vitality Ms. La Pranche reviewed the Economic Vitality section. She noted that the Energy
41 42	Ms. LaBranche reviewed the Economic Vitality section. She noted that the Energy Committee would be bringing forward a draft of a new energy chapter which would
43	include assessments and an inventory of buildings in town.
44	merade appearments and an inventory of bandings in town.
45	Some of the other survey results discussed within economic vitality included:

4	6

- 83% of people supported development of alternative energy sources for municipal facilities.
- Mr. Traeger noted that the use of solar energy was being considered and Mr. Mencis noted that the town garage has a waste oil burner.

51

- 52 *67% of people supported redevelopment of existing structures.*
- Mr. Daley noted that the school district was considering combining Sandown Central and Sandown North students at Sandown North which would leave Sandown Central School vacant. He also noted there was some town owned land as well.

56

- 57 *54%* of people support selling appropriate town-owned vacant land to stabilize or reduce 58 the tax rate.
- Mr. Traeger noted that the last inventory of town-owned land was approximately 104 acres. He noted there are some land locked parcels. Mr. Mencis suggested offering those parcels to adjacent land owners to bid on. Ms. LaBranche noted that there needed to be a plan to move forward with either managing or selling the properties.

63

- 51% support making more land available for commercial development to stabilize/reduce tax rate.
- Ms. LaBranche noted that a future land use plan could entail rezoning to expand commercial areas.

68 69

70

Community Character

Ms. LaBranche noted that residents stated strongly by their responses that this is important to them.

71 72 73

74

75

76

Ms. LaBranche asked the group if there was an inventory of historic structures in town. Mr. Doug Martin noted that there were two structures on the national historic list, there are a lot of residential structures that date back to the colonial days. He also noted that there are five historic mill sites and cemeteries that would qualify for historic preservation.

77 78 79

Community Facilities/Infrastructure

Ms. LaBranche reviewed this section.

80 81

- 82 79% Public transportation is not very important or not at all important.
- Ms. LaBranche noted that public transportation could be done so that it's not a town owned facility. There are services that can come from outside the town to provide public service.

86

Ms. LaBranche also noted that the availability of park and rides or ride share opportunities in the town would be part of a public transportation system. The town doesn't need to fund them. Ms. LaBranche noted that some towns use church parking areas for these purposes.

91

92 Housing 93 Ms. LaBranche reviewed this section. 94 95 A member of the audience noted that the current zoning doesn't distinguish between mobile homes and manufactured housing, noting that technology has done a lot for the 96 97 manufactured home industry. 98 99 Natural, Recreational and Historic Resources 100 Ms. LaBranche reviewed this section. 101 102 Energy, Wildlife & Habitat, Transportation, Future Land use and Population 103 Ms. LaBranche noted that there are new chapters being drafting for Energy and Wildlife 104 and Habitat. Both chapters will be made available on the website. 105 106 Mr. Traeger suggested a plan to reduce taxes for alternative energy; if someone installed 107 a windmill or solar panel, they could either get a reduction on their taxes or not have any tax impact. Ms. LaBranche noted that several towns have done that, giving 100% tax 108 109 exemption for the upgrades. 110 111 **Transportation** Ms. Brown from recreation noted that transportation to medical appointments is given 112 113 first priority when services provide rides for seniors. When seniors are no longer able to 114 drive, they still have places to go that aren't a medical appointment and there is a lack of 115 services for these needs. 116 117 A Community Vision 118 Ms. LaBranche pulled out areas from the 2005 survey to go through and discuss whether 119 they have been completed or not. 120 121 Ms. LaBranche suggested as a volunteer day to form an energy core which could include people with electrical or building skills that would be willing to donate their time. They 122 123 could bulk purchase programmable thermostats, weather stripping and could install them for those in need to make their homes more energy efficient. 124 125 126 Fostering Healthy Families, Individuals, Youth and Lifelong Learning The group discussed whether the town needed to hire a grant writer. Mr. Mencis 127 128 suggested going in with another town to hire someone and share the costs of hiring a 129 person with the knowledge to write grants. Most of the towns would be looking for the

130 131

The group discussed having bike paths or sidewalks in town. Mr. Martin suggested 132 133 utilizing the recreation trail that exists for motorized vehicles and possibly alternate 134

weekends so that non-motorized use could share the trail.

same grant opportunities.

135

136 The group took a break. During the break, Ms. LaBranche gave all attendees three 137 stickers to identify the areas within the community vision section that they felt were the three most important elements for them. She then compiled the results and posted the top four areas that the group felt was most important.

140

- 141 1. Small New England Character
- 2. Support selling town owned vacant land
- 143 3. Implement energy conservation measures
- 4. Natural setting important, quality of schools, and protecting water resources for
- 145 recreational use

146

Mr. Reidy asked the group if they were distinct areas of concern or if there was any connection between them.

149

152

153

156

157

160

164165

- 150 Small New England Character was addressed first. Some of the points discussed include:
- Lack of a town center
 - Parking lots are very visible, not attractive
 - There is a need for a public gathering area and for events
- Somehow enable activity and mobility in town center
- Safety on 121A during events
 - Better access, circulation, parking for events
 - Lack of support to purchase property for public use
- Add sidewalks and lighting
- Need to create a plan around what we have
 - Identify what is needed to make a town center work
- Street improvements trees, etc.
- Lack of support from the community need a well-defined vision
- Phased approach with concept designs
 - Limited opportunity for private investment to create a town center
 - Residents don't know about facilities the town has (meeting house, depot)
- Use train depot as a focal area of public activity
- Increase revenue to support land purchase in town center (sell town land, earmark money for town common area)
 - Identify financial resources to support projects
 - Financing planning to accomplish goals
- Make better use of what exists
 - Features historic markers, stone walls

172173174

175

176

169

170

Ms. LaBranche noted that since 1980, the town has gone from just over 2,000 in population to over 6,000. The group felt the increased population did not make the town feel different. Ms. LaBranche noted that the town's maximum population at projected build-out could be 12,000.

177178

Mr. Steve Brown noted he was willing to be the Selectman's representative if there was a committee formed to address the town center.

181

The group felt another visioning session would be appropriate.

226

227

228

area on the individual deeds.

183	
184	Mr. Daley noted they needed an additional member on the Master Plan Advisory
185	Committee. If anyone was interested, they could contact him directly
186	fdaley@sandown.us.
187	
188	Ms. Green left the meeting
189	
190	The discussion convened.
191	
192	Other Business
193	Mr. Mencis noted for the Planning Board that he did a synopsis of the zoning
194	amendments at the deliberative session.
195	
196	Review of Minutes
197	MOTION: Mr. Russell made a motion to postpone reviewing the 1/17/12 minutes to the
198	next meeting. Mr. Mencis seconded the motion. The Board voted in the affirmative. The
199	motion passed.
200	
201	Twitchell's Way
202	Mr. Traeger noted for the Board that when he reviewed the mylars, he found a mistake on
203	condition 15. The condition stated that RCCD would take over the easement which was
204	incorrect. Mr. Lavelle changed the condition to say that the Sandown Conservation
205	Commission would take the easement. Mr. Traeger and Ms. Green met with Mr. Brown
206	and Mr. Lavelle on 2/7/12 to discuss the wording and review the mylars together. The
207	language for the condition was still a bit vague, so Mr. Lavelle and Mr. Brown agreed
208	they would write a letter to clarify the language.
209	Mr. Droven stormed doven at 0.25 m m
210	Mr. Brown stepped down at 9:35 p.m.
211212	Mr. Brown passed the letter around to the Board to review.
212	Wit. Brown passed the letter around to the Board to review.
214	Mr. Traeger noted that in September 2007, the board granted the easement to the
215	Sandown Conservation Commission, but no one told the Conservation Commission of
216	that decision.
217	that decision.
218	Mr. Traeger noted there was also an incorrect date on the mylar. The mylar stated that the
219	CUP was granted on April 17, 2007, but the meeting was actually held on April 24, 2007.
220	The Board agreed that wasn't an issue.
221	The Board agreed that Wash Van Issae.
222	Mr. Traeger noted that Ms. Green reminded him that in the Planning Board bylaws, all
223	mylars are to be signed at a Planning Board meeting.
224	,
225	Mr. Russell noted he was not comfortable with the letter.

Mr. Traeger noted that Mr. Brown is putting deed restrictions referencing the limited cut

229	
230	Mr. Russell felt it was not a good way to document the easement and didn't feel they
231	should have to rely on the Conservation Commission to do the monitoring. He noted that
232	the town may not always have a conservation commission to monitor easements. He also
233	noted that it is the policy of the Sandown Conservation not to hold easements.
234	reaction of the state of the st
235	Mr. Traeger noted that since the 2007 Board voted for those conditions, they can't change
236	the conditions now, but can only act on the letter at this time.
237	
238	Mr. Traeger reminded the Board how important the language in motions is. The language
239	needs to be succinct with motions and conditions and the Board's intent needs to be
240	captured in the minutes.
241	
242	Mr. Russell noted that if this kind of situation were to come before the Board again, the
243	town has been advised to have one deed, one piece of property monitored by a third party
244	other than the Sandown Conservation Commission.
245	
246	MOTION: Mr. Mencis made a motion to give Mr. Traeger the authority to sign the
247	mylar for Twitchell's Way and use the letter addressed to the Sandown Conservation
248	Commission dated 2/7/12 to convey to the Sandown Conservation Commission, the
249	monitoring rights granting access to the Sandown Conservation Commission for the
250	purpose of monitoring the "limited cut area" as shown on the plan. Mr. Daley seconded
251	the motion. Mr. Mencis, Mr. Daley, Mr. Traeger voted in the affirmative. Mr. Russell
252	opposed. Mr. Brown stepped down. The motion passed.
253	off commercial and the commercial function.
254	MOTION: Mr. Russell made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Daley seconded the motion.
255	
256	The Board discussed signing the mylar at that meeting.
257	to the definition of the second of the secon
258	Mr. Russell rescinded his motion to adjourn. Mr. Daley rescinded his second to adjourn.
259	
260	Mr. Traeger read note number 15 from the mylar, noting that the letter from Mr. Brown
261	was the instrument of granting access to the Sandown Conservation for the purpose of
262	monitoring the "limited cut area" as shown on the plan.
263	
264	Mr. Traeger signed the mylar for Twitchell's Way.
265	
266	MOTION : Mr. Daley made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Russell seconded the motion.
267	The Board voted unanimously in the affirmative. The motion passed. MEETING
268	ADJOURNED AT 10:00 P.M.
269	
270	Respectfully submitted,
	~ 1
071	Chares Alains
271 272	Andrea Cairns, Recording Secretary
_ / _	marca canno, recording beeretary





Town of Sandown Master Plan Vision Chapter Public Meeting, February 7, 2012

Sandown
Master Plan Survey Summary
[October 2011]

MAJOR FINDINGS

- Protecting Sandown's natural amenities and water resources was a high priority for respondents.
- Respondents supported policies to stabilize the property tax rate, including redevelopment and commercial development, sale of town land, and implementing energy conservation measures.
- Overall, respondents support a diverse mix of housing, to include affordable options for seniors and working families.

ECONOMIC VITALITY

88 %	Implement energy conservation measures for municipal facilities
83 %	Should develop alternative energy sources for municipal facilities
• 67%	Should facilitate redevelopment of existing structures
■ 58%	A gas station would have a positive impact on the town
54 %	Support selling appropriate town-owned vacant land to stabilize or reduce the tax rate
■ 52%	Should facilitate new construction in downtown
51 %	Support making more land available for commercial development to stabilize/reduce tax rate
47 %	Economic development beyond downtown should be encouraged
71 %	Do not/not at all support commercial or mixed use buildings > than 4 stories in downtown
• 63%	Somewhat do not/not at all support shopping plaza developments outside of downtown
50%	Strongly disagree or somewhat disagree that a tax rate similar to past rates is acceptable

COMMUNITY CHARACTER

Natural setting is important to making Sandown a desirable place to live 95% Small New England character is important to Sandown as a place to live 94% Town should do more to preserve historic structures 93% Sense of community was very important or somewhat important the quality of life in Sandown 91% Quality of schools was important to the quality of life in Sandown

86%

COMMUNITY FACILITIES / INFRASTRUCTURE

- Expanded community recreational center would enhance residents' quality of life
- 57% School and the library are excellent or good
- 53% Transfer station is excellent or good
- 50% Fire and rescue stations are excellent or good
- 22% Police station as excellent or good
- 45% Athletic playing fields as excellent or good
- 31% Indoor recreation facilities as excellent or good
- 79% Public transportation is *not very important* or *not at all important*
- 9 persons Identified the intersection of 121A and North Road as a hazard
 Several other Main Street intersections identified as hazards

HOUSING

•	90%	Encourage development of single family homes
•	76%	Support more flexible options for 'in-law' apartments
•	75%	Support policies that enable seniors on a limited or fixed income to stay in Sandowr
•	64%	Support more affordable housing options for seniors
•	63%	Encourage development of more duplexes
•	61%	Encourage more affordable housing for young families
•	89%	Disagree the town should encourage mobile homes and mobile home parks

NATURAL, RECREATIONAL, AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

■ 95%	Protecting drinking water sources
■ 89%	Protecting water resources for recreational use
■ 90%	Should support homeowner and community gardens
■ 89%	Protect agricultural lands for current or future food production
91 %	Support the production and sale of local agricultural products
78 %	Establish downtown architectural design standards
83 %	Install signage to identify historic buildings and places
67 %	Town should play a role in encouraging less consumption

Sandown Planning Board Minutes 2/7/2012
ENERGY
Concerns:
Opportunities:
Benefits to the Community:
WILDLIFE & HABITAT
Concerns:
Opportunities:
Benefits to the Community:

TRANSPORTATION

Concerns:	
Opportunities:	
Benefits to the Community:	
FUTURE LAND USE AND POPULATION	
Concerns:	
Opportunities:	
Benefits to the Community:	

2. A Community Vision (6 Community Profile Components)

I. Informed Citizen Participation and Effective Community Leadership

A) <u>Vision for the Future:</u>

- Encourage the media to communicate to demographics and new people in town.
- ii. Establish a town web site with all information.
- iii. Establish a community newsletter.
- iv. Evaluate the existing form of town government.
- v. Have citizens be more involved and aware of community issues.
- vi. Aggressively communicate town events.
- vii. Televise town and committee meetings; have regularly scheduled "news".
- viii. Have a Volunteer Day to let citizens know what opportunities are available.

B) Key Issues for Now and the Future:

- i. Look into town management and other forms of government/future leadership.
- ii. Improve our ways of informing the citizenry about community events as well as government and volunteer opportunities (improving communication).
- iii. Review the need for a pre-meeting for deliberative session to increase participation (and efficiency) and inform citizens.
- iv. Review methods to educate/welcome new citizens in town (volunteer, private or public).
- v. Prepare a solid plan for leaders to look to for guidance for future of our town.

II. Fostering Healthy Families, Individuals, Youth & Lifelong Learning

A) <u>Vision for the Future:</u>

- i. Review the need to hire community a grant writer.
- ii. Create/coordination/centralize resource information for youth/families
- iii. Strive to create a well-planned physical facility as central resource for community (e.g. community center).
- iv. Review the need for facilities/programs for teens (including providing transportation).
- v. Expand recreation programs and facilities to new areas.
- vi. Provide safe walking/biking area(s).
- vii. Incorporate educational programs with recreational.
- viii. Have recreational programs integrate/include all age groups.
- ix. Decentralized recreation programs/areas.
- x. Improved vehicles for communication (e.g. town newsletter).

B) Key Issues for Now and the Future:

- i. Improve town wide communication and information –broad based.
- ii. Plan for a community building/social center (physical place).
- iii. Encourage community building-relational (foster sense of community; strong and healthy families and community).
- iv. Provide access to and information regarding Health/Human Services and education for all ages. Provide for additional age appropriate recreational facilities.