1	Sandown Planning Board
2	Minutes
3	October 18, 2011
4	
5	Date: October 18, 2011
6	Place: Sandown Town Hall
7	Members Present: Mark Traeger – Chair, Donna Green – Vice Chair, Hans Nicolaisen -
8	Ex-Officio, Ed Mencis, Fred Daley, Steve Meisner, Alternate Matt Russell, Steve Keach
9	– Town Engineer
10	Also Present: Recording Secretary Andrea Cairns
11	Absent: Marilyn Cormier, Alternate Ernie Brown
12	
13	Opening: Mr. Traeger opened the meeting at 7:02 p.m.
14	
15	Mr. Traeger noted that the postcards for the master plan have been mailed, and public
16	input is important. The public can go to <u>www.sandown.us</u> to fill out the online survey
17	and/or they can attend the community forum.
18	
19	Steve Clifton – Library Trustee, Discussion regarding CIP
20	Mr. Clifton explained that the Library was interested in building a handicapped
21	accessible meeting room. Currently, meetings are held in the basement of the library,
22	which is not handicapped accessible. The estimated cost for this project is \$400,000.
23	
24	Mr. Russell asked Mr. Clifton if he anticipated that the trustees would be in a position to
25	put a capital reserve figure on a warrant for this year. Mr. Clifton asked what the process
26	was. The board clarified that the trustees would need to determine what period of time for
27	the CIP and how much money they want to set aside each year.
28	Mr. Duggell noted there was time for eacher meeting with the Dispring Deard since the
29	Mr. Russell noted there was time for another meeting with the Planning Board since the
30 31	CIP doesn't need to be submitted to the Selectman until December 10, 2011.
32	Ms. Green questioned the cost. Mr. Clifton clarified that the actual cost is approximately
33	\$250,000 for the materials and construction. The estimated cost of \$400,000 is taking into
34	account the projected cost if it is going to take five years to complete.
35	account the projected cost in it is going to take rive years to complete.
36	Mr. Russell questioned if the trustees had a rough plan or conceptual drawing of the
37	proposed addition. Mr. Clifton stated they did not. Mr. Russell noted that if they were
38	going to move forward with the project and go on a warrant article, they would need
39	more information and he strongly suggested they be very prepared for the public at a
40	deliberative session with a fine tuned cost and drawings.
41	and and me.
42	Mr. Russell noted that if a warrant article were passed, the money wouldn't be available
43	to the trustees in the first year. He also suggested that the trustees might want to go for a
44	small warrant article to draw up the plans.
. –	1 1

45

Mr. Clifton noted he would like to be put on the agenda for the next meeting. He will goback to the trustees for further discussion.

48

49 Public Hearing for review of a Lot Line Adjustment and Minor Subdivision
50 Application submitted by Michael and Lisa Oleson for a two lot subdivision. The
51 property is shown on Map 12, Lots 1 and 2, 109 and 101 Odell Road.

- 53 Present was Charlie Zilch, with SEC & Associates.
- 54

52

- 55 Mr. Zilch reviewed the proposed plans for the Board.
- 56
 57 12-1 is an exiting lot of 10.35 acres and has 357' of frontage on Odell Rd. This lot
 58 supports an existing single-family residential dwelling served by own septic and
 59 individual driveway.
- 60
- 61 12-2 is 45.9 acres with 280' frontage on Odell Rd., has a right of way through an existing
 62 50' wide right of way easement off Pine Ridge Circle located on lot 16-10-10. This lot is
 63 undeveloped.
- 64
- The intent of the proposal is to adjust the lot line between the two lots and create anadditional frontage lot.
- 67

68 This would be accomplished by reducing the existing house lot (12-1), to two acres in 69 size and reducing frontage to 200'. From the remaining land, which is 12-1, create a new 70 frontage lot along the southerly boundary. This new lot is intended for single family 71 residential dwelling, will be 2.14 acres and 220' of frontage on Odell Rd. 12-2 is the

- remaining lot, which will be 52 acres and have 217' of remaining frontage.
- 73

Mr. Zilch noted the new lot and reduced house lot meet the zoning and subdivision
criteria for a building lot as well as the remaining land. There is a wetland on the
property; there is no impact to the wetlands. There are no immediate plans for the
remaining land. Should they change their mind at some point, on the new lot line they
proposed a 15' wide future road construction easement. This is not part of the current
proposal, just something they are thinking of.

80

State approvals have all been granted for the reduced lot and for the new lot. As for local
permits, they put together driveway applications for the new lot and the middle lot. Mr.

- 2 permits, mey put together driveway applications for the new for and the initiale for. Mr
 83 Zilch spoke with Mr. Genualdo who reviewed the plans and has no issues. Mr. Zilch
- 83 Zhen spoke with Wi. Genualdo who reviewed the plans and has no issues. Wi. Zi
 84 wasn't sure if he needed to get anything in writing. Mr. Keach clarified that Mr.
- 85 Genualdo will issue a permit.
- 86

87 Ms. Green asked how much width did they leave for the potential road off Odell. Mr.

- 88 Zilch clarified they left 230' of frontage, so the road could go anywhere, but where it's
- best suited to come in across the property, they left a 50' right of way.
- 90

91 Mr. Keach and Mr. Nicolaisen questioned the quality of the 50' right of way since they 92 are not familiar with the topography. Mr. Zilch thought the property starts to get steeper 93 where it meets the property line. 94 Mr. Russell questioned the easement for the existing well for 12-1. Mr. Zilch clarified 95 that the existing well would end up outside the property line, so they've granted an 96 97 easement around it so the owner of lot 12-1 has rights to maintain, repair or relocate the 98 well. 99 Mr. Keach sent out his letter with comments and stated the application appears to satisfy 100 101 all zoning requirements and felt a motion to approve would be appropriate. 102 103 **MOTION:** Mr. Mencis made a motion to accept the application for consideration. Mr. 104 Russell seconded the motion. 105 106 Discussion: Ms. Green did not see the letter from Mr. Keach. Mr. Keach reviewed the 107 letter for the board. 108 109 Mr. Zilch questioned the shoulder improvement requirement. He thought the existing lot 110 was exempt from having to do any shoulder improvement. They have no problem 111 creating a nice shoulder for the new lot they are creating, but questioned whether it was 112 warranted for the land that currently exists. 113 114 Mr. Keach noted he would be content with a simple notation on the drawing that says if 115 and when a building permit is issued for the construction of 12-2 then the shoulder 116 improvements would be completed and Mr. Zilch would include a plan for the 117 improvements. Mr. Zilch agreed to that plan. 118 Mr. Meisner asked if the general highway easement for the town would still take place on 119 120 all three lots. Mr. Keach recommended that it should. Michael Oleson the property 121 owner joined the discussion and agreed that would not be a problem. 122 123 Ms. Green questioned if the shoulder improvement is not over the subsequent sections, 124 will the shoulder deteriorate over time. Mr. Keach is not concerned about that happening. 125 126 The board voted unanimously in the affirmative. There were no abstentions. 127 128 Mr. Zilch had a waiver request to pay the \$1,000 fee in lieu of on-site recreation. He 129 submitted written request. Mr. Traeger read the waiver request. 130 131 **MOTION:** Mr. Mencis made a motion to waive subdivision regulation 9.23 requiring onsite recreational facilities in lieu of a \$1,000 donation to the Town of Sandown's 132 133 Recreational Revolving Fund. Mr. Nicolaisen seconded the motion. There was no 134 discussion. The board voted unanimously in favor. There were no abstentions. 135

120	MOTION. Ma Manaia mode a motion to annous the lat line adjustment and subdivision
136	MOTION: Mr Mencis made a motion to approve the lot line adjustment and subdivision
137	plan for tax map 12 lots 1 and 2 for Michael and Lisa Oleson with the following
138	stipulations:
139	
140	1) Receipt of NHDES subdivision approval
141	2) Provide performance guarantee, in an amount and form acceptable to the town, to
142	serve as a financial guarantee for the successful completion of shoulder
143	improvements along the frontage of Odell Road at lot 12-2-1.
144	3) Note waiver of section 9.23 of subdivision regulations of final plan
145	4) Set monuments as shown on final plat and provide certification from a licensed
146	land surveyor acknowledging the same
147	5) Satisfactorily address all comments and recommendations contained in the town
148	engineer's letter report of 10/17/11, except planning/design matters comment
149	number 7 pertaining to extension of shoulder improvements along frontage of lot
150	12-2.
151	6) Receipt of highway easement deed
152	7) Payment of all fees and maintenance of positive PREA account balance.
153	
154	All except for number 7 are conditions precedent to Mr. Traeger signing the plan.
155	An except for number 7 are conditions precedent to wr. Tracger signing the plan.
156	Mr. Russell seconded the motion. The board voted unanimously in favor. There were no
157	abstentions.
	austentions.
158	
159	Public Hearing for review of amendments to the Sandown Subdivision Regulations.
160	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard
160 161	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the
160 161 162	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard
160 161 162 163	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours.
160 161 162 163 164	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the
160 161 162 163 164 165	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public.
160 161 162 163 164	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change
160 161 162 163 164 165	These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety concerns.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety concerns. Ms. Green reviewed her questions on the proposed amendments. The following changes
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety concerns.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety concerns. Ms. Green reviewed her questions on the proposed amendments. The following changes will be made.
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178	 These amendments are for the purpose of updating the design standard requirements for streets. A copy of the proposed amendments are on file in the Town Clerk's Office and available for review during regular business hours. Present was Roger Barczak member of the public. Mr. Traeger reviewed the history of the discussion for Mr. Barczak and why the change in regulations was needed. It was pointed out that the Geometric Table of Standards that clarified the change in road width was not provided to the public in their packets. Mr. Barczak read a statement to the Board outlining his concerns. He expressed his disagreement with the changes and hopes board takes to heart the public safety issues. The Board discussed the background of their decision specifically addressing safety concerns. Ms. Green reviewed her questions on the proposed amendments. The following changes

- 182 9.6 Take the section "access control" from the old regulations and insert that language183 verbatim in 9.1.8.
- 184
- 185 The Board will continue the discussion to another public hearing. The two amendments
- should be included into the packet as well as the Geometric Table of Standards.

188 Approval of minutes for 10/4/11

- **MOTION:** Mr. Russell made a motion to accept the minutes of 10/4/11 as submitted,
- Mr. Nicolaisen seconded the motion. Ms. Green and Mr. Meisner abstained. The motionpasses.
- 192
- 193 Discussion on Storm Water Ordinances will be deferred to the next work session.
- 194
- 195 Mr. Traeger read the Administrative Assistant's report.
- 196

197 Other business

- Mr. Traeger noted that if the Board were going to do a storm water ordinance then they
 would need to notify a certain percentage of the town. The Board agreed in lieu of asking
 the attorney to get involved, they would send postcards to the entire town.
- 201
- 202 The selectman and budget committee approved the planning board budget. The budget
- committee lowered the payroll line item. The board discussed whether they should put aline item on the budget for a postcard mailing.
- 205

206 Town Engineer's Report

- Mr. Keach noted that the Waterford Village road system would be complete by the end ofOctober.
- 209
- Mr. Keach has an appointment with the new owner of Autumn Hills. He will let the boardknow what the outcome of that discussion is.
- 212
- MOTION: Mr. Russell made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Mencis seconded the motion. The
 Board voted unanimously in the affirmative. Meeting adjourned at 9.31 p.m.
- 214

216 Respectfully submitted,

Chares Mains

217
218 Andrea Cairns, Recording Secretary