



Town of Plaistow ♦ Board of Selectmen
145 Main Street ♦ Plaistow ♦ NH ♦ 03865

SELECTMEN MEETING MINUTES

DATE: 11/19/2007

CALL TO ORDER: 6:30

PRESENT: Lawrence W. Gil, *Vice Chairman*; Daniel J. Poliquin; John A. Sherman

EXCUSED: Michelle L. Curran, *Chairman*; Charles L. Blinn, Jr.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

PUBLIC COMMENT:

No members of the public wishing to speak.

MINUTES:

► Motion by J. Sherman and second by D. Poliquin to approve the minutes of November 5, 2007 with amendments.

Vote:

Passes 3-0-0

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

NH Health and Human Services Mosquito Control Reimbursement

Reimbursement of \$8,551 from NH Health and Human Services regarding mosquito control.

J. Hoch states this reflects two applications for reimbursement for this season, one in the amount of \$2,230 and one for \$6,321. The state is reimbursing us for 25% of our costs associated with the website program for early season mosquito control as well as staff hours attributed for the public outreach associated with it.

J. Sherman asks why do we need a public hearing.

J. Hoch replies because we didn't anticipate it in the 2007 budget and because it is over \$5k we need to hold the hearing.

J. Sherman replies I think that's because last year, although we thought the state would reimburse us, we weren't sure, so we didn't budget for it.

J. Hoch replies that's correct.

► Motion by J. Sherman and second by D. Poliquin to accept the grant as stated by the town manager in the amount of \$8,551.

Vote:

Passes 3-0-0

Library Disbursement of May Nelson Trust for Advance Payment of Library Debt in the amount \$163,179.

J. Hoch states as discussed in the past, the library has had this trust. They came to us this year and said they would like to dissolve the trust early, and give us the balance of that account to pay for the note we have on the library, so this represents greater than their commitment over the next two years. The intent for us would be, if the board accepts it, to appropriate the funds for the purpose of payment of the library debt. I would come back to the board with reworked numbers for financing the next two years of payment. Would like to add second motion for additional \$939.61 for an additional check, which was just recently received.

► Motion by J. Sherman and second by D. Poliquin to accept funds as stated by the Town Manager in the amounts of \$163,179 and an additional \$939.61.

Vote:

Passes 3-0-0

Discussion

J. Sherman states for people at home, the library trustees have determined that it doesn't make any sense to continue this account because the administrative fees are higher than the interest generated. It has become a losing proposition and we all agreed this is the right thing to do; turn the money over to the town and later on we will decide how we are going to adjust what the town pays, in order to pay off the only debt the town is carrying.

Presentation by James Chow, EPA and Richard Pease, NH DES

Beede Waste Oil Superfund Site Sampling Results attached.

R. Pease states I will summarize the site conditions and James will update on status of upcoming activities. The site groundwater became contaminated over the years because of the operations of the facility. There were underground and aboveground storage tanks that leaked. There was a lagoon where wastewater was placed on the surface of the ground and stored. This all infiltrated into the ground water. The cross section illustrates what happened. The oil leaked from these structures, migrated through the ground and impacted the groundwater. The petroleum products contaminated the groundwater but would float on the surface. The chlorinated solvents are denser and would sink in the ground water. Once it impacts the groundwater, it starts spreading out and will follow the groundwater flow. It will disperse through the groundwater, often being pushed downward, either due to rain or just because it's heavier. Eventually sinking through the subsurface into bedrock and will continue to follow the groundwater flow. Often groundwater will discharge to a surface water and impact it. The plumes that emanated from the lagoons and tanks impacted the ground water and then dispersed and discharged into Kelley Brook on the west side of the property. We also found some contamination under Kelley Brook, beyond, and out to 125.

J. Sherman requests please draw a circle around property in questions to clarify for people watching.

R. Pease states the site is bounded by Kelley Road to the north, and by Kelly Brook on the west side, with a 40 acre parcel. Parcel one is where most of the activity took place. Parcel two was a gravel pit operation. Most of the contamination took place in parcel one and migrated into parcel two. Parcel two is relatively clean on the surface but the groundwater is contaminated and extends out to Route 125. We sample the site annually. We started this year's sampling on November 5th so there are no results yet. However, we took water level readings, and readings on the thickness of the floating products of the petroleum out there. While the initial results showed upwards of seven feet of floating product (oil), most recent tests showed, in 16 locations tested, most locations found only several inches thick, while one location showed three feet thick of floating oil on top of the groundwater. So there is a noticeable decrease in contamination.

J. Sherman asks who is doing the sampling.

R. Pease replies in years past the sampling was done by the DES, in 2005 and 2006 the EPA ran the sampling, and this year we have another contractor that is doing the sampling under our oversight. We sample about 60 wells with the most recent results from 2006. We started the sampling program back in 1995. Since the 2000 time frame, the solvent distillation plume appears to be gone, and groundwater data, in source areas, indicate reduced concentration levels. Our investigation also found contamination no longer appears to extend into the north-northeastern portion of parcel two and

no longer crosses Kelley Brook. Another interesting 2006 result is that the upper northeasterly section is now clean. Kelley Brook is now discharging clean water into the area. There have been decreases in contaminations in the center of the plume. We have a row of wells and contaminate concentrations have been going down in this general area (referring to the map). Concentrations of contaminants that were once in the 700-900 parts per billion are now into the single parts per billion. Wells are now starting to test clean that weren't prior. There are also some conflicting results; there is a cluster of wells where some contaminate levels are going down while others are going up. It will be interesting to see what the 2007 results are for that area.

L. Gil asks have you completed most of 2007 but just haven't done the comparisons yet.

R. Pease replies yes groundwater samples are just coming in for analysis. Need to run the data before drawing any conclusions.

L. Gil asks if annual samples are taken at the same time every year.

R. Pease replies usually the tests are run in the summer during the month of July but this year there was a delay with the contractor.

R. Pease states next is the residential sampling program. Once every three years we test approximately 50 wells and determine whether we need to sample more wells, more often, based on those results. We sample eight wells around the site on an annual basis, one well on a semi annual basis, and eight wells around the site, in various locations, on a quarterly basis. The frequency is based on potential impact and proximity to the contaminants. There have been residential wells on the north side of Kelley Road and Old County Road that appear to be impacted by sources up gradient of the site and/or unrelated to the site. The wells that appear to be directly impacted by the site contamination are southeasterly of the site, between the north end of Shady Lane and Kelley Brook. Seven wells are impacted in the Shady Lane area by the condos. Contaminate levels have remained steady over time. The state is maintaining two point of entry treatment systems, out of five other wells, one is cleaned up, and the others are very low levels of contaminants.

L. Gil asks if the information you just provided is going to be available to the public in printed form and would it list the parameters.

R. Pease replies we send copies of residential samples where we detect something to the town health officer. We would list the contaminants that have been detected. We test for about 20-50 chemicals and in most cases we only need to check for one or two.

J. Sherman asks what are the chances there might be new wells affected in the contaminated area.

R. Pease replies it appears that the contaminate is shifting in one area but in the other residential areas, it has remained the same. It's remarkable that over 15-20 years of results, how steady these concentrations have been. Doesn't appear to be any graphic expansion but to be sure we test wells outside the areas as well to make sure it doesn't get by.

J. Sherman asks is there an aroma issue or would I know if my well was impacted if I lived in the general area.

R. Pease responds because the contamination is only single parts per billion it would be hard to detect. We do have sampling throughout the general area.

J. Sherman asks if I was a homeowner in that area that was concerned about my well, whom should I contact.

R. Pease responds you could contact the health officer, DES, or call me directly.

L. Gil states following up on John's comment, those homes around outside of the perimeter, are they part of any sampling program at all. Outside of your line, do you sample and notify those people as well.

R. Pease responds we send out letters to all residents whether we do or don't detect contaminations. When there are detections we forward those results to our risk assessors and they would also follow up with the homeowners.

J. Sherman requests Jason to follow up with the Health Officer to be sure she receives the reports and find out what she does with them.

J. Hoch replies I know she receives the reports and sends a copy to myself as well. We are reviewing them and we keep all the records and property files if anyone needs a copy.

J. Chow states would like to give everyone an annual update on site activity, groundwater negotiations, and final agreement to be sure it's cleaned up for the future. Back in 2000 we initiated some activities on site to address issues related to this 6-7 ft thick plume sitting on top of the water table. There was considerable thickness in the water table and some of it was migrating toward Kelley Brook. In 2000 we installed a vacuum system to remove waste from the ground. System consisted of 143 well extractions that were serviced by two pumps. We also installed a collection trench along Kelley Brook for product that was escaping. In 2005 we discontinued the extraction system because it no longer was collecting much product and wasn't cost effective. We expected to recover 10-30 thousand gallons of waste oil but have recovered over 90,000 gallons of waste oil. There is no expansion of that plume into offsite areas. Property wells are cleaner. In 2005 we discontinued this system but decided to keep it on site and mothball it, so we could turn it back on if needed. The collection trench was extended by an additional 8 ft to improve range of capture of waste migrating to Kelley Brook. Very little prod collecting in the collection trench. Over last two years minimal product was found in the collection trench. There's still considerable volume of waste oil there but it's not migrating off site.

J. Chow states last year I briefed you on the fact that we had reached an agreement principal with the top tier participants of the site and the agreement called for those parties to clean up the site. We have now reached agreement with 90 parties. Took time to dot eyes and cross T's. In April of this year, along with the Dept. of Justice, we launched a clean up agreement with NH Federal Dist. Court. Have received some comments back and some objections with parties that have decided not to settle. We are working through that legal process of filing and sometime in the next few months will be in a position to request the judge to approve that agreement to clean up the site, provides protection for families that have signed on, and provide additional settlement funds into the settlement trust. Will request the judge to approve settlement and hopefully by spring will have a final agreement in place.

J. Chow states this is the last remaining of the top tier parties. Decided to resolve smallest parties first, so back in 2000 we offered cash out opportunities for residents. This recent round we have about 2,000 responsible parties that we have identified and we will have reached settlement with about 1,200 to 1,300 of those parties. With this last consent decree requirement we will resolve liability with an additional 90 parties.

J. Sherman asks where do we stand in terms of the percentage of people that have settled.

J. Chow replies we probably offered final agreement to 110-120 parties with 90 signed on to it. They are committed and bound by agreement to clean up facility. It was difficult getting to that point and we threatened legal action when they were not negotiating in good faith but they came back to the table for this agreement. They had a lot to loose if they didn't settle with us. The success is that we have reached resolution with 1,200 to 1,300 parties. Remaining 700 parties are defunct, diseased or dissolved. There are some parties that didn't settle for whatever reason that are still viable entities. Those parties that didn't settle, there maybe third party actions that we have no control over. On a second legal track, we are close to having the final agreement in place and approved by the court. In addition we have sued three parties to recover past costs, they chose not to settle, we reached out to them, but they clearly weren't going to settle so we decided to pursue separately to recover some of the costs that are still outstanding. In a nut, this is what's been going on at the site. The other issue is that with this agreement close to being in place, we have begun a transition of site work from the agencies to PRP Group. PRP Group has retained an environmental engineering firm, Woodard & Kern. They have regular communications, have begun to transition site work, and are getting up to speed. We agreed in early fall to allow them to do the groundwater sampling, which just started last week, at their expense. If the judge throws our request out, they will not be paid. They have agreed to do the work either way and so far that's going

well. Beginning spring, site work will be increasing and they will be in charge. Sometime in next couple years site work will begin in earnest.

J. Sherman states so they are hired by PRP as part of the arrangement and what's the oversight with them.

J. Chow replies the state and EPA are overseeing their work. We have someone at the site every single day checking on things. We have retained Sanborn Head and Associates, which is a NH based company, to perform some field oversight directly. We have the opportunity to request split analysis. That means every sample of soil or water must be split so we can test ourselves as well. We requested they submit to us their parameters for testing and we reviewed that. We have conducted a field audit to review how they collected samples, operating procedures and parameters so there is a lot of supervision that is done to be sure things are running properly.

L. Gil asks regarding ownership of the property and any legal prosecution of those owners. Mr. Henry was involved but so were others and we don't hear their names mentioned at all. Are they assigned any responsibility in regards to the activities that took place.

J. Chow replies as you remember Mark Henry was prosecuted, found guilty, and served sentences for several charges. In terms of financial liability, we have made every effort to uncover any assets that may be available without a lot of success. In terms of final agreement, it's for the property to be transferred into a LLC that would be controlled by PRP Group. Essentially, that was Mr. Henry's contribution, by vesting his property, it makes all this work much easier to coordinate and process.

L. Gil asks has the transfer of the property transpired yet.

J. Chow replies not yet, timeline doesn't begin until set decree is finalized.

L. Gil asks any other ownerships.

J. Chow replies no, not that I'm aware of. I know there are other owners and operators involved and I know we have worked with the state attorney generals office and have pursued aggressively those leads and either there's not much left to pursue or those entities are long since gone. Not aware of any other plan activities.

J. Sherman asks how would transfer of owner ship work.

J. Chow replies it would be a transfer of title which he has agreed to as part of the settlement.

J. Sherman asks can you give us some feel of the ultimate goal for the cleanup. Just so people have a general timeline.

Jason J. Chow replies this is a very lengthy processes, couple years of additional studies that need to be done. Calls for groundwater extraction system for period of time, those systems have to be designed and then pilot testing - so couple years for that. Construction of those systems, and then cleanup work in earnest. Three years before you will see a lot of site cleanup work occurring.

L. Gil states we went through an elaborate long-term process about what would happen once cleaned up and transferred to the town, so how would that work. It now sounds like it would be under the ownership of PRP.

J. Chow replies it was either going to be under the control of PRP or Mr. Henry would continue to own it. He agreed to transfer to an LLC under the PRP Group. Unsure at this point what will happen to the property at the end of the cleanup, which could be 30 years from now. That would be topic for additional discussions. Not sure what their plan in terms of reuse of the property is either. The goal is to have property suitable for recreational or residential use. Somebody could purchase property from the LLC. What happens to the property at that time wouldn't be much different than if Mark Henry still owned it.

L. Gil asks what about the property taxes.

J. Chow replies I'm not sure. There's been no discussion about taxes; it's not our jurisdiction.

J. Hoch responds prior to it transferring to the LLC taxes would have to be resolved. Taxes are either paid or get bounced back to this board. Will be open issue for discussion when changing from private ownership to LLC

L. Gil asks do we have a legal standing. I'm not sure I would just agree to turn the property over; we might want to look into this.

J. Hoch replies we do have a legal obligation but right now the question becomes, do you want the property. That's the negotiating point you're stuck with. There is a significant tax lean but you might not want the property in its current condition.

L. Gil states I understand but in 30 years from now, 40 acres would be a considerable chunk of money.

J. Chow replies I'm not sure what their plans are with regards to the property taxes that are owed, or their intentions once it is cleaned up.

J. Hoch asks when do you see transfer of ownership to the LLC.

J. Chow replies sometime in the next 4-6 months at most. The agreement calls for some type of instrument that would no longer be controlled by Mark Henry within 30-60 days with final approval within the next 12 months.

J. Hoch asks are we looking at some time within 2008.

J. Chow replies yes.

J. Sherman states it's one of those situations where while we don't want to take ownership of the property we might want to consider who does get ownership and have some input.

L. Gil states we need to go back and review the decision making that was done as part of the re-use plan. Much of that effort was about how the town could make use of property once it was cleaned up. I don't think we were considering the cleanup could take 30 years.

J. Chow states overall the cleanup should be much less than that. The site will be cleaned up to residential, recreational standards. In regards to the PRP Group, other than this plan, unsure of any other way to be sure it gets cleaned up. Either the property remained with Henry or someone else takes ownership to be sure the clean up takes place. The negotiation was between PRP and Mr. Henry.

L. Gil asks are the terms of that agreement public record.

J. Chow replies no.

L. Gil states it seems a little odd to me that it wouldn't be public record.

J. Chow replies he's not getting a free walk. They could have put him in a very difficult position so he realized he was at the end of the rope and giving the property away was in his best interest because otherwise he could have been sited for further actions. At any point in time we are more than happy to set up public meeting with residents of the neighborhood to discuss what's going on with the site and will meet one-on-one with individuals if they wish. We are transitioning to a new position so Mike Jeszinski, who was chief in Rhode Island is here tonight and he will be the new point of contact.

L. Gil states I would think that once the consent decree is signed it would be a good time to have a public meeting. There will be issues so we will want to revisit. I think we need to revisit how the trucks would be entering and exiting the property and if there would be repair or strengthening of some of those roads.

J. Chow replies it will also be a good time to introduce new people that are working on the project, maybe sometime in the spring.

TOWN MANAGER'S REPORT

Budget

J. Hoch states I have budgets ready to present tonight. These budgets it represents 20% of our total budget, we can proceed or defer until we have more than three people. The trade off is that the budget committee likes to have things a week before. I can inform them that the selectman have not reviewed the budget yet and they would get and update reflecting any changes this board might make next Monday.

L. Gil replies I think it's a good idea to wait considering it represents 20%.

J. Hoch states as you review them we need to buckle down on a few of these items, the issues surrounding the town report is one. Once we have a discussion about Advertising Regional Associations, we need to have a discussion about that report and where we are going with it. I do have a memo that I handed out today with some selectmen recommendations but that's the other piece we need to address in the near future. We had spoken about his in the spring so I pulled the paperwork and tightened up some of the numbers. Put the report out to bid and got a significantly lower bid this year for producing a similar size book to last year. I realized we wanted to spend more time on how to expand the availability of that book. Will go into detail next time.

J. Hoch states received MS-5 on Tuesday, which was the piece we were waiting for in order to set the tax rate. At our last meeting we discussed \$20k more than the auditors showed. Follow up was that we met with one of the principals on Thursday and he had sent some other potential issues that may or may not have been different between our report and theirs. Met with DRA representatives on Thursday to set tax rate. They had all the information they needed, with the MS-5 and the tax rate was set at \$19.00, up from \$18.50, which is a 2.7% increase. On a \$300k house, that would be an increase of \$1,500 per year. Theses numbers are final and bills should hit the mail by beginning of next week. Assessors were available to help. As soon as we had date from DRA everything moved forward. Due date will be Dec 28th. Did note that I used \$9,750 of fund balances to round tax rate down to even \$19.

L. Gil asks with respect to auditor and the discrepancy, are we finished with that

J. Hoch replies we might be, just got back their draft report with detail entries, need to sit down with the Finance Director to get a sense of what changes they are recommending. Part of what happens is we generate our report and then they come back and say this should be here or there and a lot of it is timing issues, most of it's reasonable. Until we go through the report, I'm unable to determine what the discrepancies are. Once we get the final audit report and recommendations we will have exactly one month to implement all the recommended procedures. That's the other problem with this type of delay. We are slated to meet with new auditor next Wednesday for new game plan.

D. Poliquin asks where the largest increase in this tax rate is.

J. Hoch replies last year the town portion was \$4.34 now \$4.60; county was \$0.90 last year, same this year; local school tax was \$10.58 last year and \$11.12 this year; state school tax was \$2.68 now \$2.39 this year. It's really split across the board.

H. Hoch states DRA provided final report and the town has met all guidelines.

Town Hall

J. Hoch states work remaining downstairs is relocation of sprinkler heads, cosmetic work, painting in old file room and then carpeting. Once this is done we can start up stairs. Stage wall will be coming down. One of the last thing we expect to do

is replace the floor around January or February of next year. Due to high traffic with people trying to pay tax bills, there is no rush on that.

J. Sherman states as mentioned last week at the budget committee meeting, it seems like this project is taking a long time and we do that purposely to save money. We put a lot of items out to several bidders and we do as much work internally as possible in order to save the town money and there is no great deadline.

J. Hoch replies yes and we are here and watching things on a daily basis so we can find things that need correction or changes on a daily basis. We are definitely more hands on, being here while the renovations are being done and that means saving money.

Planning

J. Hoch states met after last meeting with the Planning Board to look at Rite Aid project and try to clarify some questions about Garden Road in anticipation of next meeting with Board Of Selectmen and Planning Board. Suggestion was to have them take another look at the access area and possible new location of the road where we have total control. Leigh and I will be meeting with them to try to clear up any questions and have a clearer picture of alternatives on the 28th.

D. Poliquin asks should be have a non-public meeting in order to discuss this.

J. Hoch replies if you think it would be helpful I can slot it in

J. Sherman states I think that would be helpful. Where they are proposing the exit is way to close to Westville Road. He talked about a post with a cup on it at Casey's Diner location. They were talking about putting in a new road on 125 that would replace Garden Road but the new road would be too close to Westville road. What he stated when he made the presentation is that it meets the state standards because it's 125 feet but it's only 90 ft from the stop line and will create issues. There is 30-35 ft difference between where Westville comes out and where the stop line heading north on Route 125 is. So there is really only 90 feet. I'm still in favor of changes being made where Garden Road comes out now, not where they are suggesting.

D. Poliquin states the road does need to be back further.

J. Sherman replies I think it would be much better if the road were moved down and maybe that means reconsidering where Rite Aid sits on the lot.

D. Poliquin states maybe they need to turn it around so you have more room. There are a few different options.

J. Sherman states people were talking about selling the property as an option, we haven't spoken about it as a group, but if we own the property and are giving them an easement, it would make more sense to sell it to them.

J. Hoch states right now there is a stub of road that is on the books as town property and we plow that part as well. It's kind of silly to be doing that, so it makes sense to look at a possible better solution.

Other

J. Hoch states passed along first of two-part package on Health Officer Position. First part, shared with the budget committee, recaps the responsibilities of the position. The second part is recognition and understanding of exactly what that position entails. Dennise has packaged up some of that information.

L. Gil state that position has also become more complex not only with the amount of inspections that have to be done but also the mosquito control, security and all the additional areas she handles.

J. Hoch states I think we take a very professional and aggressive approach to keep our people safe and we do need to re-evaluate the position

J. Hoch states last Friday we had training for 5 designated users on new website. It has more functionality and is easier to update and send information out. We know have a subscription feature so if you're an average user and would like to subscribe to get an update anytime agendas, meetings or minutes are posted you can have them emailed directly to your home. We are looking to make the switch and turn on the new site on December 3rd. If there is something you would like us to add, please let us know. I'll send next week the link as to where it's currently located so you can check it out.

SIGNATURE FOLDER

L. Gil states nothing to discuss in the signature folder

OTHER BUSINESS

D. Poliquin states there was a meeting on Thursday for Old Home Day.

J. Hoch replies we will discuss details next week. The only time sensitive issue was the firework display and I have the date locked in.

J. Sherman states at the last Budget Committee Meeting D. Garlington was talking about paving the roads and he talked about if there is a new road built in town and it's built at 28 ft wide vs. the standard 24 ft wide, it effects his budget costs. Asks is there any standard.

L. Gil states not sure about width in regards to standard specs and what the minimums are, I think it might be directly related to if they have granite curbing.

J. Sherman asks what is the Planning Board's take on granite curbing. Do they always use 24 ft or is it sometimes 28 ft and do they always use granite curbing.

L. Gil replies granite curbing affords you certain benefits, the road looks better but you also have drainage requirements with curbing. Generally, the costs associated with drainage go up. So the thought process now is where you can get away without it, not to use it.

J. Hoch states residential is 24 ft and 22 ft on a private way. There are no maximums.

J. Sherman states received a letter requesting the appointment Kristen Lewis Savage to the Recreation Commission.

► Motion by J. Sherman and second by D. Poliquin to appoint Kristen Lewis Savage as a member of the Recreation Commission.

Discussion:

J. Sherman states she has been to many of the meetings, which was a requirement, lives in town, and has asked to be appointed.

Vote:

Passes 3-0-0

SELECTMEN'S REPORTS

J. Sherman states Budget Committee met last Tuesday and the process is going well. The committee answered all questions

L. Gil asks the voidance of these budgets, we are not reviewing today, won't affect the process.

J. Sherman states we should be all set. They have been doing a very good job going over the budget with detailed questions. The planning board reviewed the Build Out Analysis. That was one of the items covered in the meeting with the Planning Board. It was pretty interesting, the bit of information that was most important is that, in the residential areas, if we built out to the max, we could potentially add 50 % increase to our housing population. This would be based on our

current zoning and if we built out as much as possible. Brief summary - delivered a bunch of maps with visuals but the number that was importation is a total population max out at approximately 12k.

L. Gil asks did they do any projections in regards to future costs regarding schools, roads, and those types of items.

J. Sherman replies no, their job was just to provide the raw numbers and then it would be up to the towns to expand on them.

L. Gil states I apologize I missed the meeting, but in the past, part of a Build-Out Analysis would necessitate specific additional requirements including, police, fire, schools, and highways so you would have idea of capital costs associated with the build up. The Planning Board would require this in order to account for the increases or change the planning process.

J. Sherman replies this is not part of the current agreement with RPC. I'm sure they would agree to do that, but they would require a new contract.

J. Hoch replies I'll follow-up. I have been involved in a little bit of that conversation and there are some certain rules of thumb that apply and are available so I will pass a report along that contains some of this information.

L. Gil states what I've seen in some of those reports are percentages of land that would remain as open space. How to figure out whether or not you have a percentage now that the town is comfortable with as well as water usage and other services.

J. Sherman states as I was listening to the presentation it's not just a linear projection. Services are not directly related to the percentage increase.

D. Poliquin states I found the meeting very interesting as well in regards to the Build Out Analysis – opened my eyes to a few different things. States I had mediation meeting last Wed unable to attend, I was out of town. I did receive this invitation and hope someone will be able to.

L. Gil states I was out of town this past week taking care of construction issues. All went well. Nothing to report relative to Conservation Commission. Scheduled to go out tomorrow and check out a site for a private citizen.

J. Sherman states Recreation Committee held meeting last week with not much to report other than Christian would like to be added to the board. Most importantly they set the date for the lighting of the Christmas lights on Monday, November 26th and we will have a special visitor. Santa will be here and there will be hot cocoa for all. If we could make sure this announcement is on the cable station that would be great.

D. Poliquin asks any update on construction of building

J. Sherman replies crews were working on Saturday and Sunday and the building is now standing.

Adjournment: 8:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Karen Iacozzi
Recording Secretary