

September 13, 2005

Present: Matt, Chan, Andy, Karyl

Also Present: Paul Carter, Susy Affleck-Childs

Meeting was called to order at 7:25 p.m.

Matt – we had a scheduled early appointment –

Susy – comment that Greg was invited, reluctant to attend, asked him to send something

Andy – status

Paul – progress was occurring during previous 2 weeks – reflected in last construction observation report – no inspections in the past 2 weeks

Andy – has he settled the lawsuit

Andy – why wouldn't he attend

Susy – not appropriate to indicate in a meeting

Citizen Comments - none

Matt – we have stated we have not reduced

Matt – special town meeting on Thursday after Columbus Day to deal with 2B Oak Street (October 13); antoehr special townmeeting the end of October or early November to set tax rate;

Matt- introduced Stacey Wetstein who will be helping us out while Susy is on leave

Stacey – be a little patient with me, . . .

PH continuation – Hopping Brook Estates

Steve Poole
Tony Dellorco

Karyl – recuse . . .

Steve Poole submitted revised plans and updated drainage calcs

Steve – this plan and drainage calcs are in response to last VHB letter – I don't think there are an awful lot of changes to the plan to talk about – a couple of little notes and some changes on the calcs – we did respond to one of Mark's comments on level spreader area – we moved it – there was a concern on location of house and septic system and we moved it - those are the two changes – nothing else of consequence – a few changes on the calcs and the time frame of the hydrograph to extend out to 30 hours – change in volume of flow – still comes out substantially less than predeveloped –

Matt – do you believe you have addressed all the comments

Paul Zonghi – wants to discuss waiver – at the last meeting, we had a discussion on the sidewalk fund – what are your thoughts on this? Are you using the same estimate? Has anyone paid into the fund

Susy – yes,

Paul – I was led to believe that those estimates were with blacktop

Matt – Our updated rules and regs have requirements for what goes into the various types of roadways. This does conform to our new standards which is what Mark was going by when he developed the estimate.

Andy – the concept of 172 feet of sidewalk that starts nowhere and goes nowhere; what if we were to recalculate this based on a different formula instead of a prevailing wage and provide an incentive to put money into the fund vs. building a sidewalk that really benefits nobody

Matt – that may be going one way when we really don't want to go – say there was a sidewalk that wasn't in good condition. We would want to require an applicant to upgrade to our current standards

Paul – one of the problems is that the town's cost is so much higher than the builder – the builder would choose to put in the sidewalk rather than – my cost would be 50% or less – I don't see any benefit to anyone else

Andy – could I build 175 feet of sidewalk somewhere else??

Chan – are sidewalks required

Matt – yes, our regs require it – in this instance, frontage along west street – developer has option to build or pay into a fund

Paul – you guys didn't set up a per foot price?

Matt – those other estimates were based on mass highway standards – bid averages – it may be high based on

Paul – when we started, this wasn't voted in yet.

Susy – all that was voted in was the creation of the fund

Andy – I am just curious, he raises a good point in the sense that he may end up constructing the sidewalk that nobody will benefit from – there are some other places in town – benefit for him to pay into that –

Paul – with town costs, you are working with prevailing wages – everything costs more – it is unfortunate but that is the way it is. the town is redoing sidewalks in hottop; I am not sure concrete is practical in New England – harder to replace than hottop –

Andy – is it possible for us to recalculate this based on a non prevailing wage – maybe based on private construction? Could we ask VHB to come up with an alternative estimate based on a private developer's cost?

Paul – if something isn't done, I think the town will lose the opportunity here to build up the fund.

Chan – I think it is too late to argue about sidewalks,

Matt – we haven't set the estimate yet –

Paul – we could come up with another estimate based on RS Means – regional

Andy – prevailing wage is a much higher amount than what a private developer

Chan – since all of these sidewalks are being built in the future, why don't we have a set price?

\
matt – depends on type of roadway this is on

chan – in some locations, concrete sidewalk is required and bituminous would be allowed elsewhere –

andy – in this case, there is no sidewalk there now, to

matt – applicant can either build the sidewalk or contribute the amount of money to the sidewalk fund to enable the town to build sidewalks elsewhere

andy – and the town would have to pay the prevailing wage – we have to decide whether the benefit is to the town to pay some sort of sum in or to build a sidewalk that doesn't go anywhere

paul – that is my preference –

susy – need to consider the already approved subdivisions and the ones that have already paid in.

paul – at these prices, I would choose to put the sidewalk in and I would see it as a waste of money

Matt –

Gino – were concrete sidewalks required under the old rules and regs? Wasn't this submitted under the old rules and regs? See what those regs required for this type of roadway and recalculate

Andy – I think granite curb exists along west street

Paul – I don't believe so – the island piece is cape cod berm – I am almost positive

Citizen – the roadway in front of mogel excavating is granite – the island is not

Paul – there is no curb from there up

Andy – it should be a granite curb as this is a secondary road

Chan – the island is not typical – it is a remnant for

Steve – gino has a good point, - base it under the old rules

Chan – the board should set aside some time to think about this -

Matt – let's redo the estimate again based on the old regs

Karyl – that still doesn't solve the problem

Andy – maybe the compromise is to come up with two figures and figure the difference

Matt – we will come up with a single estimate based on road standards from old regs but based on mass highway standards for construction

Andy – is it possible we could make this a line item for discussion at a future administrative meeting

Matt – we will revisit the situation . .

Eric Frey, 37 West Street – I was unable to attend the other meetings; I would like to be advised from the board on how or if I have accessibility to this road for possible subdivision of my property – there is a potential for 5 more lots – how many more can be put on the road before it can be upgraded – I was told I would be the last possible lot before the road would have to be upgraded –

Matt – currently proposed as a private way – I believe you would need access easement to be granted to you by the current owner or the future owners

Eric – is there any standards on how much they could charge

Eric – I would humbly grant that this board not grant any approval until I can determine if I can connect

Matt – this public hearing will remain open at least until October 11, 2005

Chan – we are not in a position to give you legal advice –

Paul – for the record, I told him, 1/3 of the road costs is what I would want

Tony – as far as the 4-5 lots coming off the road, I believe there is room for only 1 more lot off this road – feasible to cut out one more lot without extending the road

Eric – can the road be extended?

Steve – not without losing a lot- there is enough frontage on the common property line

Andy – if they were able to work out something on that lot. Would it remain a private way? Would they have to upgrade it

Paul – can the road have 3 lots without being upgraded

Matt – yes

Tony – would he come in as an ANR plan

Gino – if he has access to that road, he has to have rights to use the road.

Eric – I would have to come in front of the board for an ANR plan

Gino – much simpler process, no public hearing

Eric – and I wouldn't have to upgrade the road anymore

Matt – gino

Continue the hearing to October 11 to 8:30 pm.

Motion to extend the deadline to November 14, 2005 – andy, chan – all yes – no karyl

8:22 pm – Franklin Creek Def Subdivision plan

John Early
Tim Sheehan
Bill Halsing,

Andy – motion to waive reading of the public hearing - chan – all yes

Bill Halsing – 18 Franklin Street – private way subdivision – 425 feet – and to preserve some trees and wetlands, we don't have pavement centered on the right of way – curve to minimize impact on wetlands – hammerhead to turn into the driveways at the end – 3 houses proposed – 4 catch basins – 2 in front of lot 1 to go into stormceptor the project will be serviced by town water and sewer at Franklin Street – we also propose infiltration systems for both houses to minimize runoff – we did come up with a slight increase in runoff because of topography – we have asked for a number of waivers that we discussed at the preliminary plan process – a couple of things in response – we need to ask for a waiver on vertical curbing on the roundings as it is a private way – we did not propose any new street trees as we are keeping about 12 existing trees near the right of way

Matt – we have a couple of review letters – one from VHB and one from PGC -

Paul Carter – one comment is that I understand there is an existing house – the existing septic should probably be shown even though it will be removed – it didn't appear to be any baseline data on the roadway – add that – list of waivers on the cover sheet – the proposed utilities in terms of gas, electric etc should be shown on the plan itself

Bill – or cross section

Paul – plan itself – on infiltrative drainage system – there should be a perk test to substantiate the infiltration rates

Bill – we can get that

Paul – the profile showed the size of the pipes but the size and material of the pipes should be shown on the plan and info such as slope is also helpful

Paul – I would note that street lights are not shown on the plan – board should discuss

Paul – regs require that first section of the street be 1% - you have asked for a waiver on that – waiver requested for sidewalk

Paul – board may want to make a decision on fire alarm system – fire department?

Paulo – there is a small increase shown in peak runoff rates – in terms of infiltration system – you might be able to address that by enlarging the infiltration system – with the volumes, the board requires that runoff volumes be required as well – also some backup info needed on the calcs

Apul – I believe the road requires reinforced concrete

Paul – the plans show an existing 15 inch culvert in front of the site – I think it would be important to understand the status, condition and function of that culvert, should also be labeled on the profile – the plan should show the moments

Bill – we can add them

Paul – erosion control barriers should be added for sedimentation and erosion control

Matt – do you have an order of conditions

Bill – filing is going to come next week

Chan – what is status of the 15 inch culvert

Bill – it is existing out there on the driveway – it starts on the lot above and goes through and carries water between two wetlands 0 - we will take another look at that – we were relying on someone else's survey –

Matt- there should be drainage easements

Karyl – where does it start and end ?

Bill – we are just bringing up the driveway to standards

Matt – this doesn't drain into

Karyl – the culvert is part of the existing stream – what happens to it when the road goes thru

Bill – hopefully, nothing-

Paul – my concern – does the water flow over the existing road there – is the new road going to change that – is that going to have any impact on adjacent properties – those type of things –

Bill – it will not be changed

Gino – the 12 large trees were mentioned – I couldn't tell which ones are going to be kept – no erosion and sedimentation control plans submitted – suggested that the driveway, once it splits, it could be narrowed – need some details on stone wall

Matt – we have a letter from the Medway Fire chief – attach and make a part of these minutes – needs more details on hammerhead measurements.

Susy – Wayne needs more details

Andy – you had mentioned that there were waivers that you are going to ask for – can we get a list of the waivers that are requested –

Andy – we will see a detail for the stone wall and erosion plan

Bill – stone wall is existing,

andy – there is a bylaw that is pending in front of the AG office – stormwater management – pay attention to what those requirements will be – there may be fines for things that aren't done –

karyl – on the topo grading – how much of the large trees 0 on the last lot – couple of magnificent trees – catalpa, are those going to remain

bill – will lose 28 inch pine; 48 in pine and 15 in maple – if we move it we would lose 2 trees elsewhere - I would rather lose the pines than anything else

matt – anyone in the audience who would

neil Epstein, 15 Franklin Street – that cistern system doesn't work – my driveway floods – the drainage that comes into that drain doesn't work – it flows over the road into my driveway – I am concerned about what is going to happen – anything

matt – that may be a town concern – the road should be crowded - the drainage calculations will be reviewed, there won't be any increase off of the site

jim byrnes – I own abutting property – could we have the 15 inch culvert inspected and upgraded if necessary, so there is no trouble in the future – I don't want it to collapse – upgraded to modern day specs

john – I would love to do that

chan – somebody has to worry about – there is no requirement that thing be maintained – what is its function

jim byrnes – it is mostly rain drain – from the whole neighborhood, the water meanders through the yards and it drains down that way – in the future – while they have got it open, make sure it is adequate and doesn't flood the back land

matt – has anybody noticed any overtopping

john – no

jim byrnes – it is adequate for what is there, but what about in the future

karyl – when I saw it last fall, the water has gone

bill –concom has detrmied it is not a perienceils stream – they approved the wetlqnd line a year ago

ph continuation – October 11 – plan revisions to vhb by 9-27-05; 9 pm

Marian ARCPUD/Subdivision

John Spink, CONECO Engineering
Rich Coppa, Marian Community
Bill Peria, Reimer and Braunstein - lawyer
Bill Drexel, civil engineer – prepared this plan here –

Bill Peria – thanks for having us here – as brief as possible – you are pretty familiar with what they are trying to do here – developing a property for members of their community to advance the spiritual developmentof the community – we have a public hearing coming up – a couple of aspects of the project – two main ones – drainage plan that we are working on and the roadway system

John – main access summer street – emergency access only from Kimberlee Drive – gated, keyed or breakaway – we have the little park created in the middle with some kind of traffic draw – we are proposing a 2 way street that goes part way in – and then a one way street all the way around to reduce paving, 26 feet at opening - 24 feet wide with a 22 foot wide travel lane to the statue – full flow of all flood waters, no increase in upstream or downstreampond – road is dry thorough 100 year storm – what we ant to do - . . we would like to do a one way street – 18 feet wide around the bit loop – we have taken away the dual way boulevard at the entry – the emergency road to kimberlee – 18 feet gravel –

Matt – I odnt have an issue with the one way – we rewrote the bylaw to allow for that

Karyl – what number of units

John – 77 at the moment

Karyl – what are the propotions of the open space

John – that hasn't changed any

Karyl – didn't it shift when you did the ANR lots

John – we may want to put 5-6-7 houses down here at the southern end and we may want to come in as a regular subdiviosn – folks who are under 55

Karyl – would that change the status of the emergency road

John – no we would do a little cul de sac –

John – each of the cul de sacs are two way – and the D road is two way

Matt – Paul, any concern

Paul – I think the key issue is the fire chief and whether emergency access works

Andy – I know the width of 18 feet is what he has said is OK

John – we will make the radiuses both ways –

Andy – is there a way for us to know where the open space is going to be –

John – we will give you that full breakdown of what is going to be set aside – you will get that formally submitted to you –

Andy – I remember some residents being here in other instances and the notion of a street coming thru here off of Kimberley was of concern

John – the emergency road is not completely part of the ARCPUD plan

Karyl – you are projecting that that is a possibility for a future small subdivision at the southeast corner – ACCESS??? Can of worms –

John – we do not foresee and do not intend to ever make it a through street – we are setting aside some land for possible future housing for under 55 members.

Karyl – how large an area

Rick – 9-12 acres

John – we are working with 109 acres both both sites

Matt – when you do calculate the open space, it cannot include the possible future regular subdivision –

John – arpuc site is approximately 50 acres

John – the whole place will be condoized

Karyl – I can't see them enforcing the one way travel pattern – assuming that people will go both ways . . . is the 18 feet really enough

Chan – who will police it

John – the community

Chan – you are satisfied tht that will work

Rich – I believe so

Chan – it will be a private way, not the town's concern – town will not have any responsibility – you will sign it with Residents Only?

Karyl – gated?

John – if there were problems

Susy – what is your reason for the 18 feet width

John – primarily impact, money, and the drainage system – we are tryngi to do a different drainage conceptual for you guys – to go with a different

Paul – in practicality, you won't be able to put one way signs in front of each unit – one way is not as much or as safe an access as two way does –

Karyl – tha tis a lot of units coming and going –

Eric – would you consider some traffic calming measures – changes in road surface

John – we could consider that in some places

Karyl – they use speed bumps at New Seabury

Rich – sure, we could do that

Karyl – the question, are we comfortable with the fact that they will go two ways anyway?

Chan – what will the individual owner own?

John – interior of the studs –

Chan – nobody will own any land – it will all be owned by the associaoitn – to maintain it –

John – police and fire do not change

Chan – the only thing we have to worry about is whether the fire chief and police chief are satisfied that it is a safe – this whole concept is different

Matt - it is a very large private ways

Andy – the association will have to hire snowplows

Bill peria – this is a conception of the zoning bylaw – this is not anything unusual it is within your code

Chan – the concern of the board – where do our usual concerns end?? Certainly the fire department has to get equipment in there

John – police have to be able to get in there

Matt – this is similar to a subdivision under construction -

Chan – you are asking for a relaxation of the standards for the roads

Andy – in addressing your concerns

Bill peria – create a disincentive for people to go 2 ways - because of the nature of the community – there will be more of a tendency to conform

Chan – I consider that a decided plus – the peer pressure in your situation –

Rich – I am quite sure they will observe the one way

Andy – given the narrower pavement, doesn't this make a significant impact on your drainage calculations – and less pavement is what we are trying to encourage – this is a safety aspect??

Susy – need to get some input from police and fire chief

John – reduce roadway width after the statue – in some distinctive way – from that point on, single family residential country –

Karyl – signage

John – I will make it end up as a turn around and then new road is an offshoot

Eric – logical place to do a transitional surface

Karyl – has there been any conversation about including 9-12 acres near Idlebrook – to include that as part of the open space

John – NO, not yet –

Andy – any cooperative discussions with the Trail Committee about trail access

John – we are committed to connecting to the trail up north – we will keep what is there

Karyl – in our conversations in the past – what were the decisions on trail conditions need to be –

John – we had come to the conclusion – hard dirt, graded, flat, with acceptable grades and runs – and then left

Karyl – does that fit ADA standards?

John – it doesn't appear to have to – there seems to be discussion on it and I haven't looked at it since then – it doesn't come under the real requirements

Andy – jim wieler has looked at the standards – only for the buildings and the egress leading up to them –

Matt – back to the roadway – you want to know if we will allow an 18 foot roadway – I think we would want a better idea of what the police and fire would feel

Rich – fire chief has provided some comments

John – we are suggesting that

Karyl – I am OK with the 18 feet – I can't foresee horse trailers thru this neighborhood

Andy – we should respect the police and fire

Bill peria – we will solicit input from them directly –

Matt – we will specifically ask them to attend the public hearing to give that input

Drainage . . .

Bill . . engineer – we were conscious about preserving wooded areas, we want to minimize runoff and that is why we went with 18 foot pavement – I have looked at the grading – low points to accumulate runoff from the street – paved waterway – paved channel and come into a forebay with water quality settlement over rip rap and then go into another forebay and out to a smaller pipe and then into the wetlands – we use for 2 reasons - detention so there is 0 net flow and volume and second to get sediments out and it would be maintained by the association – water would be cleaned up while it goes across country

Matt – individual small detention ponds

Bill – rather than catch in catch basins, keep a more rural aspect of this – would blend in with the grassed area of the laws, 0 it would be maintained and mowed – it wouldn't take on the aspect of the engineering look of a traditional detention pond – some pictures of how they could look like

SEEKONK – one of the first time they used this method – this became a better aspect to the drainage to the subdivision – keep rural aspects much as possible – the town is very happy with it – it is working very well – this looks like the lawn and it blends in with the rest of the houses

Karyl – to create these, were trees removed?

Bill – that area of this site was an open pasture – in area where trees were, we were able to save the trees –

Bill – these low points (on the marian site) I was trying to locate them so they would not accumulate a lot of runoff – pipes across the driveway

Matt – you would keep all the water in the gutters until it gets to the forebay – check dams along the swale to treat for water quality

Matt – are you planning on crowing the road in the middle?

Bill – we will have to have a few pipes underneath – ultimately

John – treat outflow as though it was a catch basin . . .

Bill – there will be a culvert periodically – I try to keep the road to existing grade as much as possible – minimal cuts and fills will help with the drainage system

Karyl – when we say some of the low impact presentations – they use a lot of these swales – they also landscaped their detention swales according to water loving plants and trees – these should become stones and rip rap – they should become water gardens – disguised as to their intention

Bill – in this case here, the swale is grassed and the object was to make it look like it was always there – if you are going to require plants in these, if they are not maintained then the sedimentation builds up

Karyl – residents need to partake in the maintenance – that is a part of the tradeoff – when you are allowed to do this kind of system, visually it is an addition to your neighborhood – but there is some maintenance

Andy – there is some concern, in that the fear that the swale or the drainage apparatus will be filled in inadvertently by a homeowner – that may not be a problem here because of the association –

Bill – with this being a grass swale, it becomes part of the lawn –

Andy – will you provide a hydraulic connection under driveways

Bill – if needed, yes –

Matt – he wants to keep all the waer in the gutter – very little piping

Karyl – these rip rap areas are an eyesore . . they need to be embellished or covered –

Bill = one other aspect to the drainge design is for each unit, I have an infiltrator unit to take roof runoff and discharge underground – just the roof runoff – that much less runoff to get to the streets –

Matt – irrigation on site

Rick -= no

Bill – that is pretty much how we wanted to approach the drainge issue – aesthetics, cutting down less trees, keep more rural character of the site, having a series of smaller detention ponds, rather than a ocuple of larger ones

Eric – I agree with karyl on the riprap – on the flip side, it is an advantage cause you can keep a lot of the natural vegetation

Andy – I like the idea that the aer is going back into the ground

Karyl – this would be a good experiment – this is on your dime, we would like to see it working on

Bill – from the aesthetic – the neighborhood is going ot want ot

Matt – landscape architecture required with ARCPUD??

John – you require a landsape plan

Karyl – we have seen things that can become a very positive plan

Bill – if you have any ideas

Andy – I just ame ack from Illinois – samemethod in suburban

Matt – I expect you will get plenty of comments from the DRC

Gino – I think it looks very interesting

Paul -0 my main concern is the adequately deal with the stormwater so you don't have flooding particularly if you are gong to use a gutter to convey water vs. a pipe – why type of storm are you designing toward – the swale system so it has adequate capacity for removal and detention – this type of drainage system can have a lot of advantages but it does take up space –

Bill – I want to minimize as much as possible the longitudinal ditches along the side of the roads – our intention is to minimize that

Paul – related to space requirement -0 in more open drainage system - concern with frequency of driveway culverts –

Rich – we did show the concept of the concom and they were favorable –

Bill – we did talk a little bit about the bridge, to prevent overflow – we do have to appear before the ZBA to get a special permit to work in the floodplain –

Andy – personally, I am glad you came up with a solution on this –

Bill – we are meeting with the abutters privately –

Stuff will be submitted in 2 weeks

William P Drexel – Northwest Engineering Services

PH continuation – Pine Meadow

Extend to November 30, 2005 – motion by andy, karyl – all yes

Continue to 9:45 pm on October 11 – plans have to be submitted to VHB by September 27th –

Construction Observation – Paul Carter

Evergreen – quite a bit of work on the drainage, most of the inspection reports cover drainage – good progress – detention basin

Susy – taniel has asked to have the bond set

Grapevine – paving, sidewalk, some paving for sewer work – they finished Oakland –

Matt- is there striping

Paul – not yet . .

Paul – also out to hartney acres – they are putting in the double box culvert and some mechanically stabilized walls and we met out there with the building inspector – he was looking at interface between the walls and culverts – contractor will be doing some sketches – they had to do some testing – he will submit some info to the building inspector on that –

Andy – on Evergreen Meadow, it looks like they constantly needed to be reminded about mortaring the joints – is that a typical problem – seems like shortcutting or laziness?? In the field, when you make those inspections, are you allowed to say it is not acceptable? Or is it just advisory? Can you require better quality throughout

Matt – if they backfill the drainline before it is inspected, they can make them dig it up

Andy – it seems it was a theme, - mortar the joints –

Paul – we make a point of looking for it – it is something they have to take care of before we will accept it – better to do it early on –

Matt – they are supposed to call vhb 48 hours in advance

Paul – mostly Jack will be doing the inspections for the short run

Other Business

Gino – Commonwealth Capital Applications

Andy – should we make Stacey aware of it? In case there is any timely response needed

Andy – I copoleted my meetings with some of the propertyowners along route 109 – with Dan – everybody seems very willing to participate in zoning changes, or . . . they want the growth – they are encouraged by receptiveness of the notion to develop some of the commercial and industrial properties – cassidies, I think there bigget concern is knowing what the market it and what it will bear – there is a women Bonnie Sullivan from Mass Development, I am tring to put togher with them – I also went to statehouse to testimfyon 43D proposal – it is now part of another bill – technical assistance money to come to the town to do 180 day permitting for a targeted project – the bill exists now, it just doesn't have a component to aid the town – if we decide to try to do permitting in 180 days window –

Matt – permitting an applicant with a design –

Andy - can you imagine doing Charter Realty in 180 days?

Matt – did you meet with mr. finklestein

Andy – yes, also diversified properties, ellen rosenfeld (industrial park) and perella representing the industrial park – I spoke with the assessors about working with IDC to make zoning changes at the Oak Groves – do a taking to pull those together to put into one larger industrial parcel – some more work needs to be done

Packets for Gino and Paul on River Bend Subdivision and Marian ARCPUD

Consideration of Minutes

Matt – I was all set with April through July 12 – I have given you my comments on those

Andy = motion to acccpet april 26, june 28 and July 12 – seconded by Karyl – any discussion – all in favor – yes - approved

July 26 – a few comments from Matt – motion to approve Karyl, seconded by chan, all yhes – no Andy

August 9 – HOLD

August 30 – forthcoming

*****8

Bills

PGC – consulting services 337.50 – kary, andy – all yes

PGC – plan review – 1143.75 – kary, andy – all yes

PGC – plan review – 131.25 – karyl, andy – all yes

VHB – plan review – 3975.48 – karyl, chan, - all yes – matt recuse

VHB – consgruction observation – 3087.21 – chan, karyl – all ye s- matt recuse

Karyl – departs at 10:50 pm

Handouts

1. Medway Business Council first meeting of the year – September 26th – Andy will attend
2. ZBA agenda

VHB Change in Staffing

1. letter from VHB – we shoiuld send a note to all our active developers – about change in staffing
2. Andy – will meet with Paul DeSimone, senior to smooth way

GET jack lydon’s resume

Motion to accept design for stone wall – evergreen meadow – dry fit – old finish, as presented
September 6 – reuse existing stone - . . . Andy, seconded by Chan – all yes

Motion to reverse our previous vote – transfer back \$1800 from part-time salaries to contracted services

Motion to adjourn – Chan, Andy – all yes

Adjourned at 11:05 pm

Candlewood – Concerns

1. one way traffic pattern
2. will expanding the pathway between island and candlewood require an order of conditions?