



RECEIVED

MAY 28 2010

TOWN CLERK

TOWN OF MEDWAY
Planning & Economic Development Board
155 Village Street
Medway, Massachusetts 02053

Andy Rodenhiser, Chairman
Robert K. Tucker, Vice-Chairman
Thomas A. Gay, Clerk
Karyl Spiller-Walsh
Cranston R. Rogers, P.E.
John W. Williams, Associate Member

May 27, 2010

SITE PLAN DECISION
2-4 Main Street Site Plan Modification – Phase 3 Work
Approved with Conditions

You are hereby notified that on May 27, 2010, at a duly called and properly posted meeting, the Medway Planning and Economic Development Board, after reviewing the application and information compiled during the public review process which commenced August 29, 2009 (*the date of application submittal*), voted 3-0 (Rogers, Tucker and Spiller-Walsh) on a motion by Chan Rogers and seconded by Robert Tucker to approve with conditions as specified herein, the application of Robert Potheau of Medway, MA to modify a previously approved site plan for 2-4 Main Street, Medway, MA as such pertains to Phase 3 building renovation work. Members Rodenhiser and Gay abstained from voting as they had not attended all the public hearings.

This Decision includes the following sections:

- I. Project Location
- II. Background
- III. Project Description – Proposed Modification
- IV. Procedural Summary
- V. Index of Site Plan documents
- VI. Testimony
- VII. Findings
- VIII. Special Conditions of Approval
- IX. General Conditions of Approval

I. PROJECT LOCATION - The application pertains to the property known as 2-4 Main Street, an approximately 5.5 acre parcel located in the Industrial I zoning district, as shown on Medway Assessors Map 6-4, Parcel 6-479. The property is owned by Robert Potheau of Medway, MA. The parcel is bounded on the south by Main Street/Route 109; it abuts the Medway/Millis town line.

II. BACKGROUND - The overall site plan for this multi-phased development was previously approved by the Medway Board of Selectmen as follows:

<i>Vote on Site Plan Approval:</i>	<i>January 10, 2005</i>
<i>Certificate of Site Plan Approval Signed:</i>	<i>January 24, 2005</i>
<i>Site Plan Endorsed:</i>	<i>January 24, 2005</i>

The redevelopment of 2-4 Main Street site was approved to be completed in three phases.

Phase 1 includes construction of a new 4,032 sq. ft. building at the *easterly* end of the site for Metro West Auto Sales in conjunction with the installation of associated stormwater drainage facilities and parking. *Phase 1* work was carried out during the summer/fall of 2006.

Phase 2 includes renovation of the existing two story industrial building at the *westerly* end of the parcel and site improvements to the area immediately in front of and to the west of that building. *Phase 2* work commenced during the summer of 2007 and was to be completed by September 21, 2008 (pursuant to a 7/10/07 Planning Board vote and 7/18/07 written extension of the site plan completion deadline). *Phase 2* site improvements were to include the following:

1. Enlarge the westerly curb cut on Main Street/Route 109 from 20' to 30'.
2. Install stone faced retaining walls including wheelchair ramp, walkway and stairs in front of the existing two story industrial building at the *westerly* end of the property.
3. Excavate and install parking lot infiltration and associated stormwater drainage equipment and structures for the new parking area to be constructed in front of the existing two story industrial building at the *westerly* end of the property.
4. Install landscaping and security lighting.
5. Pave the new parking area.

For the *Phase 2* building renovation component, the Board of Selectmen's 1-24-05 site plan decision required the applicant "to submit detailed building renovation design plans for the Planning Board's review and approval" before any building permit could be issued for exterior improvements to the building (except for roof replacement). Per the Planning Board's 7/18/07 extension of the site plan completion deadline for *Phase 2* site work, submittal of plans for the *Phase 2* building renovation were to be handled as a *Plan Modification* to the previously approved site plan in accordance with Section V. C. Site Plan Review and Approval of the *Medway Zoning Bylaw*, as amended.

Phase 2 building renovation plans were submitted on May 16, 2008 and approved by the Planning and Economic Development Board on May 27, 2008. At this time, *Phase 2* work is largely completed.

Phase 3 of this redevelopment project was to address the multiple buildings in the center area of the parcel. The submittal of plans for *Phase 3* was to be handled as a *Plan Modification* to the previously approved site plan in accordance with Section V. C. Site Plan Review and Approval of the *Medway Zoning Bylaw*, as amended.

III. PROJECT DESCRIPTION/PROPOSED MODIFICATION - The scope of this site plan modification pertains to the **Phase 3 work** to address the middle area of the site and the development and adoption of a Master Signage Plan for this multi-tenant development. The applicant will demolish the existing, dilapidated, approximately 2,500 square foot, one-story, non-conforming block building that sits very close to the Main Street roadway. The existing 2 story block building with gambrel roof and the attached 1 story front storage building facing Route 109 on the westerly end of the 2 story building will remain in place. The existing wooden fence and concrete block wall located immediately to the west of the site's residential structure will be removed and replaced with approximately 100 linear feet of decorative white vinyl fencing. The southern façade of the westerly portion of the remaining 1 story building façade (approximately 42 linear feet) that faces and is visible from Main

Street and which is outside of the fence shall be refaced with NOVA brick surfacing materials similar in color to the brick surfaces used elsewhere on the site. The present gravel driveway in front of the fence will be graded and paved in bituminous materials for use as a display area for an additional 5-6 autos for sale. Other site work includes the installation of three 7' by 15' planting areas for business and development signs.

IV. PROCEDURAL HISTORY- Phase 3

- A. August 26, 2009 – Application to modify an approved site plan was filed with the Medway Planning & Economic Development Board.
- B. August 31, 2009 – Public Hearing notice was filed with the Town Clerk. The hearing was advertised in the *Milford Daily News* on September 8 and 15, 2009. Abutters were notified by certified mail on September 3, 2009.
- C. September 22, 2009 – Public Hearing commenced. The public hearing was continued to October 13, 2009, March 9, 2010 and May 11, 2010 at which time the hearing was closed.
- D. Action Deadline Extensions – At its 10-27-10 meeting, the Planning and Economic Development Board approved the applicant's request to extend the action deadline to April 1, 2010. At the March 9, 2010 meeting, the Board approved the applicant's request to extend the action deadline to May 30, 2010.

V. INDEX OF SITE PLAN DOCUMENTS

- A. The Phase 3 site plan modification application for 4 Main Street included the following:
 - *4 Main Street Modification of Site Plan*, dated July 31, 2009, prepared by Merrikin Engineering of Millis, MA and Colonial Engineering of Medway, MA.
 - Free-standing Sign Plan Drawings dated September 3, 2008, prepared by Jack Knight Sign
 - Wall Signs Drawing (westerly building), dated September 15, 2008, drawn by Henry Marcel
- B. During the course of the public hearing process, the following additional documents were submitted:
 - Project Description dated August 27, 2009 prepared by owner Robert Potheau
 - 4 Main Street Proposed Signs Plan of Land, dated September 18, 2009 prepared by Merrikin Engineering.
 - Phase 3 Front View drawing dated September 21, 2009, unidentified designer
 - Draft Master Sign Plan dated October 9, 2009, revised October 13, 2009, revised March 9, 2010, revised May 7, 2010.
 - Revised Master Sign Plan dated May 7, 2010.

VI. TESTIMONY - In addition to the site plan application materials submitted and provided during the course of our review, the Planning & Economic Development Board received written or verbal testimony from:

- Robert Potheau, owner.

- Letter dated September 18, 2009 from Claudette and John McNeil, 107 Oakland Street
- Review letter dated September 18, 2009 from Gino Carlucci, PGC Associates, the Town's Consulting Planner.
- Email communication dated September 10, 2009 from Patricia Brennan, Medway Conservation Agent.
- Memorandum dated May 6, 2010 from Will Naser, Medway Principal Assessor, authorizing the use of 2, 4 and 6 Main Street addresses for this parcel.

VII. FINDINGS – The Planning & Economic Development Board must determine whether the proposed site plan modification constitutes a suitable development based on conformance with the purposes of Site Plan Review as specified in the *Zoning Bylaw* and with the various site development standards and criteria set forth in the *Site Plan Rules and Regulations*. The Planning & Economic Development Board, at its meeting on May 27, 2010, on a motion by Chan Rogers seconded by Robert Tucker voted 3-0 (Rogers, Tucker and Spiller-Walsh) to approve the following **FINDINGS** regarding the site plan modification for 2-6 Main Street. Members Rodenhiser and Gay abstained as they had not attended all the public hearings.

ZONING BYLAW – Section V. C - Site Plan Review & Approval

- (1) Are the buildings, uses and site amenities properly located on the development site in relation to the terrain and scale of other buildings in the vicinity and adjacent neighborhoods?
The site is already developed and one building will be torn down while landscaping will be added to the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (2) Are the construction and renovation of buildings and the installation of site amenities thoughtfully designed to reflect or be compatible with Medway's New England architectural style as further detailed in the *Design Guidelines*?
The building to be torn down is severely deteriorated so its removal and upgrading of the building behind it represents an improvement over existing conditions. The addition of the landscaping also enhances the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (3) Are adjacent and neighboring properties protected from nuisance and harmful effects caused by noise, fumes, and the glare of headlights and other light sources generated by uses on the development site?
Since the proposed project represents minor changes in the site and its use, there will be no appreciable increase in harmful effects. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (4) Are significant natural features on a development site (*i.e. hills, water bodies, wetlands, trees, tree groves, wooded areas, rock outcrops, native plants, wildlife habitats, and other areas of aesthetic and ecological interest*) preserved with as minimal site disturbance as possible?
The site is already developed so there are no natural features to preserve. Added landscaping will enhance the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (5) Are off-street loading facilities and methods for unloading vehicles, goods, products materials and equipment incidental to the normal operation of the establishment(s) on the development site conveniently and safely provided while the visual intrusion thereof is appropriately screened from public view?

Loading and unloading is currently done off-street, and this existing practice will continue. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.

- (6) Are facilities for the storage, handling and disposal of sewage, refuse and other solid wastes resulting from the normal operations of the establishment(s) on the development site provided and adequately screened from public view?
The site is connected to the Town sewer system. There is no change in the handling of wastes. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (7) Are pedestrian ways, access driveways, loading and parking facilities properly designed for the convenience and safety of customers, employees and the general public?
There are few pedestrian facilities on the site but there is a sidewalk in front of it. The access driveways and parking facilities are adequate and one driveway will be enhanced by removal of the existing building as well as with improved signage and added landscaping. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (8) Is convenient and safe access for fire-fighting and emergency rescue vehicles provided to and within the development site in relation to adjacent streets?
Adjacent streets are adequate for emergency vehicles. The parking lot and access driveways also provide adequate emergency access. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (9) Are satisfactory methods for drainage of surface water to and from the development site provided?
There is already a drainage system on site. The amount of impervious surface will not increase appreciably with the additional paving since the existing building is also being removed. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (10) Are public ways and private drives properly designed to be constructed to serve the intended use and provide an adequate level of service in relation to the traffic to be generated by the development site?
The amount of new traffic to be generated by the addition is minimal. The public and private ways provide an adequate level of service. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (11) Have the effects and impacts of the proposed use of land or structures on vehicular and pedestrian traffic, municipal services and utilities, roadways, parking, drainage, environmental quality, water resources, signage, lighting, and community economics, character, values, amenities and appearance been identified and evaluated?
Since the project is simply removal of an existing building from an already-developed site and use, its impacts on traffic and municipal services will be minimal. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (12) Have site design modifications been proposed and evaluated to lessen the negative and harmful impacts?
Landscaping improvements and improvements to an existing building have been proposed which, along with removal of the deteriorated building, will enhance the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (13) Have reasonable conditions, limits, safeguards and mitigation measures been established?
The landscape and site improvements are adequate in light of the nature of the project. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.

SITE PLAN RULES AND REGULATIONS – The Planning and Economic Development Board shall determine whether the proposed development is in conformance with the standards and criteria set forth in the *Rules and Regulations*, unless specifically waived. In making its decision, the Board shall determine the following:

- (14) Has internal circulation, queuing and egress been designed such that traffic safety is protected, access via minor streets servicing residential areas is minimized, and traffic backing up into the public way is minimized?
The site has three existing access driveways and they are appropriate for the proposed uses and difficult topographical configuration of the site. Improved signage and revised street addresses (using 2, 4 and 6 Main Street as authorized by the Board of Assessors) will improve the safety of the site's access. There is no traffic impact on residential areas. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (15) Does the site plan show designs that minimize any departure from the character, materials, and scale of buildings in the vicinity as viewed from public ways and places?
The primary change is removal of a deteriorated building as well some landscaping and improvements to an existing building. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (16) Is reasonable use made of building location, grading and vegetation to reduce the visible intrusion of structures, parking areas, outside storage or other outdoor service areas (e.g. waste removal) from public views or from (nearby) premises residentially used and zoned.
There is no new outside storage. Removal of the existing building and the added landscaping will soften the visible intrusion of this commercial building and use. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (17) Is adequate access to each structure for fire and service equipment provided?
There is adequate access for emergency service to the buildings on site. Therefore, the Planning finds that this criterion is met.
- (18) Will the design and construction minimize, to the extent reasonably possible, the following environmental impacts?
- a) the volume of cut and fill;
 - b) the number of trees to be removed with particular care taken with mature trees and root systems;
 - c) the visual prominence of man-made elements not necessary for safety;
 - d) the removal of existing stone walls;
 - e) the visibility of building sites from existing streets;
 - f) the impacts on waterways and environmental resource areas;
 - g) soil pollution and erosion;
 - h) noise.
- The volume of cuts and fills is minimal, no trees will be removed, the visual prominence of the man-made elements will change minimally, no stone walls will be removed, the visibility of the building to be remain will be improved with the removal of the building in front of it. impact on waterways and environmental resources will not be affected, and the impact on soil pollution and erosion and noise will be unchanged. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.*
- (19) Is pedestrian and vehicular safety both on the site and egressing from it maximized?
The site has pedestrian access via sidewalks across its front... The three access driveways provide for vehicular safety on site and they maximize egressing from the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.

- (20) Does the design and will the construction incorporate, to the maximum extent possible, the visual prominence of natural and historic features of the site?
There are no natural or historic features on this site to incorporate. However, the removal of the deteriorated building and the added landscaping will improve the site. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (21) Does the lighting of structures and parking area avoid glare on adjoining properties and minimize light pollution within the town?
As conditioned any added exterior lighting will avoid glare on adjoining properties and minimize light pollution. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.
- (22) Is the proposed limit of work area reasonable and does it protect sensitive environmental and/or cultural resources? The site plan as designed should not cause substantial or irrevocable damage to the environment, which damage could be avoided or ameliorated through an alternative development plan or mitigation measures.
The proposed work is within an already-developed area and is located to the front of the site adjacent to Route 109. Appropriate measures are being taken to protect resource areas. A new Order of Conditions will be required prior to the start of work. Therefore, the Board finds that this criterion is met.

VIII. SPECIFIC CONDITIONS – Approval of this site plan modification for the Phase 3 work is subject to the following specific conditions:

- A. **Scope of Work** - The Phase 3 site plan is approved for the scope of work described herein in Paragraph III. Project Description and as shown on the endorsed Phase 3 site Plan.
- B. **Plan Revisions** - Prior to endorsement, the *4 Main Street Modification of Site Plan*, dated July 31, 2009 shall be further revised as follows:
1. The plan endorsement signature box on all plan sheets shall be revised; delete reference to the Board of Selectmen and replace with reference to the Planning and Economic Development Board
 2. A reference to the approval dates of all prior site plan decisions and the endorsement dates for all previous site plans for this site shall be added to the cover page (Sheet 1).
 3. The Plan Title throughout the plan set shall be revised to **4 Main Street Site Plan – Phase 3**.
 4. The list of waivers on the cover (sheet 1) shall be removed.
 5. A revised Phase 3 Front View drawing shall be added to the plan set as Sheet 9. The 9/21/09 Phase 3 Front View drawing shall be modified as follows:
 - Remove all sign details
 - Add photo of planned fencing design.
 6. An illustrative landscaping plan for the base of the three free-standing signs shall be added to the plan set. Include specifications for plant, hardscape materials and exterior lighting. Specify dimensions.

7. Show the final plan revision date on the cover page (Sheet 1).
 8. The text and drawings for the Master Signage Plan and the associated drawings shall be assembled as supplemental sheet(s) to the plan set for Phase 3.
- C. **Completion of Previously Approved Site Plan Improvements** – The remaining elements of the Phase 2 site work will be completed by September 21, 2012. These include the installation of a high berm across the back and the finish paving coat on the parking areas.
- D. **Master Signage Plan** – The Master Signage Plan dated May 7, 2010 was approved by the Planning and Economic Development Board on May 11, 2010. Before plan endorsement, the drawings associated with the Master Signage Plan shall be revised as follows and attached to it.
1. Wall Signs drawing dated September 15, 2008 by Henry Marcel
 - Rename drawing – 6 Main Street Wall Signs; remove text re: Development #3.
 - Eliminate text in note #1. Replace text to reference details of the Master Signage Plan for size, type, lighting and design specifications.
 - In each of the boxes above the 4 storefront entrances, remove text regarding wall sign dimensions and lighting.
 - Revise drawing date
 2. Development Signs drawing dated September 3, 2008 by Jack Knight
 - Include a title at the top of the drawing – Freestanding Signs, 2-6 Main Street
 - Rename the drawing as described in the title box (bottom right hand corner) – Freestanding Signs for 2-6 Main Street, Medway, MA
 - Delete text re: Development #1, #2 and #3.
 - Revise address for middle sign (Development #2) drawing to 4 Main Street
 - Revise address for western most sign (Development #3) drawing to 6 Main Street
 - Correct dimensions of each sign to reflect specific text of Master Signage Plan. All 3 signs are not the same.
 - Revise drawing date
- E. **Addresses** – The Board of Assessors has authorized the use of 2, 4 and 6 Main Street as addresses for the businesses associated with the 3 curb cuts on Main Street.
- F. **Construction**
1. **Time** - Construction work at the site and in the building shall commence no earlier than 7 a.m. and shall cease no later than 7 p.m. No construction shall take place on Sundays or legal holidays.
 2. **Construction Traffic/Parking** – All parking for construction and construction related traffic shall be maintained on site. No parking of construction and construction related vehicles shall take place on Main Street/Route 109.

- 3). **Construction Materials/Debris** - There shall be no tracking of construction materials/debris onto any public way. Sweeping of the roadways adjacent to the site shall be done as needed to ensure that loose gravel/dirt does not create hazardous or deleterious conditions for vehicles, pedestrians and neighborhood residents. In the event any construction debris is carried onto a public way, the applicant shall be responsible for all clean-up of the roadway within 24 hours of its occurrence.
- G. **Construction Inspection** - Planning & Economic Development Board members, its staff or its designated agents shall have the right to inspect the site at any time, for compliance with the provisions of this Decision. Inspectors may include Town employees or consultants.
- H. **Plan Compliance**
1. The Applicant shall construct all improvements in compliance with the approved and endorsed site plan and modifications.
 2. Any construction work that deviates from this and the previous site plan decisions shall be a violation of the Zoning Bylaw. The Board or its agents may use all legal options available to it, including referring any violation to the Building Commissioner/Zoning Enforcement Officer for appropriate enforcement action, to ensure compliance with this decision and its conditions.
 3. The Conditions of Approval are enforceable under Section V. C. 12 of the Medway Zoning Bylaw (non-criminal disposition) and violations or non-compliance are subject to the designated fine.
- I. **Plan Changes** – Any change to the approved site plan for Phase 3 shall necessitate a further modification of the site plan requiring Planning & Economic Development Board approval pursuant to SECTION V. C. 8 of the Medway Zoning Bylaw. Whenever additional reviews by the Planning & Economic Development Board, its staff or consultants are necessary due to proposed site plan modifications, the Applicant shall be billed and be responsible for all supplemental costs including filing fees, plan review fees and all costs associated with another public hearing if required including legal notice and abutter notification. If the proposed revisions affect only very limited aspects of the site, the Planning & Economic Development Board may reduce the scope of the required review, public notice and waive part of the filing and review fees.
- J. **Schedule for Project Completion** – The Planning and Economic Development Board's approval of this site plan modification for the Phase 3 work shall lapse after one (1) year of the grant thereof if substantial use has not commenced except for good cause. Construction shall be completed by the applicant or its assignees within two (2) years of the date of plan endorsement.

Upon receipt of a written request by the applicant filed at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of expiration, the Planning & Economic Development Board may grant an extension for good cause. The request shall state the reasons for the extension and also the length of time requested. If no request for extension is filed and approved, the site plan approval shall lapse and may be reestablished only after a new filing and hearing have been held.

- K. **Occupancy Permit** - Prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit for the building at the center of the parcel which is the subject of this Phase 3 site plan modification, the applicant shall:
1. secure a written **Certificate of Site Plan Completion** from the Planning and Economic Development Board that all Phase 2 and 3 work was completed in strict compliance with the approved and endorsed site plan modification, this decision and any conditions thereto, and provide such certification to the Inspector of Buildings; and
 2. complete all Phase 2 and 3 site improvements and provide **written certification from a Professional Engineer** registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts that all Phase 2 and 3 construction work and site improvements have been completed in strict compliance with the approved and endorsed site plan, the decision and any conditions thereto; and
 3. submit six (6) copies of an **As-Built Plan**, prepared by a registered Professional Land Surveyor or Engineer registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, to the Planning & Economic Development Board for its review and approval. The As-Built Plan shall show actual as-built locations and conditions of all work shown on the approved site plan and any modifications thereto. The final As-Built Plan shall also be provided to the Town in an electronic format as may be specified by the Board of Assessors.

Or the applicant shall provide suitable security/performance guarantee acceptable to the Town, to the Board's satisfaction, to cover the costs of all remaining work. Such amount shall be determined by the Board based on recommendation of the Town's Consulting Engineer.

- L. **Prior Decisions** - All other conditions/provisions of the Board of Selectmen's January 11, 2005 Site Plan Certificate of Approval, the Planning Board's July 17, 2007 memorandum approving a time extension for completion of Phase II site construction, and the Phase 2 site plan modification decision from May 2008 shall remain in force.
- M. **Resource Area Protection** - According to the Conservation Agent, the property has an expired Order of Conditions. The applicant will need to file for a new Order of Conditions before they can undertake any construction or site work occurring within the Outer Riparian Zone. The applicant shall provide the new Order of Conditions to the Board.
- N. **Site Lighting** – Other than emergency lights required by the Building Code and exterior lights to illuminate the three free-standing signs, no additional exterior lighting is proposed or authorized for the building or the site.
- O. The area in front of the fence will be graded and paved in bituminous materials for use as a display area for 5-6 additional automobiles. It shall not be used for customer parking.

IX. GENERAL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- A. **Appeal** – Any person aggrieved by the Planning & Economic Development Board's Decision may appeal such to the court within twenty (20) days of the date the Decision is filed with the Town Clerk.

- B. **Plan Endorsement** - Within thirty (30) days after the Planning & Economic Development Board has filed its *Decision* with the Town Clerk, the Applicant shall submit a final site plan modification drawing reflecting all required revisions, if any, to the Planning and Economic Development Board to review for compliance with the Board's *Decision* before plan endorsement. All plan sheets shall be bound together in a complete set.
- C. **Fees/Taxes** - Prior to endorsement of the Phase 3 site plan by the Planning & Economic Development Board, the Applicant shall pay the balance of any outstanding plan review fees owed to the Town for review of the site plan by the Town's engineering and planning consultants, and any other outstanding expenses or obligations due the Town of Medway pertaining to this property, including real estate and personal property taxes and business licenses. The Applicant's failure to pay these fees in their entirety shall be reason for the Planning & Economic Development Board to withhold plan endorsement.
- D. **Construction Standards** - All construction shall be in full compliance with all applicable local, state and federal laws, including but not limited to the Americans with Disabilities Act and the regulations of the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board for handicap accessibility.

Approved by the Medway Planning & Economic Development Board: May 27, 2010

ATTEST: Susan E. Affleck-Childs May 28, 2010
Susan E. Affleck-Childs Date
Planning & Economic Development Coordinator

- COPIES TO:** David D'Amico, DPS
Bill Fisher, Board of Health
Will Naser, Board of Assessors
David Travalini, Conservation Commission
Melanie Phillips, Treasurer/Collector
John Emidy, Building Commissioner/Zoning Enforcement Officer
Paul Trufant, Fire Department
Jeff Watson, Police Department
Gino Carlucci, PGC Associates
Robert Potheau