

**Board of Selectmen's Meeting
June 17, 2013 – 6:30 PM
Sanford Hall
155 Village Street**

**Present: Dennis Crowley, Vice-Chair; John Foresto, Clerk; Selectman Richard D'Innocenzo (6:33 PM),
Selectman Maryjane White and Suzanne Kennedy, Town Administrator.**

Absent: Glenn Trindade, Chairman.

Also Present: Melanie Phillips, Finance Director; Tom Holder, Director, Department of Public Services;
David D'Amico, Deputy Director, Department of Public Services.

At 6:32 PM Vice-Chair Crowley called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

At 6:31 PM Selectman Foresto moved that the Board enter into executive session under Exemption 6 to consider the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property if the chair declares that an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the public body [155 Lovering Street, Mayer, 70 Oakland Street], with the intent to return to open session; Selectman White seconded. The Vice-Chair did so declare. No further discussion. Roll Call Vote: 3-0-0 – Crowley, aye; Foresto, aye; White, aye.

Vice-Chair Crowley reconvened the public session at 7:03 PM.

Public Comments: None.

Presentation – Sustainable Watershed Management Initiative – Final Report Draft:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Memorandum, dated May 6, 2013, from Kirsten Ryan, Kleinfelder Project Manager; and (2) Sustainable Water Management Initiative (SWMI) Grant Project Update & Stormwater Funding Needs Assessment Overview, dated June 17, 2013.

Present: Kirsten Ryan, Kleinfelder Project Manager; and Rich Niles, Amec Engineering.

Mr. Tom Holder, Director, Department of Public Services, briefly explained that this presentation is a progress update on Phase 1 of the Integrated Water Resources Management Program as well as the SWMI Grant Project. The work has been funded by the \$99,300 SWMI grant.

Ms. Kirsten Ryan stated that the Commonwealth is coming up with new regulations for water supply management, balancing drinking water needs with other ecological concerns for wildlife and habitat. The Town's water supply permit is up for renewal in February 2014. This grant program is designed to help communities manage the costs that will be incurred to comply with the new regulations. Utilizing a

PowerPoint presentation, Ms. Ryan reviewed a variety of topics including options for habitat protection, water conservation, water system management and stormwater management and stormwater utility.

Mr. Rich Niles, AMEC Engineering, noted that stormwater is a significant water resource, and together they are trying to look at management needs as integrated resource management. Existing practices were documented and 50+ options for improvement were evaluated. AMEC is working with Kleinfelder to provide a funding mechanism for the stormwater program. Changes in programs are often driven by changes in regulations and the establishment of a stormwater utility as a funding mechanism can help the Town move forward with the program. Now they are looking for direction from the Board on the next steps and input on future key objectives.

Continuing, Mr. Niles theorized that a lot of people do not understand stormwater and its importance. Stormwater priorities relate to aging infrastructure, affect capacity for future development, and are impacted by regulatory mandates. Referring to the costs sheets, he explained that they worked with the Department of Public Services to come up with the current costs associated with stormwater program needs. Selectman Foresto asked what percentage of the DPS Budget is reflected by this amount. Mr. Niles responded that the amount featured here is currently in the general fund, at roughly 25% of the budget.

Mr. Niles described the functions of a stormwater utility, represented as a cost/fee assigned to a parcel for services provided. It is a different way to pay for the stormwater program, similar to property taxes levied on each property, each property owner paying their fair share. It has the advantages of a use-fee type of assessment. Mr. Niles clarified that not all portions of the program have to be enacted at the same time; for example, some communities may not set up the utility but will do everything else.

At this time, Vice-Chair Crowley informed the presenters that a dog hearing had been scheduled for 7:30 PM, and asked them to remain so that the Board could ask questions.

Dog Hearing – 7:30 PM – Dog Owner: Kevin McGann; Dog: “Cal”:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Notice of Selectmen’s Hearing, dated May 31, 2013, with certified mail receipt; (2) Notice of Temporary Restraining Order, dated May 31, 2013; (3) Notice, dated June 3, 2013, to residents of Alder Street regarding June 17, 2013 Selectmen’s Hearing; (4) Timeline of events and supporting documentation submitted by Brenda Hamelin, Animal Control Officer; and (5) Excerpt from MGL Chapter 140, section 157 and Chapter 140, section 136A (definitions).

At 7:30 PM Vice-Chair Crowley read aloud a Notice of Selectmen’s Hearing thereby convening a public hearing for the purpose of considering testimony regarding the dog “Cal” owned by Kevin McCann, 12 Alder Street. At this time the Board of Selectmen would hear testimony from both sides and make a decision as to the disposition of the case, i.e., no action, a temporary or permanent restraining order or euthanasia.

Present: Brenda Hamelin, Animal Control Officer; Kevin McCann, dog owner; Pamela Badger, 8 Blue Ribbon Lane; Alicia Moore, 6 Blue Ribbon Lane;

At this time, Vice-Chair Crowley swore in all persons who planned to give testimony on the incident. He explained the dog hearing process, noting that each person will testify, and the Board will ask questions of all participants, including the owner and Animal Control Officer.

Ms. Brenda Hamelin, Animal Control Officer, stated she learned there was a dog named Cal living at 12 Alder Street when he was deemed missing in early April 2012. Cal went missing again on April 23, 2012. The dog is able to open the back sliding door. At that time, she shared the phone numbers for animal control offices of surrounding communities and the dog was later discovered in Milford. Ms. Hamelin reported that Cal had neither a license nor proof of vaccinations.

She continued to read from her report. Regarding the dog attack reported in January of 2013, Ms. Hamelin stated the photographs did not show it well and indicated there were severe wounds on the hind quarters of the dog that was attacked that took a long time to heal. The owner of that dog was able to describe the dog involved in the attack and was fairly sure where it lived. Ms. Hamelin reported that Mr. McGann had been unresponsive to certified letters and notices to reimburse for veterinary bills associated with the injuries which had been inflicted by Cal.

Ms. Hamelin explained that typically the attacking dog should be placed in quarantine, noting that the quarantine time had already passed and Cal was fine. She noted that Cal was still unlicensed and there was no proof of a rabies vaccination. A letter was sent to the owner in May of 2013, stating these things as well as a reminder of the leash law in Medway. Ms. Hamelin received the green return receipt card on June 6, 2013. Vice-Chair Crowley pointed out that, as Mr. McGann lives with his parents, as property owners, the parents have an interest in this proceeding.

Ms. Hamelin reported that a one-year vaccine means the dog has not been vaccinated or has been unvaccinated for a long time. Mr. McGann did not license the dog until this afternoon.

Selectman White asked Mr. McGann if he was aware of the leash law, to which he responded that he was. Responding to Ms. White's question about licensing, Mr. McGann stated he did know about it, but did not know it had to happen every year.

Referring to the dog attack, Vice-Chair Crowley asked if there were medical bills. Ms. Hamelin responded there were bills of approximately \$1,000 for the dog known as Candy, owned by Pamela Badger. Ms. Moore's dog Shadow suffered no injury. Ms. Badger has paid her bills and has been out that money since January. Ms. Hamelin confirmed that Mr. McGann was indeed legally responsible to pay the medical bills.

Vice-Chair Crowley read from the letter informing Mr. McGann of the temporary restraining order and asked Ms. Hamelin if the conditions specified were followed. She responded that she is only aware of the fact that there have been no additional complaints. Mr. McGann stated the dog has been leashed in the yard, but has not been muzzled.

At this time, Vice-Chair Crowley asked Mr. McGann if he wishes to rebut any of the testimony. Mr. McGann responded that he has left phone messages for the Animal Control Officer, basically playing phone tag. He indicated that they are both busy people. Her assistant did call and leave a message; he returned the call. He further claimed Cal had not been on Route 495 nor had he received the notices referenced. It went back and forth.

Ms. Pamela Badger, 8 Blue Ribbon Lane, described the incident involving her dog, a 40-pound, two-and-a-half year old whippet/shepherd mix, that was on a leash at the time of the incident. "When I turned towards her at the end of the cul-de-sac, I saw this little brown dog coming behind the neighboring homes, and all of a sudden, it attacked the dog, and bit her again. Both hind quarters were bitten. At

that time she pulled her head out of the leash and ran around the end of the house. The little dog was wearing a blue collar and had distinctive markings.” She indicated that the vet was concerned that the puncture wounds indicated such a vicious bite that she was encouraged to contact the police. The police officer took pictures and a statement from her. Ms. Badger reported that her dog has undergone three surgical procedures with five courses of antibiotics. She concluded by stating that her dog is a very gentle and docile dog who is terrified to go out again; this is a very traumatic experience and shocking for the owners.

Selectman D’Innocenzo asked for confirmation of the location. It was noted that Blue Ribbon runs perpendicular to Alder Street, and the attack location was almost at the end of the cul-de-sac, which is not near Alder Street at all. Responding to Selectman D’Innocenzo’s question, Ms. Badger stated the dog Cal chased her dog around the back of the house but left after she chased him away.

Vice-Chair Crowley asked about the second case. Ms. Hamelin responded this was Topper, owned by Mr. John Aviza of 2 Lost Hill Drive, whose medical bills amounted to \$140. Mr. McGann stated that he has received notice that he is responsible for the bills.

Ms. Alicia Moore, 6 Blue Ribbon Lane, stated the incident involving her dog took place on the Sunday of Memorial Day weekend around 5 pm (May 23). She was walking her standard poodle, Shadow, on a short leash and had just passed the McGann residence where she saw Mr. Kevin McGann and his father in the yard. At first she did not see the dog, but heard a shout, and saw the dog coming after them. She stated that the dog circled around, cutting her off from her dog, and went for Shadow’s face. She kicked it in the face, twice, to push it away and her husband got a hold of it. The dog broke loose from her husband’s grasp and attacked their dog. She is not clear on the details as she was paying attention to her own dog. She checked her dog for injuries, and it appeared to be okay. At that time, she heard the owner yell and the dog yelp; Mr. Kevin McGann picked the dog up by the scruff and carried it into the house while it was yelping.

Vice-Chair Crowley asked Mr. McCann if he had any questions. Mr. McGann responded that none of the statements about the kicking were accurate as none of that happened. He indicated he did run out, chased after the dog, circled the dog, but there was no kicking, and her husband did not touch his dog. He stated he grabbed Cal by the scruff and carried him into the house.

Ms. Moore stated that this incident is the second time her dog has been attacked by a McGann dog, but she did not report the earlier one as that dog accidentally got out when a garage door was opened. She noted, however, that she was traumatized by that attack, and “could not walk my dog outdoors for a year and a half. I certainly did kick the dog.”

Vice-Chair Crowley called Mr. McGann back to the meeting table. Mr. McGann stated that Mr. Aviza was the only person he witnessed with his dog, clarifying that he did not see any others. He acknowledged that he sees them walk their dogs on his property, and let their dogs “do their business” on his property. Why couldn’t this have been handled in the neighborhood? In the Aviza incident, Cal got out of his hands and ran after that dog. He ran out of there after him, and made sure there was no injury, and that both the man and dog were okay. Both were fine, and he checked out the dog. “Dogs are dogs, no problem. Dog and owner were both ok, and I told them to let me know if there are any issues.” Then he picked up the letter from the dog officer. He stated he didn’t realize there were issues until then.

Selectman White expressed surprise that he considers himself a good neighbor when he did not have the dog on a leash. Mr. McGann responded that he did not know about the leash law until months afterward. He did not receive the notices until he signed for them on June 6.

Selectman D’Innocenzo asked where the dog is typically housed. Mr. McGann stated that when Cal needs to go out, he is leashed and only walked in the yard. Regarding other dogs in the neighborhood, Mr. McGann stated he has not introduced him to the other dogs in the neighborhood, but he has friends with dogs and Cal has no issues with any of them.

Selectman Foresto commented that Mr. McGann’s lack of respect for the law is disgusting, pointing out that he does not take responsibility for the dog. “You are a dog owner, but you have not been responsible for the dog’s actions.” Mr. McGann responded that this hearing is a waste of time. Vice-Chair Crowley reminded everyone that this is a public hearing, and both sides should have respect for each other. Mr. McGann responded that he does not deny there have been issues.

Vice-Chair Crowley asked Ms. Hamelin for her recommendation. Ms. Hamelin responded that her recommendation is to apply a permanent restraining order for Cal (kept on a 3 foot or shorter leash and not allowed any access to a public way from tether), as well as a muzzle order when he is outside. She noted that the new regulations and state laws are very specific. In the finding of facts, depending on the criteria determined, different punishments are required. In this instance, Cal has been deemed a Dangerous Dog, leaving the Board with only certain options.

Ms. Hamelin added that some new options that Boards may consider include requiring that the dog be permanently identified by microchip, order the owner to obtain \$100k liability insurance, or require the dog be neutered. She reiterated her recommendation of a permanent restraining order, citing Mr. McGann’s lack of responsibility and apparent lack of concern for his neighbors.

Vice-Chair Crowley clarified that this means the dog has to be tethered or on a run of a required tensile strength. When Cal is off the property, he must be muzzled and on a leash shorter than three feet in length. Further, Cal has to be contained in such a way on their property so that he cannot access a public way. If tethered outside, he has to be supervised and muzzled. If he breaks the leash or chews through it, it is possible that he may be able to reach a public way so muzzling is important.

Selectman D’Innocenzo asked if the dog could be in a kennel run instead of being tethered. Ms. Hamelin responded that the kennel wall material has to be buried no less than two feet into the ground and the structure must be roofed. Based on the way the law is written, the dog has to be muzzled inside a locked cage. Mr. McGann asked how the dog can get to food and water if he is muzzled inside the kennel. Ms. Hamelin clarified that if he is inside a suitable kennel, he does not have to be muzzled. If he is on an outdoor run, he must be muzzled. The dog can be without a muzzle if he is contained in an approved kennel structure.

Selectman Foresto asked what happens if the dog is found on the street again. Ms. Hamelin responded that the state law says if the dog is found off the owner’s premises, the dog will be picked up and held for another hearing or if the dog bites again, Animal Control has the right to pick it up and euthanize it.

Vice-Chair Crowley asked Ms. Hamelin if Mr. McGann’s choice of remedy mattered to her. She responded it did not, and would respect whatever solution he chooses. The restraining order goes into effect immediately. He can appeal it but it is still in effect. If Mr. McGann chooses to build a kennel, the

Town would give him two weeks to build it, and keep the dog leashed in the meantime. Mr. McGann asked if the dog has to be muzzled even if he is on a leash. The response was yes.

Regarding hospital bills, there is a state law that declares that Mr. McGann (as the owner of the attacking dog) has to pay the bills. Mr. McGann stated he will reimburse the owner the amount that was paid. Vice-Chair Crowley recommended that Mr. McGann work with the Animal Control Officer to get those bills repaid.

At 8:15 PM Selectman White moved to close the public hearing; Selectman Foresto seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board of Selectmen recommend to the Animal Control Officer that the dog known as "Cal" has been deemed a Dangerous Dog as defined by state statute; Selectman White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Selectman White moved that the dog be muzzled at all times when outdoors, on or off the property and that it be tethered or on a leash at all times when outdoors. Vice-Chair Crowley offered a friendly amendment that Mr. McCann be afforded the ability to kennel the dog without a muzzle, and, further, when the dog comes out of the kennel, he must be put on a 3-foot leash and muzzled. Selectman White accepted the amendment; Selectman Foresto seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

A registered letter listing these conditions will be sent to Mr. McCann.

Continuation of Presentation: Sustainable Watershed Management Initiative:

At 8:22 PM Vice-Chair Crowley invited Ms. Ryan, Mr. Holder and Mr. Niles back to the presentation table for questions from the Board.

Selectman D'Innocenzo theorized that this number is before capital improvements have been made. Vice-Chair Crowley emphasized that this program cannot be funded out of the general fund. Mr. Holder responded that they know that the costs are going to increase. The stormwater program is built into the DPS general stormwater fund. By building the stormwater utility into the fund, the funds are set aside so that residents can see how much money is being built up by the user fees. Discussion followed. It is noted that, while taxes could be reduced, it is not likely that will occur.

Vice-Chair Crowley theorized that this is just another unfunded mandate being forced on towns. Mr. Holder responded that they do not know the answers to all questions and would like to spend more time investigating available options, as well as expand the task force. It takes years to adequately prepare for a stormwater program.

Vice-Chair Crowley estimated that the average homeowner has a one-acre parcel with a driveway of 2,000 sq. feet and asked what the associated tax for it would be. He also asked if how they will move forward once the grant funds are expended.

Mr. Holder indicated the task force may be able to put together an article for Fall Town Meeting. The Board asked Mr. Holder to put together a three phase program outline and to include associated costs. Ms. Ryan added that there is talk that there will be another grant coming out.

Mr. Niles emphasized that it is not just a matter of complying with regulations, but also recognizing that aging stormwater infrastructures need to be repaired or replaced. It is about responsible management and being able to meet future water demands. There are legitimate reasons a community should look into improvements aside from the regulations. The next issuance of the draft regulations will be toward the end of this year, effective sometime in the spring. Ms. Ryan noted that the Massachusetts draft should look very similar to the New Hampshire draft that was issued a short time ago. The EPA's struggle is with developing a schedule which can be adhered to. Selectman D'Innocenzo summarized that the first five years of the permit requires a lot of planning and strategizing. This is the time to enhance the existing program to figure out what to do to meet those obligations.

Vice-Chair Crowley asked if the federal government might offer financial assistance or low/no interest loans to help towns with this obligation. Ms. Ryan responded that there may be some zero-interest SRF loans provided for nutrient management. Ms. Kennedy stated that, by being in position to move forward, the Town may be eligible for zero-interest loans. Vice-Chair Crowley continued, stating that the Town has problems with the sewer infrastructure, and wondered if that will be weighed against other projects that also need funding.

Ms. Kennedy asked the Board how it wished to proceed. Vice-Chair Crowley stated he would like to have Mr. Holder come back with more information. Mr. Holder responded that this program has been evaluated as a concept; now they need to get more details, begin public outreach and slowly gather information.

Selectman Foresto asked about the magnitude of the costs. Mr. Niles responded that the potential impact for a residence or business would be based on a program cost of \$900,000, housing stock, level of town services, and other factors. Mr. Holder reported that funding mechanism would also need to be determined. This matrix shows all the next steps that need to happen. Vice-Chair Crowley suggested that the matrix be broken down by residential factor or business factor in order for a resident to be able to relate to it. Discussion followed on the funding mechanism. Selectman Foresto added that the Town's job in the next six months is to educate the community in order to make an informed decision about what to do. Only five communities in Massachusetts currently have stormwater management plan. Ms. Ryan stated that the EPA will not likely back off, especially for phosphorous removal or reduction, but communities may be given a time extension for implementation. Soon analysis of soils and other deposits of phosphorous will begin. A member present suggested doing what is known as a Dow Jones analysis with a small cross-section example.

Ms. Kennedy suggested the group come back to a meeting in several weeks.

Approval – Transfer from Building and Human Resources Budgets to Elections Supplies Budget, \$1,500:
The Board reviewed a document entitled Town of Medway – Board of Selectman & Finance Committee Budget Transfer Request.

Ms. Kennedy reported that the tables presently used for elections are very heavy and cumbersome. DPS spends a lot of time setting them up and moving them from place to place. The suggested tables are lightweight and will be more efficient. The heavy tables will be repurposed to other facilities in Town. At this time, Selectman White, who also serves as Medway's Town Clerk, recused herself from the discussion and vote.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board approve the transfer of \$750 from both the Building Inspections Supplies account (No. 01241002-5400) and the Human Resources Supplies account (No. 01125002-5400) to Elections Supplies account (No. 01161002-5400) to allow for the purchase of tables to be used during elections; Selectman D’Innocenzo seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 3-0-1 -- White abstain.

Approval to Authorize Treasurer to Seek DOR Approval for Deficit Financing BAN, \$350,000:

The Board reviewed a letter, dated June 17, 2013, to the Massachusetts Department of Revenue.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board authorize the Treasurer-Collector to seek approval from the Massachusetts Department of Revenue relative to deficit financing Bond Authorization Note of \$350,000; Selectman D’Innocenzo seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Approval – Executive Session Minutes (June 3, 2013) and Release of Right of First Refusal, Lombard Farm:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Executive Session Minutes (draft), June 3, 2013: and (2) Decline of Right of First Refusal [not yet received from petitioner’s counsel]. It is noted that the Board may vote on the matter with document execution to follow.

Ms. Kennedy reminded the Board that it had made a decision in Executive Session, and it is required that the decision be announced by the Board in open session.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board decline the Right of First Refusal for the property on Oakland Street shown as Lot #2 on the Plan entitled “Land Court Plan of Land in Medway, Massachusetts” prepared for J. Scott Lombard dated February 11, 2013 by Schofield Brothers of New England, Inc., filed with the Norfolk Registry as Plan 43332-B, and further, that the Board approve the release of meeting minutes associated with the Executive Session held on June 3, 2013; Selectman White seconded. No further discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0. It is noted that there were no corrections or changes to the minutes.

Approval – Ride for Food Benefit, Sept. 15, 2013:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Correspondence, dated May 8, 2013; (2) Approval memorandum, dated May 31, 2013, from Police Chief Tingley; and (3) Certificate of insurance related to Bicycle Ride Directors Association.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board approve the Bicycle Ride for Food planned route through Medway on September 15, 2013 with the caveat that all conditions set forth in Chief Tingley’s memo of May 31, 2013, are met; Selectman D’Innocenzo seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Approval – CF Cycle for Life, Oct. 5, 2013:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Correspondence, dated May 23, 2013, from Theresa Waite, Sr. Director of Development for Cystic Fibrosis Association; (2) 2013 65-mile CF Cycle for Life route outline; and (3) Approval memorandum, dated May 31, 2013, from Police Chief Tingley.

Selectman D’Innocenzo moved that the Board approve the CF Cycle for Life Bicycle Ride planned route through Medway on October 5, 2013 with the caveat that all conditions set forth in Chief Tingley’s memo of May 31, 2013 are met; Selectman Foresto seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Approval – One-Day Entertainment License for Medway 300 Family Day, June 22, 2013:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Application for Exhibition, Show or Amusement License – Live Entertainment, dated May 20, 2013; and (2) Email, dated May 28, 2013, from Police Chief Tingley.

Selectman White moved that the Board approve the One-Day Entertainment License for Medway 300 Family Day on June 22, 2013 with the caveat that police details (number still to be determined and approved by Police Chief Tingley) will be hired; Selectman D’Innocenzo seconded. As a friendly amendment, it was suggested that five (5) details be required for the event; amendment accepted. No further discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Approval – One-day Liquor License for Medway Community Farm’s Farm to Fork Dinner, August 19, 2013:

The Board reviewed the following information: (1) Application for Special One-Day Liquor License, dated May 24, 2013; (2) Correspondence, dated June 10, 2013, from Police Chief Tingley; and (3) Additional approvals from Fire, Building and Board of Health.

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board approve the Special One-Day Liquor License for Medway Community Farm’s Farm to Fork Dinner on August 19, 2013 with the caveat that the conditions specified in Chief Tingley’s memo are met; Selectman White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Action Items from Previous Meeting:

The Board had for its review the current Action Items List.

This matter was postponed.

Approval of Warrants:

The Board reviewed Warrant 13-51.

Selectman D’Innocenzo, Clerk, read aloud Warrant 13-51, dated 6/20/13, presented for approval as follows:

Town Bills	\$ 29,042.42
Gross Payroll Schools	\$ 282,635.65
Gross Payroll Town	\$ 876,071.12
TOTAL	\$1,187,749.19

Selectman Foresto moved that the Board approve Warrant 13-51, as read; Selectman White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Town Administrator’s Report:

Ms. Kennedy reported that Medway was one of very few communities selected to participate in a Solarize program. Dan Hooper hosted the kick-off meeting on June 5, where 60 people attended to learn more about the program. While there are currently no active applications, an aggressive outreach effort is underway, including a dedicated website – www.solarizemedway.org. Kent Scott has been asked to host a talk, as well as staff a booth at Medway Family Day. An announcement will also go out with tax bills. A 10KW solar system is being proposed for the Fire Department Buildings, and the agreement is in process. Ms. Kennedy stated that the program hopes to get ten or twenty families interested in solar panels.

Ms. Kennedy announced that there will be another meeting of the collaborative MetroWest Coalition against the Milford Casino on June 20.

Selectmen's Reports:

Selectman White reminded everyone of the upcoming State Election on June 25 at the Middle School. Polling hours are from 7 AM to 8 PM.

Selectman Foresto invited Board members to participate in anti-casino activities, noting that the group meets every Wednesday at Milford Town hall at 6 PM. Communities can still join the other four towns, but the new members will not be voting members of the coalition.

Vice-Chair Crowley announced that Family Day in conjunction with the Medway 300 celebration is Saturday, June 22. A series of events is planned for 11 AM to 6 PM, including a racing track, climbing wall, face painting, games for kids, geocaching, and a dunk tank featuring Town officials. As there is limited parking, residents are encouraged to use the shuttles from the high school.

Review – Regulatory Agreements:

Ms. Kennedy reported that these documents were delivered late this afternoon. The proponent had worked on this agreement through his lawyer and received preliminary approval, explaining that this was a regulatory agreement relative to affordable housing units in the Williamsburg Condominium Project. It was noted that Town Counsel recommends that the proponent will want to have Certificates of Occupancy issued in order to allow sales of two units as soon as possible. Ms. Kennedy suggested that she provide the Board with a PDF copy and, if necessary, hold a special meeting to review them.

Vice-Chair Crowley stated he would like to have a sign-off from the Affordable Housing Trust and the Planning Board. Ms. Kennedy advised that, legally, there is no need for it, but they have significant experience in these matters. She asked if it would be acceptable for two representatives from each group attend that meeting. The state agencies have yet to sign off. Vice-Chair Crowley expressed his displeasure with the manner in which this process was being pushed through.

Discussion followed on vacations and the ability to meet; four members of the Board would need to be present. Vice-Chair Crowley noted that he believed there would be a presentation of some sort before receiving any documents.

Approval of Minutes:

The Board had for its review draft minutes from meetings held on January 3, 2012; January 17, 2012; March 12, 2012; March 19, 2012; and March 29, 2012.

Review of the meeting minutes was postponed.

Warrant for Special State Election

Per State Law, Vice-Chair Crowley read aloud the Warrant for the Special State Election scheduled for June 25, 2013 during which voters will choose a Senator in Congress in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

It was announced that an Emergency Transfer from the Finance Committee Reserve Fund was approved by the Finance Committee on June 11.

**At 9:05 PM Selectman Foresto moved to adjourn; Selectman D’Innocenzo seconded. No discussion.
VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Respectfully submitted,
Jeanette Galliardt
Night Board Secretary