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DATE: JUNE 17,2009
CASE NO.: 6/17/2009-3
APPLICANT: JOHN L. AND RACHEL M. WETSON
        119 ROCKINGHAM ROAD
        LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
LOCATION: }119\mathrm{ ROCKINGHAM ROAD,16-87, AR-I
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: VICKI KEENAN, CHAIR
    JIM SMITH, VOTING ALTERNATE
    MICHAEL GALLAGHER, VOTING ALTERNATE
    MATTHEW NEUMAN, VOTING ALTERNATE
    LARRY O'SULLIVAN, CLERK
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$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ALSO PRESENT: } & \text { RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ } \\ & \text { ZONING OFFICER } \\ \text { REQUEST: } & \text { AREA VARIANCE TO ALLOW A 6-FOOT HIGH FENCE TO } \\ & \text { BE LESS THAN 40 FEET FROM THE FRONT PROPERTY } \\ & \text { LINE AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 3.14. }\end{array}$
PRESENTATION:
RACHEL WETSON: I have multiple pictures that I'd like to hand out to each of you. It'll help
explain all of the questions.
VICKI KEENAN: Great.
RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah, you can just give those to the Board.
RACHEL WETSON: Oh, okay.
RICHARD CANUEL: Thanks.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah, he's not voting.
[laughter]
RACHEL WETSON: What's that?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: He's not voting.
RACHEL WETSON: Oh. On the back, there's numbers, just so you can refer to them when I talk about certain questions.

MATT NEUMAN: Wonderful.
RACHEL WETSON: I'm not a photographer. I tried.
JIM SMITH: Thanks.
VICKI KEENAN: Thank you.
Case No. 6/17/ 2009-3 was read into the record with no previous cases listed.
VICKI KEENAN: So if you could start by telling us who you area and then presenting your case, that'd be great.

RACHEL WETSON: Sure. I'm Rachel Wetson. My husband is not here, which is why you probably thought he was [referring to audience member], he's not sitting with me. He's my neighbor. So, I'm Rachel Wetson and I live at 119 Rockingham Road, which is Route 28 in Londonderry and my husband and I are trying to put a six (6) foot fence up closer to the front property line than forty (40) feet. And I'll explain further when you look at the pictures as to why I want it closer to the front property line than forty (40) feet. Do you want me to go through the questions now or...?

VICKI KEENAN: Actually, why don't you talk about why you want to put it up and then we'll...

RACHEL WETSON: Okay. The main reason that we would like the six (6) foot fence is for privacy. My husband and I moved in about seven (7) years ago and since then, the area has really become more overpopulated. Route 28 is a very busy road. You guys probably drive down it. So we have many cars driving at all times of the day. It's a very busy road, a loud road, so we would like to increase our privacy, increase our property value and hopefully take away some of the noise that we can hear in our house. Make it more of a home environment.

## LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Had you considered shrubbage [sic] or trees or something along those

 lines?RACHEL WETSON: We haven't, actually, we really wanted a fence. We actually do have a lot of trees in the front of our yard. You can kind of see that in the picture. But we really would like the look of a fence better.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: One of the reasons why the Town requires a distance between the front of a parcel...

RACHEL WETSON: Mm-hmm.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...and a side is because it more greatly affects the traffic and your neighbors across the street. Can you address that?

RACHEL WETSON: Okay. Well, by traffic, I guess I can explain my road. It's a very straight road. Having the fence very close to our front property line is not gonna obstruct the view of motorists in any way. It's not gonna obstruct the view of the road ahead for them. Also, as you can see from the picture, I guess I'll refer to it now, picture two (2) [see exhibit "B"], picture two (2) is a picture I took right from where we would be pulling out of our driveway. The fence is actually gonna be behind those trees. So, it would not obstruct the view of motorists seeing us pull out of our driveway in any way and we would still be able to see motorists driving down the road when we pull out of our driveway. So I don't see it's gonna increase any danger in any way.

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Actually, how far is that back from that tree line that's on the road there? On Rockingham Road.

RACHEL WETSON: Okay, so you see the road on the right and then the trees begin. There's a black line I drew in. Again, I'm not a photographer...

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: I see that on here.
RACHEL WETSON: ...but I drew the black line and that's where we would like to put the fence. That's about fifteen (15) feet from the property line.

## MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Fifteen (15)?

RACHEL WETSON: Yup.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: From the property line or from that tree line?
RACHEL WETSON: From the property line. The property line begins a little bit closer to our house. It's kind of in the middle of those trees is where our property line actually begins.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so you're aware that the Town has access to the first, what is it, ten (10) feet, Richard?

RACHEL WETSON: Yes...
RICHARD CANUEL: Well, that varies. You can't really go by the...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: On Route 28 ?

RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah. Customarily, it's usually about a ten (10) foot distance from the paved section of the road to the actual front...

RACHEL WETSON: Right, see how there's like...it's hard to see in the picture, but there's actually the paved road and there's a little bit of, like, a dirt area before the trees begin. But I actually did go, 'cause I live on a State road, I went to the DOT to ensure that they weren't going to be moving our road back where we would be putting our fence and they actually are not planning on doing that. And they don't own the property where we would be putting the fence. The State does not own that area. So there's no plans...you probably know they're planning on expanding Route 28. It doesn't include where my house is at. So, it wouldn't affect where I'm putting the fence.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Do any of your neighbors have a fence?
RACHEL WETSON: Not to my left or right.
JAMES DUNN: If you wanna call my post and rail [inaudible] a fence. That's about...
RACHEL WETSON: Not a six (6) foot fence.
JAMES DUNN: ...eight (8) feet from the road, if that.
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: I took a ride by there. I didn't notice anyone else with, you know...
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I took a ride by it. I didn't see anybody at all, so...with a fence, that is.
RACHEL WETSON: If you look at...I want to explain why we don't want to put it forty (40) feet from our front property line. If you look at picture one (1) [see exhibit "A"], it's showing you...I'm standing on my driveway. It's a side view. The bold black line is where we want to put the fence. Again, that's fifteen (15) feet from the property line. The dashed line would be forty (40) feet from our property line. And as you can see, our house is right there. So if we were to put our fence up forty (40) feet from our front property line, when we walked outside of our door, our fence would be right there and we'd be cutting off our lot. We wouldn't have any front lawn.

VICKI KEENAN: How close to the driveway do you plan on putting the fence?
RACHEL WETSON: We plan on having, probably, about four (4) or five (5) feet from the driveway until when our fence begins.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No gate?

RACHEL WETSON: No, I'm not planning on that. If we do, it wouldn't be six (6) feet tall.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Had you considered a four (4) foot fence?
RACHEL WETSON: We had. We actually were trying to look from the house to see how that would change the view of the road and we would still be able to see cars passing by. It wouldn't increase the privacy to the way that we would want it to.

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: So, you're kind of...you don't want to view the road if you're...
RACHEL WETSON: We would like to obstruct it to, again, make it more of a home environment than living on a main road.

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: It looked like there were a lot of trees there, you know, and...
RACHEL WETSON: Yeah. It is a side view, too, so they are kind of scattered.
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yeah.

VICKI KEENAN: Any other questions from the Board? Why don't we...
RACHEL WETSON: I actually do want to add one thing...

## VICKI KEENAN: Sure.

RACHEL WETSON: I have dogs, and my neighbor knows that, but they can be kind of loud. The fence would actually help to keep my house quieter. My dogs do like to bark whenever anybody drives by or slows down and this would obstruct their view of people, whether they're jogging or driving by, so it would actually make my house a little quieter and probably make my neighbor a little happier.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, fences typically echo sound and they'll keep sound to the side where it was created...

RACHEL WETSON: Hmmm.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...as opposed to the side where it wasn't created.
RACHEL WETSON: Mm-hmm.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's why you have barriers on highways and the like. One of the things we try to do, especially in the scenic areas, is to eliminate any of the excess noise that something like this would be creating because this magnifies and doubles the reflective sound
to your neighbors. A four (4) foot fence is acceptable in town up to, what fifteen (15) feet? I don't know if it's fifteen (15) feet in the front yard, Richard?

RICHARD CANUEL: Well, by our ordinance, the front yard is considered that portion from the front property line back to the forty (40) foot setback line. That's considered the front yard.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: "Fences located in the front yard of residential properties may not exceed four (4) feet in height...The front yard, for the purpose of this section, shall be that portion of the property encompassing the area from the front property line to the forty (40) foot setback line."

VICKI KEENAN: So even at four (4) feet, they would still require the forty (40) foot setback. Can you take us through your application?

RACHEL WETSON: Sure. Okay, so, I'll begin at question four (4). A variance is requested of the zoning ordinance to permit an installation of a six (6) foot fence fifteen (15) back from our front property line. Facts supporting this request. The proposed use would not diminish surrounding property values because this fence will be elegant, it will be picket style and we would like it to be of cedar wood. It will add style and elegance to our neighborhood and street, to our home. It'll add value to our home and hopefully improve the value of our neighboring homes also. (B), granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because this fence would not obstruct the public's view of the road since we are not on a corner lot. This fence will be placed far enough away from our driveway entrance so motorists will have the ability to see us pulling out of our driveway and we will see motorists when we are pulling out of our driveway. (C), special conditions exist such that literal enforcement of the ordinance results in unnecessary hardship: one (1), an area variance is needed to enable the applicant's proposed use of the property, given the following special conditions of the property. And I can refer you to picture one (1), so you can see what I'm talking about. Our home lies fifty five (55) feet back from our front property line. If we built the fence forty (40) feet back from our front property line, we would only have fifteen (15) feet of land between our home and the fence. Building the fence fifteen (15) back from our front line of property allows us to have a front yard and will still allow motorists to see us pulling out of our driveway and will allow us to view motorists before pulling out of our driveway. Two (2), the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method reasonably feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance because the only way to place a fence forty (40) feet back from our front line of property while maintaining a front yard would be to move our house back and this is, of course, not feasible. (D), granting the variance would do substantial justice because we have two (2) boxer dogs and, of course, at times, they can get off the leash and our dogs have actually run into Route 28 and have been in danger of getting hit and also causing accidents. Again, it's a very busy road. The fence would improve the safety of our dogs and also make it a little quieter for our neighbors when they don't see the cars driving by and stopping and people running down the road. And also we hope this fence will buffer some of the noise from vehicles passing by our house since we live on Route 28, again, a main State road. And lastly, this fence will add elegance, privacy and value to our home. (E), the use is not contrary to the spirit of the ordinance because we live on a part of Route 28 that is straight. You can refer to picture two (2)
again to see this. No curves or corners. Therefore, this fence will not obstruct the public's view of the road. Also, this fence will be placed fifteen (15) feet away from our driveway entrance, behind the trees that you see in the picture, allowing motorists to see us pulling out of our driveway and allowing us to view the road and motorists before pulling out of our driveway so it wouldn't impose any danger to the public.

VICKI KEENAN: A question. Have you contemplated additional landscaping? More shrubs and trees?

RACHEL WETSON: We haven't. Again, we really would like the look of the fence.
MATT NEUMAN: How about fencing in the backyard?
RACHEL WETSON: We wanna do that, too, but we don't need the area variance for that, so, yeah, we'd like to have a full fenced...

MATT NEUMAN: I know that's a big part of our reasoning for it is for the dogs...
RACHEL WETSON: Yeah, I mean, we would like to fence in the whole yard. Have the front yard and the backyard, everything fenced in. Yeah, to let the dogs run around, yeah.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Is your pool fenced in now?
RACHEL WETSON: We have a Gibraltar pool. We don't have any fence around our yard at all. We have a Gibraltar pool, it's got its own little fence around it, of course, for safety but...

JAMES DUNN: Above ground.
RACHEL WETSON: Above ground, yeah. Thanks.
VICKI KEENAN: Any other questions from the Board? Why don't we open it up to the public. Is there anyone here to speak in favor of the application? Can you come up to the microphone and introduce yourself.

JAMES DUNN: We have the same problem with the noise.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Could I get your name, please, for...?
JAMES DUNN: James Dunn, 117 Rockingham. The road is downhill. A lot of the truck drivers downshift, creating a lot of noise. Like she said, road traffic. And I think, like her yard slopes down, so a four (4) foot fence wouldn't do it. I mean, you'd be looking over and still seeing the road. It would have to be a six (6) foot just to...I mean, I stand in my driveway and the road is like up to here when I look out.

RACHEL WETSON: Our friends call our house "exit 119," not "number 119" Rockingham Road. It's like we live on a highway.

JAMES DUNN: Yeah.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are they directly across the street from you?
JAMES DUNN: Next door.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are there people directly across the street from you?
JAMES DUNN: Yes, their house is set back further and there's usually either, what, a florist there or...

RACHEL WETSON: Yeah, a pool place...
JAMES DUNN: A pool place or...
RACHEL WETSON: There's something commercial across the street. I don't know what they are now.

JAMES DUNN: I'm not sure what's there now.
RACHEL WETSON: Yeah, me neither. It changes. It's been changing.
VICKI KEENAN: Okay. Any other questions from the Board? Seeing that, we'll close the public hearing and the Board will deliberate and discuss, okay?

## DELIBERATIONS:

VICKI KEENAN: Want to walk through the five (5) points of law just like we did last time and discuss each one?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Sure.
VICKI KEENAN: Okay. The proposed would not diminish surrounding property values?
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I would take exception to that as well. Because the folks to the left and right aren't gonna be the ones who we need to protect, as a Board. It's the people on the opposite side and the drivers and our issue...there's a couple of issues here. One of them is the six (6) foot and the other one is the fifteen (15) feet. What you're doing is, you're building an echo chamber. The people who live to the rear of her property now, probably you'd cut a lot of the noise by the trees and they do, too. Because the road is busy, $\mathrm{it}^{\prime}$ 's the noise that usually is the annoyance. Safety on a stretch that, as straight as this, isn't the major issue. It's gonna be the
noise pollution that's created. I believe it's gonna be amplified by this. I think that's why we have the zoning. I think that's to the detriment of the people across the street.

VICKI KEENAN: But they could encircle their backyard with a six (6) foot fence and not need a variance and create the same issue, right?

## LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right.

## VICKI KEENAN: By right.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No. There's no issue if it's fifteen (15) feet from the road. I mean, fifteen (15) feet from the road is really what the issue is. Fifteen (15) feet, six (6) feet high. That's an echo chamber that you're building. Move it forty (40) feet away, it's not such an issue. But having it right next to the road is like somebody yelling in your ear. That's why they do this on highways. That's why when you see 93 , you see the buffers and the walls that they put up. That's to keep the sound reflected back on the drivers. That's cool, that's fine when you have it on both sides. And where all the complaints happen are on the residential side that's opposite it. The sound is gonna go a lot further amplified and that's what that reflection that echoes is gonna give. So, I have a problem with that close to the road. I think that's why our ordinances are the way they are, so we eliminate this situation.

JIM SMITH: I think my reading on it, with the tree line and brush and so forth in front of it, I think that's gonna mitigate that effect.

VICKI KEENAN: [inaudible] for that.
JIM SMITH: Because they're gonna have the fence behind the trees.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Jim, if you have any restrictions that we place on an approval on it, you then need to require that the trees be there because you can cut these trees down just like, you know, as easy as can be. Once the fence is up, what's the purpose of the trees? I mean, I understand there's other reasons to have trees but at the same time, right now they act as a buffer. I think that's why they should be considering the landscaping because I also believe that that's a less expensive, less intrusive solution. Everybody else up and down that street's gonna put up a six (6) foot fence fifteen (15) feet from the road.

VICKI KEENAN: I mean, I would argue that I don't see any diminishment of the surrounding property values because it sounds, from an aesthetic standpoint, what they're gonna put in is going to be good and I'm having a hard time sort of thinking that an echo chamber is going to be created by it because of the tree buffer. And because of the short distance of the fence. But what I have a problem with is...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The short distance of the fence? I missed that.

VICKI KEENAN: The length of the fence.
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LARRY O'SULLIVAN: A hundred and fifty (150) feet long?
VICKI KEENAN: Yeah, I just, when you look at that in comparison to the length of the road, I just...just with the tree line, I don't see it creating a big echo. But what I do have a problem with is the area variance, section one (1), two (2), three (3), I'm having a hard time with that. How about granting the variance would be contrary to the public interest?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's what I think I was addressing in my comments as well.
VICKI KEENAN: Mm-hmm. I'm having a hard time understanding the special conditions of the property that are unique to anybody else who lives on that section of road.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Everybody on the road has the same scenario.
VICKI KEENAN: Right.
MATT NEUMAN: Right.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You can see the overheads of the houses. The houses are about the same distance. I mean, this is requesting something that we've prohibited succinctly and specifically two (2) different ways and you really have to have an outstanding reason to go and allow a variance in these circumstances because everyone on this street has practically the same scenario.

VICKI KEENAN: The setbacks look very similar to the other homes.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So, you know, it's a tough thing to approve for one and not for others but at the same time, if there was a significant difference between this property and any of the surrounding properties, that would be the thing to look at. Most of the time, we do look for that. As a matter of fact, we try to pull teeth to get it out of the applicants 'cause applicants typically don't look at the uniqueness and this is really one of those scenarios where there's got to be something unique and I can't find it.

VICKI KEENAN: I agree. I think it would be setting a precedent which would go against our zoning about, sort of, open landscape. I worry, too, about the safety from exiting the driveway around the fence on that side.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It's not so much the safety of the residence, although that is a consideration. It is the safety of the drivers. I have all the sympathy in the world for somebody who feels as if they have to have a fence in order to prevent the noise from coming in and to keep their pets in the yard and I have to say that there are alternatives.

VICKI KEENAN: Yeah, see, I...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And all their neighbors have them. So...
VICKI KEENAN: I can't find a passing reason for (C.1) and (2), unless anybody else can convince me otherwise.

JIM SMITH: I think she could accomplish what she's trying to do by putting up the four (4) foot fence and additional landscaping and plantings which would address the noise issue, so I think that's a reasonable alternative to...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I think there's a less expensive, reasonable alternative to begin with. The neighbors have done them.

JIM SMITH: A four (4) foot fence would be, I would think, sufficient to contain the dogs and a lot heavier plantings would certainly, probably be more effective as far as the noise goes when you come right down to it.

VICKI KEENAN: Mike and Matt, do you have anything?
MATT NEUMAN: Yeah, I was having a real tough time with the fact that there are the alternatives and it is setting, I think, a really tough precedent in that area because like you said, Larry, everybody on that road is just gonna do the same thing and...

VICKI KEENAN: Mm-hmm.

MATT NEUMAN: Then where are we?
JIM SMITH: Yeah, when you look at that plan there that shows the three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6), seven (7) homes that are all...the distance back from the road is pretty much the same, so there's nothing really unique about this particular piece of property versus the rest of them.

VICKI KEENAN: You can measure some of them on the GIS and a lot of them are similar setback. Okay, how about granting the variance would do substantial justice? This was a nopass for me. Okay, how about contrary to the spirit of the ordinance? This, too, was a no-pass for me.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: This is a what?

VICKI KEENAN: This was no-pass for me.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Did not...[inaudible].
VICKI KEENAN: Any comments on those two (2)?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I think one of the things we see from applicants is that they talk about their need as opposed to why the rules are on the books and why the ordinance exists and folks don't address that part of the ordinance when they're supposed to be addressing it. Contrary to the spirit of the ordinance and, you know, what is it we're trying to accomplish with an ordinance and then how could it be against it? What we're trying to accomplish with an ordinance in this instance is so that we don't wind up with walls and what you have close to the road. So, the answer that was provided doesn't address that. It addresses the public's view of the road when that's not what the issue is.

VICKI KEENAN: Is there any further discussion? Is there a motion? Does anyone have any more comments?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I would suggest that in our findings that we make it clear that the request will create an unnecessary detrimental situation in a residential area, given that there are options that needed to be addressed at the very least and that it will change the integrity of the neighborhood and I do mean the residential side of the neighborhood.

VICKI KEENAN: We should add that there are no special conditions.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And there are no special conditions, right.
VICKI KEENAN: I think that's the big one.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I believe it'll increase traffic noise.

VICKI KEENAN: Is someone writing these down on their sheet? Could someone? Mike, that's great.

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: I'll write it.

VICKI KEENAN: Does anyone want to make a motion or have any additional comments?
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Did you get it all down, Mike?
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: No, I didn't.
VICKI KEENAN: So there was no special condition of the property. We felt that there were other feasible methods...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yes.

VICKI KEENAN: ...to achieve what they were trying to achieve.

JIM SMITH: Yeah. I think that's the key thing. There's other reasonable means to accomplish...

VICKI KEENAN: And we think it's contrary to the spirit of the ordinance. Do we need to be more specific, you think, Larry? On each of those?

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: What about mentioning possibly setting a precedent? I think you're right because I didn't see any fences because I had passed the property and I had to go up a ways and...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: But we really shouldn't be addressing the precedence here.
JIM SMITH: Yeah.
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yeah.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It's the case as presented, as is, bang.
JIM SMITH: Each case should be looked at...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I believe that part of my suggestion was to notate that the request, if granted, would intensify or change the integrity of the neighborhood.

VICKI KEENAN: Mm-hmm.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And I do mean the residential part of it. That it negatively impacts the surrounding areas and that's not necessarily just the real estate values. Did you get that, Mike? Are you writing those down?

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yeah.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, are you ready?
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yup.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are you gonna make a motion?
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yes. A motion to deny this request based on those conditions we just discussed: will increase the noise; we don't see that any special conditions exist; there are other feasible methods available; and contrary to the spirit of the ordinance, which is to address the issues, the noise and...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And the alternatives possible, right?
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Excuse me?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And there are alternatives possible.
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MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yes.

VICKI KEENAN: Okay, there's a motion to deny...
JIM SMITH: I'll second.
VICKI KEENAN: Second...case 6/17/2009-3 and a second. Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, we'll take a vote. All those in favor of denying case $6 / 17 / 2009-3$, signify by saying 'aye.'

JIM SMITH: Aye.
MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Aye.
MATT NEUMAN: Aye.
LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Aye.
VICKI KEENAN: Aye. Opposed? Abstentions?
[no response in opposition or to abstain]
RESULT: THE MOTION TO DENY THE AREA VARIANCE WAS APPROVED, 5-0-0.
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

APPROVED JULY 15, 2009 WITH A MOTION MADE BY LARRY O'SULLIVAN, SECONDED BY JIM SMITH AND APPROVED 4-0-1 WITH YVES STEGER ABSTAINING AS HE DID NOT ATTEND JUNE 17, 2009 THE MEETING.

