1		ZONING DOADD OF ADJUGTATION
1		ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2		268B MAMMOTH ROAD
3		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
4		
5	DATE:	APRIL 18, 2012
6		
7	CASE NO.:	4/18/2012-2
8		
9	APPLICANT:	OTTO AND MARY JANE MEIER
10		53 FOREST STREET
11		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
12		
13	LOCATION:	53 FOREST STREET; 4-65-30; AR-I
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	MATT NEUMAN, CHAIR
16		JAMES SMITH, VICE CHAIR
17		LARRY O'SULLIVAN, VOTING MEMBER
18		JAY HOOLEY, VOTING MEMBER
19		JAMES TOTTEN, NON-VOTING ALTERNATE
20		NEIL DUNN, CLERK
21		THE BOTTING SEEMING
22	ALSO PRESENT:	RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ZONING OFFICER
23	ALSO I RESERVI.	JIM BUTLER, TOWN COUNCIL LIAISON
24		JIM BOTLER, TOWN COONCIL LIAISON
25		
26	REQUEST:	VARIANCE TO ALLOW A GARAGE TO BE LOCATED WITHIN 12 FEET OF A
27	REQUEST.	SIDE PROPERTY LINE WHERE A 15 FOOT SETBACK IS REQUIRED BY
28		SECTION 2.3.1.3.3.
29		SECTION 2.3.1.3.3.
30	DDECENTATIONS Cose No. 4/19/201	12-2 was read into the record with no previous cases listed.
	PRESENTATION: Case No. 4/18/201	12-2 was read into the record with no previous cases listed.
31	NAATT NICHBAAN. Com wow state wow	
32	MATT NEUMAN: Can you state you	ir names and address, please?
33	OTTO MELED OU MAIL FOR	
34	OTTO MEIER: Otto Meier, 53 Fores	t Street.
35		
36	MARY JAEN MEIER: Mary Jane Mei	er, 53 Forest Street.
37		
38	MATT NEUMAN: Great. Thank you	for staying. If you could walk through your application for us, please.
39		
40	OTTO MEIER: Sure.	
41		
42		e here to seeka variance is requested for the following reasons; a fifteen
43	• •	We request a variance to allow a twelve (12) foot setback to be able to
44	• • • •	ide garage. Part number one, the variance will not be contrary to the public
45	interest. By adding a twenty two (2	22) garage to enable vehicles to enter straight on from the road gives better
		Day 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2

access and we will not have to cut down mature trees, thus protecting the privacy of our next door neighbor. The alternative is to remove the mature trees in order to increase the size of the pavement in front of the existing side entry garage door so that vehicles would have enough turning radius to enter the garage doors. Fact two, the spirit of the ordinance is observed. Basically, we protect the rights of others, both public and private, by keeping the existing trees, shrubs, and privacy for everyone's benefit. Improving access and reducing the impervious footprint by removing a portion of the existing asphalt pavement. Item three, substantial justice is done. By granting the variance, it would be fair and just, especially for our next door neighbor whose property faces the garage side as the landscaping, vegetative screen that separates the properties will not be disturbed. Item four, the values of surrounding properties are not diminished. The garage addition that we submit for incorporates design features that are common to other properties in our immediate area. The resulting appearance is characteristic of nearby properties and the garage addition will add to our property value. Item number five, literal enforcement of the provision of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. Part (A)(i), let's see....do I need to read the entire (A)(i) or...?

MATT NEUMAN: Yeah, if you could just go through that.

46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

585960

61 62

63

64

65

66

67 68

69 70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

8283

84

85

868788

89 90

MARY JAEN MEIER: Certainly. For purposes of this subparagraph, unnecessary hardship means that owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, item (i), no fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property. In response to (A)(i), when we purchased this house in 2002, we were shown where the property line was on a plot plan. When we hired a home designer to draw plans for a twenty two (22) foot wide garage, the distance off the existing house to the property line was measured as thirty eight (38) feet, as per our plot plan, which left us sixteen (16) feet remaining to conform with the fifteen (15) foot side setback requirement. The Town's plot plan was different from ours, so we hired a licensed land surveyor, to our dismay, we found that the front right corner of the proposed garage encroached the setback by two point one (2.1) feet. Five (5) (A), part (ii), the proposed use is a reasonable one. In response, the current footprint of our garage access is extremely troublesome to navigate. There's no way one can easily enter into the side entry garage. The situation is compounded when our second vehicle is parked outside. Entering takes several maneuvers and space is very tight. If we widen our driveway by the existing side entry garage door to allow enough turning radius, we'll gave to remove the mature trees and shrubs in order to enlarge the area enough to complete a turn into the existing garage in one try. If the existing landscape is cleared, additional asphalt pavement would be needed, resulting in an increase in the impervious footprint. Loss of the privacy between our neighbors and destruction of the natural vegetation that would grossly impact the site. That's all I have. Yes, the next item in the packet is the list of abutters and, let's see, of all the abutters listed, we went to each of our neighbors except for the last two listed, or last three listed, and spoke to each of the people, explaining to them what our plan was going to be. And in conversation with all of our neighbors, no one had a problem and we have written letters from the immediate neighbors that we have [see Exhibit "A"]. There's one, two, three, four, six (6) of them that produced letters for us since we submitted our packet. I have copies of those letters if you're interested to see them. The remaining two neighbors that we left letters with didn't return a letter to us. One person didn't return a letter and then other person wasn't home at the time, so I just left a message for them.

MATT NEUMAN: Okay, thank you.

MARY JAEN MEIER: Yup.

133 OTTO MEIER: Yeah, by putting it...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...so you wouldn't need a variance.

OTTO MEIER: Right, and my putting it in line with the existing garage too, it would make a lot more sense in terms of the functionality of both. And, you know, ten years ago when we bought the property, those trees that you're seeing the pictures of were quite a bit smaller and back then, you could easily make the turn.

They've grown dramatically, actually, and, I mean, they've encroached the driveway there by a good five (5) or more feet and there's a picture of our vehicles in one those where you can see where we've got about a foot and a half (1.5) on one side and a little over two (2) feet on the other side and it really does require quite a few

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You need to buy a smaller car. So is the plan to keep the existing garage as well?

turns to jockey it in and if the other vehicle's parked there, there's just no chance at it, so...

OTTO MEIER: Yeah, that would stay as garage space.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: How did you plan on getting into it?

OTTO MEIER: So the new garage structure, it would be designed such that there would not be any beams in the middle. I mean, we still have two (2) cars, so they would go straight in. We've got a small boat and a lawn tractor and we don't have a shed, so other things would be put in there.

MATT NEUMAN: So the existing garage really would become more storage than actual...?

OTTO MEIER: Yeah, it almost becomes a large shed that we can put our small boat and other things into.

MARY JAEN MEIER: Exactly, and the current outside wall of the garage, the existing two (2) car, two (2) opening garage, that wall would be gone. That space would be opened so that you'd have free reign to get in and turn left.

MATT NEUMAN: And it has to be twenty two (22) feet? It can't be...?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Twenty (20)?

MATT NEUMAN: Yeah.

 OTTO MEIER: Well, we considered that and we talked to a number of people and looked at garages and, I mean, we would have liked it even wider but we knew we couldn't do that, so, you know, if you start looking at twenty (20) feet or less, even if it was twenty (20) feet, it would still encroach. If it was twenty (20) feet or less, then you start getting to a point where if you pull two (2) cars in, you really can't get the doors open and if you wanna put a little bit of stuff on the side, you couldn't do that, so it reaches a point where it doesn't become very functional for us.

MATT NEUMAN: Mmm.

 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so the reason why I'm hammering on the move it forward, or, I'm sorry, move it back four (4) feet is it looks as if that would put you right inside the buffer area, the fifteen (15) foot setback area, right?

181	
182	MARY JANE MEIER: Well, I think
183	
184	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Because your lot line goes off at an angle
185	
186	OTTO MEIER: Yeah.
187	
188	MARY JAEN MEIER: It does. It opens up.
189	
190	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right. So you've got the stone wall there and a birdbath. Anything else? Is there maybe
191	a septic system back there, a well back there? Anything along those lines that's, you know, major expense
192	type of stuff?
193	OTTO MEIED. No hot losses if our set it heads the the all holds on a second the the contract of
194	OTTO MEIER: No, but I mean, if you set it back, that's all built up area as well that's, you know, the first set of
195 196	wall goes right behind that birdbath a couple feet, so that's not even necessarily solid dirt, per se. I don't if it
190 197	would even structurally handle the weight of a garage to go back there.
198	MARY JAEN MEIER: Well, and we have ait's crazy, but it's on the north side of our house, there's a glass
199	room, like a ten (10) by eight (8) glass room back there and if we were to move the rear wall of the proposed
200	garage back, you'd have no vision. You know, no visibility out that glass enclosure.
201	
202	OTTO MEIER: Yeah, you can see that little rectangle there in the corner behind the proposed garage. That's
203	the glass room.
204	
205	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I knew Neil was going there, so that's why I had to ask. Mr. Dunn always does this; "Can't
206	you move it fifteen (15) feet this way and then turn it over and move it around the corner?" But I see where
207	you're driving at there, so, thank you.
208	
209	NEIL DUNN: With three hundred and twenty four (324) acres, you mean?
210	
211	[Laughter]
212	
213	JIM SMITH: On the front wall of the existing garage, there's a couple of windows. What's the distance
214	between the window and the end of the garage going towards this addition?
215	OTTO MEIED. So the forthest to the right window?
216	OTTO MEIER: So the farthest to the right window?
217	MARY JAEN MEIER: Yeah
218 219	WART JAEN WEIER. Teall
220	JIM SMITH: Yes. As you look at it from the
221	Silvi Sivii ii. Tes. As you look at it from the
222	MARY JAEN MEIER: It's gotta be this one right here that you can't really see from the tree. I bet that distance
223	is aboutdo you think it's even four (4) feet?
224	,
225	OTTO MEIER: I was gonna say four (4) feet at the most, yeah.
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

MARY JAEN MEIER: Probably maybe...

OTTO MEIER: You can almost see the corner of it...

MARY JAEN MEIER: ...three and a half (3.5) feet.

OTTO MEIER: Well, yeah, you can see the corner if you look at the picture with the truck in it. You can see the white frame. So that's probably not even four (4) feet if you measure by the number of bricks.

JIM SMITH: Well, what I was kind of toying with the idea would be in building this addition, if you started your addition two (2) feet from...two (2) feet into the existing garage. In other words, slide the whole things two (2) feet that way. You'd have to angle the pavement slightly from that tree if you're trying to maintain that tree in the front.

MARY JAEN MEIER: Well, we did look at modifications moving toward the existing front of the garage and we start to get into...we have a dry stack stonewall that we built along there. We have plantings in front of the existing garage. And we would probably have to lose at least the left most tree along, yeah, this tall tree that...I don't know if you have the picture...We thought that it had greater impacts that, we would have to lose a tree at all, made it not something we wanted to pursue. We thought that by putting the new garage where we proposed it, we didn't have to cut anything. We might have to do some trimming of some branches on the first tree on the right but we wouldn't have to necessarily lose any of them along the driveway proper. And I did wanna just draw your attention to the fact that the offset distance of fifteen (15) feet is only met in that front right corner of the garage. The back side is clear. Okay.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I think that's why I was primarily interested to see how far back, about four (4) feet, I would suspect would be...

MARY JAEN MEIER: To fit it in there.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...sufficient to get you inside the fifteen (15) foot mark, so that you'd be outside the fifteen (15) foot mark.

MATT NEUMAN: And I don't know if it could be done. I mean, could it be built so, I mean, at an angle?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Angle, right. That was the other thought. But not with the same driveway.

OTTO MEIER: I mean, I would venture to say you'd have to set it back a lot more than four (4) feet. 'Cause it's two point one (2.1) feet in the front and only seven (7) inches in the back, so you'd almost have to move it back two thirds or three quarter of the way to get behind the fifteen (15) foot setback.

MATT NEUMAN: Mmm.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Is it that far?

 OTTO MEIER: 'Cause we only got seven (7) inches to our advantage on the back corner. MARY JAEN MEIER: Like point seven (.7) feet, right? OTTO MEIER: Point seven (.7) feet, yeah. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Oh, so you'd have to move like eight (8) feet then, right? OTTO MEIER: Yeah, potentially at the least, yeah. So even if we could move it back four (4), we'd still be encroaching a little bit. NEIL DUNN: And you said you had to letters from neighbors that were...not that we necessarily did...the person that directly abuts you in those pictures, to the right looking at the house...? OTTO MEIER: Yeah, that was the most important neighbor to us to agree and they are fine with it with the idea that by doing this, there's no jeopardy to the trees. They obviously wanna see the trees up. You can see how big they are. If we put a garage there, they would not even be able to see the garage. NEIL DUNN: Right, it wouldn't...well, that would be my biggest concern, what their opinion was. OTTO MEIER: Right. And I mean, we can produce that letter if you wish and we could give you all [indistinct]. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah, that would be good. Anything you have would help. JIM SMITH: How close would those trees then be to this existing...proposed garage? OTTO MEIER: So, if you look at the front corner where the "12.9" is, we taped it out. It's gonna be about two (2) feet away. The closest tree, the farthest one forward, the biggest branch on the bottom would be about two (2) feet away. I mean, that could be trimmed back a little bit if it needed to, but there's really no reason it has to. NEIL DUNN: I just wanted one for the record. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Just one for the record. MARY JAEN MEIER: He's asking how far to the trunk of this tree? OTTO MEIER: He's asking how far the tree is away from where the actual garage would be. MARY JAEN MEIER: Oh, at twenty two (22) feet. I see. Well, actually, there's about three (3) feet of paved width along that...oh, okay. MATT NEUMAN: Any other questions from the Board? No?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I think we need to get some better clarification on fair and just, the third one. What we're talking about is the encroachment on the setback. Alright? So that you've gone several feet inside the fifteen (15) foot setback. Everyone else in town has to have it, right? That's a requirement. And because you don't...you're trying to do something within that, that's why you're here.

OTTO MEIER: Correct.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So now, everyone else in town has that requirement. Now, what's so special, what is so just about you guys being able to have this variance and this right to go into that that no one else in town has? And by saying that it's okay by your neighbor, which what your answer says here, that's not sufficient because this variance goes forever. Alright? And your neighbor's not and you're not. So, what we'd like to be able for you to tell us is the encroachment isn't as significant because something that you're willing to do there, you're willing to leave the trees...we're looking for information to help you...

OTTO MEIER: Well, yeah, so that was the big part of it, was by being able to drive straight in, we don't have the issue with the trees anymore. The trees are getting large enough that we either have to cut them down or lop them off so badly that they're gonna look bad and potentially die and then you lose the trees, and then our neighbor would probably be a lot less happy than, you know, we'd done nothing. So that's the point we've reached and we thought this was a good solution to solve our getting in the driveway problem and maintaining the beauty of the trees and the privacy between our neighbors. I mean, there's really no major justification beyond that, to be quite honest. That's the main purpose and seemed like the best thing to do with our neighbors.

MARY JAEN MEIER: Well, and I also think we thought that the functionality of having the garage and having a, you know, a pickup truck that really isn't...it's not even an over length pickup truck or whatever, but to be able to seesaw to get in a side entry garage, you know, as the trees get bigger, that's only gonna get much more difficult. So, you know, part of it was, well, if we totally make this easier to access, we're gonna lose those trees and we don't wanna upset the...well, I guess it's the look of the lot, the way that the trees have always...I mean, this house was built in 1996 and the landscaping is beautiful and we just feel that that's a complete substantial impact to the look of our property and how we enjoy our property. And how our neighbors enjoy our property.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I'm good with that.

MATT NEUMAN: Okay. Any other comments from the Board before we open up to the public? No? Alright, is there anyone who would like to come forward in support of the applicants? Come on down. If you could state your name and address.

SALLY SMITH- KRUKONIS: I'm Sally Smith-Krukonis, 51 Forest Street. I support their project. I have no problem with it whatsoever.

MATT NEUMAN: Okay, thank you. Anyone else who would like to come forward in support? Okay. Anyone in opposition who would like to be heard? Seeing none, any last questions from the Board before we pull it back for deliberation?

JIM SMITH: Well, I understand people like landscaping and so forth and trees and trees tend to get bigger and bigger over time. They also present a certain hazard to buildings. And I have a hard time giving a variance to...for this type of purpose just to preserve trees and you admitted that one of them is gonna be within a very...almost touching the building where it is at the present. I think you're creating a hazard to this building by doing it. And I think you need to take a step back and look at the trees and where they're located and whether or not the hazard they present outweighs the landscaping approach or...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Appeal.

 JIM SMITH: ...beauty that they give. And there's been more than one location in town where people, in trying to preserve their property, have removed trees. I think the idea that I suggested of pushing that garage two (2) feet into the existing garage is a viable way of getting what you want. You would have to, maybe, possibly do something to that tree in the front. You could certainly angle the pavement to allow access to a garage door on that side. So I think there are other ways that you could accomplish what you're trying to do.

MATT NEUMAN: Okay. Any other questions or anything to the applicants before...?

JAY HOOLEY: Just to follow up on that, to clarify, that was your intent, to try and save this small cone shaped tree on the left side of the driveway, looking at the first photograph?

MARY JAEN MEIER: Not only that tree but the dry stack stonewall retains soil...

JAY HOOLEY: Yeah.

MARY JAEN MEIER: ...that has plantings in it immediately from the garage, the existing garage.

JAY HOOLEY: And how deep is that? How tall is that wall?

OTTO MEIER: A foot and a half (1.5).

MARY JAEN MEIER: A foot and a half (1.5), two (2) feet.

JAY HOOLEY: So you would lose a small section of that if you had to use a portion of the existing garage. In other words, the left bay where...over near where this window is?

OTTO MEIER: That's correct.

JAY HOOLEY: Okay.

MARY JAEN MEIER: We might have to consult with our builder, too, to see what kind of difference there is with regard to chomping into that existing foundation to the existing garage to make that opening, 'cause now you're proposing to have the opening to our new garage bay in an area where there is the solid foundation footing of the existing garage.

OTTO MEIER: The one other comment I would make, too, and it may be hard to see in the pictures, but, you know, we were talking about the tree that Mr. Smith was mentioning and the one that you see in the front, looking down the driveway, that sticks in more than the rest of them. That's the one that would probably be within two (2) or three (3) feet of the corner of the property. The rest of them that follow that hedge sort of follow the contour of the existing driveway and they're set back a little bit more, so those trees would probably be more like, you know, four (4) or five (5) feet away. So if it were just a matter of the one tree moves forward, encroaching...I mean, certainly, the lower branches, we'd cut back a little bit to stop that without ruining the overall look of the tree or the hedge or the value of the property. Keep that from being an issue.

MARY JAEN MEIER: May I ask, do you have the original copies of the...the original photographs? Any of the color photographs? Okay. 'Cause I think the point that Otto is making is it is impossible to tell with the photocopies of the pictures that those four (4) other spruce trees all follow that same bearing of the property line. So they do, you know, slope away. And of all the trees on our property that have lost limbs in snowstorms or ice storms, our spruces have never dropped a single branch. They're like our rocks, so...

MATT NEUMAN: Alright, any other questions? Alright, we'll pull back for deliberation.

DELIBERATIONS:

MATT NEUMAN: Alright, thoughts? Comments?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You keep looking at me.

MATT NEUMAN: No, I'm just...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Oh yeah.

MATT NEUMAN: I was looking over that way. I was looking at Neil as well.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Oh, Neil. Okay, I blame Neil.

NEIL DUNN: Why me?

JAY HOOLEY: My only thought is, could it be done without the variance if that were a viable option to move it a little bit to the left and for lack of a better term, lop into the existing garage with the left bay? If that were structurally possible and that were an alternative, then I think, obviously, then they would have the option to do this without the variance and do it within...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: They may have roof line issues and things like that. I mean, you'd have to be a real...

MATT NEUMAN: Yeah. [Indistinct].

NEIL DUNN: [Indistinct] the angle the driveway repaved.

49 50	JIM SMITH: No, because you're bearing walls are your front and rear walls.
51 52	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah, you're cutting into the front wall, aren't you?
53 54	JIM SMITH: Yeah. All you'd have to do is put a header in. It's not athat wouldn't be a difficult type of structural change.
55 56 57	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Modification. No?
58 59 60	JAY HOOLEY: I mean, you're gonna have a roof line issue, anyway. You're extending that existingfrom my understanding.
61 62 63	JIM SMITH: YeahI would imagine they were gonna follow that same roof line, so they'd simply extend that roof out. You know, front and rear walls would be extended at the same plane.
64 65	JAY HOOLEY: Right.
66 67 68	JIM SMITH: As far as the opening goes, it's pretty easy to cut that concrete with a concrete saws and so forth, so it'sI wouldn't say it was very difficult to accomplish that.
69 70	NEIL DUNN: What's your thought of them having to skew the driveway?
71 72 73	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That was the whole idea, they didn't want to have to doyou don't wanna have to do that when you have to cut down trees, soBut that's
74 75 76 77 78	NEIL DUNN: I mean, the only reason I bring it up, Jim, I know that's not as big as, you know, some outstanding unique feature of why it's there, but often that comes up, isI'm not saying that I agree with it, I mean, you know, you want to put on an addition, you're probably gonna lose part of your driveway. But I'm justI've heard that brought up before by other Board members and so I'm just getting a position on that, what you think of
80	JIM SMITH: Well, what are you saying?
82 83 84 85	NEIL DUNN: The way that they would have to skew the driveway. This driveway's going in straight now, existing, it's, you know, in order to make it go two (2) more feet over to the left, you would be looking to actually repave more or skew it over more?
86 87 88	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah, well, you'd be taking out that little wall in the front there. No doubt about that. Right?
89	NEIL DUNN: Mm-hmm.
91	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So we'd have the wall, the drain

JAY HOOLEY: In the...what is it, the fifth photo, it does show that the driveway does start to expand towards the left to meet the corner. I mean, you'd simply be extending that slightly more. I mean, it appears... JIM SMITH: I think if you did what I suggest, you'd actually have a net reduction in pavement and roof. NEIL DUNN: And you wouldn't be in the setback. JAY HOOLEY: Right. JIM SMITH: In other words, as you move that roof over, you'd cut off that pavement on the right side to line up with it, which is [indistinct] further to the right and by coming back, you're reducing two (2) feet of roof. So it'd actually have less impervious surface that way than what you have at the moment. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, now, I guess one of the things we need to consider on this is gonna be what's the expense gonna be for something like that as opposed to, which all of a sudden turns into "Uh-oh," you know, this is a garage that's gonna be added on versus a garage that's gonna be integrated into the house. JIM SMITH: Well, this is already a garage on that end. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, it's part of the house. JIM SMITH: Yeah. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I mean it's the same front wall. JIM SMITH: Right. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So I don't understand. What I'm driving at is... JIM SMITH: Well, in other words, they'd just be extending that garage out twenty (20) feet versus twenty two (22) feet. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I see what you're getting at. That keeps it inside the... JAY HOOLEY: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...the permitted area. JIM SMITH: Right. Right. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And therefore they don't need a variance. JIM SMITH: Correct. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So did we ask if that had been considered?

JIM SMITH: I brought it up. They're opposition was the fact that they would lose the... MATT NEUMAN: Some landscaping... JIM SMITH: ...the landscaping and picture four shows that raised bed on the front of the building. That would have to be brought back a couple feet. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Again, several feet. Yeah. And the walkway and so forth... JIM SMITH: Yeah. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...but still... JIM SMITH: The walkway's gonna end up into the pavement no matter which...wherever it ends up. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's why you asked about that window, right? 'Cause there's a window right there. JIM SMITH: Yeah, that's where I asked. I asked the distance between that window and the end. They were saying four (4) to...four (4) feet. So now instead of being four (4) feet from the edge, the side of the window would be about two (2) feet from the edge. Or the...no, it wouldn't even have to be two (2) feet. It could be greater, depending upon how those doors are lined up on that side. Because if it's a twenty two (22) foot long garage, usually you have a foot or two between the end of the garage and the actual door opening. The overhead door. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You have pictures in...the one with the vehicle that shows the garage doors, so... MATT NEUMAN: Yeah. JIM SMITH: So I would suggest that probably the garage door opening would be right where the end of this building is right now. 'Cause I'm presuming they're gonna have at least one (1) large door or two (2) doors. MATT NEUMAN: Right, but I mean, it sounds like we're all making the assumption that, I mean, that it's not gonna cost... JIM SMITH: Well, I'm just saying, in my mind, I think it's feasible to build it that way. I'm not saying it's the best way, I'm just saying... MATT NEUMAN: Right. JIM SMITH: ...it's a feasible alternative.

JIM SMITH: What?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It also wouldn't look like it was an add-on.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It also would make it look...would not let it...it would be less of a look of an add-on. Like you just threw on a garage on the end of the house. Because it's be integrated into that part of the house. Yes, I know it's a garage that we're adding onto a garage. JIM SMITH: Well, I, and again, I'm not privy to how they're designing it, but I would presume they would continue that brick fascia. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Sure. Okay. Yeah, understood. JIM SMITH: They don't necessarily have to, but I would presume that's... MATT NEUMAN: Yeah. JIM SMITH: ...if they're trying to maintain a look of the house. MATT NEUMAN: Any other comments from anyone or should we just go through the...? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, that's why I always... OTTO MEIER: Are we allowed to make a point? That maybe wasn't clear... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No. MATT NEUMAN: No, no. Unfortunately, we have pulled it back and we're deliberating. NEIL DUNN: Why don't we step through the points please? MATT NEUMAN: Let's go. Number one, contrary to the public interest. Anyone have an issue? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The only issue is gonna be ours with the obvious, as we represent the public here, and is this three (3) foot intrusion on one corner of the building going to be enough of a hindrance for us to stop or think about how our Master Plan's gonna be... JAY HOOLEY: Jeopardized? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...jeopardized because of the... JAY HOOLEY: No. MATT NEUMAN: Right. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so I don't believe that there's an issue...the only issue that I...if you don't mind, since I have the microphone or the floor, is that I had some questions about substantial justice because that

was the only that I thought they were very light on. The rest of them I thought they had met. And their responses were sufficient for me on substantial justice, so I thought they met them all. MATT NEUMAN: Does anyone have any issues with any of the five points that we need to discuss? NEIL DUNN: The special conditions; you were satisfied that there's a slope in the back and that couldn't dealt with and the green thing [indistinct]... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And the other thing was the trees. They're trying to do the best...well, I guess this is the opinion of the people who would prefer to have a tree than not. For the purposes of the attraction and the benefit of your neighbors. Honestly, that's... MATT NEUMAN: And that's a big issue for me as well. I mean, between the, you know, having that between the neighbors and... NEIL DUNN: So if the trees weren't there, then you would say no, but because they are, you may say yes? That's what I'm trying to decide... MATT NEUMAN: It helps. It helps. NEIL DUNN: ...on the conditions...conditions of the property. MATT NEUMAN: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And that's mostly between the slope, the way they've done their raised beds on the side, raised bed in the rear, and the issue that they have those good looking trees on the side of there to protect the intrusion on their neighbors on one corner of the addition. Yes, that's sufficient as far as I'm concerned. It meets the spirit of everything, so... MATT NEUMAN: Jim? You have a thought brewing, I can see it. JIM SMITH: Which Jim are you talking to? MATT NEUMAN: Oh, I'm talking to you.

[Laughter]

627

628 629

630 631

632 633

634

635

636

637 638

639

640 641 642

643

644

645 646

647 648

649 650

651

652

653 654

655

656 657

658 659

660 661

662 663

664 665

666 667

668

669

670

671

JIM SMITH: Oh boy, we're gonna have fun with that, I think, in the future.

MATT NEUMAN: Yeah. I mean, obviously you pointed out that you think that there's options.

JIM SMITH: I think there's an option that the garage could be constructed, maintaining most of the current looks of the building and not have to encroach into the side setback. I think it's a feasible alternative. The bearing walls on that particular building with the type of roof are the front and back walls. If they're going to be putting a garage addition on with two (2) garage door openings on that side of the wall, they're gonna have

to put headers in there to carry that roof load. So whether it's located here or here, I don't think that changes the cost of the construction that much. Maintaining the look of it, I would presume they're gonna have the same type of a fascia on it, so...and I think part...you know, the more I think about it, the distance between that first window and the end of the building probably would stay the same, because that's about where the opening would have to start for the garage door opening on that side of the building anyway. So I don't you're changing the looks of the front of the building that much. You would have to slightly angle the pavement there to provide an entrance into that garage door. In fact, I wanna go back to those pictures. NEIL DUNN: Well, not if you're saying that's where the opening is. JIM SMITH: I'm not sure if I like this new setup. MATT NEUMAN: You'll get used to it. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It takes a little more clicking, but you know what? It's quick. MATT NEUMAN: And you're satisfied that the...because it will probably result in additional cost, but that's reasonable. JIM SMITH: Well, I mean, with...probably the only additional cost would be to cut the...I don't think you even have to cut the thing. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The roofline, you mean? JIM SMITH: No, the... JIM BUTLER: Oh, the bearing walls? JIM SMITH: The foundation. JIM BUTLER: Oh.

JIM SMITH: Because if that foundation wall is, in fact, turns out to be the corner on the side of the...

NEIL DUNN: Opening.

JIM SMITH: ...garage door opening...

NEIL DUNN: You just eliminated two (2) feet of length at the twenty two (22) that's incorporated into that...

JIM SMITH: Right.

NEIL DUNN: ...space you're speaking of.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I see what you're talking about now.

JIM SMITH: Yeah. So I think it's a reasonable approach. The only problem you have is that one big...that cone shaped tree in the front, which is on the left side of the driveway as you come in. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So there's a swerve in the driveway that we're making then. JIM SMITH: Yeah. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right? Where you'd have to move around or drive around the tree? NEIL DUNN: No really, because if you look at that fourth picture... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: With the car? NEIL DUNN: With the truck. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: With the truck, yeah. Wait a second. JAY HOOLEY: You could swing around it. NEIL DUNN: No, it looks like you'd almost pull straight in because now, the opening, you've just taken two (2) feet off the length by...because they opening's gonna be the same opening. That's interesting to...I guess since we don't have any other prints to go by. JIM SMITH: Anyways. JAY HOOLEY: Can the property be used in strict conformance with the ordinance? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's what the question is. JAY HOOLEY: Yeah, it is now. JIM SMITH: Yeah. Yeah. JAY HOOLEY: I mean, there's a preference for a different style of garage but the existing garage...it is in strict conformance and I don't think a side entry garage makes this house unique in that neighborhood. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Oh, I see for the hardship requirement, you mean? JAY HOOLEY: Yeah, the hardship requirement. MATT NEUMAN: Yeah. JIM SMITH: When you look at that one picture...I don't know how these pictures go. The second

picture...second or third picture down, it shows how dramatically the trees have encroached over the...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Pavement.

JIM SMITH: ...the existing pavement. Which is what they're suggesting is causing their hardship to...and I would suggest even when they...if they were to build a twenty two (22) foot, they're gonna have to do something to some of those trees somehow to allow that to fit in there.

MATT NEUMAN: Alright. Any other big discussion here or are we ready for a motion?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You just went through the five points, right? So...how many of us have a problem with the first one?

MATT NEUMAN: Anyone?

NEIL DUNN: I don't with the first one, no.

MATT NEUMAN: No. Spirit of the ordinance?

NEIL DUNN: Well, any...I have trouble with the spirit only because the...I don't really see any special conditions of the property that...so, I don't think a tree...trees come and go, they fall down, you know, the property hills and terrain and other physical, geographical, geological restrictions or uniqueness or lot shape, that's what makes a special condition of the property.

MATT NEUMAN: Mm-hmm.

NEIL DUNN: You know, they could build it and then cut the tree down tomorrow. And they might have to. It could get diseased with a beetle, you know, so I don't look at trees as special conditions of the property and I don't see anything unique, so then when I see that, then I say the spirit of the ordinance is in question. You know, trying to justify too, if I don't agree with five, then I'm saying I have trouble with two, I guess that's how I rationalize it. I don't know if everybody else sees it that way.

JIM SMITH: I tend to agree with you on that point. The trees in themselves, I don't think make this a unique piece of property.

MATT NEUMAN: Right.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Aren't sufficient, you mean. Yeah.

MATT NEUMAN: Mmm. That's a valid point.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's why I asked about the slope, that's why I asked about the hill in the back, why I asked about the raised wall. So I have a...I just think that's sufficient.

JIM SMITH: I mean, just like Neil said, I think, earlier, almost every construction project, you end up cutting trees down. That's just part of the normal course of events. But I think with minimal trimming of the tree in

the front there, I think it's a feasible way of building that without a variance. And they could still preserve most of threes on the neighbor's side of the property. MATT NEUMAN: Okay. I don't think diminishment of the value of surrounding properties, I don't think that's an issue. JAY HOOLEY: No... [Overlapping comments in agreement] JIM SMITH: ...an issue. MATT NEUMAN: So then really, it's the hardship and... JAY HOOLEY: And I think that's, for me, not established because it is being used in strict conformance right now. There's just a preference for front entry versus a side entry in order to save the trees. And there's also, possibly, other alternatives. Making minor adjustments. It may or may not be viable, but I don't think we've heard anything that disproves it. MATT NEUMAN: Mmm. Alright... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Don't you wanna hear from Jim II? JAMES TOTTEN: Am I Jim II or Jim III? Jim IV? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, we call Jim Jay and we call James Jim, so you'd be... JAMES TOTTEN: I'll be James. MATT NEUMAN: James, do you have anything you'd like to add? JAMES TOTTEN: Nothing that hasn't already been said. MATT NEUMAN: Okay. Is anyone ready to make a motion? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah, but you don't...I can see the flavor of the Board isn't like the motion that I wanna make, though, so... MATT NEUMAN: I was looking at Neil. NEIL DUNN: Well, he can make it, we can all... JAY HOOLEY: Right. MATT NEUMAN: Well...

JAY HOOLEY: Second.

MATT NEUMAN: We have a second. All those in favor of denying...?

JIM SMITH: Aye.

JAY HOOLEY: Aye.

NEIL DUNN: Aye.

MATT NEUMAN: [indistinct] say 'aye,' Aye. Those opposed?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Aye.

MATT NEUMAN: Okay.

RESULT:

THE MOTION TO DENY CASE NO. 4/18/2012-2 WAS APPROVED, 4-1-0.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

NEIL DUNN, CLERK

TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY JAYE A TROTTIER, SECRETARY

APPROVED MAY 16, 2011 WITH A MOTION MADE BY LARRY O'SULLIVAN, SECONDED BY JAY HOOLEY AND APPROVED 4-0-0.