1 ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 2 268B MAMMOTH ROAD 3 LONDONDERRY, NH 03053 4 5 DATE: JANUARY 16, 2013 6 7 CASE NO .: 1/16/2013-2 8 9 APPLICANT: JAY L. BARRETT 10 107 WILEY HILL RD 11 LONDONDERRY, NH 03053 12 13 LOCATION: 107 WILEY HILL ROAD; 5-58-1; AR-I 14 15 **BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** JIM SMITH, ACTING CHAIR 16 LARRY O'SULLIVAN, VOTING MEMBER 17 JAY HOOLEY, VOTING MEMBER 18 JAMES TOTTEN, VOTING ALTERNATE 19 **NEIL DUNN, CLERK** 20

21 **REQUEST:**

VARIANCE TO ALLOW THE KEEPING OF LIVESTOCK ON A PROPERTY WITH LESS THAN TWO ACRES AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 2.3.1.4, AND TO ALLOW A BUILDING AND ENCLOSURE LESS THAN 25 FEET FROM A

PROPERTY LINE.

25 26

22

23

24

27

28

PRESENTATION: Case No. 1/16/2013-2 was read into the record with one previous case listed. Clerk N. Dunn also read Exhibit "A" into the record; a letter in opposition from an abutter.

JAMES SMITH: Who would be presenting?

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

JILLIAN BARRETT: My name is Jillian Barrett, I live at the house at 107 Wiley Hill Road. Patricia Morris was unable to come tonight, so I am presenting this. As previously said, we're looking for a variance to...for the keeping of one horse on the property with less than two acres and for the location of an enclosure within 25 feet of the property line. Like previously stated, it's not the building of the enclosure. It's already there. It was previously built for other reasons. The variance will not be contrary to the public interest. Granting of this variance would not have an adverse effect to the safety, well-being, or health to the town and its residents. Strict adherence to the BMP NH RSA 431, Best Management Practices, for manure handling for one horse. The spirit of the ordinance is observed. The health, welfare, and safety of the town's residents would not be injured. Adherence to BMP would be observed. There are numerous stables in the neighborhood that house a number of horses on their property. I am seeking the variance to house one horse on 1.6 acres of land. And also we abut 35 acres of conservation land. Substantial justice is done. One horse for personal use with appropriate fencing and shelter, following BMP is substantial justice for all parties, the town and the family. The horse is used in the therapeutic riding for my brother, Jacob, who has cerebral palsy. Relocating the horse would require travel for the son, boarding costs, roughly \$600, give or take, a month, and undue hardship for the family. The value of surrounding properties are not diminished. The keeping of one horse on

1.6 acres would in no way diminish the value of surroundings properties. The use of the family property is not a prohibited use, nor does it alter the essential character of the neighborhood. And then five, literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship; (A.i), the variance would injure the public or private rights of others. The character of the neighborhood would not be diminished. One horse on property at this location based upon abutting conservation land and not in a commercial is not the intent of the ordinance. The proposed use is a reasonable one. The zoning restriction as applied to the property interferes with the reasonable use of the property considering the unique setting of the property abutting conservation land. The property is unique in that it abuts approximately 35 acres of conservation land. The variance we are seeking does not alter the character of the neighborhood. It would be in the hope that the Town of Londonderry can grant this variance so that agriculture is not hindered.

JAMES SMITH: Okay. Questions from the Board?

 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: How do you respond to the letter [see Exhibit "A"]?

JAY BARRETT: I'm Jay Barrett of 107 Wiley Hill Road. I had talked to Richard and all the neighbors and they stated there was no problem. And then I saw Richard...I mean Brandon going down the road, and he's like... Mr...Richard Campbell? Is that correct? The building/zoning enforcement guy right here, stopped by his house and hand delivered a letter to him. And he's like, "Yeah, he's asking me if I have a permit or my building out back," and he's like he was kind of intimidating him. So after that, I guess he didn't, you know, this letter comes about, but before that, he had no problem. You actually hand delivered the letter which was supposed to be delivered by certified mail. So I was kind of confused why that happened.

JILLIAN BARRETT: We were surprised by the letter 'cause, like he said previously, we had asked all the neighbors and we have yet to have a problem with them.

JAY BARRETT: Yeah. That really shocked me that he hand delivered the letter when I thought it was supposed to be certified delivered. So I'm kind of really confused why he did that.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I don't see what that has to do with anything here. What our concern is...

JAY BARRETT: Well he was fine before Richard went and talked to him, so...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Our concern is that everybody gets informed of a request for a variance [indistinct] abutter.

JAY BARRETT: I didn't think it was his job to go interview the neighbors.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: How we do it is...

·

JAY BARRETT: Okay.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...not the point of the [indistinct].

JAY BARRETT: Well, again, I'm shocked by the letter 'cause before he spoke with Richard, everything was fine.

NEIL DUNN: You talked to abutting 35 acres of the conservation land. It looks like you have a very small

abutment. Do you know how long that piece is that does abut to you?

JILLIAN BARRETT: I don't have the exact length of that or measurement of that section. I believe you guys also have the...it looks like a GIS map. Yeah, it just looks like a little corner, but I mean, it actually seems to be a lot bigger once you're there and you can see the area that goes out in the back end of our yard. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So do we have a nonconforming use on this land right now, Richard? RICHARD CANUEL: Such as ...? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: A building too close to the property line. RICHARD CANUEL: Well, that's... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: A structure. Is it there now? RICHARD CANUEL: The structure that's close to the property line that is housing animals becomes an issue. Although it meets the setback requirements for structures, it doesn't meet the required setback of 25 feet for structures that house livestock. JILLIAN BARRETT: When this first came about, in the...I think that's the blue shed that's in question right now. That's no longer housing any animals. The only structure that houses animals is the stall that was built for her. There was chickens in the shed, but no longer. JAY BARRETT: Actually, where she is is about 50 feet off the road. JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah, the shed that had animals no longer has them. They stopped laying eggs. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So is the shed still there? JILLIAN BARRETT: The shed is there, yes. JAY BARRETT: It just has hay in it. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Where does the horse stay now? JILLIAN BARRETT: I think it's about 50 feet in now. It was built definitely more than 25 feet away from the property line. It's at the end of our...the inward side of our driveway. I don't have a picture of that, but it's right at the base of our driveway. Not the part that's right next to the road. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: There's two driveways, right? On this property? NEIL DUNN: No, that's a road and a driveway. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are there two driveways?

JILLIAN BARRETT: No, there's actually just one, but one of the ends of the driveway is maybe five feet off the road, so it seems to be like there's two. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: There are cars parked on both, that I've seen, on both ends of the building. JILLIAN BARRETT: Oh, in front of the garage. Yes. That's where the garage is. It's not exactly in the driveway. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so as I look at the house, there's a driveway to the right... JILLIAN BARRETT: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And there's... JILLIAN BARRETT: A dirt thing. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah. JILLIAN BARRETT: The dirt thing, at the ... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's where cars are parked, though. JILLIAN BARRETT: I'm having trouble picturing what you're...what you're seeing. JAY BARRETT: In the GIS. The house was built in 1962 and there was a garage that was presently there. When Berlang was built, I sold...unfortunately I sold the land. I wish I didn't, obviously, now. But there's a driveway on Berlang that was put in. JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah, the structure is on the side of the house where Berlang is. JAY BARRETT: Yes. JILLIAN BARRETT: At that base, over by the ramp, at the side end of the house. JAY HOOLEY: What is the total acreage? JILLIAN BARRETT: One point six (1.6). JAY BARRETT: And across the street, there's land that was Charlie George and Dorothy George. That's all conservation land, too. So... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Do you get to use any of that, though, for the horse? Can you? JAY BARRETT: Well, it's owned by the Town. I pay taxes on it. Can I ride on it? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I don't know.

JAY BARRETT: Most of the faces I see in Londonderry are pretty happy to see a horse going down the road, you know? It keeps with the country setting. I remember that road when it was a dirt road. When Freddy Pico and my uncle, you know, it's just you'd have to pull over to one side, you know? There was probably three houses on that whole street. I mean, I've been going out there since I was like three years old, so, I should be an elder by now of the...Londonderry.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: We have openings on this Board.

JAY BARRETT: Yeah, I wanted to sit in and vote on this one...

JILLIAN BARRETT: I don't think you can vote on your own.

JAY BARRETT: [indistinct] work though, would it? Sign me in. Let's get this over with.

JAMES SMITH: Okay, any other questions? Comments?

JAMES TOTTEN: I apologize, but I'm still not clear where the horse is residing.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: We don't have a picture of it and they didn't give us any, supply with a drawing or a picture of [indistinct], so...

JAMES TOTTEN: But the...well, so we're just looking at an older...the GIS photo?

JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah, that picture is...I believe is really old. We don't have a pool there anymore, where the picture that's on the Google satellites. There's the house and now there's Berlang Road and then there's our driveway that attaches to Berlang. It's on the driveway that is closest to the back side of the house.

JAMES TOTTEN: So it's towards the back of the lot, further down Berlang Road. Further away from Wiley Hill. JILLIAN BARRETT: No, it's...I'm sorry. I'm having trouble. It's... JAY BARRETT: Between the house and Berlang. JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah. JAY BARRETT: Almost to the middle. The...where the horse...it's a run-in stall that she goes in. JILLIAN BARRETT: It's closer to the back of Berlang, versus our...Wiley Hill. JAMES TOTTEN: And it's within 25 feet of Berlang. JAY BARRETT: Absolutely. It's about....it's about 50. JILLIAN BARRETT: It's 50n feet away from the road, roughly. JAY BARRETT: The hay is kept in the other shed. JILLIAN BARRETT: There's no more animals in the shed that was too close to the road. JAMES SMITH: Okay. JAY HOOLEY: If I could just ask you to clarify the...on the hardship portion of this, the uniqueness of this property distinguishing it from other properties in the area. JAY BARRETT: I feel the abutting of the conservation land. JAY HOOLEY: How many of your neighbors abut conservation land? JAY BARRETT: Sorry? JAY HOOLEY: How many of your neighbors abut conservation land? JAY BARRETT: I'm not sure. JILLIAN BARRETT: Everyone... JAY BARRETT: Everyone on Berlang, I would say. JILLIAN BARRETT: Three, five, seven, and twelve on Berlang. And 105. JAY HOOLEY: So, in what manner would that distinguish your home from the others in the area?

JAY BARRETT: I just think it's a plus because I'm short the acreage. I have conservation land. It's not like I'm in the middle of a condo development, you know, in...you know, I have, you know, it's more country setting to me and again, you know, I'm not in a condo development. I don't see where the problem is here.

JILLIAN BARRETT: The smell.

JAY BARRETT: I was kind of shocked when I got the letter, to be honest with you because of that fact.

JAMES SMITH: What were you doing with the manure this past summer?

JILLIAN BARRETT: This past summer...

JAY BARRETT: We were spreading it.

JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah. For the backyard.

JAY BARRETT: She goes to UNH, so she does, you know, she studies there, so she knows how to handle the manure if we needed to take care of that. If it's a problem. I don't want my neighbors to be put out from the smell. You know, I didn't...this is the first I heard of it, actually.

JILLIAN BARRETT: We didn't know that was...

JAMES SMITH: What kind of an enclosure do you have for the horse?

JILLIAN BARRETT: For the horse? She's in a...well, technically, it's four sided, but 'cause the side that she goes in and out, it's almost like a door that she has. She can go in and out, but we can put a door in, so it's a four sided run-in stall. So it meets the housing requirement for horse but it's made out of steel siding.

JAMES SMITH: Okay, I think what I was trying to get at was do you have any kind of a pasture-type area?

JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes.

JAY BARRETT: Yes.

JILLIAN BARRETT: She gets the whole...she's in a three post wood paddock, which is her usual dwelling area. And then, like in the letter, said we do have an un-electrified electric fence basically framing the whole rest of our yard for her. So she has grass up to her knees in the summer and...I think we got her late in the summer, so we weren't really apt to find ways to remove the manure. But it definitely can be done.

JAMES SMITH: Okay, I think what I'm trying to get is, do you have an electric fence around the entire perimeter of your property?

JILLIAN BARRETT: The bottom half of the yard where it goes...the side of the yard that basically goes along Berlang and then up around the hind end of our property and up next to 105 Wiley Hill and then to our house.

JAY BARRETT: She has a wooden fence that she has an area that she stays in 90 percent of the time, but we have a paddock out back that we just have to hook up the...it's been all...the posts have been set and it's big pasture for her to run around and eat the grass out there. So, you know, she is in a safe enclosure. She was in the outer one and she got out of it once. Once or twice. Twice, I'm sorry. That was right.

JILLIAN BARRETT: Both garage doors ended up being opened and she was clever enough to walk through. Ninety percent of the time, standard breds, which is what she is, if they have grass, they're pretty much not apt to go anywhere.

JAMES SMITH: Neil?

NEIL DUNN: This variance request, it's also talking to allow the building enclosure less than 25 feet from a property line.

JAY BARRETT: Yes.

NEIL DUNN: Which you're saying you're not using now for livestock.

JILLIAN BARRETT: Right. It's...

NEIL DUNN: But if we approve this, then you could use that building for livestock. You're still maintaining...

JAY BARRETT: We don't need to. The hay is in that one. The livestock isn't in it. It's...

NEIL DUNN: Is that correct, Richard? So if they don't have livestock, then they're setback is fine, but if they do, then the setback is not correct.

RICHARD CANUEL: The 25 foot setback would apply.

NEIL DUNN: But that is part of this variance, is what I'm pointing out.

JAY BARRETT: I don't...he put it in there and I was like, "what's that all about?" And I guess he didn't realize...

JILLIAN BARRETT: At the time, we did have animals.

JAY BARRETT: ...that the chickens were gone. You know?

JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes.

JAY BARRETT: So...

JILLIAN BARRETT: At the time when this was written, Patricia...when it was being all written up and whatnot, there were chickens in there and the chickens just weren't really...

```
401
      JAY BARRETT: Producing.
402
403
404
      JAMES SMITH: Okay. I think what I'm getting...you're not using the building to house animals...
405
      JILLIAN BARRETT: And we don't plan to.
406
407
408
      JAMES SMITH: And you don't plan to.
409
      JILLIAN BARRETT: Correct.
410
411
      JAMES SMITH: Do you wanna withdraw that portion of the...
412
413
414
      JAY BARRETT: Yes.
415
416
      JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes.
417
      JAMES SMITH: ... of the variance?
418
419
      JAY BARRETT: absolutely
420
421
422
      JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes. Completely.
423
      NEIL DUNN: And can we just scratch that by virtue of the record here tonight, Richard?
424
425
      RICHARD CANUEL: Well, you can make that as part of the condition if you choose to grant the variance, is that
426
      that structure will not be used to house livestock.
427
428
429
      JAMES SMITH: Yeah.
430
      LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Covers it.
431
432
      NEIL DUNN: Oh, okay. I just...
433
434
435
      RICHARD CANUEL: Basically.
436
      NEIL DUNN: ...because it is a two part thing, again, though.
437
438
439
      JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah. That was the mistake at the time when this was all...
440
      JAMES SMITH: Okay, we're just trying to...
441
442
443
      JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah.
444
      JAMES SMITH: ...clarify everything and try to make...
445
```

Page 10 of 27

| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So Miss Barrett, you mentioned that there were several of your neighbors who have horses. |
|--|
| JILLIAN BARRETT: Well, not our neighbors. In the neighborhood. JustPillsbury, there'sor actually on High Range, there' a family that has a lot ofor three horses, which is right at the end of Wiley Hill, that section of High Range. They own some. There's, I believe it's called Beede Farm on Pillsbury, but none of our actual abutting neighbors, no. |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You understand why this is unique for us? |
| JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes, because we do have |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Because you have less than what we require |
| JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes. |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: In the way of four tenths of an acre less. |
| JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes. |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And have you tried to address that one at all? |
| JAY BARRETT: Again, I think I'm in the right setting because of the conservation and just the neighborhood too. I mean, it's not in the middle of a conyou know, I don't know why it's soyou knowthat requirement. |
| JILLIAN BARRETT: I think he's looking to kind of almost not necessarily, but kind of tap into the conservation land. |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: We've had people that have tried to do that, or had requested to do that with high tension towers and the land that's under high tension towers and because that isn't something that you own, we can't give you the right to go on it. |
| JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah. |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Or to use it. |
| JAY BARRETT: So I can't go on the conservation land behind my house? |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Not from the Board you won't. You won't get that approval. |
| JAY BARRETT: So when we |
| LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You can get that from the Conservation Commission. |
| |

| 191 192 | JAY BARRETT: So I helped pay for it, I can't go on it? |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 193 194 | LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You can get that from the Conservation Commission. |
| 195 196 | JAY BARRETT: So I have to actually |
| 197 198 | LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Request it. |
| 199 500 | JAY BARRETT: Are you serious? |
| 501 502 | JILLIAN BARRETT: And then |
| 503 504 | LARRY O'SULLIVAN: As far as I know. |
| 505 506 | JAY BARRETT: Well |
| 507 508 | JILLIAN BARRETT: Also, because the horse |
| 509 510 | LARRY O'SULLIVAN: [Indistinct]? |
| 511 512 513 | JAY BARRETT: [Indistinct] getting pretty complicated, isn't it? We used to, when we were kids, we used to be able to go do things and, you know, travel on the power lines and stuff like that. Now you can't do much. |
| 514 515 516 517 518 | JILLIAN BARRETT: And then also, because the horse is for therapeutic reasons, which was moving her away from the property would make it more difficult out of'cause one kid in high school, one kid in college, single dad working, it'sthere's not much of a convenience factor with being able to bring my son to a place to get therapeutic riding for cerebral palsy. That's a tremendous cost onjust for the lessons in general if we were to have to domove her. |
| 520 521 | JAMES SMITH: Okay, the personwhose the parent of the handicapped child? Okay. |
| 522 523 | JILLIAN BARRETT: He's my brother. |
| 524 525 526 | JAMES SMITH: Okay, I justit's getting a little confusing here. I think what we're trying to point out is even though there's conservation land in the neighborhood, it's not your property per se. |
| 527 528 | JILLIAN BARRETT: It's not ours and we are under the two acres. |
| 529 530 | JAMES SMITH: So it can't count towards your |
| 531 532 | JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes. |
| 533 534 | JAMES SMITH:lack of the two acres. I know we've had other cases where people have made similar arguments because they were adjacent to a large track of land that belonged to some other third party and |
| | |

claimed it wasn't being used for whatever, and it really doesn't meet the intent of what the ordinance says, which says to have that type of animal, you need two acres. JILLIAN BARRETT: Mm-hmm. JAY BARRETT: Well... JAMES SMITH: We understand what you're saying... JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah. JAMES SMITH: And you may be able to use it to some extent with the proper authorities, it's still not your land. So that's why we can't really count it. JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah, I...we understand that it's...Question-wise, is it two acres because of the lack of...or looking for the care of the horse? Or is it because...with the horse, she has, personally, even in her wood paddock that she's in 90 percent of the time, she has more room than most boarding horses. Or then for when we let her out in the rest of the portion of the yard, she has a phenomenal amount of room compared to most boarding horses and grass up to her knees. That's to... I think that's the main point. We're looking for the variance to allow us to keep her in the under two acres. That's basically... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm JILLIAN BARRETT: ...what we're looking for. JAY BARRETT: I don't think... JILLIAN BARRETT: For personal reason and for the...my handicapped brother. JAY BARRETT: I don't think the State requires two acres, but... JILLIAN BARRETT: The Town does. JAY BARRETT: ...the towns do, right? The State's more... JAMES SMITH: This particular town does. JAY BARRETT: I know, but the states are more lenient with this. JAMES SMITH: Each town has its own unique regulations and so forth. JAY BARRETT: Yeah. JAMES SMITH: I believe if you looked at the zoning regulations, this two acre requirement's been there from day one.

JAY BARRETT: Well, this would be a good time for Londonderry to change it. JAMES SMITH: That is always an option. You can try to change... JAY BARRETT: I mean, downtown Boston has horses, probably in a smaller area. [Indistinct]. JAMES SMITH: Well, again, you're talking about a different... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You didn't want to live in downtown Boston. [Indistinct] Londonderry. JILLIAN BARRETT: But we're in Londonderry. JAY BARRETT: [Indistinct] are stranger today, letting... JAMES SMITH: Well, it's not up to us to change it. JAY BARRETT: Swear me in, I'll get up there and we'll do a motion on it. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: One of the things that we to take into consideration with any of the livestock issues, and we've had a bunch of them, is the sights and smells and sounds, right? JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes, and that's understandable. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And... JILLIAN BARRETT: If you're not used to it and you come from Boston to Londonderry... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And the requirement... JILLIAN BARRETT: ...it's like "Woah." LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The requirement for two acres is very specific because you have the health of the animals and then you have the health and wellbeing of your neighbors. That's why it was put in place. So the spirit of our ordinance is... JILLIAN BARRETT: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...so that we're protecting your neighbors and protecting the animals. JAY BARRETT: Yeah. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Safety... JILLIAN BARRETT: And the smell is becoming a bother to them, which is...

625 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Safety... 626 627 628 JAY BARRETT: Safety? 629 630 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah. 631 632 JILLIAN BARRETT: With her getting out, yeah. 633 634 JAY BARRETT: Safety, okay. 635 JILLIAN BARRETT: And some people, I understand the safety because with...if a horse, and someone doesn't 636 know what to do and they go running around, especially with the children, and with how...we've fixed the 637 fence. We've closed the garage, obviously, 'cause that was a "oops" from one of...someone who came by the 638 house. But I mean, it's understood, the safety, and we can fix...we can do our very best to try to fix the smell, 639 640 which is, I think, the main problem that the neighbor was having. I've heard from the neighbors that 641 they....when...one time when I was just walking down the driveway, they like staying at their bus stop and seeing her grazing, so...I mean, not many people know, frankly, agriculture anymore and it's just getting 642 harder, especially with stuff selling and going. 643 644 645 JAMES SMITH: Okay. 646 JAY BARRETT: I've heard more positive things from the neighbors. This is the first I've heard from anybody. 647 And, again, that was after a visit from Richard. 648 649 650 JAMES SMITH: Well, I mean... 651 652 JILLIAN BARRETT: [Indistinct] that point. 653 JAMES SMITH: ...let's get off that point. Let...we're not...you know, we're not trying to be adversaries in this. 654 We're just trying to look at what is information that has been submitted and that's where we are. Is there any 655 other questions from the Board? Okay, do we have anyone here that's in support of this application? 656 657 658 [No response] 659 JAMES SMITH: Anyone here in opposition or who has questions concerning this case. Would you want to 660 approach one of the microphones and identify yourself? 661 662 663 MIKE CARROLL: Sure. 664 JAMES SMITH: Name and address. 665 666 MIKE CARROLL: Sure, I'm Mike Carroll from 6 Berlang Road. 667

Page 15 of 27

JAMES SMITH: Well, okay, get on one of the mics.

668

MIKE CARROLL: Okay. I'm Mike Carroll from 6 Berlang Road, next door to 4 who wrote in the letter, Brendan. I honestly have no problem with the horse whatsoever. The only concern is safety, truthfully, because we've seen the horse get out a few times, and the smell. Those are the only things, too, so I have concerns with those two issues. Love the horse. It's beautiful, actually. It's a beautiful horse. JAY BARRETT: Thank you. MIKE CARROLL: So... JILLIAN BARRETT: What would you like to see? Can I ask a question? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No. JILLIAN BARRETT: Okay. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You can ask him a question. JILLIAN BARRETT: What would, like, safety-wise, if we can... I mean, obviously, we fix the garage problem, which was how she got out before. What would be needed to be done safety-wise? JAMES SMITH: Well, personally, I would say we need to have a secure enclosure. JILLIAN BARRETT: Mm-hmm. JAMES SMITH: How you accomplish that is not up to us. JILLIAN BARRETT: Mm-hmm. JAMES SMITH: I get the impression, it sounds like you have an electric fence, but then I also get the impression that that wasn't complete. JILLIAN BARRETT: It's just not electrified because, I mean, people coming up and touching it, it's more of a danger and with a horse, nine out of ten times, there is that one time out of the ten, but they're not usually up to try to go through a wire that they can see. It's not electrified because of a child coming up, because I know we've had the neighbor, that doesn't live there anymore, but going up and they'd feed carrots to the horse, so we don't want to electrify for almost that reason.

JAMES SMITH: So what you're suggesting is, you have a wire around the area the horse is gonna be in?

JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes.

 JAMES SMITH: Which is not electrified?

JAY BARRETT: We could enclose the whole thing with fence, instead of the electric fence. I could enclose the whole area that she runs in the paddock. I have no problem doing that. So that would get rid of the threat of anybody getting hurt. A danger MIKE CARROLL: Oh, absolutely. Yeah, that would be... JAY BARRETT: And I don't have a problem with that at all. And that you put the... JAMES SMITH: I know from my own experience, electric fences, if you have them, you have to have signs along the fence... JAY BARRETT: Correct. JAMES SMITH: ... of a certain interval identifying it as... JILLIAN BARRETT: That they're saying "electrified." JAMES SMITH: ...what it is. JAY BARRETT: If we're allowed to keep... JAMES SMITH: Having made contact with one, I know it's not a solid shock. It tends to... JILLIAN BARRETT: Pulsate. JAMES SMITH: ...pulsate and it knocks you off more than anything. JAY HOOLEY: You're supposed to let go eventually. JAMES SMITH: Yeah. I was under it, actually. Trying to crawl under it and I got my back. It was an interesting experience. JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah, I've had those as well. JAY BARRETT: Could we keep going with the story? JAMES SMITH: What? JAY BARRETT: I just wanted to hear the rest of the story. JAMES SMITH: Well, I just...but anyways, electric fences are an acceptable way of doing it and I think, given the fact that the animal has escaped at least a couple of times, either one, because of...how would you say it? Carelessness? JILLIAN BARRETT: Yeah.

JAMES SMITH: And I'm not sure what happened on the other occasion, but again, you are in close proximity to other homes along in that area. I'm not sure what the ages are. I would imagine there are probably... JILLIAN BARRETT: They're pretty young. JAY BARRETT: Yeah. JAMES SMITH: ...some young families, because that's a relatively new development. Was it about seven years ago maybe now? Six, seven years? JILLIAN BARRETT: I think it's still [indistinct] working on it, too. MIKE CARROLL: Yeah. JAMES SMITH: Yeah. MIKE CARROLL: It's what, '07 I think it went in...'06? JILLIAN BARRETT: Sounds right. JAY BARRETT: I wish I didn't sell it. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So this is a two-family house? JILLIAN BARRETT: Yes. JAMES SMITH: Yeah, it's an odd house. I know it is. Any other comments, questions? At that point, I would call the...close the hearing and we'll take this under advisement at this point. Just to clarify the point, Richard, do you have any idea what he's alluding to about ...? RICHARD CANUEL: Regarding...? JAMES SMITH: The so-called letter that he claims that you delivered? RICHARD CANUEL: No, I don't. JAMES SMITH: Okay. RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah, no I don't. JAMES SMITH: Okay. JAY BARRETT: Well, I...

JAMES SMITH: Okay, I'm just saying... JAY BARRETT: Yeah. JAMES SMITH: That we're gonna leave it at that. JAY BARRETT: Okay. JAMES SMITH: Okay. Let's take it under...we're taking this under advisement. The hearing is closed and it's open to the Board for discussion. **DELIBERATIONS:** JAMES SMITH: Neil? Larry? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are you ready for some ...? NEIL DUNN: Well, I think what was brought up...someone brought up the fact, Jim, you might have been it, that we've had very similar cases where people have had, you know, acre and a half with a horse, with... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Goats. NEIL DUNN: No, it was with a horse and the people had a special needs child. It was very therapeutic. But again, and that was the one that had the large property behind it that I... JAMES SMITH: Wasn't that on Ash Street? NEIL DUNN: And I think they ended up letting...the owner of the large property might have ended up letting the horse stay there or something, but because of the odors, which is strictly spelled out in the livestock portion of that ordinance, there was concerns. You know, if nobody had said there was odor issues, then I would feel a lot more comfortable. But because they do, then that is something we have to look at, is for the neighbors and their concerns. It's a terrible...I hate these cases because you feel...you don't win either way, you know? JAMES TOTTEN: Well that... NEIL DUNN: But virtue of the ordinance, it talks about noise and smell and I'm still having issues with that. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The problem is that that smell diminishes the value of their property. NEIL DUNN: Well, and plus it's specifically addressed. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right, so how do you deal with that? I don't know another way to deal with that. I don't know what they can do about it and what the additional point four acres does for that, I'm not guite sure, but

the ordinance states that it's two acres and that is probably one of the key reasons, because you don't wanna be affecting your neighbors and their property values. JAY BARRETT: And like you said, that point four doesn't do much. JAMES SMITH: No... JILLIAN BARRETT: You can't talk now. JAMES TOTTEN: What I'm hearing are neighbors that are willing to work with them, right? To address the odor and safety concerns, right? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Did you read the letter? Read the letter. JAMES TOTTEN: Yes, I read the letter. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay...okay. JAMES SMITH: I mean, what you're suggesting is, we got some other neighbors which are willing to do it, we got this one letter from one neighbor which is... JAMES TOTTEN: He's concerned about the... JAMES SMITH: ...is concerned about it. JAMES TOTTEN: Right. JAMES SMITH: So we got... JAMES TOTTEN: But also had some positive things to say. JAMES SMITH: Right. JAMES TOTTEN: About the horse and the keeping of the horse, right? It's... MIKE CARROLL: Truthfully, he is closer than I am, so he may [indistinct]. JAMES TOTTEN: I don't have the answers as to what fixes the odor problems, right? You can talk about fences for the safety and the enclosure. JAMES SMITH: Well, she mentioned what is known as the Best Management Practices which are guidelines, I believe, put out by the State under the agriculture laws and so forth. And if you follow those, hopefully you would be limiting the potential odors. Now, I'm not familiar with what they consist of and total, but I'm sure that's what the object of it...anyone who has had experience with manure knows there's a certain odor that goes with that. And it's just part of the nature of the beast.

894 895 JAMES TOTTEN: It just strikes me as an opportunity for the applicant and the neighbors to come together with something more substantial to address those concerns. And I know that doesn't answer our...what's in front of us right now.

896 897

898

899 900

901

902

903 904

905 906

907

908 909

910

911

912

913

914 915

916

917 918

919 920

921 922

923 924 925

926 927

928 929 930

931 932

933 934

935

936

937

JAMES SMITH: No, no...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So are you looking for a continuance of this meeting, James? Because that's something that you could request...that we can request the applicant for. I think it would also help to, instead of having what I think is a very confusing for us is where the paddock is now, where the building is now. The things that we have, the overheads, are five years old probably.

JAMES SMITH: Yeah.

JAMES TOTTEN: Right.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And if they just put them up, or they've been installed within the last four years, we wouldn't know it because we can't tell. And frankly, I didn't drive by. I haven't by here since the summer, right? That particular piece of property.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: This house.

JAMES TOTTEN: But even as to the specifics as to what would be done for an enclosure...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: We'd like to see that, too.

JAMES TOTTEN: ...what would be done to address the...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You'd like to see that? Right.

JAMES TOTTEN: ...odor concerns of the neighbors.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You'd like to see that addressed, correct? Okay, so what you're suggesting then is that we give the applicant the opportunity to address those issues. Add to those, right?

NEIL DUNN: Do we have any...how do we decide what is secure fencing?

JAMES SMITH: In other words, what he's looking for...

NEIL DUNN: We don't have any mandate in our ordinance to address that, do we? I mean, we only say, yeah, that child care, you need a fence, which is in the ordinance, or we could require a fence but, are we gonna rule on what's a secure fence and that's a proper paddock and then are we gonna rule on, what, we send somebody by in three months to do a smell test? I'm not trying...it sounds silly, but...

JAMES TOTTEN: I know...

Page 21 of 27

NEIL DUNN: ...we're getting away from...I don't know if we have any control over that, I guess, or...

940 941

942 943

944

945

JAMES SMITH: I think, Neil, what Larry is suggesting, given the information that we have, you know, as far as the overhead, I mean these aerial shots, those are somewhat dated. Going by the testimony that we received, it was, at best, vague, to me anyways, as to exactly how the property is laid out, where they're proposing to keep the animal, what is fenced in, what isn't fenced in and so forth. So I think what Larry is suggesting, to give them an opportunity to come up with a plan of the property showing exactly these features so that we have a better idea of what we're talking about. And I think that's within our purview to at least ask for that information, which, is at best, given to us in a very vague format tonight.

946 947 948

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So you need additional information, you're saying?

949 950 951

JAMES SMITH: Yeah.

952 953

NEIL DUNN: My bigger concern would be with the smell. I mean, I...we all...my bigger concern's with the smell and how that's managed because that's what we're talking, in 2.3.1.4.

954 955 956

957

958

JAMES SMITH: Okay, again on that same point, they've alluded to the Best Management Practices. Maybe we could ask them to give us a more detailed plan on how they intend to conform with those practices and so forth so that we have a better idea of what they're proposing to do and how they're gonna handle those issues. Anyone else have any comments? Questions?

959 960 961

JAY HOOLEY: Taking at face value that that was brought to us, what is the special condition of this property distinguishing it from other properties in the area?

962 963 964

JAMES SMITH: What was their argument?

965 966

JAY HOOLEY: It abuts conservation land.

967 968

JAMES TOTTEN: The conservation land.

970 971

969

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That doesn't make it unique. I think it's the combination of the corner, the corner, the corner lot, that is, the conservation land, the two-family house that is permitted. There's nothing...

972 973

JAY HOOLEY: What makes this property different than any other less than two acre parcel in this part of town? In other words, should we put a horse at 105?

974 975

JAY BARRETT: They wouldn't mind. I asked them.

976 977

JAY HOOLEY: Well, but in other...

978 979

JAMES SMITH: Well, let's not...

JAY HOOLEY: 101, 99, 97, 3, 5, and 7 Berlang; all less than two acres, all abut the same conservation land. And opposite, going up the even side of Tanager, starting at 6.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I see you looking at me, but I have a real problem with it as well, meeting several of the criteria, so...Wanna go through them step by step?

JAY HOOLEY: Well, I think we should do that before we seek a whole lot of other data.

JAMES SMITH: Yeah.

JAY HOOLEY: You know? And create work and possibly, false hope.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, we know that the horse hasn't been there very long. They got her late in the summer. And the letter is referring to the smells and then the property values or the potential buyers who said that they didn't want to have to deal with the smells and then wouldn't buy somebody else's house. To me, that...you know, if it was a two acre parcel, would they have the same issue?

NEIL DUNN: They wouldn't be here.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, they wouldn't be here, right.

JAY HOOLEY: They wouldn't be here for a variance, but I think...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And we wouldn't have heard about it in the letter, so, anyway, the things that we have as acceptable...

JAY HOOLEY: I think separately, they might have a code enforcement issue of not complying with the livestock portion of the ordinance by creating the odor nuisance, but that's a whole separate...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Do we have an animal control officer now?

RICHARD CANUEL: The Police Department does have a part time animal control officer, yes.

NEIL DUNN: Well, if you wanted to step through them, Jim, I guess my thought, the public interest part and maybe this talks to what Larry was looking for, for the security of the animal, the horse, to get out and whether you'd call brown spots on a lawn damage, I mean, it's damage. So I guess I have a better idea where you're going with that, Larry. I'm just not sure I'm a good judge of what's a secure fence. So I guess, if we looked at number, granting would or would not be contrary to the public interest, based on the history and what's been going on...and the smells, I don't know. Does smell go there or the spirit of the ordinance and going back to that 2.3.1.4 reference? I'm not sure one is complied with with the public interest if the horse is getting out, so maybe Larry's point is valid there. The spirit of the ordinance, I think, 2.3.1.4 talks to the smell. And the property...25 feet from the property line with the building, which is on the application, even though we could say you can't have livestock, so it actually wouldn't even apply, but...so I'm not sure two is there. Substantial justice...what was said on that? I'd waiver on that one, but then five, owing to the special...oh,

excuse me, four, property values, we've had someone speak to people moving and looking at buying, thinking that it would diminish property values. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: People who won't buy because... NEIL DUNN: Right, and therefore, diminishing...would be viewed to be diminishing, so...And then five, the unique...fair relationship in subpart A...the reasonable in subpart A, if not, then B, the property hardship. I don't think B applies. Their abuttal to the conservation land is common in that area and theirs is much smaller than any of their neighbors. JAMES SMITH: I'd like to ask Richard a question. How long ago was this piece of property subdivided? Do you have any idea? RICHARD CANUEL: It's been subdivided a few times. I think recently, it was subdivided when that Berlang development was approved as a subdivision. JAMES SMITH: So at that point, it was in excess of two acres. RICHARD CANUEL: Yes. Yeah. JAMES SMITH: Okay, the point I was looking at at that point, the lot had been larger, which would have met the requirements for this use. It was subdivided and the piece that was left was reduced to 1.6 acres and whoever did it at that point must have hopefully understood what they were doing, realizing it would drop it below this two acre minimum. NEIL DUNN: And that was the applicant. JAMES SMITH: Right. So it was basically a self-created problem as far as the ability to have animals on this piece of property. Okay, any further thoughts? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: "At no time shall a public nuisance be created as to sight, sound, smell, or any other action which would interfere with nearby property owner's rights." Until we call a horse a domestic pet or the goats that we've had or the geese, the goats, the ducks, what else have we had? A horse? Yeah, we've had a horse before. Until the, you know, the... JAMES SMITH: The one I had a tough one was with a miniature horse. What is a miniature horse?

JAMES SMITH: Okay. I would accept a motion at this point.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Unless you can bring it into the house.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Oh, right.

JAMES SMITH: Is that a pet or a...?

026

027 028 029

030 031

032

033

034 035

036 037

038

039

040 041 042

043 044

045 046

047

048

049 050 051

052 053

054

055

056

057

058 059

060

061 062

063 064 065

066

067 068

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so the flavor of the Board. Neil just went through the multiple points, the six, seven points, right? A and B in both on five, right? One and two. And where did you feel confident that they met the variance requirements?

NEIL DUNN: The justice thing.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Substantial justice?

NEIL DUNN: In a case like this, you always look at the substantial justice, but then when you look, it's not proving one right, it's proving five right.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, what do other people do? We have...

NEIL DUNN: They were denied and I think it turned out they...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: There are stables in this area that have handicapped people coming through regularly, whether that be consistently and regularly or just available. It happens. But we have...we also have alternatives, and it's not really us that should be making any alternatives. I think we counted the number of stables within a mile and came up with half a dozen at least. I think maybe a few more than that. They're in the phone book. So, that would be my suggestion. But in the meantime, because of the nuisance that it...we cannot approve something that can be injurious to the public or the neighborhoods. Neighbors. And that's what this would be doing. And we do have somebody who said it and somebody else who's concerned about it in a letter, so I don't think there's, you know, much else you can do about that.

NEIL DUNN: The applicant, in item three, substantial justice, did speak about relocating the horse and boarding it at a cost of \$600 a month and that was an undue hardship. But...

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Six hun...?

NEIL DUNN: I know. I'm just saying, that's for three. I mean, these cases...[indistinct].

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so are you gonna make the motion? Or do you have anything you wanna add?

JAY HOOLEY: No, it was...

JAMES TOTTEN: No, I think we've...we've all, you know, diligently tried to come up with an alternative, but when you take it to the five points of law, it's difficult to justify.

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: That's all we have. The five points.

JAY HOOLEY: In that case, Mr. Chairman, I'll make a motion to deny case number 1/16/2013-2, Jay L. Barrett, in that the applicant has failed to establish meeting the five points of law, specifically not establishing any special conditions of the property distinguishing it from other properties in the area.

JAMES SMITH: Okay, do I have a second?

| 116 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 117 JAMES TOTTEN: Second. | | | |
| 118 | | | |
| 119 | JAMES SMITH | : I have a second. | |
| 120 | | | |
| 121 | LARRY O'SULL | IVAN: No discussion? | |
| 122 | | | |
| 123 | JAMES SMITH | : Any discussion? | |
| 124 | LARBY OKULL | | |
| 125 | LARRY O SULL | IVAN: What I'd like to discuss is that is a major one, but at the same time, it isn't the only one. | |
| 126 127 | IANAEC CNAITLI | : And what's that? | |
| 127 | JAIVIES SIVII I II | . And what's that: | |
| 129 | I ADDV O'CIIII | IVAN: That you should be including with the motion. | |
| 130 | LARRY O SOLL | TVAN. That you should be including with the motion. | |
| 131 | ΙΔΥ ΗΟΟΙ ΕΥ· | I'll amend my motion to include contrary to the public interest due to reports of odor and | |
| reports of potentially values of the surrounding homes. | | · | |
| 133 | reports or pot | entially values of the surrounding nomes. | |
| 134 | JAMES TOTTE | N: I second. | |
| 135 | | | |
| 136 | JAMES SMITH | : Okay, we have an amendment to the motionmotion and amended motion. | |
| 137 | | | |
| 138 | LARRY O'SULL | IVAN: Well, we're talking about the amended motion now, right? | |
| 139 | | | |
| 140 | JAMES SMITH | : Right. | |
| 141 | | | |
| 142 | JAY HOOLEY: | Yup. | |
| 143 | | | |
| 144 | JAMES SMITH | : All those in favor? | |
| 145 | | | |
| 146 | LARRY O'SULL | IVAN: Aye. | |
| 147 | NIEU DUNN. A | | |
| 148 149 | NEIL DUNN: A | aye. | |
| 150 | JAY HOOLEY: | Λνο | |
| 151 | JAT HOOLLT. | Aye. | |
| 152 | JAMES TOTTE | Ν· Δνε | |
| 153 | 37 (14123 1 3 1 1 2 1 | n. Aye. | |
| 154 | JAMES SMITH: Aye. Opposition? No. | | |
| 155 | | As a black as a second of the | |
| 156 | RESULT: | THE MOTION TO DENY CASE NO. 1/16/2013-2 WAS APPROVED, 5-0-0. | |
| 157 | | | |
| 158 | A brief discuss | sion then ensued about the Board's suggestions to the Senior Building Inspector regarding the | |
| 159 | length of the t | time the applicant might be given to relocate the horse, considering the odor would not be an | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

ordinance until after winter, when warmer weather would cause the odor to become a nuisance.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

immediate issue during the winter. R. Canuel said he was amenable to postponing enforcement of the

NEIL DUNN, CLERK

TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY JAYE A TROTTIER, SECRETARY

<u>APPROVED FEBRUARY 20, 2013</u> WITH A MOTION MADE BY LARRY O'SULLIVAN, SECONDED BY JAY HOOLEY AND APPROVED 4-0-0.