1		ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2		268B MAMMOTH ROAD
3		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
4		
5	DATE:	OCTOBER 15, 2014
6		
7	CASE NO.:	8/20/2014-2 (CONTINUED)
8		
9	APPLICANT:	ROBERT CASEY
10		26 PINE STREET
11		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
12		
13	LOCATION:	26 PINE STREET; 3-70, AR-I
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	JIM SMITH, CHAIR
16		JIM TIRABASSI, VOTING MEMBER
17		ANNETTE STOLLER, VOTING ALTERNATE
18		JACKIE BENARD, ACTING CLERK
19		
20	REQUEST:	RELIEF OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
21		PROVISIONS OF RSA 676:5.
22		
23		14-2 was read into the record with one previous case listed. The Acting
24	Clerk also read Ex	hibit "A" into the record.
25		
26		if I could just interject, being that there's a request for the applicant to
27		sary to read any of the other letters into the record, because the record
28	should be the public hearing itself.	So all the Board needs to do is vote on whether to grant the continuance.
29		
30	JIM SMITH: Okay, we'll entertain a	motion.
31		
32	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER	: Before that motion goes in, can I say something?
33		
34 25	JIM SMITH: No.	
35		
36	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER	: what do you mean ho?
37	UNA CNAITHE No. this is strictly some	thing the Deard has to we're not going to get into an argument
38	JIM SMITH: NO, this is strictly some	ething the Board has towe're not going to get into an argument
39 40	[Quarlanning commonts]	
40 41	[Overlapping comments]	
41 42	IINA SNAITHY This is not a public bas	ring at this point. We are just starting the presedure
42 43	JIM SIMITE. THIS IS NOT A PUBLIC NEA	ring at this point. We are just starting the procedure.
40		

CASE NO. 8-20-2014-2; OCT 15 2014 HEARING; 26 PINE STREET; RELIEF OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

44	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: I just have a question about that letter? It's a request, you don't have to
45	grant that request right? Three months goes by and a lawyer first it's vacation then it's not enough Board
46	members now it'smedical, making a mockery. Forget about the people who live here by the law. How
47	about mine/me?
48	
49	JIM SMITH: Okay, someone want to make a motion?
50	
51	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: I make a motion you go through with it and hear all the evidence.
52	
53	JACKIE BENARD: Mr. Chairman, there's also other letters requesting where it has been requesting that we
54	continue with from August from September, so we have other letters in here for
55	
56	JIM SMITH: Yeah, I know that.
50 57	
58	JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
58 59	JACKIE BENARD. ORAY.
60	JIM SMITH: It's gone from one
61	JIVI SIVITIA. IL S gotte itotti otte
62	
62 63	JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
	ANNETTE STOLLED. Mr. Chair, I halious we have the shills, if we great the continuous to state that it possibly
64	ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair, I believe we have the ability if we grant the continuance to state that it possibly
65	is the last continuance will be granted. I know on the Planning Board that's the way it's granted.
66	
67	JACKIE BENARD: Richard, are continuances do you know allowed perpetually indefinitely? Can it be
68	postponed continuously?
69	
70	RICHARD CANUEL: Well it's really discretion of the Board. I mean there are no statutory provisions that guide
71	the Board on whether to grant or deny continuances. If the Board feels that the applicant's request is
72	reasonable considering the fact that he is represented by Council and his Council is not available, it may be a
73	wise vote to allow the continuance, but that is strictly the Board's decision.
74	
75	JIM SMITH: Do you know if he's a sole attorney operating by himself, or does he have?
76	
77	RICHARD CANUEL: I do not know.
78	
79	[Indistinct]
80	
81	JIM SMITH: Okay, what I would like to suggest is in the continuance would be that if this attorney isn't
82	available that one of his associates appear at the next meeting. I don't know if you require that or not, but
83	
84	ANNETTE STOLLER: Well that was close to my question. The attorney or the applicant.
85	
86	JIM SMITH: Well. Do you want to entertain a motion one way or the other?
87	

Page 2 of 6

88	ANNETTE STOLLER: I don't know if this is right, I'd like to entertain a conditional continuance on the condition	
89	that no more continuances for any reason be granted, and I don't know if we can do that, so I give it to you.	
90		
91	JIM SMITH: I don't believe that we can.	
92		
93	RICHARD CANUEL: As I said, the applicant has that right to a	
94		
95	[New CD inserted by technician]	
96		
97	RICHARD CANUEL: And if the request is reasonable so that the applicant can come back month after month	
98	and request a continuance [overlapping comment] if like I say if the Board feels that those reasons are	
99	justifiable then they can certainly grant the continuance, but that is purely discretionable.	
100		
101	JIM TIRABASSI: So each continuance stands on its own merit, you can't limit, it's either a Yay, or nay?	
102		
103	RICHARD CANUEL: Absolutely, that's correct.	
104		
105	JIM TIRABASSI: It's a non-conditional?	
106		
107	ANNETTE STOLLER: And if I understand how the process works, if a continuance is denied and the applicant	
108	wants to go forward, he has to refile and start from to the beginning correct?	
109		
110	RICHARD CANUEL: He does not, no, nope the case continues.	
111		
112	ANNETTE STOLLER: Even if we deny the continuance?	
113		
114	RICHARD CANUEL: If you deny the continuance, the applicant should be present to testify. I'm not sure that	
115	the applicant is even here, so?	
116	ANNETTE STOLLED, le the explicent have on the explicent's atterney?	
117	ANNETTE STOLLER: Is the applicant here, or the applicant's attorney?	
118 119	[Overlapping comments]	
120		
120	JIM TIRABASSI: So based on that, if we rejected the continuance and we proceeded with both sides being	
121	there's only one (1) side present to make the presentation, and we come to an agreement based on that. Is	
122	that binding?	
123		
125	RICHARD CANUEL: Well that's part of the problem; the applicant needs to be here to testify on his own	
126	behalf, um	
120		
128	JACKIE BENARD: Robert Casey is not here?	
120	Sheke Belwikb. Robert Casey is not here.	
130	RICHARD CANUEL: No, he's not here, neither is his legal counsel.	
130		
	Dage 2 of 6	

Page 3 of 6

132 133	JIM TIRABASSI: In essence somebody can dodge it in forever [overlapping comments] and never show up?
134	RICHARD CANUEL: Well, as you said, each request for a continuance stands on its own merits, so like I say, it
135	could come back to this Board month after month as it has up to this point and providing the Board considers
136	a request reasonable they can grant the continuance.
137	
138	JIM TIRABASSI: But in essence, but what you're saying is if there's nobody here to represent the defense, it's
139	basically the same thing as asking for a continuation, and because they're not here to represent themselves.
140	
141	RICHARD CANUEL: That's true.
142	
143	ANNETTE STOLLER: So it cancels itself out?
144	
145	[Overlapping comments/laughter]
146	
147	RICHARD CANUEL: The applicant always has to have right of appeal. The applicant has to have that right to
148	testify on their own behalf, or have counsel testify on their behalf, so you have to give the applicant that
149	opportunity.
150	
151	ANNETTE STOLLER: I think the point I'm hearing amongst my fellow and sister Board members is that the
152	applicant has been given several opportunities, and except in one case, if I'm understanding it, it has been
153	postponed or continued on his volition not on the Boards; however, I'll will caution and say that I have sat on
154	other Boards where continuances can go on for almost a year. They weren't Zoning Boards.
155	
156	JIM SMITH: Okay, I think what I would like to suggest is we give a continuance with the comment that we do it
157	reluctantly, and with the request that if this attorney can't appear that one of his associates appear in
158	his[overlapping comments], but we can make the comment, but
159	
160	JACKIE BENARD: Correct.
161	
162	ANNETTE STOLLER: Excuse me, what happens if we table it rather than continue it?
163	
164	RICHARD CANUEL: There's only one option it's continue [overlapping comments] the hearing. Otherwise,
165	you're denying the applicant his due process, and that would not put the Board in a good position.
166	
167	[Overlapping comments]
168	
169	JIM SMITH: Would you like to make a motion?
170	
171	JACKIE BENARD: I'd like to make a motion Mr. Chairman for a continuance for case number 8/20/2014-2.
172	
173	JIM SMITH: Do I have a second?
174	
175	ANNETTE STOLLER: Second.

Page 4 of 6

CASE NO. 8-20-2014-2; OCT 15 2014 HEARING; 26 PINE STREET; RELIEF OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

176	
177	JIM SMITH: All those in favor?
178	
179	ANNETTE STOLLER: Aye.
180	
181	JACKIE BENARD: Aye.
182	
183	JIM SMITH: Are you in favor?
184	
185	ANNETTE STOLLER: I said second.
186	
187	JIM SMITH: I mean, we asking for a vote.
188	
189	ANNETTE STOLLER: Oh, yes.
190	
191	JIM SMITH: Yes, and you?
192	
193	JIM TIRABASSI: No.
194	
195	JIM SMITH: Okay, it continues, granted 3-1.
196	
197	RICHARD CANUEL: It would be beneficial if you make the announcement of when the continuance will be
198	granted til.
199	
200	JIM SMITH: Okay, [overlapping comments]. Do we have a date for the next meeting?
201	
202	ANNETTE STOLLER: I think its November 19, 2014.
203	
204	JIM TIRABASSI: Yes.
205	
206	[Overlapping Comments/Indistinct]
207	
208	JIM SMITH: Say that again.
209	
210	KIRBY WADE: November 19.
211	
212	JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
213	
214	JIM SMITH: Okay, it'll be continued until November 19.
215	
216	RESULT: THE MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE NO. 8/20/2014-2 TO NOVEMBER 19, 2014 WAS APPROVED, 3-1-0.
217	
218	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
219	
	Jarqualene Senard

Page 5 of 6

CASE NO. 8-20-2014-2; OCT 15 2014 HEARING; 26 PINE STREET; RELIEF OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION

- 220
- 221
- JACKIE BENARD, ACTING CLERK
- 223

TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY NICOLE DOOLAN, PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
SECRETARY

- 226
- 227 APPROVED FEBRUARY 18, 2015 WITH A MOTION MADE BY NEIL DUNN, SECONDED BY JACKIE BENARD AND
- 228 APPROVED 5-0-0.