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JIM SMITH: Who will be presenting?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Raymond Belthen.
JIM SMITH: Address?
RAYMOND BLETHERN: 18 Rossini Road, Londonderry, NH.

JIM SMITH: Okay. That's just for the record.

RAYMOND BELTHEN: Yup.
JIM SMITH: Okay...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Thank you for your time. I'm new at this, so sorry if I don't get everything exactly right, but...requesting a variance for Section 2.3.1.3.3 to allow a reduced setback to the side of the property line for a residential barn style garage where a minimum setback of 15 feet is required. Basic description is it's needed to allow for the construction of a $16 \times 24$ foot garage. Due to the layout of our property, the only place to build this structure is on the level ground that located right next to the house at the end of our driveway. The level area is underneath some tree cover and abuts our neighbors land. The area is approximately 24 feet wide from the edge of our house. If you go to the back edge of our house, which is 24 feet back, it's approximately 25 feet wide. The area abuts a wooded area behind our neighbor's sheds and fences, and their yard. Between our house and the new construction, we'd like to have about 4 foot gap to safely remove the snow during the winter months, and I think fire code is at least 3-4 feet between buildings. Because of this, to build a 16 foot wide barn style garage, we need to be about 4 feet from the edge of the property at the front edge of the structure and about 5 feet from the edge of the property at the rear edge of the structure. The layout of the property doesn't allow us the ability to build a barn style garage any place else. Our house is located at the very rear of our property, and has less space between the home and the edge of our property. On the opposite side of the house and to the rear, it doesn't have any space either. The front of the house is not level, and the septic and leach field along with the driveway take up most of the area, and we need this structure to safely store our cars and car parts, our yard equipment like our lawn mower, snow blower, and we have a lovely 4 year old son who also has all sorts of outdoor toys. Going through the 5 points of law variance. Point number one - there is no adverse effect on the public because if the variance is granted it will not violate the ordinance basic zoning objectives for our neighborhood. It would maintain the current approved usage. Our house is a 2-story colonial and the garage would be designed with a barn style that maintains the essential cha racter of the property and our neighborhood. Additionally, it's important to note that this variance be granted; it wouldn't threaten the public health, safety, or welfare of our community. Number two - the spirit of the ordinance is to limit overcrowding of neighborhoods protecting the safety of our community and protect out homeowners lands from such things as unwanted use, restricted sunlight or shade, etc. Granting this variance will not alter that goal because we are the only house in our neighborhood that's set on the very back of the property lots. Adding a freestanding garage next to our home will not be anywhere near any of the other homes, or structures. Additionally, the way that the other lots have been developed, this would not encroach on the other people's landscaped lawns. As seen in the aerial photos that are attached (see Exhibit \#1), the area that would be used is nestled between our home on one side and trees on the other side as well as on the back. The front side is currently a fence at the end of our driveway which would just be replaced with the front of the garage. No trees or other vegetation would need to be removed to build the structure. During the winter months when vegetation is dormant, our neighbors can see in to that area, and keeping up with the spirit of the ordinance granting this variance would allow us to beautify that area making it safer and protect all of our personal belongings safely. Number three - several homes throughout the neighborhood and Londonderry have freestanding sheds, or garages to store vehicles equipment, tools and toys. In most cases, owning a house results in having lots of large equipment such as lawn mowers, and snow blowers to maintain the property in such a way that meets the standards of our community...any community around here. As seen, there's no place to build on our property to build a freestanding structure to hold these things, so granting this variance would provide justice by allowing us to build the necessary space to safely sto re those belongings that we have without creating an environment that decreases the value of our property, or is unattractive to our neighborhoods through unsheltered storage. Number four - the value of the surrounding properties will not be diminished. Adding the additional freestanding barn style garage will add value to our
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property which in turn will add value to the community where we live in not diminish it. It's hard to calculate the actual impact, but any property with a shed, or a barn garage is taxed on that structure and it's easy to see that any structure that is maintained properly will increase the value of our community. Number five - I answered Part A for the unnecessary hardship. Part A number one - no fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purpose or the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property as it will still be 4 foot separation from our neighbor's property line, and will meet all of the front and the rear setback requirements. Where there is a less than a 15 foot separation, there's a fence, substantial tree line that provide a better separation that a 15 foot separation on open land space. Additionally, our neighbor has sheds on his abutting property which would be similar as shown in the pictures in the attached images. Because the house was built in the rear of the lot near the property boarders the additional garage would be consistent with the other structures on the property due to the restrictive topography of the majority of our lot. Part II - based on the Town of Londonderry ordinance 2.3.1.3.3, minimum setback distances for property for structures for property line - the front is 40 feet, the side is 15 feet, the back is 15 feet, and the layout of our property it's impossible to build a structure to meet our needs any place else on our property. The distance between our home and the back of the property is 28 feet, so we would need a variance there as well. The distance from our home to the left side of the property is only 13 feet, so that's already cutting into that, and the distance on the right side of our property is 24 feet. The land on the back of our house is inaccessible due to the slopes on the property, and the buildable space we already have a deck on the back of the house. The land in the front of our house is mostly taken up by our driveway and the septic system and enlarged trees. The most of the land is sloped as well making it impossible to build a freestanding garage, and the land on the left side of our property is very small and also inaccessible due to the slope of the land. So, the only area left is the area on the right side of our house that's level and accessible. It would not require any changes to the current land vegetation making it the only place to build. The location of the variance is not adjacent to, or near any public way, or public property. Hoping that answers a lot of questions?

JIM SMITH: Okay.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Do you want me to go over the pictures I attached? They should be in the file that you guys have? Okay. Looking up the first picture the attachment to the application is a picture looking from about the middle of my driveway looking up towards our house. The building would be behind those two cars that are parked there. Where the fence is, our property goes all the way over to the edge kind of where that large tree is. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ picture is looking down the driveway and out. As you can see, kind of to the left of our house...that hill...our house is set up on a hill so it's...our land is not flat there. It's kind of steep, so you can't get around to the left side of the house. There's no way to get to the back small area. Looking do wn the driveway from the front where the garage would be you can see there's a couple large trees, but there's also that only flat area that's beyond the tree that's right in front. That's where the septic system is. Then looking at the edge of the property you can see the $3^{\text {rd }}$ picture show some brushes, but the very left side of the picture all of the trees there, or the trees that provide a buffer between our land and the neighbor's land and you can see their sheds and there's a fence that connects those sheds all the way around. So they can't even see into that area where our garage would be built. Next picture is some bing maps, some bird eye views. I put a red circle around the area where we would...I'm hoping to build this garage, and it kind of just shows...and this is during the winter months, so the vegetation is thin. You can...but you can still tell there's all the trees that create a very good buffer. There's the sheds from the other neighbors home along with the
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next picture right below it. I tried to show you where that area is in the large buffer that exists. In the application there was also a lot layout that showed the land where the house was located and the septic as well as the driveway. Showing that it took up most of the front of the property.

JIM SMITH: Questions?
ANNETTE STOLLER: Um, I have a question, sir?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Was there any consultation with the fire department on that 4 foot...?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: No, my snow blower is 36 inches wide. It's a pretty big...I have a very long driveway, so I have a pretty big one and so the 36 inches gives plenty of room to be able to...the 4 foot was...the $36 . . .1$ looked up prior cases that you guys had when I was trying to understand how to do this. I thought that I'd be able to fill out a piece of paper and turn it in the next day, and I was...very quickly learned that that is not the case, so I did a little bit of research on prior cases that you guys have had. I didn't write the number down but I know that one of the discussions that you guys had had that there was a 3 foot restriction between the building and somebody else that wanted to build a garage for fire code, and alsol...the way the roof would be angled, you'd really want to be able to clear that snow off without it building up between the two buildings, so I thought that 4 feet would be enough room to safely remove that snow during the winter.

ANNETTER STOLLER: Then answer is you did not actually consult with fire expert.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: No, no.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Okay.
NEIL DUNN: Mr. Chairman, ifI may?
JIM SMITH: Yeah.
NEIL DUNN: You have an existing 2 car garage there?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yes.

NEIL DUNN: And, what's above that?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: A family room.
NIEL DUNN: And the proposed new garage would be barn style? What would be above that?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Nothing.

## Page 4 of 18

CASE NO. 8/19/2015-1; AUGUST 19, 2015 HEARING; 18 ROSSINI DRIVE; VARIANCE

JIM SMITH: Are you saying there's going to be a space between this new structure and the existing garage?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, just 4 feet to give enough room to clear the snow because the roof would be at the opposite angle, so the roof...the snow...clear down to that side of the house from that side of the roof. Does that make sense?

JIM SMITH: How big is this proposed...?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: The proposed?

JIM SMITH: The barn.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Oh, 16x24.
JIM SMITH: 16 wide, 24 deep?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, yeah. So the 24 deep would match the current house and then 16 foot wide. JIM SMITH: Okay. You know that this...your particular piece is part of one of these Plan Residential Developments right?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah.

JIM SMITH: Which means the lots were reduced in size from conventional lots.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: I do not know.
JIM SMITH: Richard, could you give him a brief explanation?
RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah, I'm glad you asked. Back when this subdivision was developed, it was developed under provisions that no longer exist in our ordinance. As you mentioned, it was previously identified as a Planned Residential Development, or PRD. PRD don't exist in the ordinance any longer. What's important to note is that under those provisions, when the subdivision was developed is there were no side, or rear property line setbacks like we require today. What the requirement was back then is that princip al and accessory structures shall be at least 30 feet from other principal and accessory structures, so you could essentially build a structure right up to the property line provided you had a 30 foot separation to the adjacent structure.

NEIL DUNN: So the first one to build wins.

RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah, absolutely.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: That's probably why. Ours is all the way in the very back of our lot.
NEIL DUNN: So...I didn't see on the card here. Do you know how much acreage how much you have?
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RAYMOND BLETHEN: We paid taxes on I think 1.08, and I believe that there's probably around 21 acres of common land. That's my understanding there is 21 acres of common land where divided by 42 houses, so that would mean our land is about half an acre, or our actual plot, and then a half an acre is shared. I'll be honest; I didn't really understand it when I bought the house. We definitely learned that afterwards. Idon't believe we are allowed to build on any common land.

## [Overlapping comments]

JIM SMITH: No, the theory was that that was going to be developed as recreation and other things for the people in common, but owing to liabilities they never really came to fruition. Rich, I hate to say it, but I think the PRD went through several different evolutions?

RICHARD CANUEL: Sure, yeah, yeah.
JIM SMITH: I think the one you're quoting was the original one?
RICHARD CANUEL: Well when this one was developed it was under the ordinance back in' 85 and in the 1985 ordinance, like I said, there were provisions...

JIM SMITH: Yeah.

RICHARD CANUEL: ...for a PRD.
JIM SMITH: Okay, and again that basically means even though they didn't meet the setbacks that are current because they met the requirements then that their basically grandfathered.

RICHARD CANUEL: Correct.
NEIL DUNN: For existing structures?

JIM SMITH: Yeah.

RICHARD CANUEL: Basically the Board couldn't apply the setback provisions in the ordinance today because they don't apply to the PRD as it was developed and approved.

JIM SMITH: Yeah. Um, another question for Richard. Would there be any problems with having these two structures 4 feet apart from the building codes aspect?

RICHARD CANUEL: Well that's where the 3 foot number comes from. Three feet is the actual minimum that you can have that accessory garage located to, or adjacent to the house. Anything closer than 3 feet you would have to provide a fire rated wall on the garage to protect the house, but it could essentially be closer than 3 feet, but 3 feet is the limit.

JIM SMITH: So do you understand what he is saying?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, it could be 3 feet?
JIM SMITH: Well, in other words, once you go closer than 3 feet...?
[Overlapping comments]
RICHARD CANUEL: Closer than 3 feet.
JIM SMITH: Now you have...you're talking about some sort of fire separation wall, or fire wall between the two.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Correct, right.
JIM SMITH: So there's a way to do it but it's not easy. It just makes the construction a little more complicated.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair?
JIM SMITH: Yeah.
ANNETTER STOLLER: So therefore to continue that line by going forth, he doesn't have to do a fire wall?
JIM SMITH: Fire separation?
ANNETTE STOLLER: But according to the fire personnel, is there anything else he might have to do? Because it is such close proximity?

RICHARD CANUEL: Are you asking me?
ANNETTE STOLLER: Am asking you, I'm looking straight at you.

RICHARD CANUEL: It would be the requirements of the building code that would be applicable. Not necessarily the state fire code because this is a single family resident, so it would be the residential code that would apply. Like I said, it's that 3 foot separation is your threshold.

## ANNETTE STOLLER: Thank you.

JIM SMITH: Any other questions? Okay, we'll open it up to anybody who is in support of this? Anyone in opposition, or have any questions? Seeing not, back to the Board.

ANNETTE STOLLER: They way I'm looking at the drawings there's already a 2 car garage correct?
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JIM SMITH: Right.

ANNETTE STOLLER: What is the plan to use the...I wonder what the...I should have asked earlier what the 2 car garage would be used for if he's putting another one in?

NEIL DUNN: It's still open, you can ask him that.
JIM SMITH: Yeah.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Well, so there's a question.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Um, my...

ANNETTE STOLLER: Then you have a 4 car garage. Do you have 4 cars?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: We do. I have an antique car that l'd like to put in there. We have our street cars. I race sports cars, so l'd like to be able to keep a car in that garage, so it's not in the driveway. It's safe. Also, my snow blower as I mentioned is 36 inches wide. Our...the way that our garage is, it is a 2 car garage, but the way that the stairs come down because it's a split level from the house one of the bays is really short... ANNETTE STOLLER: I understand.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: ... because the stairs go up and stairs go down, so one of the bays isn't a full bay, and so once you put in the snow blower and if I were to get a riding lawn mower which I'd like to get, there's no room to put cars in there. Then my son has a little electric car. We have a scooter that I drive to work on once in a while...try to get good gas mileage, but I don't have room in the garage to keep those smaller mid size things, and so there's no other place to build a shed or anything on the property, so...

ANNETTE STOLLER: Thank you.
NEIL DUNN: If I may Mr. Chairman? So Richard in the old theory where build it right up to your property line... whoever builds it first, I mean gets to win the 30 foot separation, but it does qualify now for the 15 foot setback?

RICHARD CANUEL: No, it would not because the subdivision was approved under those provisions for the PRD...

NEIL DUNN: So why's he need to be here?
RICHARD CANUEL: ...would be the PRD that would be applicable.
NEIL DUNN: So why's he need to be here then?

RICHARD CANUEL: To ensure that we have a 30 foot separation from adjacent structures and at...not trying to influence the Board's decision here, but that is what I would look at. To see what essentially occur if this
garage was built on this property. Do we have a 30 foot separation from the structures on adjacent properties?

ANNETTE STOLLER: So do we?

RICHARD CANUEL: Excuse me?

ANNETTE STOLLER: So do we?
RICHARD CANUEL: Do we what?

ANNETTE STOLLER: Have that 30 foot?

RICHARD CANUEL: I don't know that, and I think that's what the Board needs to explore...
NEIL DUNN: Well that's what I was trying to get to...
RICHARD CANUEL: ...explore with the applicant.

NEIL DUNN: ...where we really need to be here?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Um...
NEIL DUNN: Do you know how far it is...would be...your finished product.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: ...um, far more than 20...far more than 30 feet. I went to the Town to get a building permit to build it, and asked what needed to be done because I was within...I knew l'd be within 15 feet of the edge of our property, and so they were very very kind and they got our plot thing, and we put on the map, and we realized it was going to be...you know they helped me measure it to know that I would be within 4 feet from the edge of our property. They said in order to build and get a permit that you need to go get a variance first because l'd be building within the 15 feet, so obviously they didn't know what Richard...

JIM SMITH: Right.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: ...is saying, and so they advised me to get a variance, and so that's why I went and got a variance.

NEIL DUNN: Is it easy to find your property markers for that line?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
NEIL DUNN: So you could draw...well no I'm trying to figure out the 30 foot thing. I mean that's what we really need to determine.
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JIM SMITH: Well the property line doesn't...what we're looking for is 30 feet from the structure...
[Overlapping comments]
JIM SMITH: ...to another structure.
NEIL DUNN: I know you're right, okay, I'm sorry, yeah.
JIM SMITH: Okay.

ANNETTE STOLLER: So if the other structure had been built another 4 feet from their property line we're in big trouble.

JIM SMITH: Well...well again it depends on upon whether it's...
NEIL DUNN: Well there's...

JIM SMITH: its 30 feet total whether it's at right angles, or some other angle, so...

NEIL DUNN: Well he can't obviously build over his property line?
JIM SMITH: Well that's something else again.
NEIL DUNN: Well no I was just trying to figure out where he can get a good measurement if he's 4 feet off his propertyline...

JIM SMITH: Okay.

NEIL DUNN: ...I don't know, I'm getting back to that 30 foot thing, and that's why...
JIM SMITH: Okay, I'm looking at a picture...
[Overlapping comments]
RAYMOND BLETHEN: The only question...the only I guess is their shed it's near the edge of our property. I don't know if it's within that, and I'd ask for a variance to be allowed to build within 30 feet of their shed.

JIM SMITH: Okay, well I'm looking at a picture here; it shows some sort of a shed and a little fence and some other structure.

JACKIE BENARD: Does he have...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, they...

JACKIE BENARD: ...a greenhouse back there?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: ...What's that?

JACKIE BENARD: It looks like a greenhouse of some sort?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, they have a greenhouse and some sort of tool shed.
JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, and those are...they aren't like built into the ground or anything like that, but their kind of the ones you get at Home Depot, or whatever.

JIM SMITH: Okay, again I'm going back to Rich. Do we have any documentation of what's on the adjacent property?

RICHARD CANUEL: Um, I'd have to look in the file; I don't know what that is off the top of my head.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Seems to me that...
JIM SMITH: I think at this juncture where I'm having a problem is faced with the idea that we're talking possibly a variance from the 30 foot separation not the 15 feet from the side which is the way it was advertised. I'd entertain a motion to continue this to next month so that we could determine what's on the adjacent property and figure out whether or not he would in fact be within 30 feet of something.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Well I can see that those buildings are definitely there. Those structures...those sheds are definitely there and their within 30 feet from that area. So what l'd like to ask if we could get a variance to be within the 30 feet, but no closer than the 4 feet of the edge of my property?

ANNETTE STOLLER: They don't need a variance to be within 30 feet?

JIM SMITH: That's what I'm saying, we don't know whether he does, or doesn't?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: It would definitely be closer than 30 feet, I think?
JIM SMITH: Now is there a way for us to determine that for next month?
RICHARD CANUEL: Um, let's see. You have the Town's GIS system in front of you?

JIM SMITH: Yeah, but when you look at those lines...
RICHARD CANUEL: You can at least take a cursory measurement and give you some idea...
[Overlapping comments]
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JIM SMITH: It depends upon which picture...I'm looking at a picture, and it shows the left property line going right through his building.

BILL BERNADINO: Yeah, we've got the same...as before with the other side going right down the middle of the side, so it's going right through the house.

JIM SMITH: Yeah, and the next one show...
ANNETTE STOLLER: Just cut it off.

JIM SMITH: ...you know...

NEIL DUNN: Well...
JIM SMITH: The one on top is probably...this one here is probably the best...
ANNETTE STOLLER: Yeah.

JIM SMITH: ...looking directly down.
BIILL BERNADINO: counting off the tip...

JACKIE BERNARD: Number 17.

JIM SMITH: Ahh...
JACKIE BERNARD: Yeah, number 17.

JIM SMITH: ...it's the last one.
ANNETTE STOLLER: We ran into a similar problem in the neighboring town and...
[Overlapping comments]
ANNETTE STOLLER: ...we found out that...[indistinct].

RAYMOND BLETHEN: You can see that's definitely within...no matter what that white line...the white fence that runs along there, their sheds would be definitely within 30 feet of...

JIM SMITH: That's only 15 feet.
NIEL DUNN: If I may asked Richard a questions while you are looking there? Because it was a PRD and it was a 30 foot separation between the buildings, or structures, I guess first of all would...if that shed is under a certain size it is not considered a structure?
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RICHARD CANUEL: All structures are considered structures.

NEIL DUNN: Let me rephrase that. Would it be whatever the requirement during the PRD of the setback of 30 feet was? I mean you can move an $8 \times 8$ shed. I mean, I don't know, I'm trying to get clarification.

RICHARD CANUEL: Well the way the language says in the PRD back in ' 85 , it says "no principal structure or its accessory structures shall be located any closer than 30 feet to another principal or accessory structures". So...

## ANNETTE STOLLER: And no discussion as to whether their temporary accessory structures?

RICHARD CANUEL: A structure is a structure.

NEIL DUNN: Yup.

RICHARD CANUEL: Something that's built that occupies a location on the ground.
NEIL DUNN: So to follow up on that would a variance against that since it's so well documented if you will in the PRD be allowed at that point and time?

RICHARD CANUEL: Well after this discussion here, I'm not so sure that a variance would even be applicable?
NEIL DUNN: Well, that's what I'm wondering? That's what I'm saying. Yeah.
RICHARD CANUEL: If a structure can be built on this applicants' property, right up to the property line and meet the 30 foot separation to the adjacent lot structures then there's no variance required.

NEIL DUNN: Exactly, but if his concern is that it might be within that 30 ...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: It is.
NEIL DUNN: ...and so therefore because it was a PRD and we're letting it live by the old rules are we allowed to reduce that 30 foot setback because that was pretty ridged at a different...

RICHARD CANUEL: Well just like any variance that the Board would grant, you know, if the Board considers it reasonable you can certainly grant that variance, but you would be granting the variance under those provisions for which the PRD was approved.
[Overlapping comments]
NEIL DUNN: Okay, I was just trying to get more clarity. Thank you.
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RAYMOND BLETHEN: I believe that it's probably about 16 or 17 feet from the edge of our property because when I talked w/them...you know, I obviously talked with them before coming here, and they weren't even sure if they were within the edge of the property. I don't even know if they got a zoning permit or not, but we took a tape measure and measured and there's was more than the 15 feet, so I know there's was more than 15 feet, but there's no way that it would be 30 feet. I would say it's probably going to be about 16 feet, 17 feet from the edge of our property. So if you were to take that it would probably end up being about 20 feet...if I have a 4 foot on my property, and that means it would be about 20 feet from my garage to their building.

JIM SMITH: Now according to the certified plot plan that's in here, the front corner of the existing structure on your property is 15 feet from the property line, and when I take that information...common work... see the last picture we have with the GPS lines superimposed. If you take and make an estimation from using that 15 feet, it would appear to be about 15 feet from the property line to the corner of that structure which is on the other property.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, I believe it's about 15-16 feet like I said between my property and their shed.
JIM SMITH: So it's clearly, if you were to build something in that area on your property would be within the 30 feet?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Correct.

JIM SMITH: So Richard, you're suggesting that we could give him a variance possibly to encroach on the 30 feet?

RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah, if the Board finds that it's reasonable, sure.

JIM SMITH: Okay.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: I guess based on what l've learned, you know, it would be variance to be within the 30 feet of our neighbor's property, but no closer than 4 feet from the edge of my property.

JIM SMITH: No, I think what we're saying is the 15 feet setback...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah.

JIM SMITH: ...which is in the current...doesn't really apply to your piece of property.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, no I understand that, so it would be a variance...
JIM SMITH: The only thing that applies is the 30 foot separation between buildings on one lot to the next lot. It's a crazy rule, but...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, so the variance would be within...
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JIM SMITH: ...within that 30 foot setback...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: ...within the 30 foot setback, but...

ANNETTE STOLLER: Um hmm, it's not an uncommon and type of ruling. It occurs in many subdivisions in towns.

JIM SMITH: Well thank god there isn't too may PRD's with this rule.

NEIL DUNN: The only thing I wonder about is though is...I'm sure he had a discussion with the neighbor...they were both looking at more the 15 foot, they didn't understand the PRD. I would like to have more numbers or something, I don't know? I guess it really doesn't matter if that's where we're leading down the 30 foot thing, but...

ANNETTE STOLLER: May I...
NEIL DUNN: PRD things are hard with all these special provisions and their small lots.

JIM SMITH: Yeah.

NEIL DUNN: Then people try to squeeze a bunch of stuff on them.
JIM SMITH: Well, that's exactly the problem. You have...

ANNETTE STOLLER: The reason I asked, Mr. Chair? The reason I asked about temporary versus permanent is some...I have seen a case where a temporary shed was moved. I'm not suggesting that be done, but was moved to satisfy the ordinance. In other words, a thing you go buy in Kmart...

JIM SMITH: Right.
ANNETTE STOLLER: ...or wherever you buy it...

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, I don't...my neighbors have a very beautiful lawn with in ground sprinklers and all that kind of stuff, and I don't see them moving their sheds to...

ANNETTE STOLLER: Are your neighbors here?
RAYMOND BLETHEN: No, they're not here, but they are wonderful people.
JIM SMITH: Do you know if the shed has a...how big that shed is on that corner of their property?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: I don't.

JIM SMITH: Roughly?

RAYMOND BLETHEN: I would say probably 8 foot by maybe 12 probably. That's a pretty common size shed I think right probably?

JIM SMITH: Okay. We get complicated ones. Is there anybody in the audience have any comments? No? Okay. Any other comments from the Board?

## DELIBERATIONS:

ANNETTE STOLLER: I think with open questions, we should continue it pending answers to where the other structure are.

JIM SMITH: Okay, I'll entertain a motion on something? Anyone, whoever...?
ANNETTE STOLLER: Can I move, or am I on, or off? What's the...
JIM SMITH: Ah, for this case, we'll have you on.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Geeze thanks. Well, I so move that we continue this hearing until the next meeting to obtain more precise measurements from of a 30 foot distance to other buildings, other structures.

JIM SMITH: Do I have a second?

NEIL DUNN: I'll second it.

JIM SMITH: Okay, all those in favor?

## ALL: Aye.

JIM SMITH: Okay, it looks like we are continuing this based on coming up with some firmer information as to what's on the other piece of property and what the location is.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Right, so I should gather the distance that I need from the edge of my garage to their...
JIM SMITH: Okay, what we need to find out...
RAYMOND BLETHN: ...building?

JIM SMITH: ...and Richard can try to help you out on this would be the distance from the...
[Overlapping comments]

JIM SMITH: ...what we're really looking for is a location of what's on the other property, so we can then determine whether you're gunna have to encroach into the 30 foot, 5 feet, 10 feet, or 20 feet, or whatever.

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Okay.
JIM SMITH: Okay.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair, not to complicate things...
BILL BERNADINO: I still think he's lost as to what he's supposed to do?
[Overlapping comments]

RAYMOND BLETHEN: Yeah, I have no...
BILL BERNADINO: He's lost; you can tell he's lost...
[Overlapping comments]

JIM SMITH: Richard knows what he wants.
[Laughter]
RICHARD CANUEL: Just come visit me at the office, and we'll go over it.
[Overlapping comments]
JIM SMITH: He'll get you straightened out.
RAYMOND BLETHEN: Okay.
RICHARD CANUEL: I'Il pull that subdivision file and we'll take a look at it.

JIM SMITH: Yeah, because he's...
ANNETTE STOLLER: It's the best.

JIM SMITH: ...got information about what's located on the other property, or hopefully he does. Not everybody gets a building permit for everything, so...

RICHARD CANUEL: So the continuation of the hearing will be next month?
JIM SMITH: Until next month.

NEIL DUNN: September $16^{\text {th }}$.

RICHARD CANUEL: Did somebody announce that? Yes.

NEIL DUNN: The next meeting September 16, 2015.
JIM SMITH: There won't be any further public notice.

RESULTS: THE MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE NO. 8/19/2015-1 TO SEPTEMBER 16, 2015 WAS APPROVED, 5-0-0.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,


NEIL DUNN, ACTING CLERK

TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY NICOLE DOOLAN, PLANNING \& ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARY.

APPROVED (SEPTEMBER 16, 2015) WITH A MOTION MADE BY J. TIRABASSI, SECONDED BY J. BENARD AND APPROVED 4-0-0.

## 18 Rossini Rd Attachment 2 - Pictures

Looking up driveway towards the area where the garage would be built:


Looking down the driveway from the front of proposed garage looking toward the street:


Looking at the edge of the property to see the separation created by tree line, fences and sheds:


Bing Maps Birds Eye Views showing the location of the proposed garage (Indicated with red circle):


