# ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT <br> 268B MAMMOTH ROAD <br> LONDONDERRY, NH 03053 

```
DATE: JUNE 17, 2015
CASE NO.: 6/17/2015-1
APPLICANT: MICHELLE SANBORN
42 CLARK RD.
LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
LOCATION:
4 2 \text { CLARK ROAD, 15-93-3, AR-1}
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: JIM SMITH, CHAIRMAN
JACKIE BENARD, VOTING MEMBER
JIM TIRABASSI, VOTING MEMBER
ANNETTE STOLLER, VOTING ALTERNATE
BILL BERNADINO, NON-VOTING ALTERNATE
NEIL DUNN, ACTING CLERK
ALSO PRESENT: RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ZONING
ADMINISTRATOR/HEALTH OFFICER
```

REQUEST:

VARIANCE TO ALLOW LIVESTOCK ON A RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY WITH LESS THAN TWO ACRES AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 2.3.1.4.A [FORMERLY SECTION 2.3.1.4.1].

## PRESENTATION:

N. DUNN READ THE CASE INTO THE RECORD. NO PREVIOUS CASES. NO LETTERS.

JIM SMITH: Okay, who will be presenting?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I will sir.

JIM SMITH: Okay, you want to approach one of the mics and...want to identify yourself and street address?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Good evening Council. My name is Douglas Foresteire, 42 Clark Road. Michelle Sanborn is my wife. I'm appearing in her behalf. We are appearing for a variance for poultry. It's not so much livestock. I'm not sure if that's all bunched in? Eight hens, we have eight hens. No roosters. Nothing loud. Nothing out of control. There housed at the back end of our property. Well over seventy feet from the road. Virtually no visible sign of the coop from the road. Cleaned on a regular basis. There is no odor. There is no debris, and again they are all hens. No roosters. We just don't do the roosters because they're loud and obnoxious. They are family pets. We do not breed them. We do not sell them. We do not slaughter them.
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My daughter hatches them from eggs and names them and we keep them. They are ninety-five percent of the time in the coop, or in the chicken run. On occasion they are out of the chicken run when I'm cleaning the coop. Directly behind us, Coke has purchased the property and it's a buffer zone. There's nothing back there but a field and some dense woods. To the right of my property, Coke has also purchased that property. Again, dense wood and vegetation. Nothing over there. To the left of my property, more dense woods and 44 Clark Road resides there. They're the closet abutter to my knowledge. Up to this point, I've had them for six years. I've been on the property for twelve years. I've had up to sixteen chickens at one time, and down to six. We presently have eight. Although while this has happened, my daughter's hatched two little babies. Don't know if their hens, or roosters. If they are roosters, we give them away. So that's where we are total wise. Do we anticipate on getting more? No. That is plenty enough for us, and I wanted to just reiterate they're pets. They come when my daughter calls them. The children in the neighborhood feed them and play with them. They you know, if you're not out back you don't see them. When they are out front, it's briefly and it's to get bread of crumbs whatever the kids are playing with out there. I do have an older child. A nine year old boy with autism and part of his autism is he has some sensory issues. The neurologist suggested several years ago to maybe find a pet to help him with his sensory. There's some textures that make him escalate and there's some textures that make his de-escalate, and we've tried kittens, and hamsters, and guinea pigs, and rabbits, and chickens. He has gravitated towards the rabbit and one specific chicken, and not to say that every day that's his thing. It rotates from Legos, to books, to the rabbit, to the chicken, but those are his world and if you were to chop one of those things away...change is a big drastic horribly difficult thing for him to handle. We're zoned A-1. The under two acres I certainly wasn't aware of any of that. Again, I've had them six years and I had no clue that it was an issue at all to anybody, and if it were I would just keep them in the run. I think that's about it for now.

JIM SMITH: Okay, how much land do you actually have?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: A little over half an acre.
JIM SMITH: So, it's an under sized lot?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: It's been split. It's been in the family since 1942, and it's been split, and split, and split, but we're roughly half an acre.

JIM SMITH: Okay, any questions from the Board?
NEIL DUNN: Richard, how was it brought to your attention?
RICHARD CANUEL: [Inaudible].
NEIL DUNN: I'm just thinking.

ANNETTE STOLLER: I have a question?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yeah.

Page 2 of 23
CASE NO. 6/17/2015-1; JUNE 17, 2015 HEARING; 42 CLARK ROAD; VARIANCE

ANNETTE STOLLER: How did the complaint come about? Was there an issue that came up?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: You heard it before I heard it. I have no idea. If there was an issue, we certainly could have...like I said, I have an eighteen by twenty run attached to the coop and they can stay in there all day long. It just so happens when I do cleaning, I let them forage and get bugs and mosquitos and ticks. They have a good old time then I call them and they go back in.

JIM SMITH: What was the nature of the complaint, Richard?
RICHARD CANUEL: Complaints were the chickens migrating into the neighbor's property on to actually their porch and front steps and defecating on the property.

JACKIE BENARD: If I may Mr. Chairman?

JIM SMITH: Yeah.
JACKIE BENARD: You claimed that you had sixteen at one time and that you're down to eight.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Um hmm.

JACKIE BENARD: I guess how did you come to have so many and then go down to eight?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Well, we came to have some many...my daughter really, she's an animal person and we got a cheap little incubator from Tractor Supply and ordered some eggs on line. There is no guarantee with shipping eggs. You don't know if you'll get one, or all of them. We got most of them. A lot of them were our roosters. Which we gave away. That would be the max at any time. The odds of getting eighteen hens is ridiculous. The reason we went down...predators. We have foxes. We have coyotes. We have the hawks up above.

JACKIE BENARD: How long have you had these eight?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: These eight have been for six years.
JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Two of them are probably on their last days. I don't really think they last very long. I was surprised they made it to this year. They don't produce eggs anymore, but their pets.

JACKIE BENARD: Thank you.
BILL BERNADINO: Did you say this was part of your...somebody...with ADA? Yeah, not ADH...his routine?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yes, yes. You can't schedule it because you can't get in his head, but some days he wakes up and it's Legos. Then some days he wakes up and it's the rabbit. Then some days he wakes and it's
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a book. On the day where it's the chicken...I mean that is it. Until you...you can't alter that routine because when you do it's really, really hard.

BILL BERNADINO: Now, is that done through your doctor? The doctor is...?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yeah, neurologist, Doctor Woods in Manchester.
BILL BERNADINO: So, basically under the Americans with Disability Acts, it's part of his treatment right now?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: It's part of his treatment, yup.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair, may I?

JIM SMITH: Go ahead.

ANNETTE STOLLER: I just want to say that I understand the inability to make a change for your son.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Um hmm.
ANNETTE STOLLER: It's really difficult and animals are the best, as best as I know it, relationship for the children with autism. I'm curious; did they recommend horses for the child? This has nothing to do with this...

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: No, actually we were going up. We started with the kittens, and then we went to the gerbils and the hamsters and the guinea pigs. None of them did a thing for him. The rabbit did. It just so happened that we had the chickens at the time, and it was just one certain one and it's a big fluffy white one.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Yeah, I'm familiar with it. My granddaughter went through those stages.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yeah, yeah. So, that's seems to be...the other ones, he doesn't even know they exist. He just knows which chicken it is.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Um hmm.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Again, the whole back of the property there is nothing. It's a buffer zone from the Coca-Cola plant to us, and the same thing to the right of us. It's all buffer zone and woods. There's nobody there.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair, may I? So, if I'm to understand when you clean the cage, or coop, whatever you have there, you have to have the chickens out of there. I understand on that...

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yes.

ANNETTE STOLLER: ...but, is there another way of confining them?
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DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Absolutely, it's got a sixteen by twenty enclosure.
ANNETTE STOLLER: For that.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I certainly can keep that fence closed and leave them in there as I clean.

## ANNETTE STOLLER: Okay.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: It's surrounding the shed more or less.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Yeah, because listening to the complaint, you don't want to experience that frequently.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I can make that go away tomorrow. Had I known, I certainly would have done that.
JIM SMITH: Richard, isn't there a provision for a variance in regards to handicap?
RICHARD CANUEL: Yeah there is. The statute was amended a number of years ago to give the Zoning Board the authority to grant variances for those who are physically disabled. To grant a variance without finding a necessary hardship as you would in other variance requests, but I think the intent of that statute is mainly for structural provisions. Where someone may have to build an accessible ramp, or something and encroach on the property line. Because the statute does say a physical disability.

ANNETTE STOLLER: So you've never...? I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, may I?

JIM SMITH: Yeah, go ahead.
ANNETTE STOLLER: So, you've never encountered a request for things of this nature before?
RICHARD CANUEL: I haven't.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Not that you are aware of?
RICHARD CANUEL: No, no, I haven't, no.
NEIL DUNN: We...if I may Mr. Chairman? We have in the past for other animals.

ANNETTE STOLLER: I know for other animals, but what I'm looking at is the child's need as an autistic child when, God forbid, that particular chicken dies. You're going to have your hands full.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yes.
ANNETTE STOLLER: The child's need for the lack of stability, or the lack of change. It's not a normal thing...
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DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: A change in routine is...if you want to throw him upside down that would be it.

ANNETTE STOLLER: So, you haven't encountered that because in this case who knows it might be a mouse you know, it's...okay so we're weighing that against the neighbor's complaint? Okay.

NEIL DUNN: If I may Mr. Chairman? Richard, I thought you know our zoning has been changed as it needs to. I thought a few years ago, we had an exception for chickens, or there was talk of that, no?

RICHARD CANUEL: Not that I'm aware of?
NEIL DUNN: But, you can have a pig?
RICHARD CANUEL: No, not that I'm aware of?

NEIL DUNN: But, we can have a pig in the ordinance?
RICHARD CANUEL: Well, it's livestock by definition of the ordinance.
NEIL DUNN: Right, but...no...I thought that maybe there was talk years ago because everybody wanted free range chickens and good eggs. I remember a discussion I think maybe and I apologize.

RICHARD CANUEL: Um, yeah.
NEIL DUNN: But, under 2.3.1.4 they could have a pig?
RICHARD CANUEL: I suppose?
NEIL DUNN: Well, it says commercial...
[Overlapping comments]

JACKIE BERNARD: You have to go by the definition of livestock.
NEIL DUNN: No, but it says the raising of pigs for one's own home consumption shall be permitted in doing so? I'm just trying to get a balance on the thing. That's all I'm trying to do here.
[Overlapping comments]
NEIL DUNN: So, okay. It's 2.3.1.4.C. It talks about you can't have a commercial piggery, but for your own consumption you could raise pigs.

JACKIE BERNARD: Correct, but it gives a definition one page 171 in our ordinances for livestock. Where it says domestic.
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NEIL DUNN: Well they're not talking domestic if you're going to eat if for your own consumption?

JACKIE BERNARD: No, but it clearly defines that domestic pet. If you have a domestic pet what is it, and versus the livestock which this falls under the livestock.

NEIL DUNN: Yeah, no, l've follow that but, okay...I just...
JACKIE BERNARD: It was just the way it was worded, so...
NEIL DUNN: ...okay.

ANNETTE STOLLER: There are some pigs, and people have used them to slaughter them and sell the meat, and they've run against the ordinances for that, but I know that some of that is still going on. This is quite different. I am curious, was this one complaint, or multiple?

RICHARD CANUEL: Well, we did receive more than one complaint from one neighbor.
ANNETTE STOLLER: I was thinking that if it was one perhaps there could be a settlement between the two people and avoiding all of this?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I'd be wide open to that, and I have a full enclosure. If that's was the issue. Then we'll keep them in the enclosure.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Well, the issue here also is an undersized lot for this.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Um hmm.
ANNETTE STOLLER: So.
JACKIE BERNARD: So, you can have a pig, but you have to consume it?

NEIL DUNN: But, you'd still need two acres.
JACKIE BERNARD: Yeah, exactly. You are not eating any of these chickens?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Oh, no.

JACKIE BERNARD: Okay.
JIM SMITH: You want to go through the five points of law?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Sure.

JIM SMITH: So, we have that on the record.
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DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Okay. Point of law, would that be number one the variance would not be contrary to the public interest?

JIM SMITH: Yeah.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Okay. Surrounding property values would not diminish. We're zoned A-I. We have no roosters. No noise. There is no smell. There is no odor. There is no mess. Coops are seventy feet from the road, and a minimum of a hundred feet to any other door...any other home. No abutter would be disturbed by sight, smell or noise.

JIM SMITH: Okay.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Number two. Spirit of the ordinance is observed. The chicken coop is located more than seventy feet from the road barely visible. Never to this day, in the past six years have I had a complaint from any of the neighbors. No one has approached me. Again, there is no smell. No danger. No destruction to the community. Number three. Substantial justice is done. In addition to the eggs that they provide the church and the neighbors a seven year old has hatched them named them. They come to her when she calls. They aren't used for breeding/slaughter, selling, etc., they are family pets. Values of surrounding properties are not diminished. Coca-Cola owns the property next door and behind me, and the land is used as a buffer. It's complete with fields, trees, thick woodlands, wooden area on either side of me. Prevents the neighbors from seeing them, or hearing them. Only time the chickens do go to the front of the house is during cleaning time. Then they return right away. Go to number five?

JIM SMITH: Yeah. Go ahead please.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Okay. The enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship. My autistic boy, it would turn his world upside down. I know it's silly, but that's his thing. The one chicken...came from his neurologist. We tried several...trust me over the years, many, many ways to help him escalate, or de-escalate. Stop him from escalating, or de-escalate, and we found several things and this is one of them. It turns his world around. It stops him from your know from going from here to here, and if by petting a chicken for five minutes can help him. That's my thing. Keep going?

JIM SMITH: Yeah, please.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: The chickens pose no disturbance in regards to sight, noise, smell because of the distance to surrounding properties. Again, buffer zone to my back. Buffer zone to my right. The neighbor at 44 is on the other side of the trees. Never heard a word from him. The proposed use is a reasonable one. Again, their pets. They're never seen, heard. You know with the exception from what I'm hearing is when the two went out on the lawn, but that is easily corrected. Again, once that hen house is clean, you don't' hear a peep. No roosters, no noise...eggs. B. Go on to B?

JIM SMITH: Yeah, please.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: If the criteria of subparagraph A are not established an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if and only if owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance and the variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it. We have less than two acres, we do. I have chickens and chickens that run free at times. I do. There not out of control. They're well in control. They are pets, and my eight year old boy loves a chicken. Any change for an autistic child is brutal, and if I'm losing a chicken over something like it's not being locked up for an extra forty-five minutes out of the day seems to me a little bit harsh. I'll just leave it at that.

JIM SMITH: Okay, thank you. Any other questions from the Board?
NEIL DUNN: If I may?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Yes.
NEIL DUNN: You don't need roosters to have eggs hatch?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: No sir. Hens will lay eggs all by themselves.
NEIL DUNN: Well, I knew they laid eggs. I just didn't know if they'd hatch... whether?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: No, the roosters will fertilize them, and we don't want more chickens. Nor do we want the noise, or the mess from big old roosters.

NEIL DUNN: The ones that you hatch are the ones that were bought?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Bought, yeah.
NEIL DUNN: Oh, okay. I was just trying to...
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: No, good question.
NEIL DUNN: ...catch up on my...it's been a while since I've been in biology. I thought you probably needed a rooster.

JIM SMITH: Okay, seeing no further questions from the Board. Anyone who is in support of this request? Would you approach a microphone? Identify yourself, name and address.

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Should I sit for this, or stay?
JIM SMITH: Yeah, you can stay.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Okay.

JIM SMITH: Yeah, there's a second mic there.
ELIZABETH VELTS: My name is Elizabeth Velts. I live at 39 Clark Road. Almost across the street from the Foresteire's. We've live there for twelve years. Myself and my fiancé Glen Hamel. We rent the property from Steve Doyle (see Attachment \#1). In which I'd like to submit this e-mail from him. He tried to get it to you last night. He's been out of town, but he's in full support of them and there chickens. We've lived there for twelve years and have never ever experienced any problems with the chickens. All the neighbors like them. The children would feed them. I pet those chickens. I'll give them bread on my lawn, so that they'll eat the bugs out of my gardens. I mean their pets. You know, simple as that. We've never had any problems with them, and up until recently, I've never experience or heard anybody else ever having problems with them there. There's been other people that have had chickens up there also. Nobody has ever said a word until recently, so that's pretty much all I have to say. I'd like to submit this letter though from...?

JIM SMITH: Yeah, you can give it to...

## ELIZABETH VELTS: Okay.

NEIL DUNN: Would you like me to read it in, or are you waiting for the...?
JIM SMITH: Yes, please.

## [N. Dunn read into the record the email from Steven and Helena Doyle (see Attachment \#1)].

KAREN LAMONTAGNE: Hello, my name is Karen Lamontagne. I live at 44 Clark Road. Right next to Doug and Michelle on the...I think it'd be the left side correct? Never had a problem. Never any odor. My son, he's eight. My oldest boy is thirteen. They love the chickens. I myself, we had chickens. Unfortunately, a raccoon came along and we no longer have any more chickens, but l've lived there for eight years, not... and never had any problem. No odor. You don't hear them. There... and I myself have witnessed Alan going towards that fluffy. Fluffy is his name. The white one, and just to see a child that when he's focused on...that's all there's nothing else around him. That's it, and to see Olivia. She's like the pied piper. I've never seen a little girl whistle and here they come in like a little line, and just follow here. I keep telling him, he needs to put it on YouTube. That he'd get a million hits, but yeah never. No odor. Don't hear them, so I guess that's it.

JIM SMITH: Okay, thank you.
KAREN LAMONTAGNE: You're welcome.

JULIO FIGUEROA: Good evening. Julio Figueroa, 41A Clark Road. We are the neighbors right across...pretty much across the street from Doug and Michelle. We've been here three years. I've never had a problem with any of the chickens. You know like I said, to reiterate...I mean, I don't smell anything. I don't hear anything. I think probably the most noisiest thing l've ever heard living there has been a fisher cat. In the
three years I've been there, there's been plenty of other animals around. Domestic and wild...l've seen turkey. I've seen hawks. I mean you know as far as the chickens. I don't have a problem with it. I've seen you know first-hand Alan. It makes him happy and if the kids happy then everyone's happy. I've had experience with friends that have autistic kids, and yeah the little things make a world of difference so...you know, I'm fine with the chickens. I have no problem with it.

JIM SMITH: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else in support?

WYATT LAMONTAGNE: Hello, I'm Wyatt Lamontagne. I think the chickens are good because all the kids in the neighborhood really like them because they are helpful. They eat all the tics and mosquitos and that helps us so if we get bit by tics or anything, we may get lime disease and stuff, and that's not good for children. Thankfully, with the chickens they eat them and they protect us from harmful causes of the earth, and also Al and Olivia they love the chickens so much. They take care of them. She calls them, they come. Alan, he loves fluffy the white chicken. He's so durable. He has like fluffy paws. There's a girl across the street named Vanessa. She calls the chicken and they come. She gives them bread and strawberries and fruit and stuff. Me and my brother, we have a path that leads next door, so we usually go over there and visit them. Give them bread. Chill-lax with them.

JIM SMITH: Okay, thank you. Anyone else in support?
KYRSIS FIGUEROA: Hello, my name is Kyrsis Figueroa. I live at 41A Clark Road. Vanessa is my daughter, and she loves the chickens. We save all our bread ends. All the vegetables that are going bad and the fruit, and we leave presents for Doug and Michelle at the door on a weekly basis so that he can feed his chickens. When Missy and Shaw had them as well, we would do the same thing. We'd split it up in half. So, we really have no issues with the chickens. It makes the kids happy. It gives them something to do. It keeps them out of trouble.

JIM SMITH: Okay, thank you.
KYRSIS FIGUEROA: Yup.

JIM SMITH: Anyone else in support? Anyone in opposition, or having questions?
TOM HILL: Yes, I do right here.
JIM SMITH: Okay, want to approach a microphone. Identify yourself. Give us your address.
TOM HILL: Yeah. My name is Tom Hill. 41B Clark Road. Richard, I believe my wife came to see you and Libby.

## RICHARD CANUEL: Yes.

TOM HILL: We had spoken to Libby. As you can see they all love the chickens, and I have no problem with that. Okay. For his kid, that's great, but as you can see everyone's feeding the chickens. Three weeks ago, I
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had to complain. Me and him don't get along that well. Okay, so what I did was I did the right thing and came down to the Town. I talked with Libby. She said write a letter. If you don't get along with him, you don't need any problems. So, I wrote the letter. Okay. Since the letter, since three weeks ago, I haven't had a problem with the chickens. He's had the chickens confined. I have a dog. I confine that dog. He's on a leash. He has done the right thing in three weeks, but as you can see everybody feeds the chickens. They've been feeding them before the three weeks. So, if they want to go out and throw the food in their yard. The chickens of course are going to come. Now they are coming in my yard. I don't want the chickens in my yard. If I put down fertilizer...I don't want them eating that. It's not right. I don't want them walking up towards my deck. That's my preference, but if they wouldn't keep feeding the chickens and maybe go over to the cage and feed them personally great. Again, he's done a great job in three weeks. I had one incident. They were over. I took some pictures. You don't need to see the pictures. Okay, but he's done a great...I haven't seen them. Honest to god. They may go out when he cleans the pen. I'll see one, or two around his area where he sits, and what have you, but they haven't been over. He's done a good job, but I had to write the letter. In order for this to happen. Do you understand? Because if I didn't, they all would have kept feeding the chickens. They love them. That's fine. That's great, but go to their house and feed them. Not in front of your yard because I have a big yard. They like to come over to my house for some reason because of the grass, or what have you. If they would do that, hey let them have them. No problem. He's done a great job. My only incident after I wrote the letter. One week later, I had the police at my house. They accused me of knocking over their bike with the flowers in them. I never did that, and I want him to know that because we don't talk. I talk with Mr. Tom Olson. He says Tommy, I believe you. Okay. Did you call his children...I don't even want to say the word, and it begins with an r. Never ever would I do that to a child. He accused me of that. It's not right. I am not that kind of a person. Two weeks ago, the cops come back to my house saying my wife is going to kill him. I had to go to the cops...come over. This is what I'm going through right now which I don't need. I don't need the cops at my house every other week. If you're mad at me because I called them...the chickens. I had to do what I had to do, but to involve the police and make up accusations that never happened. I wouldn't do that. Okay, if they would stop feeding them...everybody, not problem. Again, he's done a great job in three weeks. He has, and I support him. I want him to have the chickens because I'm not a bad person, and if I can go out today and shake his hand, I will. I just ask you, do not...don't think I am doing something to you, or your family because I would never do that. We were good friends Doug. We were good friends.

JIM SMITH: Okay, let's not get in...
TOM HILL: I've plowed your driveway. I've done a lot of things for you, and I've helped you, and I appreciate things that you do back in return. We haven't talked in over a year. If we can go out today and shake your hand, I would say "hey god bless". I would like him to have the chickens. If it helps his child, which I never knew about. I'm learning about this today, god bless because I'm not a bad person. My neighbors, I don't get along with any of them. None of these people. I sat back there. I'm not on their team, but I'm not a bad person. Whether or not they believe it or not is one thing, but that is the truth. Okay, and that's what l've been going through and that's why I had to go see Richard and Libby, and do what I had to do. Okay, because if not chickens would have kept coming, and they all would have kept feeding them, and I would still have to keep putting up with the chickens in my yard. I shouldn't have to. I shouldn't have to shoo chickens out of my yard when I come home from work, and if he's don't that... and he's done that in three weeks. He's confined them. He has done a great job, and that's all I ask in return, so am I on his team? Yeah, I would like
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him to have the chickens. I really would. I would like him to have the chickens. Especially, if it helps his child, but the accusations that I went through for the last two weeks, and my wife never were true. Never were true because even Tom Olson said that if those were true, and those things happened, we would have arrested you. He's upset right now, and he wants to punish you because you wrote the letter to them about the chickens. I've never had the cops come here until these chickens came. Until the chickens story, so...again, I support him, I want him to have them. Again, if we can shake hands at the end of the day, and if not no big deal. Because l've learned one thing from the officer just mind your business, if he doesn't want...you know that's the best thing. So, I don't say nothing to him. He don't say nothing to me, but if we can shake hands, and say hey "listen you go on your way, I go on my way, good luck to you" that's all I ask. That's all I'm hoping for.

JIM SMITH: Okay, thank you.
TOM HILL: Alright, thank you.
JIM SMITH: Anyone else?

MARK BEAUDOIN: Thank you Mr. Chair, my name is Mark Beaudoin. I'm from Nixon Peabody in Manchester. I represent Coke Bottling Company of Northern New England. To be clear, we are not opposed to the variance. Truthfully, when we got the application, we thought we were dealing with cattle, or bison, or something because of the word livestock...
[Laughter]
MARK BEAUDOIN: ...and I read the application and I realized it was chickens. My client just wants to express that if the variance is granted, we'd appreciate it if you'd limit the number of chickens to the eight which is what they put in the application, and limit it to laying hens. Because there is some sort of safety issue with the chickens roaming all over into the production facility, so that was our concern. I have a letter that I would like to submit which sets forth that. Thank you very much.

JIM SMITH: Okay.
NEIL DUNN: That's alright, I'm just filling in.

JIM SMITH: At this point, if you wish to rebut anything?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I don't believe this is the time. I think...I'm thankful for your time, and if Mr. Hill would like to shake hands, that's fine.

JIM SMITH: Okay.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I'm good with it.
JIM SMITH: Want to read the letter?
[ $N$. Dunn read into the record the letter from Nixon Peabody (see Attachment \#2)].
JIM SMITH: Okay. At this point, I'll bring it back to the Board. Any further questions from the Board? Comments?

NEIL DUNN: I guess I'd like to get clarification that you can keep them penned up while you're cleaning and doing whatever you need...

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Absolutely.

NEIL DUNN: ...and the kids can still go over and feed them in the fence?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Eight foot tall fence.
NEIL DUNN: Make a bigger fence, or whatever?
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: I have an eight foot fence going around it. Again, it's sixteen by twenty, and I can just keep that closed as I clean out the coop.

NEIL DUNN: And you have no trouble limiting it to eight?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: No.
JIM SMITH: Any other comments, or questions?
ANNETTE STOLLER: No, that was my question.

JIM SMITH: Okay, at that point we'll close...well I want to...if we were to grant this would you be agreeable to have the variance cease if you sell the property?

DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Absolutely.
JIM SMITH: Okay, because one of the problems with a variance. It typically runs with the land.
DOUGLAS FORESTEIRE: Right. Upon...if and when the time comes that we are moving away, we walk away from the variance.

JIM SMITH: Alright.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair, are we able to do that?
JIM SMITH: I believe?

ANNETTE STOLLER: I thought if you didn't do the variance then you're into the land of special exceptions?

JIM SMITH: No, a special exception can only be granted for those things that are specifically listed in the zoning ordinances for special exceptions.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Okay.
JIM SMITH: So, on a special exception there's a particular criteria and so that's a whole different...
ANNETTE STOLLER: Yeah, but that does not realm with the land, that's...

JIM SMITH: No, but that's a different...that's debatable too, I'm afraid.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Really, let's talk about that some time.
JIM SMITH: Well, according to our...when we went to that training up in Concord, I raised that issue whether or not...remember that Jackie?

JACKIE BENARD: Yeah, Richard if we grant the variance it stays with the property forever?

RICHARD CANUEL: Well, the Board does have the power to place conditions on the granting of the variance.
JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
RICHARD CANUEL: You've granted variances before with sunset dates. You can do the same in this particular situation and say that the variance sunsets when the occupant no longer has any need for the use of the livestock, such as selling the property or moving away, whatever...

JACKIE BENARD: Okay. Alright.
RICHARD CANUEL: So, I'm sure...yeah, yeah it's...
JACKIE BENARD: Okay, good.
RICHARD CANUEL: ...legal to do that.
JACKIE BENARD: Okay, good. Thank you.
JIM SMITH: Much like when we request a requirement that they had to get a site plan and so forth.
JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
JIM SMITH: Same idea.

JACKIE BENARD: Okay.
JIM SMITH: No further questions? Comments? At this point, the public hearing is closed, and we will take this under discussion and go from there.

## DELIBERATIONS:

JIM SMITH: We're going to vote, so if you want to you can wait for the...
TOM HILL: No, no. Listen, like I said, I hope you vote his way. I really do.

JIM SMITH: Okay. Well, I'm just want...

TOM HILL: I'm not being you know...
JIM SMITH: Okay. We're not...
TOM HILL: ...He gets that. I really do from the bottom of my heart.
JIM SMITH: Okay.
TOM HILL: Because he's done a great job, okay. That's all I have to say. Thank you very much.
JIM SMITH: Okay. Why don't we go through the five points of law, and see what we get from that. Okay, granting the variance would or would not be contrary to the public interest?

ANNETTE STOLLER: Does not affect property values.
NEIL DUNN: Well, I guess when I look at the public interest in this case with chickens in particular, I would think as opposed to some oversized building, or encroachments on setbacks and all of that, it's really about the neighbors. It appears the neighbors are in concurrence with them having the chickens, so I think they meet it with that. I don't think it's contrary to the public interest in this case. It's not a safety hazard to the Town or community. The only concern would be the residence and most of them seem to be here and some just want a little bit more control which sounds very reasonable to me. I'm good with number one. I don't know what you guys think?

JACKIE BENARD: I think it would be contrary to the public interest should there be a larger volume of chickens. You know because at one time there were sixteen, so there really has to be some real clarity on the amount of...

JIM SMITH: Well, at this point, he's saying eight.
JACKIE BENARD: Yeah, so.

JIM SMITH: And he's agreeable to limiting it to eight.

NEIL DUNN: And not letting them free range which was causing the issue, and there's room for them. He can make more room for the kids to play in. So, I know, I'm good with one.

JIM SMITH: Anyone else? Okay, next. The spirit of the ordinance would or would not be observed because?
ANNETTE STOLLER: It's no imposition to the community.
JIM SMITH: Well, I think the spirit of the ordinance...I think part of it is trying to limit non-pets, or livestock in...

JACKIE BENARD: In the confined areas.

JIM SMITH: ...in the confined areas. I think one of the problems I have with this a little bit is the fact that it's only a half an acre. I mean if it was even an acre it would be a little bit better, but it's an undersized lot to begin with. Of course we're talking eight chickens, so.

NEIL DUNN: And yeah, I kind of agree with you. The spirit is sometimes hard on something like this because...and again, it's addressing livestock, and where these are pets and we define them as livestock. They're not being...I mean the egg component I guess you could call it livestock, but it's a funny line with it being more of a pet and having such a great effect on the child.

JIM SMITH: Yeah, when you look at the definition of a pet it says "animals normally kept as pets".

JACKIE BENARD: For enjoyment.
JIM SMITH: Yeah. Which kind of...

NEIL DUNN: I was in upstate New York. A lot of people have chickens for pet.
[Laughter]
NEIL DUNN: No and I...that's the trouble so when we get to it you know again if it was something a little bit more invasive or something then that's where I would have trouble with the spirit. The size being a half-acre is small but I don't know? I'm kind of seeing them more as pets. I'm not seeing it...

JIM SMITH: Again, when you talk about pets. There are pets and there are pets. What do you do with a miniature horse? Is that a pet, or a horse?

NEIL DUNN: Okay?
ANNETTE STOLLER: Or a pot belly pig?

NEIL DUNN: That's why we call it...we can decide one by one can't we?

JIM SMITH: Ahh, I don't know? I was surprised on the TV not too long ago. I saw a show where they were using a miniature horse as a guide animal.

ANNETTE STOLLER: Um hmm. A service animal?
BILL BERNADINO: Service animal, and that's the big thing right now. Is he's got documentation from his doctor saying that this is helping his child with a disability.

JIM SMITH: Yeah, but that's only one out of the eight. In particular.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Um hmm.

JIM SMITH: One in particular out of the eight.

BILL BERNADINO: Yeah, if another one arises and he latches on to the next one it's still kind of like a medically necessary...

JIM SMITH: Yeah, well again it's...
[Overlapping comments]
BILL BERNADINO: Yeah, Americans with Disabilities just it's right out there. Anything can be a service animal now.

ANNETTE STOLLER: That might be stretching it?
BILL BERNADINO: No.
ANNETTE STOLLER: A service animal might be stretching it?
JACKIE BENARD: Well the thing was to don't...

BILL BERNADINO: Because it calms him down so it is considered good for his disability.

JIM SMITH: Okay. So where do we stand on spirit? Do we agree?
NEIL DUNN: I'm good on spirit because of the uniqueness of this case being more of a pet than livestock. I mean...

ANNETTE STOLLER: And there's...I see no imposition to the community. As long as he exercises controls.
[Overlapping comments]

Page 18 of 23

CASE NO. 6/17/2015-1; JUNE 17, 2015 HEARING; 42 CLARK ROAD; VARIANCE

JIM SMITH: Jackie anything further?
JACKIE BENARD: The spirit of the ordinance is for the area so as not to cause crowding and so on and so forth, and I understand it so because of these unique circumstances what the spirit of the ordinance was actually intended for I think I'd be okay.

JIM SMITH: Okay.
JACKIE BENARD: Yeah.

JIM SMITH: Okay, the next one. Granting the variance would or would not do substantial justice?
ANNETTE STOLLER: Same issue. They are pets.
JACKIE BENARD: I think granting the variance would do substantial justice do to the uniqueness of the animal in relations to the special needs child.

JIM SMITH: Okay, that takes care of one of the eight though? The other seven are...
NEIL DUNN: Back up?
JIM SMITH: Well, maybe? They evidently meet the needs of some of the other children involved in the family as well.

JACKIE BERNARD: Yeah.
ANNETTE STOLLER: And in the neighborhood.
JIM SMITH: Yeah again that's going to have to be...

NEIL DUNN: They've got to come visit.
JIM SMITH: ...rethought a little bit.
ANNETTE STOLLER: Yeah, well as you just said they can come visit.

JIM SMITH: Right, if they do it on that basis, I think it makes sense. With the following reasons the values surrounding property would or would not be diminished?

ANNETTE STOLLER: Would not.

JIM TIRRABASI: Would not be.

JIM SMITH: Number five. Following the special conditions of the property that distinguishes it from other properties in the area denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because? The first part is there or is not a fair and substantial relationship between the general public purpose of the ordinance provision and the specific application of the provision to the property because?

NEIL DUNN: I tend to look more Part B on this only because he does back up to an industrial area with large lots and so...you know the whole neighborhood. They have some small lots. They have some large lots. A big industrial lot behind them where you can hear trucks coming in all day and out. So...

ANNETTE STOLLER: It is kind of strange when we're worried about eight chickens and we've got those big trucks. I hadn't thought of that.

JIM SMITH: Well, the Coke plant isn't access through that road, so the Coke plant doesn't have any traffic on that road.

## ANNETTE STOLLER: Um hmm, but noise might be a factor?

JIM SMITH: Well...okay, other comments?
ANNETTE STOLLER: I think we just need to put in the condition of limiting the pets to eight chickens.
JACKIE BERNARD: So, there is or is not a fair substantial relationship between the general public purpose of the ordinance? In respect to the industrial area?

NEIL DUNN: No, I was referring to Section B on this where we start getting into more conditions physical conditions of the property, or special conditions that distinguish it from other properties. But getting to number one which you just read.

JACKIE BERNARD: Right.
NEIL DUNN: I don't think there is a fair and substantial between relationship and the general public purpose and this specific application because of the needs of the child and that they are more like pets. I could stay with the first portion. B is usually the fall back if you don't meet A...

JACKIE BERNARD: Um hmm, yeah.
[Overlapping comments]
NEIL DUNN: ...You know A1 and A2, but I'm still good with five A1 if it's not a substantial because of the...you know they're more like pets than livestock and the special needs of the homeowners which to me they kind of go along with the property as long as we make it sunset with them. I'm good with that.

JIM SMITH: Okay, under...then we have part...?

NEIL DUNN: A1...A2?
[Overlapping comments]
JACKIE BERNARD: A2 so the proposed use is a reasonable one...
NEIL DUNN: Because of...

JACKIE BERNARD: ...because of...

NEIL DUNN: ...they're more pet-like then...do we have to come up with a percentage of petness?
[Laughter]
NEIL DUNN: Over fifty-one percent? So, anyway I'm good with that one either way I don't know how everybody else is thinking?

JIM SMITH: Okay, having gone through that exercise. I'd entertain a motion.
JACKIE BERNARD: Do we want a limit?
ANNETTE STOLLER: For the conditions?
[Overlapping comments]

JACKIE BERNARD: For the eight?
JIM SMITH: Yeah, limit conditions for eight.
NEIL DUNN: Kept within the enclosed area?

JIM SMITH: And the variance would terminate on the...
BILL BERNADINO: Sale of the property?
JIM SMITH: How would you say it the...?

NEIL DUNN: Well, they must also be kept in the enclosed area.
JACKIE BERNARD: Okay, so conditions of eight hens only, and they have to be hens. To be housed?
JIM SMITH: To be contained at all times.

JACKIE BERNARD: Okay.

JIM SMITH: Whether they're in the...
[Overlapping comments]

JIM SMITH: ...coop or enclosed area, and the variance would cease upon the...
NEIL DUNN: The moving of...
JACKIE BERNARD: The variance would sunset at time of property sale?

NEIL DUNN: Or if they moved?

JACKIE BERNARD: Or if they...okay, or if no longer residing?
JIM SMITH: Right, yup.

JACKIE BERNARD: Okay.
JIM SMITH: Okay, do you want to make a motion?
JACKIE BERNARD: Mr. Chairman I'd like to make a motion to grant Case No. 6/17/2015-1 to allow a variance for livestock on a residential property with the conditions of eight hens only to be housed or contained at all times and the variance would cease upon vacating of property by the owners or if the property is sold.

JIM SMITH: Do I have a second?
JIM TIRABASSI: Seconded.

ALL: Aye.

## RESULTS: THE MOTION TO GRANT CASE NO. 6/17/2015-1 WITH CONDITIONS WAS APPROVED, 5-0-0.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

NEIL DUNN, ACTING CLERK

TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY NICOLE DOOLAN, PLANNING \& ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARY.

APPROVED JULY 15, 2015 WITH A MOTION MADE BY N. DUNN, SECONDED BY J. BENARD AND APPROVED 5-00.

# Steven Doyle <br> <br> Chickens 

 <br> <br> Chickens}

To whom it may concern :
Unfortunately we have been away and have been unable to pick up our mail and will not be able to attend the meeting. We are the owners at 39 Clark Road and completely support the efforts of Michelle and Doug to own and raise chickens. We can think of no better way to show our support than to write this letter. Please let us know of any further information us needed to show our support. Sincerely,
Steven and Helena Doyle

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone
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HIMON PEABODY Llp ATTURAEVS AT LAKA

MOOREPABODVCOR @MIMONPEABODYLLA

Mark E. Beaudoin
Associate mbeaudoin@nixonpeabody.com

900 Elm Street
Manchester, NH 03101-2031 603-628-4000

June 17, 2015
Jim Smith, Chair
Londonderry Zoning Board of Adjustment
268B Mammoth Road
Londonderry, NH 03053

## RE: Variance Application - Michelle Sanborn (Case No. 6/17/2015-1) Premises: 7 Symmes Drive, Londonderry, NH (Parcel ID. \# 015-098-0)

Dear Chair Smith:
We represent The Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Northern New England, Inc. ("CCNNE") in connection with the above-referenced Premises. As an abutter to Michelle Sanborn, our client is in receipt of the variance requested by her to allow livestock on her property located at 42 Clark Road. Although CCNNE is not directly opposed to granting the variance at this time, due to my client's proximity to Ms. Sanborn's property, we believe the variance should be reasonably limited to Ms. Sanborn's existing chickens. For this reason, my client respectfully requests that, should it be granted, the variance is expressly conditioned and limited to no more than eight (8) laying hens.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns.


