| 1 | | ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | 268B MAMMOTH ROAD |
| 3 | | LONDONDERRY, NH 03053 |
| 4 5 | DATE: | OCTOBED 21, 2015 |
| 6 | DATE. | OCTOBER 21, 2015 |
| 7 | CASE NO.: | CASE NO. 10/21/2015-3 |
| 8 | CASE NO | CASE NO. 10/21/2015 5 |
| 9 | APPLICANT: | THE GLYNN FAMILY TRUST |
| 10 | , <u>-</u> . - | 27 HIGH RANGE ROAD |
| 11 | | LONDONDERRY, NH 03053 |
| 12 | | , |
| 13 | LOCATION: | 27 HIGH RANGE ROAD; 5-37; AR-I |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: | JIM SMITH, CHAIRMAN |
| 16 | | JACKIE BENARD, VOTING MEMBER |
| 17 | | NEIL DUNN, VOTING MEMBER |
| 18 | | DAVE PAQUETTE, CLERK |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | ALSO PRESENT: | RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ZONING |
| 21 22 | | ADMINISTRATOR/HEALTH OFFICER |
| 23 | REQUEST: | A VARIANCE TO ALLOW A DETACHED GARAGE WITHIN THE FRONT |
| 24 | negoest. | SETBACK WHERE A MINIMUM OF 40 FEET IS REQUIRED BY SECTION |
| 25 | | 2.3.1.3.C. |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | PRESENTATION: | D. PAQUETTE READ THE CASE INTO THE RECORD. TWO PREVIOUS |
| 28 | | CASES. NO LETTERS. PAGES ARE ATTACHMENTS TO REFERRENCE. |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | JIM SMITH: Who will be presenting | ? |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | JOAN GLYNN: Good evening, I'm Jo | an Glynn and I live at 27 High Range Road. |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | | e spiel again. We only have four members. You need to get three positive |
| 35 | votes out of the four versus the nor | mal five. |
| 36 | | |
| 37 | JOAN GLYNN: Okay. | |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | | ody an opportunity if they wish to continue to the next month, and it's |
| 40 | your choice. | |
| 41 | IOAN CIVNING Okay I think I'll conti | inuo |
| 42 43 | JOAN GLYNN: Okay, I think I'll conti | mue. |
| 43 | JIM SMITH: Continue? | |
| 45 | July Siviliti. Continue: | |
| 73 | | |

JOAN GLYNN: Yes.

JIM SMITH: Okay.

48 49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

61

62

63

64 65

66

67 68

69 70

71 72

73

74

75

76 77

46

47

JOAN GLYNN: I need to replace the structurally unsound garage with a new garage. The current garage built in 1938 is approximately 25 feet from the property line and approximately 38 feet from the street. It does not meet the current 40 feet set back. The new garage...the variance will not be contrary to the public interest. The new garage will be the same size 20x20 and occupy the same footprint as the current garage. It will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood, nor will it impede with the safety of the neighborhood. The spirit of the ordinance is observed. The new garage will align with the home...an antique cape built in approximately 1795 is as the old garage does now. It will not add congestion to the roadway, or the neighborhood. Substantial justice is done. If you look at one of the pictures (see Attachment #1), you'll see there's an underground propane line running approximately 10 feet behind the current garage to the underground propane tank which is located about 50 feet from the right rear of the garage. Relocating the line and the tank will be an expensive undertaking. To the left of the garage is a five foot fence, and moving the garage back to meet that setback would also mean relocating the access gate to the fence. The values of surrounding properties are not diminished. Keeping the garage where it currently stands will maintain the historic character of this part of High Range Road and will not diminish the values of surrounding properties. Actually, the new garage will probably add values. School House #2 sits diagonally across from the property, and neither that house of the barn attached with it meet the current setback and I believe it's the Severance barn. There's a barn on the next property. Its right next door to my home and it's even closer to the street. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of the provision to the property. This home has been on this property for over 200 years. The existing garage has been there for almost 80 years and is in line and next to the house. Moving the garage further back to meet the ordinance would cause me to spend a significant amount of money. Moving it in another place on the property would be moving it further from the home and removing apple trees. The proposed use is a reasonable one. The new garage will be the same size and on the same footprint as the current garage and B, this property is long and narrow. The house doesn't meet today's setback of 40 feet and changing the location of the garage to meet the ordinance would take away the charm of one of Londonderry's oldest homesteads.

JIM SMITH: Questions?

78 79

NEIL DUNN: I would have never guessed you had a propane tank there. So, you're maintaining the exact same footprint?

80 81

JOAN GLYNN: The garage...

82 83 84

NEIL DUNN: A better foundation though?

85 86

87

JOAN GLYNN: ...with a foundation, yeah. All I wanted was garage door opener. That's all I wanted.

88

[Laughter]

89 90

NEIL DUNN: Or, a remote starter right?

| 91 | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 92 | [Laughter] |
| 93 | |
| 94 | NEIL DUNN: Do you know what the total depth of your lot is? Like you said, it is long, and runs along High |
| 95 | Range? Any idea, it doesn't? |
| 96 | |
| 97 | JOAN GLYNN: What the width of it is? |
| 98 | |
| 99 | NEIL DUNN:front to back property? |
| 100 | |
| 101 | JOAN GLYNN: I don'tI think at one point I did. |
| 102 | ' |
| 103 | NEIL DUNN: I guess it's not that big of a deal. |
| 104 | |
| 105 | JOAN GLYNN: It's very long and very narrow, except when I go to mow it. So, it's not that narrow. |
| 106 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 107 | [Laughter] |
| 108 | |
| 109 | NEIL DUNN: Then the long part takes over. Jim, do you know if there's something aboutespecially a |
| 110 | building of this age just maintaining the same footprint anyway? Haven't we run in |
| 111 | |
| 112 | JIM SMITH: Well |
| 113 | |
| 114 | NEIL DUNN:kind of she |
| 115 | |
| 116 | JIM SMITH:I hate to bring this up. |
| 117 | |
| 118 | NEIL DUNN:because it goes back to the total value of what's been removed type thing? |
| 119 | |
| 120 | JIM SMITH: Well, if you really wanted to play the game what you could do is lift the buildingbuild a |
| 121 | foundation under it |
| 122 | |
| 123 | NEIL DUNN: Drop it back down and then |
| 124 | |
| 125 | JIM SMITH: Drop it down |
| 126 | |
| 127 | NEIL DUNN:then fix the sore spot. |
| 128 | |
| 129 | JIM SMITH:then take part of the building down and rebuild that. Take another part of the building down |
| 130 | and rebuild that and essentially just rebuild what's there. |
| 131 | |
| 132 | JOAN GLYNN: Right. |
| 133 | |
| 134 | JIM SMITH: And, you wouldn't need a variance, because you just |
| 135 | |

| 136 137 | NEIL DUNN: Fixing. |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 137 138 139 | JOAN GLYNN: And, that's what was happening and the garage fell off the jack and now it has to be destroyed. It cannot be fixed. |
| 140 | destroyed. It carries be fixed. |
| 141 142 | NEIL DUNN: That's kind of what I was getting to, but I now sometimes footprints and |
| 143 144 | [Overlapping comments] |
| 145 146 | JOAN GLYNN: That's what I was hoping for, yeah |
| 147 148 | JIM SMITH: Okay, so |
| 149 150 | [Overlapping comments/laughter] |
| 151 152 | JOAN GLYNN: Wow yes, wow is right. |
| 153 154 | JIM SMITH: You took that approach, but luck wasn't with you. |
| 155 156 | JOAN GLYNN: No it was not, nope it wasn't. it's a lot more expensive this way. |
| 157 158 | JIM SMITH: Okay, when it says 25 feet from the property line, are you talking about the front property line? |
| 159 160 | JOAN GLYNN: Yes, yes. |
| 161 162 | JIM SMITH: Okay. |
| 163 164 | JOAN GLYNN: I forgot the back property line, but the front property line yes. |
| 165 166 | JIM SMITH: Okay, and you want to |
| 167 168 | JOAN GLYNN: Keep it right there. |
| 169 170 | JIM SMITH:keep it at the 25 feet? |
| 171 172 | JOAN GLYNN: Yes. |
| 173 174 | JIM SMITH: Okay, where's the 38 come in? |
| 175 176 | JOAN GLYNN: It's fromwhen I was looking at the |
| 177 178 | NEIL DUNN: From the street? |
| 179 180 | JOAN GLYNNthe street, yeah it's from the street when I was looking at the map. I was surprised that it was that different from the street to where the property was. |
| 1 | |

181 JIM SMITH: Okay. There's a difference between the edge of pavement and the actual edge of a property 182 183 line. 184 JOAN GLYNN: Oh, so the yellow on that map (see Attachment #2)? 185 186 187 JIM SMITH: Well, that's a line that's kind of been added by the computer type thing and it's not really a 188 surveyed line should we say. 189 190 JOAN GLYNN: Oh, okay. 191 JIM SMITH: So, it's an approximate at best. We had another case not too long ago and we were talking 192 about a sign and we basically said you can't really go by that line and we suggested that they really needed to 193 survey it, and I think when they did, I noticed the sign had been moved back about 4 feet...3 feet because 194 where the sign had been was in fact in the State right of way... 195 196 197 JOAN GLYNN: Oh. 198 199 JIM SMITH: ...So, it's...have you had this surveyed? 200 JOAN GLYNN: No, I inherited this house about 6 years ago, so I haven't had anything surveyed. 201 202 203 JIM SMITH: So, what we are looking at is an educated guess at best so... 204 205 JOAN GLYNN: There is a fence there, I don't know if that...if they need a... 206 207 JIM SMITH: Well, the other complication is High Range is a road by...what do they call it...rite of passage 208 versus say a newer road which is laid out and there's an actual deed that defines where the road is. Technically, I think if you go back and look at that type of a road your piece of property actually you own the 209 property up to the center line of the road. 210 211 212 JOAN GLYNN: Oh. 213 JIM SMITH: I mean, you can't do anything with it, and one of the things that kind of kicks in...say there was a 214 tree that was on the edge of the right of way and they wanted to chop it down they would have to give you 215 216 that tree if you wanted it for firewood, or whatever. They couldn't just take it away because the fact...the way...but that's because of the road being laid out as a road of rite of passage, and of course that road is... 217 218 219 NEIL DUNN: As old as the house?

JIM SMITH: No.

[Laughter]

220

221 222 223

224 225

JIM SMITH: It goes back to when they laid out...

| 226 | LA CIVIE DENIADO. CILI- |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 227 228 | JACKIE BENARD: Older. |
| 229 | JIM SMITH:these towns. |
| 230 | |
| 231 | [Overlapping comments] |
| 232 | NEU DUNN. Vook aksolutelu |
| 233234 | NEIL DUNN: Yeah, absolutely. |
| 235 | JIM SMITH: Okay, having said all of that and we got way off the track. |
| 236 | |
| 237 | DAVE PAQUETTE: So, if this property meets the actual property lines of at property go to the center line of |
| 238239 | the road? |
| 239 240 | JOAN GLYNN: I don't need to be here? |
| 241 | |
| 242 | JIM SMITH: Well, nono, it does and it doesn't |
| 243 | DAVE DAGUETTE, Work |
| 244245 | DAVE PAQUETTE: Yeah. |
| 2 4 5 | JIM SMITH:you know |
| 247 | |
| 248 | DAVE PAQUETTE: I'm just wondering if this is out of question now if her property goes to that center line? |
| 249 250 | UNA CNAITUR No hoggues |
| 250251 | JIM SMITH: No, because |
| 252 | DAVE PAQUETTE: Or, is she within the 40 feet? |
| 253 | |
| 254 255 | JIM SMITH:you're still looking at the edge of the right of way. |
| 255256 | DAVE PAQUETTE: Okay. |
| 257 | DAVETAGOETTE. Okay. |
| 258 | JACKIE BENARD: Okay. |
| 259 | |
| 260 261 | JIM SMITH: You know, so if youI'm surprisedokay, you inherited it so there wasn't any mortgage transaction or anything like that? |
| 262 | transaction of anything like that: |
| 263 | JOAN GLYNN: Well, I did get a mortgage. I had to because there was a reverse mortgage and that's a whole |
| 264 | other story. |
| 265 | |
| 266 267 | JIM SMITH: Well, I mean they didn't ask for a |
| 268 | JOAN GLYNN: No, the mortgage company |
| 269 | |
| 270 | JIM SMITH:plot plan? |
| | |

| 271 | |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 272 | JOAN GLYNN:no. |
| 273 | |
| 274 | JIM SMITH: Which is kind of unusual? Usually to protect their own interest they like to have it |
| 275 | |
| 276 | JOAN GLYNN: I had to get a newthere wasn't a clean title, so I had to get a new deed before the |
| 277 | |
| 278 | JIM SMITH: Yeah, okay. I thinkdoes anyone have any questions? I think this kind ofbecause of the history |
| 279 | of it and so forth and so on kind of meets all of the various |
| 280 | |
| 281 | JACKIE BENARD: Sort of like the beginning of the Town, the inception. |
| 282 | |
| 283 | DAVE PAQUETTE: What was it 1780 something? |
| 284 | |
| 285 | JIM SMITH: Actually, what you've got here is a pre-existing non-conforming situation. |
| 286 | LA CIVIE DENIADO LI. |
| 287 | JACKIE BENARD: Um, hmm. |
| 288 | UNA CONTILL. And if you had been expected in reining it and doing that you would have been been free |
| 289 | JIM SMITH: And, if you had been successful in raising it and doing that you would have been home free. |
| 290 291 | JOAN GLYNN: I wouldn't be here. |
| 291 292 | JOAN GLYNN. I Wouldn't be liefe. |
| 293 | JIM SMITH: That didn't work out. |
| 294 | JIM SIMITI. That didn't work out. |
| 295 | [Laughter] |
| 296 | [====5.1 |
| 297 | JIM SMITH: So, I think make it legally shouldI'm agreeable to the variance. Does anybody got any |
| 298 | objections? Okay, as far as the five points of lawOkay, any comment from the audiencepositive/negative? |
| 299 | Okay. Is anyone here for the 4 th case? Okay, okaywas wondering. |
| 300 | |
| 301 | NEIL DUNN: We even lost the reporters. |
| 302 | |
| 303 | [Laughter] |
| 304 | |
| 305 | DELIBERATIONS: |
| 306 | |
| 307 | JIM SMITH: Okay, I would say, rather than go through all the five points. Why don't we just say this is a very |
| 308 | historical thing and substantial justice is to allow the existence a replacement of a historical building? |
| 309 | |
| 310 | NEIL DUNN: In the same footprint though. |
| 311 | |
| 312 | JIM SMITH: In the same footprint. |
| 313 | |
| 314 | JOAN GLYNN: It's going to look the exact same. |
| 315 | |

| JIM SMITH: Yeah. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NEU DUNN. Var and with it an one very require to the Decad and electric to |
| NEIL DUNN: I'm good with it, so are you coming to the Board and closing it? |
| JIM SMITH: It looks like the printer was running out of ink when they made these forms. |
| JIM SMITH. It looks like the printer was running out of lifk when they made these forms. |
| DAVE PAQUETTE: Okay. |
| BAVETAQUETTE. GRay. |
| JIM SMITH: Somebody want to make a motion? |
| · |
| DAVE PAQUETTE: Sure, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to raise a motion in regards to Case 10-21-2015-3, I'd like to |
| raise a motion to grant the requested variance that all five points are met and due to the historical heritage |
| of the area with the restriction that it is the same footprint and design as the existing building that it's |
| replacing. |
| |
| JIM SMITH: Okay, do I have a second? |
| |
| NEIL DUNN: I second it. |
| UNA CANITUL Allul |
| JIM SMITH: All those in favor? |
| ALL: Aye. |
| ALL. Aye. |
| JOAN GLYNN: Thank you. |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| JACKIE BENARD: Have a good evening. |
| |
| [Overlapping comments] |
| |
| RESULTS: |
| |
| THE MOTION TO GRANT CASE NO. <u>10/21/2015-</u> 3 WAS APPROVED, 4-0-0. |
| RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, |
| NEST CON SELT SOCIONITY FED, |
| |
| - 0 |
| DAVE DAGLIETTE, CLEDV |
| DAVE PAQUETTE, CLERK |
| TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARY. |
| THE DAME TRANSCRIBED BY LEARNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEFARMMENT SECRETARY. |

Page 8 of 8

357

APPROVED 5-0-0.