1		ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2		268B MAMMOTH ROAD
3		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
4		LONDONDERINI, INT 03033
5	DATE:	APRIL 15, 2015
6		74 142 13, 2013
7	CASE NO.:	8/20/2014-2 (CONTINUED)
8		
9	APPLICANT:	ROBERT CASEY
10		26 PINE STREET
11		LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
12		20.120.1121.11.1) 11.11 03.000
13	LOCATION:	26 PINE STREET; 3-70, AR-I
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	JIM SMITH, CHAIRMAN
16		JACKIE BENARD, VOTING MEMBER
17		JIM TIRABASSI, VOTING MEMBER
18		ANNETTE STOLLER, NON-VOTING ALTERNATE
19		BILL BERNADINO, NON-VOTING ALTERNATE
20		NEIL DUNN, ACTING CLERK
21		
22	ALSO PRESENT:	RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ZONING
23		ADMINISTRATOR/HEALTH OFFICER
24	DE011507	
25	REQUEST:	RELIEF OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
26		PROVISIONS OF RSA 676:5.
27		
28	PRESENTATION:	
29		
30		same dilemma. We only really have four (4) people who would be able to
31	sit on your case. Dave was suppose	d to be here, but he hasn't shown.
32		
33	BRUCE MARSHALL: Understood Sir,	we are requesting a continuance to next month's meeting.
34		
35	JIM SMITH: Okay.	
36		
37		on so long, I think we have a quorum without the full Board. You know the
38		for a month, but to me it's getting out of control here. I think we should
39	just try to resolve it and go forward	? That's my thought. I don't know what the rest of the Board thinks?
40		
41	JACKIE BENARD: I agree. It's been a	a long time and everybody's been coming out, and we will have a quorum.
42		
43	JIM SMITH: Would the Zoning office	er have any comments on this issue?
44		

RICHARD CANUEL: Well, I'd just like to say that the Board has guidance from the Town's legal counsel regarding the Boards responsibilities for continuance so I would advise the Board to review those. If you haven't already?

NEIL DUNN: The other option be wait? If we wait a few minutes to start another case, and see if Dave shows up? He was supposed to attend. If you're concerned about the full quorum? To my point, we have a quorum anyway, but...

JIM SMITH: Yeah, yeah, ah...

BRUCE MARSHALL: It's our position, I believe based on some of the discovery that's been given...Counsel...our due process...to elaborate further on that, this case especially because the complainant is now a member of the Zoning Board, and some of the recent discovery that's been given showing on the nights we show up the complainant is a member of the Zoning Board...given the opportunity at the end of the meeting to criticize my representation to the Zoning Board that occurred early that night...and two recused members at least a few times at the end of the night having discussions about my client's case, and again the complainant criticizing my conduct and representations to the Zoning Board, so given that detail...then I get emails where the Town is sending very sympathetic emails to the complainant who is now a Zoning Board member. I'd like a five (5) member panel to hear our case in full just because there are so many irregularities in my experience that have gone on with this. Thank you. [Off Mic – some of this response was Indistinct]

JACKIE BENARD: Well, can I state something? I have no idea what you're even talking about?

[Overlapping laughter]

JACKIE BENARD: So I got to tell you, I have no idea what you are talking about? So...

BRUCE MARSHALL: Well, I encourage you...

JACKIE BENARD: I'm just trying to tell you because what I'm hearing from you and what you're expressing is that there's communication that may be impropriety going on, or things that are going back-n-forth, I really don't know what you're talking about? So...

BRUCE MARSHALL: The video of your meetings...

JACKIE BENARD: Yeah.

 BRUCE MARSHALL: ...if you go through them and look and listen to everything, we come in and we get continued, and it's closed. Then after all of your cases are done there's discussion about our case when we're not even here.

JIM TIRABASSI: Who's having the discussion?

JACKIE BENARD: I still don't even...I don't...

ANNETTE STOLLER: On the video?

BRUCE MARSHALL: Yeah, I can provide you...

[Overlapping comments]

BRUCH MARSHALL: ...on at least three (3) of them right?

[Overlapping comments]

JACKIE BENARD: And I have...

BRUCE MARSHALL: Happy to...it's this stuff we've gotten discovery because, I think it was the January/February meeting I raised the issue where we had several neighbors come in support of my client, and I said to the Board...one instance we were getting concerned and we're going to do some more discovery because...

JIM SMITH: Oh...

BRUCE MARSHALL: ...I was reporting...

111 JIM SMI

JIM SMITH: You need to get on a mic Sir?

BRUCE MARSHALL: Oh okay, sorry.

JIM SMITH: It's not that...

BRUCE MARSHALL: ...that it was one of the neighbors had given us copies of text messages of someone claiming influence with the Zoning Board. So we were going to do some more discovery to look into that. Part of that was getting full records of all the meetings, and in at least...I'm pretty sure at least three (3) of the meetings our case is brought up...in a one instance Mr. Bernadino um was complaining about my conduct earlier in the evening when I raised the issue about text messages, and there's a discussion um I have the very first August meeting that took place where um we were granted a continuance because I had a conflict and wasn't able to appear and there's three (3) pages of transcript where Mr. Bernadino was able to go on at nauseam making a lot of allegations and discussions with members of the Board that have since had to recuse himself from the case. So when we throw all that into it, we'd like a full five (5) member panel and we think under those circumstances it would...due process requires that we get that.

JACKIE BENARD: Well guess what I want to make sure it that you understood that the absence of information and, I can speak for myself that I take high regard to following procedures and I really don't know what you're talking about, so when we talk about continuances and this has been going this long, and neighbors are coming out and spending time out here. I just wanted to express that I felt that there would be fair due process; I can assure you from myself. Okay.

133	
134	BRUCE MARSHALL: No, I appreciate that.
135	
136	ANNETTE STOLLER: Mr. Chair?
137	
138	JIM SMITH: The only thing I'd like to say about that first meeting, and when he was talking, I was trying to cut
139	him off.
140	
141	[Overlapping comments]
142	
143	BRUCE MARSHALL: That was clear to me fromsorry
144	
145	[Overlapping comments]
146	
147	JIM SMITH: I was trying to cut him off, but
148	
149	BRUCE MARSHALL:from the transcript.
150	
151	JIM SMITH: Yeah. I was trying to cut him off, but you know there is a balance you have to do sometimes to let
152	somebody vent their frustration, if nothing else.
153	
154	BRUCE MARSHALL: Completely, understood
155	
156	JIM SMITH: You know, and
157	
158	BRUCE MARSHALL:and if it was just that
159	
160	JIM SMITH:that's
161	
162	BRUCE MARSHALL:that's why I didn't raise it before, but I have emails from the Town Manager, I have
163	these
164	
165	JIM SMITH: Well, we have no control over emails he's doing.
166	
167	[Overlapping comments]
168	
169	BRUCE MARSHALL: Right, I understood, but there's lots of things there that in my opinion show some support
170	from that text message I referenced earlier, and that's why I advised my client you should have five (5)
171	members of the Board
172	
173	JIM SMITH: Okay.
174	
175	BRUCE MARSHALL:that's all.
176	

JIM SMITH: Okay. Having said all that, why don't we just... NEIL DUNN: Did Annette have a question? ANNETTE STOLLER: Well, I had a question about what the... [Overlapping comments] ANNETTE STOLLER: ...I had a question to direct to him about what the particular reference was to. I know I've been at most of those meetings having missed the last two, but you know surgery over took me, but...I just don't know exactly...just as Jackie said what this is about. If you could clearly give...if he could clearly give us three or four sentences that would be helpful? JIM SMITH: I don't think we need to go into this any further tonight? I think we're going to have to continue. We don't have...in a way this was helpful because I was hoping Dave would show up in this timeframe, but obviously for some reason he is not here. ANNETTE STOLLER: Anyone know? JIM SMITH: No, we don't... [Overlapping comments] JIM SMITH: ...he had emailed us that he was going to attend. He must have had some sort of personal problem, or something. I know one other time he had a family problem that came up at the last moment. BRUCE MARSHALL: Understandable...I'm must asking for... JIM SMITH: Yeah, yeah, okay. So I think at this point I'd entertain a motion to continue. NEIL DUNN: Mr. Chairman, in light of the concerns from the applicant and obviously some stuff that all of us need to look into this coming month? I'll make a motion to continue the case until our next scheduled meeting... JIM SMITH: May 20<sup>th</sup>. NEIL DUNN: ... May 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015. BRUCE MARSHALL: Excuse me, what was that date? JIM SMITH: May 20<sup>th</sup>. BRUCE MARSHALL: Thank you. Thank you. 

221	JIM SMITH: Okay, all thosedo I have a second?		
222			
223	JACKIE BENARD: Second.		
224			
225	JIM SMITH: Jackie seconds. All those in favor?		
226			
227	ALL: Aye.		
228			
229	RESULTS: THE MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE NO. 8/20/2014-2 TO MAY 20, 2015 WAS GRANTED, 5-0-0.		
230			
231	1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,		
232	Mail Dun		
233	NEIL DUNN, ACTING CLERK		
234			
235	TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY NICOLE DOOLAN, PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT		
236	SECRETARY.		
237			
238	APPROVED MAY 20, 2015 WITH A MOTION MADE BY NEIL DUNN, SECONDED BY JACKIE BENARD AND		

239

APPROVED MAY 20, 2015 WITH A MOTION MADE BY NEIL DUNN, SECONDED BY JACKIE BENARD AND APPROVED 5-0-0.