| 1<br>2<br>3  | MIN        | NDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD<br>NUTES OF THE MEETING OF AUGUST 6, 2008 AT THE MOOSE HILL<br>NCIL CHAMBERS   |
|--|------------|---|
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8                                    | Ex-0       | D PM: Members Present: Art Rugg; Rick Brideau, Ex-Officio; Kathy Wagner,<br>Dfficio; Charles Tilgner, P.E., Ex-Officio; Rob Nichols; Lynn Wiles; Melissa<br>non, alternate member; Chris Davies, alternate member   |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11                                       |            | Present: Tim Thompson, AICP; John Trottier, P.E.; Cathy Dirsa, Planning artment Secretary   |
| 12<br>13<br>14   |            | Rugg called the meeting to order at 7 PM. A. Rugg appointed C. Davies to vote M. Soares and M. Nemon to vote for J. Farrell   |
| 15   | <u>Adr</u> | ninistrative Board Work   |
| 16<br>17<br>18   | Α.         | Plans to Sign - Plans to Sign – Staples Drive Thru Site Plan – Map 7, Lot 119   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25                   |            | <ul> <li>J. Trottier said all precedent conditions for approval have been met and the staff recommends signing the plans.</li> <li>R. Nichols made a motion to authorize the Chair and Asst Secretary to sign the plans. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. A. Rugg said the plans will be signed at the conclusion of the meeting.</li> </ul>   |
| 26<br>27   | В.         | Plans to Re-Sign – Elwood Subdivision (Rejected at Registry)  |
| 28<br>29   |            | A. Rugg said the plans will be re-signed at the conclusion of the meeting.  |
| 30<br>31   | C.         | Approval of Minutes – July 2 & 9  |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41 |            | <ul> <li>C. Tilgner made a motion to approve the minutes from the July 2 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-1.</li> <li>(R. Nichols abstained because he was absent at the July 2 meeting). Minutes are approved and will be signed at the August 13 meeting.</li> <li>C. Tilgner made a motion to approve the minutes from the July 9 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-1.</li> <li>(C. Davies abstained because he was absent at the July 9 meeting).</li> </ul> |
| 42<br>43   | _          | Minutes are approved and will be signed at the August 13 meeting.   |
| 44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49                         | D.         | Discussions with Town Staff<br>T. Thompson CIP Committee will meet on August 21 in the Moose Hill Council<br>Chambers at 5: 30PM to hear presentations on various projects, evaluate the<br>project requests, and prepare a draft schedule for the CIP. This will lead to<br>the first workshop with the Planning Board on September 10 & then a public   |

- hearing in October for the 2010-2015 CIP. He said there are a few new
   projects this year, mainly smaller projects. The Community Development
   Department has a couple new projects for GIS and the Page/Grenier
   Field/Route 28 intersection. The Cemetery trustees have also put in a new
   project request.
  - A. Rugg said that Peter Griffin, NH Railroad Revitalization Association will be here next week to discuss rail commuting options. He also mentioned that the SNHPC will hold their annual meeting and dinner on September 12 and all Board members will be invited.
- 10 11

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

6 7

8

9

## 12 Public Hearings

- A. Forest N. Kimball Rev. Trust, Map 11, Lot 55-14 Application Acceptance and
   Public Hearing for a 3 lot subdivision
  - T. Thompson stated that there were no checklist items, and staff recommended the application be accepted as complete.

## C. Tilgner made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0O. Application accepted as complete.

- Jack Szemplinski, Benchmark Engineering, presented their plans for a 3 lot subdivision. The Forest Hills elderly housing development is adjacent to this site. Mr. Kimball was the original owner of that property. The ZBA allowed Mr. Kimball to subdivide the lot to allow the 3<sup>rd</sup> lot not to have frontage on a Class V roadway, but to allow access off the private Sawgrass Circle. They are proposing a small detention pond. The property will be serviced by onsite septic & wells. All the state permits have been obtained.
  - J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the staff recommendations on the 6 waiver requests into the record:
    - He said it should be noted that the applicant's request letter lists 6 waiver requests. Items 2 and 6 from the applicant's letter are a request to the same section, so staff treats it as one request. Items 3 and 4 from the applicant's letter are not relevant to this project. These sections of the regulations deal with roadways, which are not being proposed as part of this project. These 2 items are outlined as Design Review items in the engineering memo, and waivers are not applicable to these items. As such, staff recommends the following on the 3 relevant waivers:
- 451.The Applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.08.G.1 of the regulations.46The Applicant has provided 12" drainage pipes, which does not comply with47the Regulations (15" required).48waiver, as the applicant has offered no justification for the request in his49letter, and without justification, the Planning Board cannot approve a50waiver (see recent court case Philip Auger & a. v. Town of Strafford & a.).

1 2. The Applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.08.G.3 of the regulations. 2 The Applicant has provided less than 3 feet of cover over 2 proposed 3 drainage pipes, which does not comply with the Regulations. Staff 4 recommends denial of the waiver, as the applicant has offered no 5 justification for the request in his letter, and without justification, the 6 Planning Board cannot approve a waiver. 7 3. The Applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.08.H of the regulations. 8 The grading in the proposed detention basin is less than 3'H:1'V, which 9 does not comply with the Regulations. Staff recommends denial of the 10 waiver, as the applicant has offered no justification for the request in his 11 letter, and without justification, the Planning Board cannot approve a 12 waiver. 13 14 T. Thompson said the traffic study needs to incorporate the minor comments 15 from the Stantec review memo. 16 17 He also stated that should the applicant wish to resubmit a new waiver 18 request letter before the Board acts on the waivers tonight, the Board would 19 have to **CONTINUE** the public hearing on the application to a future meeting 20 date (September 10 would be the usual date for an application continued 21 Otherwise, if the Board does take action on the waivers this toniaht). 22 evening, staff's recommendation is as follows. 23 24 T. Thompson mentioned that due to the steep slope along the side of the 25 road, staff needs the Planning Board to provide guidance on the use of 26 guardrails vs. boulders. If this were a roadway, guardrail would be required. 27 28 A. Rugg informed J. Szemplinski that he needs to provide the Board with valid 29 reasons for the waiver requests before they can vote on the waivers. 30 31 A. Rugg asked for public input. 32 33 Brian Egan, President of Board of Directors, Forest Hills Community, said that 34 Sawgrass is a private road & they would like to be included in abutter notices. 35 They are concerned about snow removal etc. T. Thompson mentioned that 36 the abutter on record was notified per NH statues. A. Rugg recommended 37 that B. Egan attend the meeting Sept 10. 38 39 Forest Kimball, owner, said he reserved the rights to access his lot via Sawgrass when he sold it for the elderly housing project. He clarified that 40 41 they are not going to tear up any of their roadway. 42 43 T. Thompson asked for consensus from the board regarding the use of 44 guardrails vs. boulders. J. Trottier said staff can only recommend the need for 45 guardrails because there is no crash test data available on the use of 46 boulders. The plans do not state the size/shape of the intended boulders. The 47 Board would like to consider the use of something else besides guardrails. F. 48 Kimball asked if they have actually provided too much detail? He said his 49 application is for a 3 lot subdivision and that the details should be decided by 50 the person that develops the lots. A. Rugg asked the applicant to work with 51 staff.

1 J. Trottier said he would like to discuss with the applicant the waiver request 2 for the change in size of the drainage pipe. 3 4 R. Nichols made a motion to continue this hearing to Sept. 10, 2008 5 at 7PM. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the 6 motion: 8-0-0. A. Rugg said this will be the only public notice. 7 8 Quantem Aviation Services, Map 28, Lot 21-7 - Application Acceptance and B. 9 Public Hearing for a site plan to construct a 15,548 s.f. office building 10 11 T. Thompson stated that there were no checklist items, and staff 12 recommended the application be accepted as complete. 13 14 C. Tilgner made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. 15 Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-16 **O**. Application accepted as complete. 17 18 Jeff Merritt, Keach Nordstrom, Dennis Meyers, Architect, Jim Perra, 19 construction manager were present for the applicant. J. Merit presented their 20 plans for a 15,548 s.f. office building at the corner of Industrial Dr & 21 Perimeter Rd. Additional site improvements will be a parking lot, site lighting, 22 and landscaping. They are not proposing an on-site detention pond, but plan 23 to tie into the existing FedEx detention basin. D. Meyers gave the Board an 24 overview of the building design. 25 26 J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo 27 and read the waiver request into the record: 28 29 The applicant has requested a waiver to Section 3.07.g. The applicant has 30 not provided the minimum 3 feet of cover over the proposed drainage pipes, 31 which does not comply with the regulations. Staff recommends granting 32 the waiver, as the site ties into existing drainage facilities on the adjacent 33 lot which already have less than 3' of cover, and the pipes have been 34 reinforced by concrete to help ensure the structural integrity of the pipes. 35 36 T. Thompson stated that based upon the information available to date the 37 Staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff 38 recommendation memo. 39 40 A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none. 41 42 R. Nichols made a motion to grant the waiver based on the 43 applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the 44 motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. The waiver is granted. 45 46 K. Wagner made a motion to conditionally approve this plan with the 47 following conditions: 48

2

50

3 assigns. 4 5 PRECEDENT CONDITIONS 6 7 All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the 8 expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning 9 Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any 10 site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit. 11 12 1. The Applicant shall indicate the 1.5-foot all season sight line consistent 13 with Exhibit D3 on sheet 11. 14 15 The Applicant shall revise the sawcut limits for the proposed gas line on 2. 16 sheet 5 to a diamond shape as typically required by the Town. 17 18 3. The Applicant shall provide the approval signatures from the LHRA on 19 the plan. 20 21 4. The Applicant shall note all waivers granted on the plan. 22 23 5. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete 24 final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in 25 accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations. 26 27 6. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of 28 plan. 29 30 7. Financial guaranty if necessary. 31 32 8. Final engineering review 33 34 **PLEASE NOTE** - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are 35 certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met 36 within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants 37 conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed 38 and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on 39 vesting. 40 41 GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS 42 43 All of the conditions below are attached to this approval. 44 45 1. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be 46 undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff 47 has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site 48 restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact 49 the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or

organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and

7

8

9

10

11

12

- The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the
   approved application package unless modifications are approved by the
   Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems
   applicable, the Planning Board.
  - 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- 13 All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a 4. 14 certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site 15 Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be 16 completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the 17 Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the 18 completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning 19 Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see 20 forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping 21 22 shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate 23 of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract 24 out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the 25 agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial guaranty for 26 27 their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of 28 occupancy. 29
- 30 5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department
   31 prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.
  - 6. All required Traffic, Police and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and
   federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part
   of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans).
   Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building
   permits.

**R. Brideau seconded the motion.** No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 8-0-0**. Plan is conditionally approved.

43 44 45

41 42

32 33

34

35

- 46 Other Business
- 47 48 None.
- 49
- 50 Adjournment:

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  | R. Nichols made a motion to adjourn the meeting. R. Brideau seconded       |
| 3  | the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Meeting adjourned at |
| 4  | 8:05 PM.   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | These minutes prepared by Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary.        |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Respectfully Submitted,  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | Mary Wing Soares, Secretary  |