LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 6, 2009 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 2

7:00 PM: Members Present: Art Rugg; John Farrell; Rick Brideau, CNHA, Ex-Officio; Paul DiMarco, Ex-Officio; Mary Soares; Rob Nichols (7:16 PM); Lynn Wiles; Laura El-Azem; Chris Davies, alternate member; Cole Melendy, alternate member

Also Present: Tim Thompson, AICP; John Trottier, P.E.; Cathy Dirsa, Planning Department Secretary

A. Rugg called the meeting to order at 7:05 PM. A. Rugg appointed C. Davies to vote for R. Nichols.

Administrative Board Work

A. Approval of Minutes – April 1 & 8

J. Farrell made a motion to approve the minutes from the April 1 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0.

J. Farrell made a motion to approve the minutes from the April 8 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0.

Minutes are approved and will be signed at the May 13 meeting.

B. Discussions with Town Staff

 T. Thompson reminded the Board that he would like to add CTAP Roadmap endorsement to the agenda next week under Administrative Board Work, and asked the Board to review the document in advance. He also mentioned the Capital Improvement Process (CIP) committee will have their first meeting May 28 at 6PM and they will go over the plan from last year, look at deadlines and set up the stage for this year's CIP. A. Rugg instructed T. Thompson to place the CTAP roadmap project under Administrative Board Work for the next Planning Board Meeting on May 13. T. Thompson said there will be another workshop regarding the small area master plan on June 10.

Public Hearings

A. Coca Cola Bottling Company of New England, Map 15, Lot 98 - Public Hearing for an amendment to a previously approved site plan to add project phasing.

T. Thompson said this plan was originally approved by the Board in 2008 and that the applicant wishes to phase the project so as to be able to construct the parking area prior to construction of the building addition. He said that completeness is not applicable, as this is an amendment to an approved site

1 plan.

2 3

Mike O'Donnell, TF Moran, presented their plans. He said they are asking the Board to allow the phasing to occur in two phases. The first phase would consist of the 60 parking space paved lot and associated drainage, grading, fencing, landscaping, lighting improvements associated with that parking lot. The second phase would be to build the large addition off the back of the building, including the silos, boiler room, grading, drainage, landscaping, utilities associated with that phase. O'Donnell said there are no changes to the work that is being proposed, only the sequence of how it's being done. [R. Nichols arrived at 7:16PM] C. Davies returned to alternate member status.

- J. Trottier referenced the memo with staff recommendations.
- T. Thompson said staff is recommending conditional approval.

A.Rugg asked for public input.

Peter Richard, 36 Clark Rd, asked when this work would begin.

Dave Wittliff, Stahlman Group, said they plan to start construction of phase 1 in June. There was no further public comment.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the Amendment to the approved site plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The Applicant shall update the contact names in the "resource list" on the cover sheet as follows:
 - a. Replace "Jim Smith" with "Richard Canuel"
 - b. Replace "Chief Joe Ryan" with "Interim Chief Bill Hart"

2. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the amended sheets at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.

3. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants

conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

1 2

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All General and Subsequent Conditions of the original approval (August 13, 2008) shall apply to this approval.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 9-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

T. Thompson asked if the applicant could submit plans next week. The Board agreed, if the applicant is ready.

B. JVL Construction Co., Map 6, Lots 15-3 & 15-4 - Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a lot line adjustment.

T. Thompson said there are no checklist items and staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.

J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

Chris Hickey, Eric Mitchell & Assoc, presented their plans. He said that when lot 3 was built they weren't sure where they were going to place the house. After the septic/water was put in it was obvious that the lot line would need to be moved. They want to move the lot lines on both lots in order to meet zoning requirements.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the Staff Recommendation memo.

T. Thompson said staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo (noting to remove item 6 because we did not use the outside consultant).

A.Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

1 2

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. We understand that sheets 3-5 are "exhibits" from the previously approved subdivision, however, for purposes of continuity in this application, the Applicant shall indicate the proposed new lot line on these sheets as applicable for consistency with the purpose of the plan.

2. The Applicant shall note that the match lines on the all sheets refer to the previously approved subdivision plan, and refer to the reference plan notes.

3. The Applicant shall address the DRC comments as applicable.

4. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.06.N of the regulations.

5. The Applicant shall provide a check for \$25 (made payable to the *Rockingham County Registry of Deeds*) to pay for the LCHIP tax that became effective on recording of all plans and documents at the registry on July 1, 2008.

6. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.

7. Financial guaranty if necessary.

8. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 2 years to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

1. No construction or site work for the may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting (if applicable).

2.

approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Department & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of

Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the

- 4. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Department at extension 115 regarding building permits.
- **R. Brideau seconded the motion.** No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 9-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.
- C. Water Wonders, LLC, Map 17, Lots 5-3 & 5-4 Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a site plan to construct a 38,500 sq ft office building.
 - T. Thompson said that there is one outstanding checklist item, which is a waiver request. Assuming the Planning Board grants the waiver, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.
 - T. Thompson read the waiver into the record from the Staff Recommendation memo. The Applicant is requesting a waiver to Sections 3.12 and 4.15. The Applicant has not provided the required building and signage renderings. Staff recommends granting the waiver, as no specific tenant has been identified at this time. Additionally, the Board should condition the waiver on the Applicant returning to the Board for a public hearing on the building and signage design, consistent with other such past waiver requests (see also proposed General and Subsequent Conditions).
 - J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. The waiver was granted.
 - J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

Bill Davidson, Hoyle Tanner, presented their plans. They are proposing a 38,500 sq ft office building on Kitty Hawk Landing. They want to merge both the lots. They are making some modifications to the drainage system. The entire project has closed drainage. The building is serviced by municipal

water & sewer.

1 2

J.Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo.

T. Thompson said staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo. He also has some comments from a resident who could not make it to the meeting tonight. T. Thompson said that due to the possible off-site improvements staff is recommending a one year conditional approval.

A. Rugg asked for public input. T. Thompson referenced a phone call he received from Ken Smith, who owns Map 14, Lot 10. He said that Mr. Smith is concerned about drainage affecting his property. J. Trottier said this issue will be resolved with the applicant in final engineering review. There was no further public comment.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- The Applicant's proposed drainage schedule indicates proposed DMH #6
 exceeds 18 feet in depth and does not comply with section 3.07.h of the
 regulations. The Applicant shall revise the design in compliance with the
 regulations.
- We understand the wetland impact area under the approved permit was significantly reduced with this latest design and the Applicant would submit for an amended wetland permit consistent with the latest design. In addition, the latest design includes expansion to the existing detention basin that may require a NHDES Dam permit and the site parking was modified that may require an amended Alteration of Terrain permit. The Applicant shall obtain all updated project permits, consistent with the latest design, indicate the approval numbers in the notes on the cover sheet and provide copies of the amended permit approvals for the Planning Department's files.
- 3. The Applicant shall update the existing conditions plan to indicate spot elevations along the top of existing detention basin embankment for clarity.

- 4. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the latest submitted drainage report:
 - A. The report implies the design inflow into the existing detention basin is taken from the original subdivision report prepared by Keach-Nordstrom (KNA), but we note the 25-year inflow into the basin in this analysis is approximately 3 cfs less than under the original KNA report (59.79 cfs vs. 62.77 cfs KNA). It is unknown why the inflow would be less under this project than that of the original report. The Applicant has indicated the existing detention basin was not properly constructed and we would anticipate the outflow would be different as is indicated in the analysis. The Applicant shall carefully review the existing conditions information and update as necessary to be consistent with the referenced drainage report under this project.
 - B. The pond 4P storage area and pipe invert information in the existing conditions analysis is not consistent with original analysis by KNA. The Applicant shall explain and clarify as previously requested. In addition, we note the post development pond information is not consistent with the existing conditions information and the plans do not indicate any changes are proposed at this location. The Applicant shall explain. The Applicant shall update pond to be consistent (between pre-and post) to clarify compliance with the regulations (no increase in runoff) is achieved.
 - C. The table provided in the report narrative summary implies under the post development condition, the existing detention basin will have minor filling. However, the narrative below the table states "...the detention basin is being enlarged from its current configuration." The Applicant shall revise the post development table to include the referenced report (KNA) information and the post development information (enlarged pond) for comparison and clarification that "...the result is a decrease in the peak rate of runoff from the site." as noted in the narrative to clarify compliance with the regulations is achieved.
 - D. Under the existing conditions of the referenced report, it appears KNA subcatchments 405, 701 and 124 are encumbered by the project development that total to 5.78 acres. However, the sum of the areas under the post development condition (Basin, P10-P24, RF1 & RF2) total to only 5.25 acres. It appears that not all the subcatchment area of the original report is accounted for under the post development condition. The Applicant shall carefully review the pre- and post development areas under this project to ensure they are the same. In addition the Applicant shall correct the area listing for subcatchment 15A to 0.01 on the post development plan.
 - E. The Applicant shall include a 50-year pond routing analysis for the detention basin and existing 24" culvert (pond 4) to clarify the areas and volumes used in the 50-year analysis as typically requested by the Town.

5. The Applicant shall address the Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. memorandum relative to the previously submitted traffic report dated April 15, 2009.

6. The project is located along a significant portion of Kitty Hawk Landing and Grenier Field Road. It is our understanding the project may require off-site improvements to Grenier Field Road for a left turn lane. The Applicant shall verify if additional off-site improvements to Kitty Hawk Landing and/or Grenier Field Road will be necessary under this application with the Department of Public Works.

7. The Applicant shall address the comments of the Sewer Division and note the Londonderry Sewer Discharge Permit number on the plan.

8. The Applicant shall combine the lots via voluntary merger prior to final approval of the site plan.

9. The Applicant shall, as applicable following the final revisions to the Traffic Impact Analysis, include all appropriate off-site improvements plans in the plan set, meeting the approval of the Planning Division and Public Works Department.

10. Note all waivers granted on the plan.

11. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.

12. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.

13. Financial guaranty if necessary.

14. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within *1 year* to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

 1. The Applicant shall return to the Planning Board for a public hearing to review and approve the building design and signage design prior to any construction commencing on the building or signage. The Applicant shall

obtain a recommendation from the Heritage Commission on the design prior to the Planning Board hearing.

2 3 4

2. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

 3. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

 4. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

5. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial quaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

6. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.

7. All required Traffic, Police and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

8. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Plan is conditionally approved.

D. Fortier Enterprises, LLC, Map 10, Lot 136 - Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a site plan to convert an existing gas station to a restaurant.

[M. Soares left the meeting at 7:50PM]
A. Rugg appointed C. Melendy to vote for M. Soares.

 T. Thompson said that there are 2 outstanding checklist items, both of which are waiver requests (listed as numbers 1 and 2 below). Assuming the Board grants these waivers, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete. He read the waivers into the record:

1. The applicant is requesting a waiver to sections 3.13 and 4.16 of the regulations. The applicant has not provided an illumination plan. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as no new lighting is proposed as part of the project.

2. The applicant is requesting a waiver to section 4.12.b of the regulations. The applicant has not provided a surveyor's certification and updated boundary survey. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as there is a plan on record with the Town indicating the boundary.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant the two waivers to sections 3.13, 4.16 and 4.12.b of the regulations based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Waivers were granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R.Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Application was accepted as complete.

Joseph Maynard, Benchmark Engineering, presented their plans. They said there are some setbacks associated with this plan and they have obtained the required variances from the ZBA. They plan to close off a portion of the curb cut on Ela Av to accommodate parking and add green space. T. Thompson said that staff has recommended the applicant replace the striping on the curb cuts on Route 102 with green space (landscaping) to avoid the possibility traffic entering from Route 102 and possibly hitting cars that are parked adjacent to the striping, consistent with general access management principles.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the waiver requests into the record:

 The applicant is requesting a waiver to sections 3.02 and 4.12.C.4 of the regulations. The applicant has not provided proper monuments at all property corners. Staff recommends granting the waiver, as it is not possible to properly set monuments without a current boundary survey. 2. The applicant is requesting a waiver to section 3.11.g of the regulations. The applicant has not provided the required internal parking lot landscaping. Staff recommends granting the waiver, but only if the Planning Board includes proposed precedent condition #2 as listed below as part of the conditions of approval for this project. Per the recommendation of the Zoning Board in their granting of the variances for this project, the ZBA strongly recommends that the applicant increase the amount of green space in the project. Staff believes that this can be accomplished through narrowing the driveways on Nashua Road, currently indicated to be striped pavement. Not only will this increase the green area on the site, but is better from an access management perspective to close up these very wide curb cuts. Staff will be discussing this in more detail during the hearing.

Thompson said that staff recommends granting the waiver for monumentation, seeing that the Board granted the waiver for the boundary survey and granting the waiver for internal landscaping, if we are closing up the curb cuts at the recommendation of the Zoning Board. T. Thompson stated that based upon the information available to date the Staff recommends Conditional Approval.

J. Maynard and W. Fortier, applicant, objected to the requirement to narrow the curb cuts due to costs and permitting/bonding with NHDOT.

There was discussion between the Board, Staff, and the applicant relative to the narrowing of the curb cuts on Route 102. Following the discussion, it was decided that the applicant would meet with staff and 2 members of the Planning Board to attempt to reach a compromise position on the narrowing of the curb cuts.

A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver to sections 3.02 and 4.12.C.4 of the regulations based on the applicant's request letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Waivers were granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver to section 3.11.g of the regulations based on the applicant's request letter and partially on the recommendation of staff. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Waivers were granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

1 2

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The application submission does not address the closure of the existing underground storage tanks (UST) located at the site in accordance with NHDES Env-Wm 1401. The Applicant shall update the plans to clarify the work related to the closure/removal and provide documentation of the tank closure/removal for the Planning Division's file.
- 2. The Applicant shall address/clarify the following on the site plan:
 - A. The Applicant shall delineate the loading area(s) on the plan to clarify access can be provided per section 3.10.7.2 of the Zoning Ordinance. In addition, the Applicant shall clarify the noted delivery vehicle can properly negotiate and access the designated loading area with a turning template for the Planning Division's file.
 - B. The revised parking spaces along Ela Avenue appear to be partially curbed. The Applicant shall provide curb details and labels as necessary for proper construction.
 - C. The Applicant shall label the type of material to be used to construct the handicap ramp in the detail for proper construction.
- 3. The Applicant shall address/clarify the following on the Grading and Utilities Plan:
 - A. The Applicant shall label the curb removal associated with the new turnaround and provide spot elevations to clarify the grading intent and for proper construction.
 - B. The grading along Ela Avenue indicates a swale exists along the pavement that is to be removed. Typically, the Town requests curbing be provided to maintain the roadway drainage and to minimize potential erosion. The islands shall be curbed at the entrances (unless otherwise agreed to with the Department of Public Works). The Applicant shall arrange a meeting with the Department of Public Works to discuss the proposed work related to the driveway and lawn area.
 - C. The turf establishment notes state construction access will be from Pillsbury Road. The Applicant shall clarify and explain.
- 4. The project is located at the intersection of Ela Avenue and NH Route 102. The Applicant shall verify if additional off-site improvements to Ela Avenue will be necessary under this application with the Department of Public Works.
- 5. The Applicant shall address the Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. memorandum relative to the submitted traffic report dated May 6, 2009.

6. The Applicant shall provide draft easement deeds relative to the proposed roadway widening and grading easement shown with this submission for review by the Town.

7. The Applicant shall note the NHDES Septic System Permit and updated NHDOT Driveway Permit approval numbers on the plan.

8. The Applicant shall verify the DRC Comments of the Heritage Commission have been adequately addressed with the Commission as applicable.

9. The Applicant shall work with the Planning Division and the Department of Public Works to develop a compromise design for the driveways at Rt. 102 to maximize the green space on the lot outside of the Rt. 102 Right-of-way and address the concerns of access management.

10. Note all waivers granted on the plan.

11. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.

12. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.

13. Financial guaranty if necessary.

14. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

 1. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the

Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

1

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

9 10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a 4. certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

262728

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.

29 30 31

32

 All required Traffic impact fees (if applicable based on the final traffic impact analysis) must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

333435

36

37

38

7. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

39 40 41

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 9-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

42 43 44

E. New Harbor Properties, Inc., Map 10, Lot 1-1 - Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a 2 lot subdivision.

45 46 47

48 49 T. Thompson said that there is one checklist item outstanding, which is a waiver request (waiver #1 listed below). Assuming the Board grants the waiver, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete. He read

the waiver requests into the record and noted that the second waiver request does not pertain to the application acceptance:

1. The applicant is requesting a waiver to section 4.17 of the regulations. The Applicant has not provided a Topographic Plan. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as no new construction is proposed as part of this project, and topographic information is on file in a previous plan for this lot already on file with the Town.

2. The applicant is requesting a waiver to section 3.02.C of the regulations. The applicant has not provided CO District signage as part of the plans. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as there are no changes proposed to the site, and there are already improvements within the buffer areas.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant both waivers to section 4.17 and 3.02.C of the regulations based on the applicant's request letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Waivers were granted.

- T. Thompson noted that the applicant's waiver request letter asks for 2 additional waivers. *These are not applicable to the project* (they appear to be referencing the site plan regulations, and not the subdivision regulations as applies to this project). He said that seeing the waivers have been granted, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.
- J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Application was accepted as complete.

Joseph Maynard, Benchmark Engineering, presented their plans, to subdivide buildings E & F of the Commons Office development into its own lot.

- T. Thompson noted that there will be a condo conversion at some point in the future for the buildings.
- J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo.
- T. Thompson said staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo.

A.Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

1 2

3

7 8 9

11 12 13

14

15

10

20

21

22

27 28

34 35 36

37 38

33

39 40 41

42

43

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The subject lot has frontage on Gilcreast Road but access to the buildings shown on the new lot is indicated along a private road -Commons Drive. The plan does not indicate any existing easements along the private road across abutting lot 67 as would be anticipated in accordance with section 4.12.C.8. The Applicant shall clarify and label.
- 2. The plans indicate existing water lines that do not appear to be entirely within the indicated roadway/utility easement. The Applicant shall review and verify the proposed easement is adequately configured to allow equipment to properly conduct future maintenance and repairs. In addition, the Applicant shall provide copies of all proposed easements for review by the Town.
- The driveway sight distance plan provided in the plan set under this 3. submission - sheet 9 of 9 - appears to indicate trees are located within the sight line, but the plan does not address if any improvements such as trimming or tree removal are necessary. The Applicant shall clarify. In addition, the Applicant shall correct the sheet title to sight distance plan and update the sheet index on sheet 1 to include this sheet and correct the duplicate sheet 7's.
- 4. The Applicant shall address the following on the subdivision plans:
 - The Applicant shall review the notes on sheet 1 and correct the new lot number in all applicable notes to 1-2 (vs. 1-1). In addition, the Applicant shall update note 5 to state the minimum lot size is one acre as noted in the Planning Division's DRC comments.
 - B. The Applicant shall indicate and label the zoning line in accordance with the regulations.
- 5. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
- The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete 6. final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.06.N of the regulations.
- 7. The Applicant shall provide a check for \$25 (made payable to the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds) to pay for the LCHIP tax that became effective on recording of all plans and documents at the registry on July 1, 2008.
- Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of 8. plan.
- 9. Financial guaranty if necessary.

1

4 5 6

7 8 9

10

11 12

13 14 15

21 22

23

24 25 26

31 32 33

35 36 37

34

38 39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

10. Final engineering review

PLEASE NOTE - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 2 years to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting (If applicable).
- 2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Department & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- 4. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Department at extension 115 regarding building permits.
- R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-**0-0**. Plan is conditionally approved.

Other Business

None.

Adjournment:

1	R. Brideau made a motion to adjourn the meeting. J. Farrell seconded the
2	motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 9-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 9:03
3	PM.
4	
5	
6	These minutes prepared by Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary.
7	
8	
9	
10	Respectfully Submitted,
11	
12	
13	
14	Mary Wing Soares, Secretary
15	9 9