LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 1, 2010 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 2

7:00 PM: Members Present: Art Rugg; Mary Soares; Lynn Wiles; Laura El-Azem; John Farrell, Ex-Officio; Rick Brideau, CNHA, Ex-Officio; George Herrmann, Ex-Officio; Dana Coons, alternate member

Also Present: Tim Thompson, AICP; John Trottier, P.E.; Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary; Jodie Levandowski, Planning Division Intern

A. Rugg called the meeting to order at 7 PM. A. Rugg appointed D. Coons to vote for C. Davies.

Administrative Board Work

A. Approval & Signing of Minutes – August 11 and 23

J. Farrell made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the August 11 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0.

J. Farrell made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the August 23 meeting. D. Coons seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 4-0-3.

(G.Herrmann, L.Wiles, D.Coons abstained because they were absent at the August 23 meeting).

Minutes for August 11 and 23 are approved and will be signed at the conclusion of the meeting.

B. Regional Impact Determinations

T. Thompson stated there were three projects to be considered for regional impact determination.

 Jason Guinesso is proposing a Lot Line Adjustment on Peabody ROW, Map 6, Lot 27 and Map 7, Lot 36. Stonyfield Farm, Inc. is proposing a 62,019 s.f. manufacturing addition on 10 Burton Drive, Map 14, Lot 44-13. Bosch Thermotechnology, Inc. is proposing a 6440 square foot second floor addition and related site improvements on 50 Wentworth Ave, Map 14, Lot 44-33.

He said that staff recommends these projects are not developments of regional impact, as they do not meet any of the regional impact guidelines suggested by Southern NH Planning Commission (SNHPC).

J. Farrell made a motion to accept staff recommendations that these projects are determined not to be of regional impact under RSA

36:56. D. Coons seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 7-0-0.**

C. 1st Reading – Amendments to Planning Board Rules of Procedure

T. Thompson gave the Board an overview of the proposed changes (Attachment #1). The proposed changes are as a result of new state law changes relative to the role of alternates and the timeframes for public availability of meeting minutes.

[L. El-Azem arrived at 7:07pm]

T. Thompson said they will review the amendments at two consecutive meetings & then adopt it at a third meeting (in October).

D. Discussions with Town Staff

T. Thompson gave the Board a brief summary of the CIP meeting. He said the draft plan will be presented to the Board at the next meeting, September 8.

J. Farrell addressed the recent newspaper article regarding Woodmont Orchard. He said the Charrette is completely open to the public and they are welcome to attend any meeting. Planning Board members are also welcome to attend. Starting Tuesday all meetings to discuss the Charrette will be held in the empty warehouse at Woodmont Orchards. The private developer is trying hard to work with the public on future plans. It is not a town function and abutter notices will not be sent for these meetings.

 A. Rugg reminded Board members about the annual meeting/dinner in September. He also mentioned that the SNHPC is holding their 35th annual Municipal Law Lecture Series, held in six locations throughout the state for members of the NH Local Government Center, in September and October.

A. Rugg asked for volunteers to represent the Planning Board on the Open Space Committee. L. Wiles volunteered to be a regular member. D. Coons volunteered to be an alternate.

New Plans

A. Ricky & Ann Marie Robichaud and Helen Jolicoeur, Map 11, Lot 25 –
 Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a 2 lot subdivision.

J. Levandowski stated that there were no checklist items, and staff recommended the application be accepted as complete.

J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. D. Coons seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

D. Coons recused himself from this discussion because Ricky Robichaud is a good friend, was a neighbor, and he has done business with him.

Joseph Maynard from Benchmark Engineering presented their plans for a 2 lot subdivision on Litchfield Road. He said that the property is located at 109 Litchfield Road, consists of about 5 acres of land and is within the AR-1 zone. There is an existing single family dwelling with an in-law apartment on the easterly lot. They are proposing to subdivide the land into two lots. The new lot will be 1 ½ acre in size, with an existing drainage easement that currently runs through the middle of that lot. They want to relocate the drainage easement on the lot line. The property will be granting road widening easements along Litchfield Road to grant the town their required setback to do improvements in the future. There is one waiver request for the existing driveway, in regards sight distance.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the waiver request into the record:

The applicant is requesting a waiver to Exhibit D-2, Profile A. The sight distance for the existing driveway does not meet the requirements of the A profile. Planning Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as the driveway is existing and there does not exist a rational nexus between the costs associated with the improvements and the scope of the project. Public Works Staff recommends *denial* of the waiver, as improvements can be made to improve the existing situation, and DPW always recommends improving such situations when they are brought before the Planning Board.

J. Levandowski said based upon the information available staff recommends conditional approval of this application.

A. Rugg asked about staff's concerns regarding septic on the subdivided lot. J. Maynard said he spoke with the Subsurface Systems Bureau and they did grant subdivision approval for this lot after reviewing the issue and the criteria of the ditch. He said that if the Subsurface Systems Bureau requests that he produce a septic system design he is willing to do that. He said that they received State Subdivision approval with the proposed 4K area.

A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver for sight distance based on the applicant's letter and Planning staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. L. Wiles asked J. Trottier if DPW made suggestions to improve the sight distance. J. Trottier said they had not yet discussed suggestions, but moving the driveway might be an option. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. Waiver granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the 2 lot subdivision with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

1 2

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The project proposes to remove and relocate a portion of the Town's existing drainage system including removal and relocation of a drainage easement upon the subject lot and removal of a significant portion of existing drainage pipe. The Applicant shall note the proposed grading of the new drainage swale encompasses the entirety of the proposed drainage easement upon new lot 25-3, but the easement does not appear wide enough to allow the Town access adjacent to the proposed swale to perform maintenance. In addition, we note the existing drainage pipe is CMP and this type of pipe has historically corroded and caused some roadway failures and closures to replace the pipes. The Applicant shall arrange a meeting with the Department of Public Works to provide a drainage system design and easement meeting approval of the Department of Public Works.

2. The Applicant's NHDES 4K area shown on sheet 3 is significantly encumbered with the proposed dwelling and driveway and it does not appear to provide the minimum 75-foot setback to the new open drainage system or culvert opening proposed by the Applicant per Env-Wq 1008.04 and per section 3.07.B of the regulations. Please note that due to the Applicant's chosen lot configuration, the plan appears to indicate that only a small portion of the lot is suitable for development and that a smaller portion is only suitable for placement of a septic system and thus, we are concerned that the lot may not be adequate as implied. We note the plan indicates the new water line will encroach on the 4K area and will require a setback from the septic system. In addition, setbacks from the proposed building and open drainage system are needed for proper placement. We therefore recommend the Applicant provide an approved septic design that indicates the new lot can be developed for the Town's file.

3. The Applicant shall provide endorsements (signatures) for the wetland and soil scientist stamps and information on all applicable plans.

4. The Applicant shall address the following on the Topographic/HISS Plan:

a. The Applicant shall label the size and type of water line to serve the proposed dwelling shown on lot 25-3.

 b. The revised plan indicates a new water line service will be provided to the existing dwelling. The Applicant shall label the

1

6 7

9 10

11

12

8

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21 22

23

24 25 26

27

28

29 30 31

32

33 34 35

36

37 38 39

40 41 42

43 44

45

46

47

13. Final engineering review **PLEASE NOTE** - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 2 years to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed

size and type of water line to the existing building and update note 8 on sheet 1 to state the lot will be serviced by Pennichuck Water Works. In addition, the Applicant shall label what will be done to the existing well serving lot 25 (abandoned, removed, etc.) for proper construction.

- The Applicant shall remove the well radius for the proposed C. drainage easement on lot 25 as typically required by the Town.
- The Applicant shall clarify/explain the proposed well shown for d. lot 25 behind the existing dwelling and revise if necessary.
- 5. The project is located along a significant portion of Litchfield Road. The Applicant shall verify if additional off-site improvements to Litchfield Road will be necessary under this application with the Department of Public Works.
- 6. The Applicant shall clarify the "No-cut Zone" on the plan as requested in the Conservation Commission's DRC comments.
- 7. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
- 8. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.06.N of the regulations.
- 9. The Applicant shall provide a check for \$25 (made payable to the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds) to pay for the LCHIP tax that became effective on recording of all plans and documents at the registry on July 1, 2008.
- 10. The Applicant shall note all general and subsequent conditions on the plans (must be on a sheet to be recorded), per the new requirements of RSA 676:3.
- Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of 11. plan.
- 12. Financial guaranty if necessary.

and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- 1. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- 2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Department & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- 4. All required School, Library, Recreation, Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for development of the new lot.
- 5. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.
- **R. Brideau seconded the motion.** No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 7-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.
- D. Coons returned to the Board.
- B. Bosch Thermotechnology Corp, Map 14, Lot 44-33 Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a Site Plan and Conditional Use Permit to construct a 6,440 square foot second floor addition and related site improvements.
 - T. Thompson stated that there were no outstanding checklist items, and staff recommended the application be accepted as complete.
 - J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

Jeff Merritt from Keach-Nordstrom Associates presented their plans. He said the parcel is located at 50 Wentworth Ave, is a 10.2 acre parcel and located entirely within the Industrial District. The proposal is a two phase project. The first is for a 6,440 square foot second floor addition and a vestibule area. They also plan to add 28 additional parking spaces and modify the existing detention basin. Phase 2 consists of work on the west side of the site. They plan to add 16 parking spaces on the west side, a new stormwater detention basin, improvements to existing treatment swale. The Conservation Commission did recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for this project. They are seeking one waiver to section 3.07.g.3 of the regulations.

Architect, Dennis Miers illustrated the proposed changes for the Board.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the waiver request into the record:

The applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.07.g of the regulations. The applicant has not provided 3' of cover over one of the proposed drainage pipes. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as the pipe is located in a landscaped area and will not be subject to vehicle weight on top of the pipe.

T. Thompson stated that staff recommends granting the conditional use permit, per the recommendation of the Conservation Commission. He said that in regards to traffic, there were some typos on the report that need to be addressed. Additionally staff is requesting that the report graphically indicate the distribution of the traffic to Route 28, as we typically require for the purposes of applying the proper impact fee. He said that in regards to item 2 of the precedent conditions, staff is requesting that they add purple lilacs and remove everlow yew from the landscaping plan and provide an alternate that would withstand the winter climate. T. Thompson said that staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo.

A.Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

D. Coons made a motion to grant the waiver to Section 3.07.g based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. J. Farrell seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Waiver was granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant the Conditional Use Permit per the recommendation of staff and the Conservation Commission. D. Coons seconded the motion. No Discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Conditional Use Permit granted.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the site plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The Applicant's submitted checklist indicates a NHDES site specific (AoT) permit approval, NHDES wetlands permit approval and Federal Aviation Administration permit approval applications have been submitted for the project. The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits, indicate the approval number on the cover sheet, and provide copies of the approvals for the Planning Department's file.

2. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the project drainage report:

a. The Applicant shall provide an existing condition watershed area plan in the report in accordance with the regulations.

b. The Applicant shall provide flood routing calculations for the proposed 50-year event for each pond in the report in accordance with the regulations.

The post development calculations for subcatchment 4A do not C. appear to account for all the new impervious area including sidewalks, curbing and parking areas under this project as depicted on the drainage area plan. In addition, we note the post development calculations for subcatchment 6A do not include the impervious sidewalk area indicated on the drainage Also, we note the building plans indicate the glass vestibule addition will extend to the same roof height as the two story addition, but the building area of subcatchment 5 is unchanged from the predevelopment information. The Applicant shall carefully review all subcatchment areas to verify all impervious areas such as parking, driveways, sidewalks, curbs, buildings, etc. are properly accounted for in the post development analysis. The Applicant shall verify compliance with the regulations (no increase in runoff).

d. The pond routing calculations for pond 1 indicate an invert at elevation 316.00, but the invert out of the existing structure is at elevation 316.39. The Applicant shall update the analysis as necessary to clearly indicate volume below the outlet invert elevation (316.39) is not used in the analysis as typically required by the Town. In addition, the Applicant shall review and update the analysis for pond 2 accordingly to clarify volume below the outlet invert elevation (320.20) is not utilized in the analysis.

- e. The existing topography for subcatchment 1S appears to indicate the northerly portion of the subcatchment area would likely drain toward Wentworth Avenue and thus not be part of the area draining toward the detention basin. The Applicant shall review and revise the subcatchment area(s) and analysis as necessary to be representative of the existing site conditions.
- f. The Applicant shall update the grass CN values to 74 (vs. 72) for new subcatchments 15 and 16 used in the analysis consistent with the referenced TFM report and consistent with the other developed areas of the site. In addition, the Applicant shall revise the calculations to include the new riprap aprons (CN 89) and update subcatchment 10 accordingly for the new apron shown as typically requested by the Town.
- g. The Applicant shall update the swale summary table to provide the cross-section/channel width in accordance with the regulations.
- 3. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the existing conditions plan sheet 1:
 - a. The Applicant shall update the plan to indicate the Conservation Overlay (CO) District (vs. 50' wetland setback) per item V.11 of the checklist. In addition, the Applicant shall update the site plan sheet 3 to indicate the location of the CO District signs to be placed along the boundary in accordance with section 5.06 of the regulations and include an appropriate note on the plan for proper sign placement in accordance to the regulations.
 - b. The Applicant shall provide proper monuments at the northeasterly and northwesterly corners of the lot per Section 3.02 of the Site Plan Regulations and item V.3.b of the checklist.
 - c. The Applicant shall note the base datum used for the indicated topography (USGS?) on the plan.
- 4. We note the submitted traffic report and existing conditions plan indicate no signage or pavements markings are provided at the two driveway exits and recommend the Applicant update the site plan to provide stop signs and stop bars at the driveway exits onto Wentworth Avenue as typically requested by the Town. The Applicant shall include details in the plan set for proper construction.
- 5. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the project details:
 - a. The Applicant shall update the Outlet structure detail provided on sheet 4 to clarify the location of items "J" and "K". In addition, the Applicant shall provide a detail of the weir plate for OS#2 and sufficient information to allow construction of the concrete opening at the weir in the plan set for proper construction. Also, the Applicant shall update the OS#1 plate detail on sheet 9 to indicate the weir invert as "C" vs. "G" consistent with the detail.
 - b. The Applicant shall update the sidewalk details on sheet 8 to indicate a minimum of 8" of crushed gravel is provided under

the sidewalk in accordance with the Town's typical detail (Exhibit R103).

2 3 4

6. The Applicant shall address the Stantec memorandum relative to traffic dated September 1, 2010 for the project.

7. The Applicant shall address the following on the landscape plan:

a. The Applicant shall add purple lilacs to the landscape design as typically required by the Planning Board.

 b. The Applicant shall remove the Ever-Low Yew from the landscape plan and provide an appropriate substitution (as it does not meet the winter hardiness level as required by the regulations.)

8. The Applicant shall address the DRC Comments as applicable.

9. Note all waivers and the conditional use permit granted on the plan.

10. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.

11. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.

12. Financial guaranty if necessary.

13. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within *120 days* to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

1. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

1 2

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial quaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial guaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.

6. All required Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

7. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 8-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

C. Jason R. Guinesso & Ham Family Revocable Trust of 2008, Map 6, Lot 27 and Map 7, Lot 36 – Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a Lot Line Adjustment.

J. Levandowski stated that there were no checklist items, and staff recommended the application be accepted as complete.

J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. D. Coons seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

1

10

11 12 13

14

23 24 25

26

31 32 33

34 35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45 46

47

Greg Brown, CLD, presented their plans to move the lot line between the two properties on Peabody ROW. Jason Guinesso, land owner of Map 6, Lot 27, and Chet & Marilyn Ham, owners of Map 7, Lot 36 were present. G. Brown said that J. Guinesso would like to purchase a piece of land from the Hams to extend his property line. They requested a waiver for the driveway sight distance. T. Thompson said that because these properties are on Peabody ROW, which is a state road, a waiver may not be necessary.

- J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo.
- J. Levandowski read the staff recommendation memo and said that based on the information provided staff recommends conditional approval.
- T. Thompson said that our regulations do require a sight distance plans and certifications for any lot line adjustment when a new survey is completed. He said that because this is a state road we are willing and have in the past, if the state does not require the site distance certifications be provided for these lots, to support a waiver to not require the applicant to include them as part of this project. We would like them to have that discussion with the state, and if the state does require it, they would need to be provided to the town.
- J. Trottier said the applicant should still request a waiver to the sight distance in case it is needed. T. Thompson said that staff recommends the waiver with the condition that if the state requires the sight distance certifications, that they be provided to the town. M. Soares asked why the applicant would be required to have a discussion with the town in regards to the easement for 25 feet from the center line of the road, if the road is a state road. J. Trottier said this is because the town works in harmony with the state on any issues regarding state roads in town. T. Thompson said the easement is done so that it would not impact the lot size calculations.
- A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.
- D. Coons made a motion to grant the waiver for sight distance, with the condition that they be provided if the NHDOT requires it. M. Soares seconded. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0. Waiver granted.
- J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the lot line adjustment with the following conditions:
- "Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The Applicant indicates a NHDES subdivision approval application has been submitted for the project on the submitted checklist. The Applicant shall obtain the necessary permit, indicate the approval number on sheet 1 and provide a copy of the approval for the Planning Department's file.

2. The Applicant shall address the following relative to sheet 1:

a. The Applicant shall update the plan to indicate the Conservation Overlay (CO) District (vs. 50' wetland setback) per item III.33 of the checklist and the Applicant shall remove the building setback lines within the CO District as typically requested by the Town. In addition, the Applicant shall indicate the location of the CO District signs to be placed along the boundary in accordance with section 3.02.C of the regulations and include an appropriate note on the plan for proper sign placement in accordance to the regulations.

b. The Applicant shall update the notes to include the existing and proposed areas for each lot per item III.7.c of the checklist.

c. The Applicant shall label the class (status) of Peabody ROW per item III.16.b of the checklist and indicate the southerly ROW limits of the roadway on the plan as typically requested by the Town.

d. The plan indicates a well radius easement upon lot 36 for the benefit of lot 27. However, the proposed easement information (meets and bounds) per item III.19 of the checklist appears missing for the easement. The Applicant shall update the plans to include the required information.

e. The Applicant shall provide a proper monument at the northeasterly corner of lot 36 at the existing wall per Section 3.02 of the Subdivision Regulations and item III.14.b of the checklist.

f. The Applicant shall update the LLS certification to indicate compliance with section 4.12.A of the regulations (1 part in 10,000).

 3. It appears portions of the indicated right of way along Peabody ROW are less than 25 feet from the centerline of the existing pavement. We understand the Town typically requests a minimum 25 feet be provided along existing roads for future widening. The Applicant shall discuss this issue with the Town.

495014. Financial guaranty if necessary.

- 4. The Applicant shall provide a sheet in the plan set that does not include the site topography. The regulations do not require topography on the boundary plan, and typically the registry of deeds does not accept plans with topography on the boundary plan.
- 5. The Applicant shall correct note #5 on sheet 1. The note references lot sizing when sewer is available. Because the parcels are served by septic, the lot sizes are determined by HISS. The Applicant shall revise accordingly.
- 6. The Applicant shall provide lot size calculations for both lots, as required by the Zoning Ordinance, to verify the lots meet the minimum lot size. We note that there is a memo from the Asst. Building Inspector in the file stating the lots meet the minimum requirements, however the calculations are required per the Zoning Ordinance and cannot be waived by the Planning Board.
- 7. The Applicant shall provide driveway sight distance plans/profiles for the existing driveways in accordance with the requirements of the regulations if required by NHDOT (in accordance with the waiver granted by the Board). We note that these parcels are on a state maintained roadway, and the sight distance certifications, if required by NHDOT, shall be made in accordance with NHDOT requirements. Additionally, the plans indicate a second driveway on lot 27, which typically is not permitted unless a lot has a minimum of 300' of road frontage. The Applicant shall discuss this item with the NHDOT to verify compliance with the State's requirements.
- 8. The Applicant shall address the DRC comments as applicable.
- 9. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
- 10. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.06.N of the regulations.
- 11. The Applicant shall provide a check for \$25 (made payable to the *Rockingham County Registry of Deeds*) to pay for the LCHIP tax that became effective on recording of all plans and documents at the registry on July 1, 2008.
- 12. The Applicant shall note all general and subsequent conditions on the plans *(must be on a sheet to be recorded)*, per the new requirements of RSA 676:3.
- 13. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.

15. Final engineering review

certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 2 years to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

1. No construction or site work for the may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting (if applicable).

PLEASE NOTE - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Department & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

4. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 8-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

D. Stonyfield Farm Inc., Map 14, Lot 44-13 – Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a site plan to construct 62,019 square feet of building additions (Battery Charging, Incubator, and Packaging space).

T. Thompson stated that there were no outstanding checklist items, and staff recommended the application be accepted as complete.

J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. D. Coons seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

Chris Rice from TF Moran and Jeff Clark from Stonyfield Farms presented their plans. C. Rice said they plan to construct a building addition in two phases at 10 Burton Drive. The incubator addition will be built during Phase 1. Phase 2 will consist of a packaging addition on the east side and a 2300 s.f. addition for battery charging on the west side. The addition on the east side will be built over the existing parking area, however, they will still have adequate parking with the loss of those parking spaces. They are required to have 366 spaces and they will have 406 spaces after the project is built. They have requested two waivers. They have already submitted permits.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW memo and read the waiver requests into the record:

 The applicant has requested 3 waivers, however, one waiver is not applicable (for project permits in hand for acceptance. This is no longer a requirement for acceptance following the September 2009 revisions to the regulations). The remaining 2 waivers are summarized below.

1. The applicant is requesting a waiver to Sections 4.01.c. The applicant has not provided plans at a scale of 1"=40' as required by the regulations. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as all previous plans for this parcel are at the 1"=50' scale (which was the requirement from the regulations when the parcel was initially developed, and has consistently been waived for the parcel since the new requirements were adopted in 2001). In order to maintain consistency with previous plans for this parcel, staff is comfortable with the 50 scale plans.

2. The applicant is requesting a waiver to Exhibit 3. The applicant has not provided the application fee based on the area of the entire parcel. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as it is consistent with past Board practice of allowing a reduced fee based on the area of disturbance for projects located on large parcels.

T. Thompson read items 2-6 from staff recommendations memo into the record and said that staff recommends conditional approval of this project.

J. Trottier instructed the C. Rice to add the AOT permit to item 2 of the staff recommendations memo.

M. Soares asked if the addition will go over pervious pavement and how that pavement has been working out. J. Clark said it's working out well and that they gave a report to the town about the pervious pavement. J. Trottier noted that item 8 of the DPW memo addresses the pervious pavement.

A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none. A. Rugg did read a letter into the record from Karen Beliveau, 14 Wilshire Dr, regarding traffic entering/exiting Stonyfield (Attachment #2). M. Soares asked J. Clark if he would confirm that he will communicate Karen's concerns to his staff and he will get in touch with Karen as well.

There was no further public comment.

D. Coons made a motion to grant the waivers based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Waivers granted.

D. Coons made a motion to conditionally approve the site plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The Applicant shall verify adequate parking will be provided during construction of these two phases. The plan indicates approximately 40 parking spaces are not available in the temporary construction staging area and it is assumed that other parking spaces will be required during construction.

2. The existing conditions plans include proposed improvement features, infrastructure information that is illegible and sewer information are not provided, the Applicant shall clarify.

3. The Applicant shall clarify the following notes on sheet 6: note 2 regarding removal of silo in phase 3A and note 7 regarding Town of Londonderry, Department of Public Works and Highways Standard Specifications.

4. The Applicant shall clarify the following on sheet 7: provide the appropriate construction entrance detail in the plan set., Additionally the applicant shall verify if the existing sign to the west of the entrance be relocated and indicate relocation on the plans in accordance with the regulations as appropriate.

5. The applicant shall indicate the proper restoration along Burton Drive to include 3-foot crushed gravel shoulder along the edge of pavement then loam and seed on sheet 9.

8

9

10

- 11 12 13
- 15 16 17

14

23 24 25

22

27 28 29

30

26

36 37 38

39

40 41

35

42 43 44

45

- 6. The Applicant shall clarify the following on sheet 12: restoration around battery charging addition, slope of roof drain from battery charging addition, DMH 25 should be cored and booted to accept the roof drain, provide a temporary bench mark in the area of the packaging addition, proposed invert into the drop-over DMH is below the existing DMH1 invert.
- 7. The Applicant shall clarify the design intent of constructing chimney & 8-inch service into building.
- 8. The Applicant shall clarify the following on sheets 14 and 15:
 - Sawcut limit in concrete curb at sidewalk detail, provide parking lot or roadway section detail. Portions of the work will be performed within the pervious pavement section of the parking lot, will pervious pavement be replaced?
 - Revise the concrete sidewalk details to indicate the Town of b. Londonderry standard of 8-inches of crushed gravel.
 - Clarify the discrepancy between the Loam and seed detail (which C. indicates 4-inches of loam) and the erosion control notes (which indicates 6-inches of loam).
 - The applicant shall clarify the bollard detail, indicating where will d. this be placed on the plans.
 - The applicant shall clarify the sewer notes and sewer service e. detail which do not appear to address proposed phase 2 work.
- 9. The Applicant shall provide professional endorsement on lighting plans.
- 10. The Applicant shall clarify the following in the drainage memorandum: area of proposed packaging addition does not agree with areas noted on the plans, provide a table indicating pre and post flows from the July 2005 analysis and the subsequent change as a result of this plan, memorandum to be professionally endorsed.
- 11. The Applicant shall obtain the FAA, NHDES AOT, and Sewer Discharge permits required for the project, and provide copies to the Town for the Planning Division's files.
- 12. The Applicant shall revise the Planning Board signature blocks to add the "For Phase _____" language.
- The plan notes indicate 11 handicap accessible parking spaces, but 13. only 9 such spaces appear on the plans. The Applicant shall clarify and revise the plans and/or notes as necessary.
- 14. The Applicant shall verify the relocated signs on Sheet 9 will comply with the signage setback requirements of the Zoning Ordinance, and shall not be placed within the sight line of vehicles exiting the site.

- 1 15. The Applicant shall revise the "Resource List" on the cover sheet as follows:
 - a. Revise "Planning & Economic Development Department" to read "Community Development Department."
 - b. Revise "Jim Smith, Chief Building Inspector" to read "Richard Canuel, Senior Building Official."
 - 16. The Applicant shall address the DRC Comments as applicable.
 - 17. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
 - 18. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
 - 19. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.
 - 20. Financial guaranty if necessary.
 - 21. Final engineering review

PLEASE NOTE - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within **120 days** to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- 2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the Applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting

information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1

4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial quaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial quaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

19 20 21

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.

222324

6. All required Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

252627

28

29

30

7. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

31 32 33

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Plan is conditionally approved.

343536

Other Business

37 38 39

Adjournment:

None

40 41 42

43

M. Soares made a motion to adjourn the meeting. G. Herrmann seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 8:18 PM.

44 45

These minutes prepared by Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary.

46 47 48

Respectfully Submitted,

49 50

Charles Tilgner, Secretary



RULES OF PROCEDURE

PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF LONDONDERRY, NH



Londonderry Business is good. Life is better.

1. AUTHORITY

1.1. These rules of procedure are adopted under the authority of New Hampshire Revised Statutes Annotated (RSA) 676:1.

2. MEMBERS

- 2.1. Per the Londonderry, NH Town Charter (Article 9, Section 1, A): The Planning Board shall consist of nine (9) members, of whom six (6) are appointed and three (3) are ex officio. The six (6) appointed members shall be appointed by the Town Council for terms of three (3) years, except that initial appointments shall be staggered so that no more that two (2) appointed members shall have terms that expire in a single year. The three (3) ex officio members shall consist of the Town Manager, or his/her designee, an administrative officer of the Town designated by the Manager, and a Councilor designated by the Council for a one year term. There shall also be three (3) alternate appointed members appointed in the same way as regular appointed members, except no more than one alternate appointed member's term shall expire in a single year.
- 2.2 Selection, qualification, term, removal of members, and filling of vacancies shall conform to **RSA 673.**
- 2.3 Alternate members may serve on the Planning Board as authorized by RSA 673:6. Alternate members should attend all meetings to familiarize themselves with the workings of the board to stand ready to serve whenever a regular member of the board is unable to fulfill his/her responsibilities. Alternate members shall participate in all meetings and deliberations of the board, excluding voting (unless appointed to vote in the place of a regular member as appointed at the meeting by the Chair).
- 2.4 Each newly appointed (including re-appointed) member shall be sworn in and take an oath of office as required by RSA 42:1.

3. OFFICERS

- 3.1. The officers of the Board shall be as follows:
 - 3.1.1 Chairman: The Chairman shall preside over all meetings and hearings; shall prepare, with the assistance of the Secretary, an annual report; and shall perform other duties customary to the office.
 - 3.1.2 Vice-Chairman: The Vice-Chairman shall preside in the absence of the

- Chairman and shall have the full powers of the Chairman on matters that come before the Board in the absence of the Chairman.
- 3.1.3. Secretary: The Secretary shall keep a full and accurate record of the proceedings of each meeting; issue notices of all meetings; record the names of the members present; notify applicants and abutters of hearings; and prepare such correspondence and fulfill such duties as the Chairman may specify.
- 3.1.4. Assistant Secretary: Serves in the absence of the Secretary, performing all roles of the Secretary.
- 3.2 The officers of the Board shall be elected annually during the month of April by a majority vote of the Board. If requested by a majority of those present, voting shall be by written ballot.

4. MEETINGS

- 4.1. Regular meetings shall be held at least monthly at Town Hall at 7PM on the first Wednesday of each month.
- 4.2. Workshop meetings shall be held at least monthly at Town Hall at 7PM on the second Wednesday of each month.
- 4.3. Special meetings may be called by the Chairman or in her/his absence, by the Vice-Chairman, or at the request of three regular members of the Board provided public notice and notice to each member is given at least 48 hours in advance of the time of such meeting. The notice shall specify the purpose of the meeting.
- 4.4. Nonpublic Sessions shall be held only in accordance with RSA 91-A:3.
- 4.5 Quorum: A majority of the membership of the Board shall constitute a quorum, including alternates sitting in place of regular members. A quorum is necessary for the Board to take any action. If any regular Board member is absent from a meeting or hearing, or disqualifies her/himself from sitting on a particular application, the Chairman shall designate one of the alternate members to sit in place of the absent or disqualified member. Such alternate shall have all the powers and duties of a regular member in regards to any matter under consideration on which the regular member is unable to act. The alternate should continue until the matter is completed; the regular member does not vote on that matter.
- 4.6. Disqualification: If any member finds it necessary to be disqualified from sitting on a particular case, as provided in RSA 673:14, he or she shall notify the Chairman as soon as possible so that an alternate may be requested to fill the place. The disqualification shall be announced by either the Chairman or the member before the discussion or the public hearing on the application begins. The member disqualified shall leave the Board table during the public hearing and all deliberations, but may remain in the audience as a member of the public. If uncertainty arises as to whether a Board member should disqualify her/himself, on the request of that member or the request of another member of the Board, the Board shall vote on the question of whether that member should be

disqualified. Such request and vote shall be made prior to or at the commencement of any required public hearing. A vote on a question of disqualification shall be advisory and non-binding, and may not be requested by persons other than board members.

- 4.7. Order of Business for Regular Meetings shall be as follows:
 - 4.7.1. Call to order by Chairman
 - 4.7.2. Administrative Board Work
 - 4.7.2.1. Approval of previous month's minutes.
 - 4.7.2.2. Signing of plans for final approval
 - 4.7.2.3. Extension Requests
 - 4.2.7.4. Voluntary Mergers
 - 4.2.7.5. Discussions with Town Staff
 - 4.7.3. Application Acceptance and Public Hearings on new Subdivision & Site Plans
 - 4.7.4. Other Business
 - 4.7.5. Adjournment
- 4.8. Order of Business for Workshop Meetings shall be as follows:
 - 4.8.1. Call to order by Chairman
 - 4.8.2. Administrative Board Work
 - 4.8.2.1. Approval of previous month's minutes.
 - 4.8.2.2. Signing of plans for final approval
 - 4.8.2.3. Extension Requests
 - 4.8.7.4. Voluntary Mergers
 - 4.8.7.5. Discussions with Town Staff
 - 4.8.3. Public Hearings on Ordinance/Regulation Amendments or Re-Zonings, Conceptual Discussions, Workshops, Continued Public Hearings for Subdivision & Site Plan Applications.
 - 4.8.4. Other Business
 - 4.8.5. Adjournment
- 4.9. A motion, duly seconded, shall be carried by an affirmative vote of a majority of the voting members present. Voting shall be by voice vote, unless the chair specifically requests a roll call, which shall be recorded in the minutes.

5. APPLICATIONS FOR SUBDIVISION AND SITE PLAN REVIEW

5.1. All applications for subdivision approval and site plan approval shall be carried out as outlined in Section 2 of both the Londonderry Subdivision Regulations and Londonderry Site Plan Regulations.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS

The conduct of public hearings shall be governed by the following rules:

- 6.1. The Chairman shall call the hearing in session, and read the application information into the record.
- 6.2. The applicant, or his/her representative will present the application to the Planning Board
- 6.3. Members of the Board may ask questions at any point during the presentation.
- 6.4. The Town staff will present any comments or recommendations to the Board.
- 6.5. Any applicant, any abutter or any person with an interest in the matter may testify in person or in writing.
- 6.6. Any party to the matter who desires to ask a question of another party must go through the Chairman.
- 6.7. Each person who speaks shall be required to state her/his name and address for the record.
- 6.8. The Board makes any appropriate motions regarding waivers requested, or Conditional Use Permits requested.
- 6.9. The Board makes any appropriate motions regarding conditional approval, denial, or continuance of the application.
- 6.10. The Chairman shall indicate whether the hearing is closed or continued pending the submission of additional material or information or the correction of noted deficiencies. In the case of a continuance, additional notice is not required if the date, time and place of the continuation is made known at the adjournment.

7. DECISIONS

- 7.1. The Board shall render a written decision within 65 days of the date of acceptance of a completed application, subject to extension or waiver as provided in **RSA 676:4**.
- Notice of decision will be made available for public inspection at the Planning Department within 72 hours after the decision is made as required in **RSA 676:3**. If the application is disapproved, the Board shall provide the applicant with written reason for this disapproval.

8. RECORDS

- 8.1. The records of the Board shall be kept by the Secretary and shall be made available for public inspection at the Planning Department as required by **RSA 676:3,11**.
- 8.2. Minutes of the meetings including the names of Board members, staff members present, persons appearing before the Board, a brief description of the subject matter, all decisions made (including the vote tally for each motion), and shall be open to public inspection within 144 hours of 5 business days after the public meeting as required in RSA 91-A:2, II.

9. JOINT MEETINGS AND HEARINGS

- 9.1. The Planning Board may hold joint meetings and hearings with other "land use boards" including the Zoning Board of Adjustment and the Heritage/Historic District Commission. Each board shall have discretion whether or not to hold such joint meeting or hearing (RSA 676:2).
- 9.2. Joint business meetings with another local land use board may be held at any time when called jointly by the chairmen of the two boards.
- 9.3. A joint public hearing must be a formal public hearing when the subject matter of the hearing is within the responsibilities of the boards convened.
- 9.4. The Planning Board chair shall chair all joint meetings and public hearings when the subject matter involves the Planning Board.
- 9.5. The rules of procedure for joint meetings and hearings, the subject matter of which involves the Planning Board, shall be the same as these rules of procedure except that the order of business shall be as follows:
 - 9.5.1. Call to order by Chairman
 - 9.5.2. Introduction of members of both boards by Chairman
 - 9.5.3. Explanation of reason for joint meeting/hearing by Chairman
 - 9.5.4. In the case of a public hearing relative to a requested permit, a site plan or an application for a subdivision approval, or all three, the applicant shall be called to present his proposal.
 - 9.5.5. Adjournment
- 9.6. Each board involved in a joint public hearing makes its own decision, based on its criteria for the particular matter.

10. AMENDMENT

10.1. These rules of procedure may be amended by a majority vote of the members of the Board provided that such amendment is read at two successive meetings immediately preceding the meeting at which the vote is to be taken. The amended procedures shall be filed with the Town Clerk.

11. PLANNING BOARD ADOPTION

These Rules of Procedure, having been duly read at 2 consecutive Planning Board Meetings, as required by Section 10.1, are hereby adopted by a vote of the Planning Board on this day of **October**___, **2010**.

Signed		
Chair	Secretary	
*		
9		
6		

Tim Thompson

From: Andre Garron

Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2010 1:46 PM

To: Tim Thompson; Richard Canuel

Subject: FW: Stonyfield expansion

FYI

André

André L. Garron, AICP, Director Community Development Department 268 B Mammoth Road Londonderry, NH 03053

(V) 603-432-1100 Ext. 101

(F) 603-432-1128

(EM) agarron@londonderrynh.org



Business is good. Life is better! <u>wwww.thriveinlondonderry.com</u> Town of Londonderry

From: karen beliveau [mailto:

Sent: Wednesday, September 01, 2010 1:41 PM **To:** Andre Garron; whart@londonderrynh.org

Subject: Stonyfield expansion

Good morning,

I really do hate to bother you but this has been an on going issue which could result in a catastrophic accident.

Stonyfield's exit has an incline leading onto Burton Drive. The gates are open at most times and Stonyfield employees and vendors fly out of there. I was going into Granite Ridge this morning and had to immediately apply my brakes as the Stonyfield employee was coming out of the driveway and crossing traffic.

If the company intends to expand and not rectify this situation, this could result in motor vehicle accident and hopefully not a loss of like.

Also the tractor trailer trucks park in the cul de sac making the situation even more hazardous.

Talking to Stonyfield has not been successful. As you know, I am a resident for 35 years of Londonderry and do not complain but I would appreciate if you would look into this on my behalf.

Thank You.

Karen J. Beliveau

Plant Administrator

Granite Ridge Energy

603-552-1005