LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2010 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

1 2

7:00 PM: Members Present: Art Rugg; Mary Soares; John Farrell, Ex-Officio; Rick Brideau, CNHA, Ex-Officio; George Herrmann, Ex-Officio; Charles Tilgner, P.E.; Laura El-Azem; Chris Davies, alternate member; Cole Melendy, P.E., alternate member

10 Also Present: Tim Thompson, AICP; John Trottier, P.E.; Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary

A. Rugg called the meeting to order at 7 PM. A. Rugg noted that J. Farrell was selected by the Town Council to be their Ex-Officio on the Planning Board.

Administrative Board Work

A. Election of Officers

J. Farrell made a motion to elect M. Soares to Vice Chair, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 7-0-0.

M. Soares made a motion to elect A. Rugg be Chair, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 6-0-1 (A. Rugg abstained)

A. Rugg appointed C. Davies to vote for L. Wiles

M. Soares made a motion to elect C.Tilgner as Secretary, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0

J. Farrell made a motion to elect L. Wiles assistant secretary, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0

J. Farrell made a motion to appoint A. Rugg as the Planning Board representative to the Heritage Commission, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0

J. Farrell made a motion to appoint M. Soares and R. Brideau as the Planning Board representatives to the CIP committee, C. Tilgner seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0

J. Farrell made a motion to recommend to the Town Council that C. Davies be moved to a full member of the Planning Board (term ends 12/31/10) to replace his former seat vacated by his election to the Town Council, R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion 8-0-0. A. Rugg said he will send a recommendation to the Town Council.

 B. Extension Request - LHRA Bus Terminal Site Plan

T. Thompson referenced the letter from Paul Donehue, Londonderry Housing & Redevelopment Authority (LHRA), requesting an additional one year extension of the site plans that will expire on April 9, 2010. T. Thompson said that staff is supportive of that request.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant a one year extension to April 9, 2011. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Extension for one year was granted.

C. Extension Request - Young Subdivision

T. Thompson referenced the letter from Timothy Peloquin, Promised Land Survey, requesting a 6 month extension of the subdivision plans that will expire on April 7, 2010. This is the third extension request. They are awaiting final review and approval from Town staff, and want to give ample time for their review and final comment. Upon their final review and acceptance, an appropriate bond will need to be put in place for the construction of this driveway within the Town's Right of Way, and the setting of final monuments. T. Thompson said that staff is supportive of the request for a 6 month extension.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant a 6 month extension to October 7, 2010. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Extension for 6 months was granted.

D. Extension Request - Water Wonders Site Plan

T. Thompson referenced the letter from William Davidson, Hoyle, Tanner & Associates, requesting a one year extension of the site plans that will expire on May 6, 2010. They are currently in the process of resubmitting their revised left turn lane design. They expect to submit the final package beginning of April. T. Thompson said that staff is supportive of that request.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant a one year extension to May 6, 2011. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Extension for one year was granted.

E. Extension Request – DiFava Fire Protection Site Plan

T. Thompson referenced the letter from Todd Connors, Sublime Civil Consultants, requesting a 60 day extension of the site plans that will expire on April 7, 2010. The additional time will be necessary to finalize exhibits for final approval and endorsement by the Planning Board. T. Thompson said that staff is supportive of that request.

J. Farrell made a motion to grant a 60 day extension to June 6, 2010. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Extension for 60 days was granted.

2 F. Reinstatement & Extension Request – Unicast Expansion Site Plan, Map 28, Lot 21-1

T. Thompson referenced the letter from Nicholas Golon, TF Moran, requesting reaffirmation of the conditional approval that has expired. This plan was approved by the Planning Board in March 2008. It did receive a one year extension to March 12, 2010. T. Thompson said the plan has expired at this point, however, there have been no changes to ordinances and regulations that impact this plan and that staff would support the reinstatement of the approval at this time and a one year extension.

C. Melendy arrived at 7:12PM

J. Farrell made a motion to reaffirm approval and grant a one year extension of this plan to April 7, 2011. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0.

G. Approval and Signing of Minutes – March 10

J. Farrell made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the March 10 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-2.

(M. Soares and G. Herrmann abstained because they were absent at the March 10 meeting).

Minutes for March 10 are approved and will be signed at the conclusion of the meeting.

H. Discussions with Town Staff

- T. Thompson said he and J. Trottier met with the Building Inspector, Richard Canuel, and Giovani Verani (on behalf of Patricia Verani) regarding 217 Rockingham Road. On March 15, 2010 P. Verani allowed Brown Limousine to park limousines on her lot and use a small portion of the building. They have about 10 limousines that will be parked on the lot. It's a month-to-month lease and is looked at as a temporary lease, while they seek for alternative tenants that could make better use of the property. Code enforcement said that the tenant constituted a change in use and would require a site plan, which is not economically feasible for a temporary tenant paying \$1,000 a month. After meeting with the town staff on April 6, they are asking the Planning Board to provide direction to the town staff regarding the level of site plan review that would be required to bring this change of use into compliance (see attached letter from G. Verani). T. Thompson asked the Board if they would allow staff to review this plan. The Board was comfortable with staff reviewing this plan, based on a term no longer than one year. The Board was comfortable with staff reviewing this issue, conditioned upon:
- A copy of the existing approved site plan shall be redlined by Town staff and the applicant to specifically indicate where parking is to take place on the site. Parking should not be at the front of the building.

Public Hearings

 The applicant will need to sign a copy of the redlined plan, indicating their agreement to the parking locations.

- 2. The temporary use of the property is valid for 1 year (until April 7, 2011). If at that time the use is to continue, it will be required to return to the Planning Board.
- 3. The parking area to be utilized should be striped on the pavement at the site. DPW will coordinate with the applicant.
- A. Rugg said Saturday May 10 there is the annual NH OEP Planning & Zoning conference in Nashua.

A. Jean Gagnon, Map 15, Lot 3 - Public Hearing for an amendment to the previously approved Elizabeth Meadows Subdivision.

- T. Thompson said completeness is not applicable, as this is an amendment to an approved subdivision. This plan was originally approved by the Board in 2007 and signed in 2009. The applicant wishes to change the plans to service the lots by on-site septic rather than the approved connections to municipal sewer.
- Eric Mitchell presented the plans on behalf of Jean Gagnon.

The public sewer would be pumped from the two houses out to the street to get to the drainage manhole because of the elevation of the crossing for the brook. Originally this plan was brought before the Board as having more lots. They propose on-site septic instead of connecting into the municipal sewer.

- J. Trottier referenced the memo with staff recommendations.
- A. Rugg asked for public input.

William Dembro, 12 Hall Rd, is concerned about what the septic systems will do to affect the water on his property. E. Mitchell said the two lots are high and dry, but if W. Dembro has a concern they would be glad to come out and look at it. W. Dembro also said there was an agreement with Jean's son to take down some trees on W. Dembro's property. T. Thompson said that because it was on the original plans, it still is required to be done. J. Trottier told W. Dembro that they are aware of the situation and they will ensure that it doesn't cause any problems for him.

There was no further public comment.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the amendments to the approved subdivision with the following conditions:

assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or

organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and

1. The applicant shall obtain, and provide a copy of the permit for the Town's files, NHDES Subdivision approval.

2. The applicant shall provide lot size calculations for the lots as required by Section 2.3.1.3.1.4, Table 2 of the Zoning Ordinance to verify that the lots meet the minimum lot size requirements.

3. The applicant shall revise/clarify the following notes on Sheet 1:

#3-5.
b. Note 2 – Revise note to indicate both lots #3 and #3-5 are located in the AR-1 zone. Additionally, revise the note to indicate that minimum lot area is based on HISS requirements per Londonderry Zoning Ordinance.

a. Note 1 - Revise note to indicate the final lot areas of Lot #3 and Lot

c. Note 4 – Revise note to indicate Comcast as the CATV provider.

d. Note 8 – Indicate NHDES Subdivision Approval number upon receipt.
e. Note 21 – Indicates a waiver, however, no waivers are required for this application. Remove the waiver note and replace with a note referencing the conditional use permit from the previously approved subdivision plan (Reference plan No. 2).

4. The applicant shall provide Owners signature on Sheet 1.

5. It appears the as-built location of the twin culverts are not centered within the proposed 20-foot by 30-foot drainage easement. The applicant shall revise location of easement so that culverts are centered in easement.

6. The applicant shall revise notes on Sheets 3 and 4 to indicate latest FIRM maps (2005 vs. 1980), and the final lot areas of Lot #3 and Lot #3-5.

7. The applicant shall clarify the following on sheet 5:

a. Provide the size, type and end treatment of existing culverts.

 b. Clarify the as-built invert information, which currently lists three inverts in and one invert out.

- 1 8. The applicant shall clarify the following on sheet 6:
 - a. Owner of Map 15 Lot 176.
 - b. Remove the reference to "sewer profile" in the title block.
 - 9. The applicant shall clarify the following on sheet 7:
 - a. End section detail shown, plan does not indicate one to be provided.
 - b. Clarify what the Double Net Coconut Blanket specification and reference to installation instructions is for.
 - c. Clarify what the Channel Installation instructions are for.
 - 10. The Engineer indicates that the common driveway twin culvert boulder headwall be approved as built and the use of Vmax3 stabilization blanket. The Applicant shall provide a revised Drainage Summary Report reflecting the as-built existing conditions, ensure there is no increase in runoff to abutters, and impact to adjacent lots due to backwater effects. Additionally the applicant shall provide design data and details for the proposed Vmax3 stabilization blanket.
 - 11. The NHDES Dredge and Fill Permit number noted on sheet 1, note 8 (Permit 2006-02682) is for the installation of a 15-inch by 45-foot culvert. The proposed plan is for twin culverts. The applicant shall update the NHDES wetlands permit accordingly.
 - 12. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.06.N of the regulations.
 - 13. The applicant shall provide a check for \$25 (made payable to the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds) to pay for the LCHIP tax that became effective on recording of all plans and documents at the registry on July 1, 2008.
 - 14. The applicant shall note all general and subsequent conditions on the plans *(must be on a sheet to be recorded)*, per the new requirements of RSA 676:3.
 - 15. Financial guaranty if necessary.
 - 16. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within *2 years* to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

 All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

 No construction or site work for the amended subdivision may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town (as applicable). Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Department & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

5. All required School, Library, Recreation, Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificates of Occupancy for the newly created lots.

6. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 8-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

B. The Nevins Retirement Cooperative Assoc, Map 7, Lot 122 - Public Hearing for an amendment to the previously approved Nevins Elderly Housing Site Plan to reorient certain driveways and correct certain building street addresses.

T. Thompson said completeness is not applicable, as this is an amendment to an approved site plan. This plan is to amend the approved Nevins Elderly Housing Site Plan to modify driveway locations and street addresses for 3 homes in the development. Because this project was approved as a site plan, it requires an amendment to the approved plans from the Planning Board.

Joseph Maynard presented their plans. They would like to relocate 3 driveways, which would require an address change.

- J. Trottier referenced the memo with staff recommendations.
- T. Thompson said asked the Board to waive the 7 days deadline for signature
- A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.
- J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the amendments to the approved site plan with the following conditions and to waive the 7 days deadline for signature:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The applicant shall revise the roadway name of "Eayers Range Drive" to "Eayers Range Road" on both sheets.
- 2. The applicant shall revise the plan reference #1 to refer to all owners of record and appropriate addresses on both sheets.
- 3. The applicant shall correct the spelling of the Sheet 2 plan title.
- 4. The applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 5. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

2 3

1. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial quaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.

6. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. **Vote on the motion: 8-0-0.** Plan is conditionally approved.

1 2

C. KAKE Preserve, LLC, Map 14, Lot 29-10 - Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a Site Plan and Conditional Use Permit to construct a 16,600 square foot commercial building.

T. Thompson stated that there were no checklist items, and staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.

7 8 9

10

M. Soares made a motion to accept the application as complete. J. Farrell seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Application accepted as complete.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Todd Connors, Sublime Civil Consultants and Eric Skinner, principal for KAKE Preserve LLC, presented their plans. The parcel is located at 3 Aviation Park Drive and is on the corner of Harvey Road and Aviation Park Drive. The parcel is currently vacant, is approximately 4 1/3 acres and is located in the Ind-II zone. The site plan proposes 2 phase construction. Phase 1 would consist of a building with a footprint of 14,400 sq. ft. and some additional mezzanine space (about 1200 sq ft). Phase 2 is on the westerly corner of the building and would be a 2200 sq ft addition. The proposed use is general flexible industrial park. They are dividing the Phase 1 building into 10 units and each unit would have typical office area up front and some high base space in the back, to be used for assembly, storage, light manufacturing. Primary tenant for the building is a landscape contractor and they would like to use the back of the property for storage of typical landscape materials. Phase 2 building doesn't require a lot of parking spaces, but does need employee parking. They are making a change to the plan in regards to the concerns of the building inspector. They will make the access wider going around the back of the building. The site will be served by municipal water, sewer and natural gas. The site will have 3 detention ponds. All permit applications to the state have been submitted. The project also requires a conditional use permit and the application has been submitted. The conservation commission has approved the plans. They also have a waiver request for relief of the requirements for the all-season sight distance.

343536

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the waiver request into the record:

373839

40

41

42

The applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.08.b.5. The applicant has not provided the minimum 1.5' of clearance for the all-season sight distance. Staff recommends granting the waiver, as the area where 1.5' is not provided is in the travel way of Akira Way, and will be cleared of snow when any vehicles are traveling the roadway.

43 44 45

T. Thompson stated that staff recommends granting the conditional use permit, per the recommendation of the Conservation Commission.

46 47 48

49

50

Because the applicant has met with the Zoning Officer and has come up with a solution to the zoning compliance issue raised in comment # 2 of the DPW review memo and the Building Division's DRC comments, staff is comfortable

5

1

2

6 7

8 9 10

11 12 13

15 16 17

14

18 19 20

21

22 23 24

25

26 27 28

29 30 31

32

33

34 35 36

37 38

43 44

45

46 47

with the project moving forward. Based upon the information available to date the Staff recommends conditional approval. T. Thompson recommended that we add a subsequent condition to request that the applicant come back before the Board in regards to the signage.

- A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.
- J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Waiver granted.
- J. Farrell made a motion to grant Conditional Use Permit per the recommendation of staff and the Conservation Commission. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No Discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-O. Conditional Use Permit granted.
- J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the site plan with the following conditions:
- "Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The applicant shall revise the site design for the loading areas per the direction given by the Zoning Officer on April 7, 2010 to address the compliance issue raised by the Building Division in their DRC comments. The revisions must meet the approval of the Building Division and the Department of Public Works prior to final approval of the site plan by the Planning Board.
- 2. The applicant's design does not provide proper loading bays (minimum 14'x48') at loading doors/bays 1 and 2 at the west end of the building and at the 1st door/bay at the east end of the building in accordance with section 2.5.1.3.7.3 of the Zoning Ordinance as stated in the Building Division's DRC Comments. A loading area for phase 2 does not appear to be indicated. In addition, the Applicant's design at the 1st door/bay at the east end of the building appears to block access to the rear of the building. It is unclear how the designated SU delivery vehicle will properly access these locations. The Applicant shall provide a site design in compliance with the regulations.

10

11

12

13

14

26

27

20

21

32 33

34

35

40 41

42 43

48

49

3. The applicant's building rendering, provided with this submission, appears to indicate the entirety of the front portion of the proposed building could have a mezzanine, but the site plan does not indicate the area(s) intended for this use. We note the listed mezzanine area is 1,200 SF. The Applicant shall update the plan to indicate the location(s) for clarity.

4. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the submitted project drainage report:

- a. The Applicant's drainage design appears to include wetland detention ponds designed to maintain a permanent water depth varying from two to four feet, but the pond condition (CN=100) is not included/addressed in the associated subcatchments of the 25-year calculations that were provided with this submission. The Applicant shall update the design to properly address the pond conditions. The Applicant shall verify compliance with the regulations (no increase in runoff).
- b. The 25-year analysis indicates the flow to CB 1 (Pond 10) will be in excess of 2 CFS. The Applicant shall indicate a double grate catch basin be provided at this location or grate capacity analysis provided to justify the single grate design. The Applicant shall review and revise accordingly.
- 5. The Applicant's project details include several details, such as typical outlet structure at detention basins, cape cod berm, sewer trench, and Drop sewer manhole, that are not consistent with the Town's recently adopted "Typical Details for Site and Roadway Infrastructure". The Applicant shall revise the details to comply with the Towns' details or remove all typical details that conflict with the Town standards as typically requested by the Town.
- The Applicant indicates the NHDES Site Specific permit and Federal 6. Aviation Administration permit applications have been submitted for the project on the application checklist. The Applicant shall obtain all project permits, indicate the permit approval numbers on the cover sheet and provide copies of all permits for the Planning Division files. The Applicant notes copies will be forwarded upon receipt in the response letter.
- 7. The Applicant shall provide a professional endorsement (stamp and signature) for the indicated wetlands on the existing conditions plan.
- 8. The Applicant shall verify the Fire Department has approved the new hydrant location at the driveway shown on the utility plan and verify if any additional hydrants are necessary at the site. The Applicant notes confirmation from the Fire Department will be forwarded upon receipt in the response letter.

- 9. The Applicant shall verify the DRC comments of the Building Division have been adequately addressed with the Building Division.
- 10. Note all waivers and the conditional use permit granted on the plan.
- 11. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 12. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.
- 13. Financial guaranty if necessary.
- 14. Final engineering review

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within *6 months* to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- 1. The Applicant shall return to the Planning Board for a public hearing to review and approve any signage design prior to any construction commencing on signage at the site. The Applicant shall obtain a recommendation from the Heritage Commission on the design prior to the Planning Board hearing
- 2. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- 3. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 4. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a 5. certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. *No other* improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.

19 20 21

6. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.

232425

22

7. All required Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

262728

29

30

8. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

31 32 33

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Plan is conditionally approved.

343536

37

38

D. SMT Tracey Lane Holdings, LLC, Map 2, Lots 34 & 34-3 - Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for a Site Plan to construct an expansion of parking, loading and display areas to the previously approved Reeds Ferry Small Buildings project.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

T. Thompson stated there is one outstanding checklist item, which is a waiver request. Assuming the Planning Board grants the waiver, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete. The applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 3.14. The applicant has not provided a traffic impact analysis. Staff recommends granting the waiver, as the expansion of the site does not represent any increase to the floor area of the facility and will have no impact to traffic generation.

47 48

A. Rugg temporary left the room

49 50

- J. Farrell made a motion to grant the waiver to section 3.14 of the regulations based on the applicant's letter and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. Waiver was granted.
 - T. Thompson said that seeing the waiver has been granted, staff recommends accepting the application as complete.
 - J. Farrell made a motion to accept the application as complete. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. Application accepted as complete.
 - A. Rugg returned to the room.

Jeff Merritt, Keach-Nordstrom Associates, the civil site engineers and land surveyors for this project. He said that the applicant wants to expand the plan that was approved by the Board in 2008. They propose a little expansion of the truck maneuvering area on the east side of the building, a second driveway off of the main road that it fronts on, as well as a "shed garden" along Route 102. The shed garden consists of stonedust paths and landscaping. Reeds Ferry makes various sheds and gazebos. That area will be used to showcase the products that they manufacture and erect on peoples lots. They also need to go before the town of Hudson because is located in both Londonderry and Hudson. They also have a requirement to obtain an alteration of terrain permit from NHDES for this project, because the previously approved project and the expansion exceed 100,000 sq ft of land disturbance. The permit is pending and they anticipate receiving it in the next week or so. They are scheduled to attend the Hudson Planning Board meeting next Wednesday.

- J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo.
- T. Thompson said staff recommends conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo. He noted a couple precedent conditions;
- 1) To combine the lots via a voluntary merger. Staff recommends holding off on this recommendation until the project is ready to receive final approval from the Planning Board, so they can take care of both at the same time.
- 2) Relative to the informational item on the DPW memo, because this was declared as regional impact, if there are any comments that we receive before this is signed by the Planning Board for the regional planning commissions we ask that they address those. Under general and subsequent conditions, we note that the applicant will need to obtain final site plan approval from the town of Hudson prior to commencement of construction on the property.
- T. Thompson added that during the conceptual discussion he made some reference to the Route 102 performance overlay district (POD). The lot that fronts on Route 102 is currently located in overlay district. He said that his comments were erroneous because he forgot about the provision in our ordinance that allows for expansion of existing facilities to fall under the

 8. Final engineering review

requirements of the underlying zoning. He did encourage the applicant, and they graciously did keep the landscaping in compliance with what the POD would have required. He appreciates that and their willingness to do that, but they are following the standards of the underlying zone.

A. Rugg asked for public input, but there was none.

J. Farrell made a motion to conditionally approve the site plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The applicant shall combine the lots via voluntary merger prior to final approval of the site plan.
- 2. Because the project was declared to be of regional impact, the applicant shall address any comments from the Regional Planning Commissions (if any are received prior to final approval of the project. To date there have been no comments from either SNHPC or NRPC.).
- 3. The Applicant indicates the NHDES Site Specific permit application has been submitted on the application checklist. The Applicant shall obtain all project permits, indicate the permit approval numbers in note 24 on the cover sheet, and provide copies of all permits for the Planning Division files.
- 4. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
- 5. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 6. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.
- 7. Financial guaranty if necessary.
- <u>PLEASE NOTE</u> Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met

1 2

6 7 8

9 10

11

12 13 14

15

16

17 18 19

20

30

31

40

41

42

43

44 45 46

47 48 49

50

within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- The applicant shall obtain final site plan approval from the Town of 1. Hudson prior to commencement of construction on the property.
- 2. No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the 3. approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the 4. applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a 5. certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial quaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. improvements shall be permitted to use a financial quaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.
- As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department 6. prior to the release of the applicant's financial quaranty.

| 1 2 3 4 | 7. | All required Traffic, Police, and Fire impact fees from the original approval must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5 6 7 8 9 | 8. | It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits. |
| 10 11 12 | | Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8 Plan is conditionally approved. |
| 13 14 | Other Business | |
| 15 16 17 | None. | |
| 17 18 19 | Adjournment: | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 | M. Soares made a motion to adjourn the meeting. G. Herrmann seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 8-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 8:15 PM. | |
| 26 27 28 29 | These minutes prepared by Cathy Dirsa, Planning Division Secretary. | |
| 30 31 32 33 | Respectfully Submitted, | |
| 34 35 | Charles Tilgner, Secretary | |

April 6th, 2010

Planning Board - Town of Londonderry, NH 268 B Mammoth Road Londonderry, NH 03053

Re: 217 Rockingham Road

Dear Planning Board,

I am writing to you today on behalf of Patricia Verani, owner of 217 Rockingham Road. On March 15th, 2010 she allowed Brown Limousines to park Limousines on her lot and use a small portion of the building. They have approximately 10 Limousines that will be parked on the lot. This is a month to month lease and is looked at it as a temporary lease while we seek alternative tenant(s) that can make better use of the property.

The code enforcement officer contacted me on March 29th and informed me that the tenant change constitutes a change in use and would require a site plan. I informed Richard that a fully engineered site plan and planning board review was beyond what we could afford to do for a temporary tenant that was paying \$1,000 per month. However, the income received from the temporary tenant was very much welcomed by my mother to cover taxes and other holding charges on the property while we market for another tenant. So, I would be willing to do something that was not as expensive, for example designate on the existing site plan on file where the Limo's are parking. Richard suggested a meeting with the Town Staff.

Based upon a recommendation from members of the Town Staff during a meeting held on 4/6, I'm requesting on behalf of Patricia Verani that the Planning Board provide appropriate direction to Town Staff regarding the level of site plan review that would be required in order to bring a change of use into compliance.

From a property perspective, please keep in mind that this use is an allowed us by zoning. Brown Limousines is a fellow tax payer in the Town of Londonderry, and owner of the property across the street. The Limo's have been parked across the street the last three years; so it is not a major impact to the neighborhood and actually is a less intense use then the retail use that the site plan is currently approved for. The real estate economy that we are currently experiencing requires uses to be more creative then we used to.

From a personal perspective, my mother is an 83 year old woman, on a fixed income, who has been a long term resident, a taxpayer and contributor to this town for over 60 years.

I know Londonderry is trying to be a business friendly environment, and in my opinion, working with smaller landlords, that have been long term residence and contributors to the town would be considered business friendly. Please let us know.

Best regards,

Giovanni Verani On behalf of Patricia Verani