# LONDONDERRY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW COMMITTEE

## MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012 IN THE ELWOOD CONFERENCE ROOM

ARC Members Present: Town Planner Cynthia May, ASLA; Asst. Director of Public Works John Trottier, P.E.; Senior Building Inspector/Zoning Officer/Health Officer Richard Canuel

Also Present: Jaye Trottier, Planning and Economic Development Secretary; Steve Hicks, Applicant; and Patrick Colburn of Keach-Nordstrom Associates

C. May called the meeting to order at 9:03 AM.

#### **Public Hearings**

A. STJB, LLC (owner), Whittemore Insurance (applicant) Map 15, Lot 61-1 – Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for formal review of a minor site plan application to amend the previous Change of Use site plan to (1) incorporate changes made by the NH Department of Transportation (DOT) during the widening of Rte 28 (Rockingham Road) and Symmes Drive, specifically to overlay the existing paved surface and restripe the parking spaces, and (2) remove a dilapidated portion of the existing building at 172 Rockingham Road, Zoned MUC.

C. May stated there are 4 outstanding checklist items, all of which have associated waiver requests. Assuming the Administrative Review Committee (ARC) grants the waivers, staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.

The waivers were summarized as follows:

1. A waiver to Section 4.16 of the site plan regulations. The applicant has not provided an illumination plan. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, as no new lighting is proposed as part of this project.

 2. A waiver to Section 4.14.e of the site plan regulations. The applicant has not provided a landscape plan. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, because site improvements are limited to incorporating DOT changes and the removal of a portion of the building.

 3. A waiver to Section 4.18.b of the site plan regulations. The applicant has not provided utility clearance letters. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, because site improvements are limited to incorporating DOT changes and the removal of a portion of the building.

4. A waiver to Section 4.15 of the site plan regulations. The applicant has not provided building elevations/renderings. Staff recommends *granting* the waiver, because site improvements are limited to incorporating DOT changes and the removal of a portion of the building.

 1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48 49

50

- J. Trottier made a motion to approve the waivers for checklist items numbered 1-4 as outlined in Staff's recommendation memorandum dated September 13, 2012. R. Canuel seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 3-0-0. The four waivers were granted.
- C. May stated that the application is accepted as complete and that there are no other waivers requested with this application.
- P. Colburn explained that when the State Department of Transportation (DOT) widened Route 28 and made improvements to the intersection with Symmes Drive, one of the Symmes Drive curb cuts was eliminated and replaced with a right in only access from Rte 28. When the applicant applied for building permits to alter the existing structure on the property, he made reference to overlaying and restriping the parking lot. He was informed that to do so, he would need to conform to the site plan approved in 2007. That would be impossible since the changes made by DOT brought the site out of compliance with that site plan. Based on discussion with staff, the proposed site plan amendment features the overlay of the parking area, the removal of the remaining piece of the Symmes Drive curb cut, the loaming and seeding of areas were pavement is to be removed, the restriping of 12 spaces and the construction of a 13th space, and the cutting out of pavement in the parking lot along Rte 28 to accommodate a small landscape island for a freestanding sign. One result of the plan will be an overall decrease in impervious surface on the lot. A full stormwater management report was therefore not required, however a detailed comparison of existing and proposed stormwater conditions will be provided for the record per staff's request. C. May made clear that the comparison is between existing and proposed conditions, as opposed to conditions set in the approved 2007 site plan. In addition, approximately 1,000 square feet of the back portion of the existing building will be removed as it is run down and no longer serves a purpose to the applicant.
- J. Trottier reviewed the precedent conditions outlined in the staff recommendation memo (see motion below). C. May began a review of outstanding Design Review comments, starting with those of the Building Department. R. Canuel confirmed with P. Colburn work to be done by the applicant and work already done by the State. P. Colburn noted that the walkway across the driveway is not marked and there are tip downs existing on both sides, but they are not concrete. R. Canuel said he would contact DOT about completing their improvements by marking the crosswalk and installing detectable warning pads, especially since the site will see increased pedestrian traffic because it is surrounded by a bus terminal, the NH Park and Ride, and a residential area. He suggested the applicant then follow up with DOT. If nothing can be accomplished, R. Canuel suggested removing the associated note from the plan entirely. Per the Sewer Department comments, J. Colburn will submit for the file sewer design flows and calculations for anticipated flows.

No members of the public were present to comment.

J. Trottier made a motion to conditionally approve the plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

#### PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The submitted Stormwater Management letter dated August 27, 2012 does not meet the approval of the Department of Public Works & Engineering (DPW). The applicant shall compare the proposed 2012 conditions of the site to the 2007 pre-development conditions of the site. The applicant shall also reference the February 15, 2007 Stormwater Management Drainage Analysis as prepared by KNA. The Stormwater Management letter shall also include, at a minimum, a plan illustrating the 2007 pre-development conditions and the proposed 2012 conditions. The applicant shall revise the letter as necessary, meeting the approval of DPW.

2. The Applicant shall contact the New Hampshire Department of Transportation (NHDOT) to clarify whether a revised driveway permit is required for the proposed changes (one-way in) and the work contemplated on the existing sidewalk on Rockingham Road. All appropriate details (handicap tip down) should be provided in the plan set for proper construction.

3. The Applicant shall clarify the following on Sheets 1, 2 and 3:

 a. The existing conditions and removals plan (sheet 1) indicates areas of pavement to be removed. It is assumed these areas will be loamed and seeded, please clarify.

b. The site layout, grading & utilities plan (sheet 2) indicates what appears to be pavement markings south of the proposed 2 employee spaces. The Applicant shall clarify and provide appropriate pavement making details. It is recommended that a "Do not enter" sign be placed at the driveway to Rockingham Road.

 c. The driveway and parking lot section detail (sheet 3) shall be revised to indicate 4" Hot Bituminous Pavement (1.5" and 2.5") in accordance with the Town of Londonderry standards.

4. The Applicant shall address all DRC Comments as applicable.

5. The applicant shall address the following relative to the project notes (on all applicable sheets):

a. Notes regarding the zoning of the parcel are unclear. The applicant shall revise the notes regarding zoning of the parcel (all applicable sheets to read as follows: "The parcel is less than 3 acres and therefore subject to the requirements of the underlying zoning district (C-II)." 

- b. The asterisk footnote regarding minimum lot size and dimensions shall be removed from all applicable sheets.
- 6. Note all waivers granted for this site on the plan.
- 7. The Applicant shall present the proposed sign to the Heritage Commission prior to submitting an application for a sign permit from the Building Department.
- 8. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 9. Financial guaranty if necessary.
- 10. Final engineering review.

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

#### **GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS**

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- 2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- 4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping

improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. *No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial guaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy.* 

1 2

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.

6. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

### R. Canuel seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 3-0-0.

The application was conditionally approved. C. May noted that the conditional approval is valid for 120 days.

### **Other Business**

There was no other business

#### **Adjournment:**

J. Trottier made a motion to adjourn. R. Canuel seconded the motion. Vote on the motion: 3-0-0.

The meeting adjourned at 9:25 AM.

These minutes were prepared by Jaye Trottier, Planning and Economic Development Department Secretary.

Respectfully Submitted,

44 Cynthia A. May, ASLA

45 Town Planner