1 LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

2 <u>MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF February 1, 2012 AT THE MOOSE HILL</u> 3 COUNCIL CHAMBERS

4

Members Present: Art Rugg; Lynn Wiles; Laura El-Azem; Chris Davies; Rick Brideau, CNHA, Ex-Officio; Scott Benson, alternate member; and Maria Newman, alternate member

8

9 Also Present: André Garron, AICP; Cynthia May, ASLA; John Trottier, P.E.; and
10 Libby Canuel, Community Development Secretary

11

A. Rugg called the meeting to order at 7 PM. He appointed S. Benson to vote forM. Soares and M. Newman to vote for D. Coons.

14

A. Rugg announced that the applicant of the first agenda item under "New Plans"
has requested a continuance of their public hearing to the March 14, 2012
meeting:

18

Akira Way Extension – Kenneth S. Solinsky (Applicant), Insight Technologies
 Inc., Sub of L-3 Communications Corp (Owner), Map 28 Lot 31– Application
 Acceptance and Public Hearing for a two-lot subdivision and the extension of
 Akira Way, 9 Akira Way, Zoned I-II.

- J. Trottier referenced the letter from Todd Connors of Long Beach Development Assoc., LLC requesting a continuance to March 14, 2012. The additional time is being requested so a second meeting can take place with the Conservation Commission to discuss plan changes. Staff recommends continuing the request to the March 14 meeting.
- 28 29

30

31

32

33

38

42

L. Wiles made a motion to continue the public hearing to March 14, 2012 at 7pm. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. The public hearing will be continued to March 14, 2012 at 7PM. A. Rugg said this will be the only public notice.

34 <u>Administrative Board Work</u>35

A. Approval and Signing of Minutes – January 4, 2012, January 11, 2012,
 January 19, 2012 and January 30, 2012

L. Wiles made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the
January 4, 2012 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No
discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0.

- 43 L. Wiles made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the 44 January 11, 2012 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No 45 discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0.
- 46

47 L. Wiles made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the 48 January 19, 2012 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-1 (S. Benson abstained as he was
 absent from the January 19, 2012 meeting).

L. Wiles made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the January 30, 2012 meeting. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-1 (S. Benson abstained as he was absent from the January 30, 2012 meeting).

9 Minutes for the January 4, 2012, January 11, 2012, January 19, 2012, and 10 January 30, 2012 meetings were approved and will be signed at the conclusion 11 of the meeting.

- 13 B. Voluntary Merger
- 14 15

16

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

12

4

5

6

7

8

Patricia Panciocco (Trustee), Map 7, Lots 7 through 10

J. Trottier explained that in September, 2011, the Chair had signed the Town of Londonderry Voluntary Merger Form. It was then discovered that Meadow Drive had been discontinued years earlier and is to be combined with one of the two new proposed lots. A revised merger form presented at this meeting references a plan to be recorded that reflects the integration of the road into one of the lots.

A. Rugg asked for input from the Board. There was none.

L. Wiles made a motion to grant the voluntary merger for Tax Map 7, Lots 7 through 10. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. The merger was granted and will be signed by the Chair at the end of this meeting.

- 31 C. Regional Impact Determinations
 - C. May stated that at the September 7, 2011 meeting, the Planning Board accepted staff's recommendation that the two-lot internal subdivision and extension of Akira Way on Map 28, Lot 31 was not a project of regional impact. Staff was confirming this fact because of the public hearing originally scheduled for this meeting (see above). C. May said the regional impact issue will not need to be addressed again.
- 40 C. May stated that the Executive Health and Sports Center/Benton • 41 Family Realty Trust is proposing the addition of an outdoor pool/patio, 42 tennis courts, and 7,000 sq. ft. of new medical offices within the interior 43 of the existing building on Map 28, Lot 10-0 and 10-C1. She said staff 44 recommends this project is not a development of regional impact as it 45 does not meet any of the regional impact guidelines suggested by 46 Southern NH Planning Commission (SNHPC). L. Wiles made a motion 47 to accept staff recommendations that this project is determined not to be of regional impact under RSA 36:56. 48 R. Brideau 49 seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. 50

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

32

34

D. Discussions with Town Staff

- Page 3 of 11
- A. Garron informed the Board on the recent progress on the Comprehensive Master Plan Update. The Survey Sub-Committee met on January 23, 2012 to discuss the direction of the survey with the consultant from the UNH Survey Center and (via Skype) the Master Plan consultant, Town Planning and Urban Design Collaborative (TPUDC). The survey was then discussed at the January 31 meeting of the Master Plan Steering Committee (MPSC) and their input was taken. Brian Wright and Kara Wilbur of TPUDC were also introduced to the Committee at that meeting and they reviewed the initial framework of the process. The goal is for the Survey Sub-Committee to meet once more and present a final survey document for approval at the February 22 MPSC meeting. This would allow the UNH Survey Center to begin conducting the survey during the first full week of March.
- 17 A. Garron said he attended a Metro Center meeting earlier in the day, • 18 which is a collaboration of the 13 communities which comprise the 19 Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission (SNHPC). The intent of 20 the group is to combine resources with the help of a professional 21 facilitator in order to attract economic prospects to the area. Today's 22 meeting included a review of the new Metro Center website and 23 discussion of the impending strategic plan which will expand the group's 24 efforts.
- L. Wiles asked if there was an update regarding the establishment of an escrow account for the Third Party Consultant of the Woodmont Commons project. A. Garron said he received an email from the applicant's attorney this afternoon but has not reviewed it yet and will do so with Town legal counsel tomorrow morning. L. Wiles asked that A. Garron email the Board once the escrow check has been received.

33 Public Hearings

- A. Executive Health and Sports Center Improvements Benton Family Realty Trust (Applicant), City of Manchester (Owner), Map 28 Lots 10-0 and 10-C1 – Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for the addition of an outdoor pool and patio, tennis courts, and 7,000 square feet of new medical offices within the interior of the existing building, 1 Highlander Way, Zoned C-II.
- 40 41

42

APPLICATION ACCEPTANCE

J. Trottier stated there is one outstanding checklist item, which has an
associated waiver. Assuming the Board grants the waiver, staff recommends
the application be accepted as complete.

- 47 J. Trottier read the waiver request into the record:
- 48 49

46

1. The applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 4.12 – Existing

Conditions Plan requiring the provision of boundary information for the property, boundary monuments, limits of wetlands, existing easements, SDS soils, existing features, etc. for the entire parcel.

Staff recommends **granting** the waiver, as it is consistent with past Board practice and because the applicant has shown these features in the area of the proposed work.

A. Rugg asked for input from the Board. There was none.

L. Wiles made a motion to grant the waiver based on the applicant's letter dated January 12, 2012 and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. The waiver was granted.

L. Wiles made a motion to accept the application as complete. R.
Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0O. The application was accepted as complete.

19 20

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

A. Rugg mentioned that this starts the 65 day time frame under RSA 676:4.

Chris Rice and Bob Duval of T.F. Moran were joined by Mike Benton, owner of Executive Health and Sports Center. M. Benton stated that the aforementioned interior renovations and new outdoor facilities comprise Phase I of this project. The medical office suite will consist of medi-spa, wellness services, and potentially a small urgent care facility. The outdoor facilities will include a year round hot tub, a competitive/recreation pool, an indoor/outdoor bistro, and four clay tennis courts. Phase II, which will be submitted later on, will consist of fields and accommodations for additional sporting activities.

31 C. Rice stated that the boundary information on the plans is a compilation of 32 the most recent Registry information as well as Right-of-Way information from 33 NHDOT. Field surveys have been performed in the areas where the work will 34 take place, while the remaining areas were assessed using Manchester and 35 Londonderry GIS as well as NHDOT records. A certified wetland scientist 36 delineated the wetlands in and around the areas of work and no Conservation 37 Overlay District buffer or wetland impacts will occur. Pedestrian access from 38 the back of the patio to the tennis courts will take place via a sidewalk 39 extension along Highlander Avenue. A short traffic study revealed a reduction 40 in the peak amount of trips due to the closing of the Highlander Inn. An 41 excess of 80 parking spaces will exist after the development is finished. No 42 parking will take place near the tennis courts per an agreement with the 43 Manchester Airport Authority. Utility clearance letters have been obtained from 44 PSNH and Manchester Water Works. Existing sewer and water services are adequate and will be maintained. A new water meter will be added for the 45 46 watering of the clay courts. A sewer discharge permit related to the pool is 47 being handled with Town staff. C. Rice also reviewed the drainage, fencing, 48 and lighting for the site. Lease negations with the City of Manchester will be 49 executed prior to plan signature. No new signage is proposed. The goal is for construction to commence next month. J. Trottier added later on that the 50

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

34

36

applicant and engineer have met numerous times with Town staff since the 1 2 conceptual design was brought before the Board in November of 2012. Despite 3 the number of comments in the Department of Public Works (DPW) memo (see 4 below), he said staff is confident they will be addressed by the end of February. 5

A. Rugg asked for staff input.

J. Trottier summarized the design review items from the DPW/Stantec memo and read the waiver request into the record:

1. The applicant is requesting a waiver to Exhibit 3. The applicant has not provided the application fee based on the area of the entire parcel.

Staff recommends granting the waiver, as it is consistent with past Board practice of allowing a reduced fee based on the area of disturbance for projects located on large parcels, and the redevelopment areas are limited in scope.

J. Trottier also read the Board information item from the DPW/Stantec memo:

1. The Applicant and Airport are currently working on the agreements for the proposed work shown.

23 J. Trottier said that assuming the waivers are granted, staff recommends 24 conditional approval as outlined in the staff recommendation memo. Staff also 25 requests on behalf of the applicant that a special meeting be held to sign the 26 plans if and when all conditions of the approval are met. C. May noted that 27 one of the conditions of approval is the requirement of Police and Fire 28 She said these will be calculated at the time a Department impact fees. 29 building permit is submitted and will be based on the actual square footage of 30 the increased floor area associated with the interior improvements. A. Garron 31 expressed his appreciation to M. Benton for expanding his existing business in 32 town since other options were available to him. He added that the venue as 33 proposed will create significant economic potential to the area.

35 A. Rugg asked for input from the Board.

37 L. Wiles asked how the reduced application fee associated with the waiver is 38 calculated. C. May replied it is based on the five acres of the site where the 39 improvements will occur, as opposed to the entire acreage of the parcels. 40 When plans are submitted for Phase II, she added, a separate fee will be due 41 for that increment of disturbance to take place. L. Wiles also asked if the new 42 traffic pattern at the Airport has affected circulation at the current facility. M. 43 Benton said the changes have simplified access and increased the customer 44 base from surrounding towns (e.g. Merrimack and Nashua).

- 46
- 47

45

A. Rugg asked for public input. There was none.

48 J. Trottier asked if the Board would allow staff to handle the lighting aspects of 49 the plan administratively once the requisite FAA permit is obtained. The 50 consensus of the Board was to allow staff to do so. C. May noted that the lighting in the tennis court area will be minimally visible as they will sit below
 the grade of the road and within the existing vegetation.

3 4

5

6

7

8 9 L. Wiles made a motion to grant the waiver based on the applicant's letter dated January 12, 2012 and staff recommendation. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. The waiver was granted.

L. Wiles made a motion that the Planning Board conditionally approve the site plan with the following conditions:

10 11

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or
 organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and
 assigns.

16 **PRECEDENT CONDITIONS**

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

21 22

23

44

45

46

1. The Applicant shall address the following on the overall existing conditions plan and the existing conditions plan sheets 2-4:

- A. The Applicant shall provide the Owner signatures on the plans and all applicable sheets. The Applicant shall provide all proper professional endorsements (stamps and signatures) on these plans and on all applicable sheets.
- B. The Applicant shall indicate the zoning of each abutter per section
 4.12.C.5 of the regulations. In addition, the applicant shall review and
 update the abutter list to indicate Map 28 Lot 4-1 and update the cover
 sheet accordingly. Also, The Applicant shall indicate the Zoning District
 boundary lines per Section 4.12.C.24 of the regulations.
- 33C. The Applicant shall indicate the class (status), dimension the ROW34and pavement widths for all streets shown on sheet 2.
- D. The Applicant shall indicate the existing drainage easement on sheet 3 and label the existing features along the existing patio and show the location of the fence. The Applicant shall label the abutting lot.
- E. The Applicant shall indicate the location of the existing utilities
 serving the transformer pad, hydrant and pole at the edge of pavement
 in the vicinity of the proposed court on sheet 4. The Applicant shall
 label the building setbacks and provide a benchmark on the plan in the
 vicinity of the proposed work area.
 - F. The Applicant shall update the title block of all plans to include the location of the site (address) per section 4.02 of the regulations.
- 47 2. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the proposed site plans
 48 sheets 5, 6 and 7:
- 49 A. The parking required calculation on sheet 5 should be revised to 50 indicate 1 space per 200 SF (vs. 250 SF) for health club and medical

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

1office in accordance with Section 3.10.10 and Table 2 of the Zoning2Ordinance. The Applicant shall update the notes to include notes H and3U per section 4.11 of the regulations and note I, if applicable.

4 B. On Sheet 6 to the applicant shall clearly indicate the work for the proposed construction, such as removal of the existing deck, fencing, 5 trees, etc. In addition, the applicant shall identify the type of patio 6 7 (concrete?), and sidewalk (paved?), and indicate a sidewalk width (6 8 feet) and the associated curbing per the Town typical detail (Exhibit 9 R103). The applicant shall show the existing or proposed drainage 10 easements or access easements. The applicant shall also verify 11 whether or not an existing sign will need relocation along the new 12 sidewalk. The Applicant shall carefully review the proposed plan and 13 clarify all proposed work for proper construction. The Applicant shall 14 include or properly reference all appropriate details in the plan set for 15 proper construction.

16 C. On Sheet 7 to the applicant shall clearly indicate the work for the 17 proposed construction, such as removal of the existing hydrant, pole 18 and transformer pad in court 1, proposed tree line or sawcut for the 19 pavement removal. The applicant shall also identify guardrail proposed 20 near court 3 on the plan. The Applicant shall provide drainage 21 easements for the existing drain pipes for future maintenance. The 22 Applicant shall carefully review the proposed plan and clarify all 23 proposed work for proper construction.

3. The Applicant shall address the following on the grading drainage and utility plans – sheets 8 & 9:

A. The Applicant's proposed embankment grading design on sheet 8 will have slopes steeper than 3H:1V. The proposed embankments shall be steeper than 3H:1V be riprap as typically requested by the Town. In addition, The Applicant shall provide a fence along the top of the steep embankment slopes adjacent to patio area. The Applicant shall update the plans and details accordingly.

- B. The proposed sidewalk grading on sheet 8 does not appear to
 address the proposed curb along the roadway (per Town Exhibit R103).
 The Applicant shall review and revise accordingly.
- 36 C. The Applicant shall update note 1 on sheet 8 to state "Londonderry" 37 and update note 6 accordingly. In addition, The Applicant shall clarify 38 the roof drains stated in note 15 on the plan. Also, The Applicant shall 39 note that an EPA - NPDES permit is required for the project on the plan.
- 40 D. The Applicant shall clarify the construction of DMH1 will require the 41 removal of the existing headwall for proper construction on sheet 8. In 42 addition, proposed HW1 appears to be a special structure. The 43 Applicant shall include a detail of this headwall in the plan set for proper 44 construction.
- 45 E. Will handicap ramps be needed for the sidewalk terminus at the 46 existing driveway upon abutting lot 10-1 on sheet 9? The Applicant 47 shall clarify and update the plans if necessary.
- F. The Applicant shall clarify how the proposed southerly swale will
 drain along the existing driveway in the vicinity of the existing 24" RCP
 pipe to the existing catch basin with additional spot elevations.

G. The Applicant's proposed embankment grading design on sheet 9 1 2 north of court 3 will have slopes steeper than 3H:1V and appear to 3 exceed the maximum 2H:1V. The Applicant shall review and revise to 4 provide slopes not to exceed the maximum 2H:1V. In addition, the 5 proposed steep embankments shall be riprap as typically requested by 6 the Town. 7 H. The Applicant shall verify with the Fire Department if a hydrant is 8 necessary to replace the one removed at court 1 on sheet 9 and 9 update, if necessary. 10 11 4. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the submitted details 12 and detail sheet: 13 A. The Applicant shall include details for the proposed gravel access 14 drive, UGE conduit, 1" waterline, light poles, light fixtures, landscape 15 plantings, and concrete pad, pavement markings, etc. as indicated on 16 the plans for proper construction. B. The Applicant shall update the guardrail detail to be steel beam on 17 18 wood post as required by the Department of Public Works. 19 C. The Applicant shall label the hatched material to be placed between 20 the granite stones in the granite step detail for proper construction. The Applicant shall label the edging material in the hydrocourt detail for 21 22 proper construction. 23 D. The Applicant shall review and update the construction sequence to 24 properly address the proposed construction including clearing/tree 25 removal, removal/demolition of existing features, utility relocations, etc. 26 27 5. The Applicant shall address the following relative to the submitted drainage 28 report: 29 A. The report summary letter states the flow from the existing 30" pipe 30 under the existing building has been completely removed, but no 31 supporting information was provided to substantiate the statement. 32 The Applicant shall provide documentation and/or information from the 33 pipe owner that the flow has been completely removed in the report as 34 stated or revise the design in compliance with the regulations (no 35 increase in runoff). B. The Applicant shall review and update post subcatchments 1, 2 and 3 36 37 to address the proposed riprap slopes. C. Under the post development condition, the amount of woods in 38 39 subcatchment 10 has increased from that in predevelopment. The 40 Applicant shall explain and review since the proposed development plan indicates a portion of the woods is reduced. The Applicant shall update 41 and verify compliance with the regulations (no increase in runoff). 42 43 D. It appears portions of the existing and proposed flow to point B in subcatchment 10 would flow through the existing drainage pipes, but 44 45 the analysis does not include or address this. The Applicant shall 46 review and revise accordingly. 47 E. The Applicant's submitted drainage report does not provide a table of contents, summary table of data for each pipe & piping system, 48 49 summary table of data for each swale and channel, project location plan 50 on a USGS map, or riprap calculations per Sections 3.07.b.1, 4, 5, 6, &

- 1 12 of the Site Plan Regulations and Items XI.2.a, d, e, f & I of the Site 2 Plan Application. The Applicant shall update the report accordingly. 3 4 6. The project will require an update to the Londonderry Sewer Discharge 5 Permit. The Applicant shall coordinate with the Sewer Division and obtain an 6 updated permit and note the updated permit number on the cover sheet. 7 8 7. The Applicant shall address the DRC comments as applicable. 9 10 8. The Applicant's plans do not provide a legend per Section 4.08 of the Site 11 Plan Regulations and Item III.1 of the Site Plan Application. The Applicant 12 shall update the plans accordingly. 13 14 9. Note all waivers granted on the plan. 15 16 10. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final 17 plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with 18 Section 2.05.n of the regulations. 19 20 11. Financial guaranty if necessary. 21 22 12. Final engineering review 23 24 **PLEASE NOTE** - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are 25 certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within 26 120 days to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants 27 conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and 28 re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting. 29 30 GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS 31 32 All of the conditions below are attached to this approval. 33 34 No construction or site work for the amended site plan may be 1. 35 undertaken until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has 36 taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit and the site restoration 37 financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of 38 Public Works to arrange for this meeting. 39 40 2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved 41 application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division 42 & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board. 43
- All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the
 applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this
 approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or
 superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between
 documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall
 generally be determining.
- 50

4. All site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a 1 2 certificate of occupancy. In accordance with Section 6.01.d of the Site Plan 3 Regulations, in circumstances that prevent landscaping to be completed (due 4 to weather conditions or other unique circumstance), the Building Division may 5 issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works 6 7 Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public 8 Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with 9 the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the 10 issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial 11 guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated 12 in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial guaranty for their 13 14 completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy. 15

5. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.

6. All required Police, and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

7. It is the responsibility of the applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-O-O. The plan was conditionally approved.

- B. 124-126 Rockingham Road Retail Motor Fuel Outlet 126 Rockingham Road
 LLC (Owner), Map 16 Lots 72 & 74 Request to Waive the Site Plan
 Regulation Section 6.01.c requiring placement of the final pavement wearing
 course prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the previously
 approved site plan, 124 & 126 Rockingham Road, Zoned C-II.
- 36 J. Trottier stated that this project was originally signed on October 3, 2011. 37 Construction of the site is nearly complete but due to winter conditions, the 38 final course of wearing pavement will not be able to be placed prior to the 39 desired opening date. The applicant has indicated they will install temporary 40 pavement markings and place the wearing course by the end of May, 2012. 41 Approval of the waiver will allow the applicant to obtain a Certificate of 42 Occupancy prior to all site improvements being completed (excepting 43 landscaping which may be bonded for). Despite the fact that DPW does not 44 typically support waivers of this nature, it is the consensus of staff that the 45 economic development impact outweighs the risks associated with incomplete 46 site improvements. J. Trottier said staff therefore recommends granting the 47 waiver with the following conditions:
- 48

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

49 1. Appropriate financial guarantee is provided to, and meets the approval of,

1 2	the DPW to ensure installation of the wearing course of pavement.
2 3 4 5	All other required improvements shall be completed prior to the issuance of a CO, except for landscaping as permitted by the regulations.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	3. The applicant and DPW to sign an agreement which stipulates that the wearing course of pavement will be installed, and that if all work is not completed by May 31, 2012, the developer releases to the Town the amount of the financial guarantee established by condition #1, so that the Town can complete the work.
12 13 14 15	Frank Monteiro of MHF Design Consultants, Inc. was joined by the owner and operator of the Irving gas station being built on the site. F. Monteiro said the applicant expects to obtain a Certificate of Occupancy from the Building Department this week and potentially open for business next week.
16 17	A. Rugg asked for additional comments from staff.
17 18 19 20	A. Garron commended the applicant and staff for their diligence associated with this project.
20 21 22	A. Rugg asked for input from the Board.
23 24 25 26	L. Wiles asked if there would be any safety issues related to such items as manhole covers that will extend above the ground until the final pavement is in place. F. Monteiro said all drainage structures and other items were shimmed up to prevent tripping and snow plow hazards.
27 28 29 30 31 32	L. Wiles made a motion to grant the waiver with conditions based on staff's memo dated February 1, 2012. R. Brideau seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. The plan was conditionally approved.
33	Other Business
34 35	There was no other business.
36 37 29	<u>Adjournment</u> :
38 39 40 41	R. Brideau made a motion to adjourn the meeting. L. Wiles seconded the motion. Vote on the motion: 7-0-0. Meeting adjourned at 7:53 PM.
42 43	These minutes prepared by Jaye Trottier and Libby Canuel, Community Development Department Secretaries.
44 45 46 47	Respectfully Submitted,
48 49	Lynn Wiles, Secretary