## 1 LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

# 2 <u>MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MAY 1, 2013 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL</u> 3 CHAMBERS

4

5 Members Present: Art Rugg; Mary Soares; Lynn Wiles; Laura El-Azem; Chris 6 Davies; Scott Benson; Leitha Reilly, alternate member; and Maria Newman, 7 alternate member 8 9 Also Present: Cynthia May, ASLA, Town Planner and Planning and Economic 10 Development Department Manager; John Trottier, P.E., Assistant Director of Public 11 Works and Engineering; Jaye Trottier, Associate Planner; and Jeffrey Belanger, 12 Planning and Economic Development Department Intern 13 14 A. Rugg called the meeting to order at 7 PM. He appointed L. Reilly to vote for L. 15 El-Azem until she arrived. 16 17 [L. El-Azem arrived at 7:03 PM]. 18 19 **Administrative Board Work** 20 21 A. Approval of Minutes – April 3, and April 10, 2013 22 23 M. Soares made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the 24 April 3, 2013 meeting. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. 25 Vote on the motion: 6-0-0. 26 27 M. Soares made a motion to approve and sign the minutes from the 28 April 10, 2013 meeting. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. 29 Vote on the motion: 4-0-2. (C. Davies and S. Benson abstained as they 30 were absent from the April 10, 2013 meeting). 31 32 Minutes for April 3, 2013 and April 10, 2013 were approved and signed at the 33 conclusion of the meeting. 34 35 B. Plans to Sign – 172 Rockingham Road Minor Site Plan Amendment, 172 36 Rockingham Road, Map 15 Lot 61-1 37 38 J. Trottier stated that the site plan was conditionally approved by the 39 Administrative Review Committee (ARC) on April 18, 2013. He reported that 40 all precedent conditions for approval have been met and Staff recommends 41 signing the plans. 42 43 M. Soares made a motion to authorize the Chair and Secretary to sign 44 the plans. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the 45 motion: 6-0-0. A. Rugg said the plans would be signed at the conclusion of 46 the meeting. 47 48 C. Plans to Sign – Hampshire Ventures Subdivision, 151 Mammoth Road, Map 3 49 Lot 185

1						
1 2		I Trattion stated that all president conditions for approval have been met and				
2 3		J. Trottier stated that all precedent conditions for approval have been met and				
4		Staff recommends signing the plans.				
4 5		M. Soares made a motion to authorize the Chair and Secretary to sign				
6						
7		the plans. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-0. A. Rugg said the plans would be signed at the conclusion of				
8		the meeting.				
9		the meeting.				
10	П	Extension Request – Albird Estates Subdivision, 28 Auburn Road, Map 16				
11	υ.	Lot 58				
12						
13		J. Trottier read a letter from owners Richard and Virginia St. Cyr into the				
14		record, wherein a one year extension of the subdivision plan that will expire on				
15		May 2, 2013 was requested (See Attachment #1). If granted, this would be				
16		the fourth extension of the conditional approval. J. Trotter added that no				
17		changes have been made in the past year to the ordinances or regulations that				
18		would impact the project.				
19						
20		M. Soares made a motion to grant a one year extension to May 7, 2014.				
21		L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion:				
22		<b>6-0-0</b> . The extension for one year was granted.				
23						
24	Ε.	Extension Request – Kitty Hawk Landing Site Plan, 1 Kitty Hawk Landing, Map				
25		17 Lot 5-3				
26						
27		J. Trottier read a letter from project engineer William Davidson of Hoyle,				
28		Tanner & Associates, Inc. into the record, which outlined a request for a one				
29		year extension of the subdivision plan that will expire on May 2, 2013 (See				
30		Attachment #2). If granted, this would be the fourth extension of the				
31		conditional approval.				
32						
33		M. Soares made a motion to grant a one year extension to May 7, 2014.				
34		L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion:				
35		<b>6-0-0</b> . The extension for one year was granted.				
36	г	Deguast to sytemd the /E day approval paried per DCA /7/. A Dillabury Dealty				
37	Г.	Request to extend the 65-day approval period per RSA 676:4 - Pillsbury Realty				
38		Development, LLC, Map 10, Lots 15, 23, 29C-2A, 29C-2B, 41, 41-1, 41-2, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, E0, E2, E4, 1, E9, E0, and 62. Dublic learning for formal raviau				
39 40		45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, 54-1, 58, 59, and 62 - Public Hearing for formal review				
40 41		of the Woodmont Commons Planned Unit Development (PUD) Master Plan.				
41 42		A. Rugg stated that Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC has requested an				
42 43		extension of the 65-day review period from May 15, 2013 to June 12, 2013				
43 44		(See Attachment #3).				
44 45						
45 46		A. Rugg asked for Board input on the request. There was none.				
40 47		A rage asked for board input on the request. There was note.				
48		L. El-Azem made a motion to extend the 65-day review period to June				
49		12, 2013. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the				
50		motion, 6-0-0.				
		•				

1		
2 3	G.	Request for Special Meeting on May 22, 2013 - Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC, for the Woodmont Commons Planned Unit Development (PUD) Master
4 5		Plan.
6 7		A. Rugg stated that Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC has requested to have a meeting with the Board on May 22, 2013 (See Attachment $#3$ ).
8		
9		M. Soares made a motion to hold a special Planning Board meeting on
10		May 22, 2013. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on
11		the motion, 6-0-0.
12		Paguast for Continuones from May 9, 2012 to May 22, 2012 Billsbury Dealty
13 14	п.	Request for Continuance from May 8, 2013 to May 22, 2013 - Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC, Map 10, Lots 15, 23, 29C-2A, 29C-2B, 41, 41-1, 41-2, 42,
14		45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52, 54-1, 58, 59, and 62 - Public Hearing for formal review
16		of the Woodmont Commons Planned Unit Development (PUD) Master Plan.
17		of the woodmont commons harmed only bevelopment (10b) master han.
18		A. Rugg stated that Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC has requested a
19		continuance from the May 8, 2013 Planning Board meeting to the May 22,
20		2013 meeting (See Attachment #4).
21		
22		M. Soares made a motion to continue the Public Hearing for Pillsbury
23		Realty Development, LLC from May 8 to May 22, 2013. L. Wiles
24		seconded the motion.
25		
26		A. Rugg said the cancellation of the May 8 meeting will be advertised and
27		posted on both the front and back entrances of Town Hall. He also asked that
28		Staff have it posted on the front of the Town website. He said the next briefing
29 30		should be available by May 15.
30 31		No further discussion. Note on the motion 600
32		No further discussion. Vote on the motion, 6-0-0.
32 33	Т	Discussions with Town Staff
34	1.	
35		<ul> <li>Elderly Housing Ordinance Question from April 10, 2013</li> </ul>
36		
37		C. May stated that Staff investigated the question posed at the April 10,
38		2013 meeting (see Attachment #5) regarding the number of elderly
39		housing units that have been both proposed and built in town as compared
40		to the actual population of residents 55 and over. She referred to a memo
41		created by Staff (see Attachment #6), which shows that of the 24,129
42		residents in Town (based on the 2010 US Census), 22.1% are 55 years old
43		or older. The total number of elderly housing units, both existing and
44		proposed, accounts for 5.4% of the Town's total housing supply. Since the
45		zoning ordinance states the percentage of elderly housing in town cannot
46		exceed the percentage of Londonderry residents 55 and over, the current
47		amount is well within that limit. A discussion ensued regarding changing
48 40		the regulation to a fixed percentage to avoid accumulating an
49 50		overabundance of elderly housing in town. It was decided to revisit a
50		potential change at a future meeting, along with the possibility of

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

establishing a review at regular intervals of both the 55 and over population and the town's housing stock.

Londonderry Historical Society; Donation of Rail and Switch Artifact

A. Rugg stated that the Heritage Commission has scheduled a public hearing for May 9 at 7 PM in the Moose Hill Council Chambers regarding placement of a section of the St. Lawrence/Manchester rail and a switch that was donated to the Historical Society on their property at 140 Pillsbury Road (Map 6 Lot 18-1), a lot that lies within the Historic District. C. May noted that one benefit to the proposal is that if the approval is granted before a specific date, the rail and switch would be delivered free of charge. The current plan is to place it towards the back of the lot where a dirt mound presently sits.

Liberty Utilities; Emergency Generator Relocation

C. May conveyed a request from Liberty Utilities for a proposal of theirs to be handled administratively that would relocate an emergency generator on 15 Buttrick Road (Map 7 Lot 34-1) from the southern side of their building to the northern side (see Attachment #7). Consensus from the Board was to allow the matter to be handled administratively, although M. Soares asked that the applicant notify the residential abutters because of potential noise issues.

Orchard Christian Fellowship; Dumpster Pad/Enclosure & Fence

C. May conveyed a request from Orchard Christian Fellowship for a proposal of theirs to be handled administratively which would locate a dumpster pad and enclosure at the back end of the parking lot on the rear of the property at 136 Pillsbury Road (Map 6 Lot 18 2; See Attachment #8). Consensus from the Board was to allow the matter to be handled administratively. An additional request from the applicant is for another proposal to be handled administratively which would replace a portion of a 6 foot tall solid wood fence on the north side of the lot with landscape buffering of the same height (See Attachment #9). A buffer of some kind was requested by Staff to provide a barrier between parking for proposed future additions and the abutting lot to the east. Consensus from the Board was to require a minor site plan amendment in order to provide notice to abutters to attend a public hearing on the issue. C. May added that if a public hearing were scheduled with the ARC to address the buffer, then a third issue involving construction of a shed could be dealt with at that same time.

43 44 45

46

• July 3, 2013 Planning Board Meeting

# M. Soares made a motion to cancel the July 3, 2013 meeting. S. Benson seconded. The motion was approved, 6-0-0.

- 47 48 49
- Zoning Board of Adjustment Economic Analysis
- 50

L. Wiles noted that the Zoning Board recently approved three variances for a proposed workforce housing project on Perkins Road that would next come before the Planning Board. He suggested Board members review the independent economic analysis the Zoning Board had requested that confirmed specific assertions made by the applicant. A. Rugg suggested Board members read the verbatim ZBA minutes as well.

### Public Hearings

A. Massimo F. Hagen, Trustee of the Massimo F. Hagen Revocable Trust
Agreement (Owner), Map 7 Lots 4-50 and 4-51 - Application Acceptance and
Public Hearing for formal review of a request for Planning Board approval to
remove the restriction on lot 4-51 limiting the use of 4-51 to recreation only as
shown on the 1972 subdivision plan that created both lots, thereby allowing it
to be merged unencumbered with lot 4-50.

16

7 8

9

17 C. May explained that when the subdivision for Baldwin Road was approved by 18 the Planning Board in 1972, that approval required the owner to dedicate lot 19 4-51 on tax map 7 to the Town for recreational purposes. That dedication 20 never took place, nor has the parcel ever been used for public recreational 21 purposes. The current owner of both 7 and 9 Baldwin Road would like to 22 merge the two lots, but to do so, the restriction would need to be removed 23 from the subdivision plan. The Town Attorney suggested that rather than 24 requiring the present owner to develop a new subdivision plan for review, the 25 Chair could be authorized by the Board to sign an affidavit prepared by the 26 Town Attorney, to be recorded along with the Board's Notice of Decision if they 27 in fact agree the 1972 restriction should be nullified. This would limit the 28 expense on the part of the owner to the notification via certified mail to all 29 current owners of the other parcels involved in the 1972 subdivision plan and 30 the placement of a legal notice in the local newspaper advertising the public 31 hearing (see discussion of the applicant's waiver below). A lot merger could 32 then be signed by the Chair, giving the owner full use of his property according 33 to current Town regulations. 34

35 36

37

38 39

41

46

C. May stated that Staff recommends application acceptance as complete.

M. Soares made a motion to accept the application as complete. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion, 6-0-0.

40 A. Rugg mentioned that this starts the 65 day time frame under RSA 676:4.

42 Attorney Patricia Panciocco, representing the applicant, noted that the reason
43 her client has requested the affidavit is to ensure the municipal record matches
44 that of the Registry of Deeds since regarding removal of the restriction on the
45 lot.

47 C. May stated that because no actual plan review was involved with this 48 application, the applicant is requesting a waiver to Section 2.06.A.5 that 49 requires subdivision fees be submitted with the application. Since the

applicant paid for abutter notification and advertising fees, she said Staff 1 2 supports the request to waive the remainder of the fees. 3 4 A. Rugg asked for public input. There was none. 5 6 A. Rugg asked for Board input. L. Wiles verified with C. May that since 7 acquiring it, the owner has been paying property taxes on lot 4-51 as a 8 buildable lot. 9 10 M. Soares made a motion to grant the waiver. L. Wiles seconded the 11 motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-0. 12 13 The waiver was granted. 14 15 M. Soares made motion to conditionally approve the request to remove 16 the restriction as shown on the 1972 subdivision plan that created the 17 lots at 7 and 9 Baldwin Road, limiting the use of Lot 7-4-51 (9 Baldwin 18 Road) to "recreation only", as outlined in Staff's Recommendations 19 Memorandum dated May 1, 2013. L. Wiles seconded the motion. 20 21 C. May stated that final approval was not recommended at this time only 22 because the applicant will be required to pay for the recording of the affidavit 23 and merger at the Registry of Deeds. 24 25 No further discussion. Vote on the motion, 6-0-0. 26 27 M. Soares made a motion to authorize the Planning Board Chair to sign 28 the associated Affidavit as an instrument to convey the Planning 29 Board's approval of the removal of said restriction on Lot 7-4-51, to be notarized and recorded in the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds. 30 31 L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the motion, 32 6-0-0. 33 34 M. Soares made a motion to authorize the Chair to sign the Notice of 35 Voluntary Merger under RSA 674:39-a. for Map 7 Lot 4-50 and Map 7 Lot 4-51. L. Wiles seconded the motion. No discussion. Vote on the 36 37 motion, 6-0-0. 38 39 It was noted that before the Chair can sign the aforementioned documents, 40 they must be notarized. C. May reiterated that based on the motions approved 41 by the Board, once the recording fees are paid by the applicant, the matter will 42 be finalized and the applicant will not need to return to the Board. 43 44 **Other Business** 45 46 A. Discussion about a potential amendment to the Zoning Ordinance regarding Commercial Kennels. 47 48 49 Prior to this meeting, Board members had been supplied with a proposal 50 drafted by the Town Planner and Zoning Administrator Richard Canuel

3

suggesting the creation of a provision in the zoning ordinance to allow
 Commercial Kennels in specific zoning districts (see Attachment #10).

4 Currently, commercial kennels are not permitted in any zoning district in 5 Londonderry. An application for a variance before the Zoning Board to allow a commercial kennel was denied in 2012, resulting in this endeavor to find an 6 7 appropriate zone for the use. R. Canuel suggested that such a use could be 8 considered a service establishment, however it is unlike any other service 9 establishments currently permitted in town because of the impact it would 10 present to its surroundings. Being service related, however, R. Canuel suggested it could be allowed in a commercial zone as well as the Route 102 11 12 and Route 28 Performance Overlay Districts via a Conditional Use Permit 13 (CUP), which would give the Planning Board more control over its location and 14 possible limitations through specific criteria. Another option would be to allow 15 the kennels by right in the Industrial-I (I-I) zone. L. Wiles expressed concern 16 over being able to regulate the size of a commercial kennel regardless of the 17 zone, particularly to prevent one from becoming a puppy mill. R. Canuel 18 replied that while it would be up to the Board, such a restriction on breeding 19 could be made one of the criteria for obtaining a CUP. L. Wiles stated that he 20 would then prefer a CUP even for a proposal in the I-I zone. S. Benson 21 agreed. L. El-Azem suggested simply removing the term "breeding" from the 22 proposed definition. M. Soares agreed. L. El-Azem, M. Newman and C. Davies 23 stated that commercial kennels should be allowed by right in the I-I zone. C. 24 Davies added that he is not opposed to the definition including "breeding." M. 25 Soares recommended reviewing Derry's ordinance, but R. Canuel stated he had 26 already done so and did not find any provisions or definitions for commercial 27 kennels. M. Newman asked if there are any applicable State licensing 28 regulations but R. Canuel said he was not sure what State entity would do so, 29 adding that enforcement of any Londonderry kennel would be addressed by the 30 Town's Building Department. R. Canuel reminded the Board that any 31 commercial kennel would require site plan approval, giving the Board the 32 opportunity to address specific concerns regardless of whether a CUP is 33 required. C. Davies confirmed with R. Canuel that although the written 34 proposal notes that "...it has been interpreted that the intent of the [current 35 zoning] ordinance is to limit this use to the Residential (AR-I) Zone," enacting 36 a commercial kennel ordinance that restricts it to Commercial and/or Industrial 37 zones would not make it an allowed use in the AR-I zone. L. Reilly asked if 38 potential impacts to residents who abut a property zoned for commercial 39 kennels could be grounds for the Board to deny the use. C. May replied that 40 potential impacts to residential abutters could be included in any CUP criteria. 41

42 43

A. Rugg asked Staff to develop specific criteria and language for a commercial kennel ordinance and associated CUP for a future Planning Board workshop.

B. Discussion about a potential amendment to the Zoning Ordinance regardingTemporary Signs.

47

44

48 Prior to this meeting, Board members had been supplied with a proposal

- 49 drafted by the Town Planner and the Zoning Administrator regarding
- 50 amendments to the sign ordinance (Section 3.11), i.e. the addition sub-

6 7

8

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

sections addressing both "A"-frame signs and general temporary signs in multi tenant commercial developments (see Attachment #11). These changes would
 make the ordinance less restrictive to those businesses since multi-tenant
 buildings typically cannot provide direct exposure to the main thoroughfare or
 the degree of signage that other businesses have.

1. "A"-frame (sandwich board style) signs:

9 Proposed sub-section 3.11.6.1.12 would provide for individual tenants of 10 multi-tenant commercial establishments to have a 24" x 36" maximum 11 size A-frame sign on the sidewalk outside their store, no further than 6 12 feet from the face of the building. Such signs would not require a 13 permit. Currently, these would be considered temporary signs which do 14 require a permit and are restricted to two occasions per year, each 15 occasion lasting only 30 consecutive days. Businesses already use these 16 signs regularly, R. Canuel explained, without necessarily following the 17 restrictions of the current temporary sign ordinance. A. Rugg requested 18 that language be added to limit the signs being on the sidewalk during 19 business hours. M. Soares added that they should not obstruct 20 pedestrian traffic on the sidewalks within the overall establishment. L. 21 Wiles asked if the 24" x 36" dimension should be specified to be 24" 22 wide and 36" tall to prevent that. Resident and local business owner 23 Kathy Wagner noted that the 24" x 36" size refers to the sign face only 24 and does not include any framing around it. Board members discussed 25 expanding the maximum size to include some standard frame size to be 26 determined. L. Reilly guestioned whether other restrictions on the 27 appearance of the signs should be considered. R. Canuel replied that 28 there are none currently. 29

- It was decided that Staff would continue to revise the language based on this discussion and that the issue would be brought to a public hearing at the June 5, 2013 Planning Board meeting.
  - 2. Temporary signs in multi-tenant commercial developments:

Proposed sub-section 3.11.6.3.8.1 would limit temporary signs in multitenant commercial establishments to no more than one sign at any one time on the property (but not limited to any single business in the establishment), on no more than three occasions per calendar year, and for no more than 30 consecutive days for each occurrence. Each sign would require a permit from the Building Department. Furthermore, Section 3.11.6.3.8 would be amended to allow all other businesses three such occasions per calendar year, each occasion lasting no more than 30 consecutive days. Businesses are currently allowed two such occasions per calendar year.

Following some discussion, it was decided there was some confusion
concerning exactly what the proposed language would allow regarding
temporary signs and how it differs from the current ordinance. A. Rugg
asked Staff to clarify the language and return for a second workshop.

#### 1 2 Other Business

A. Rugg announced that Board members had been provided with copies of a letter
from Stantec, the Town's third party review consultant, addressed to the Acting
Town Manager. M. Soares asked if other Board members wanted to discuss the
letter. A. Rugg said the letter was for the Board's informational use and that
questions and/or comments should be directed to the Town Council.

9

### 10 Adjournment:

11

#### 12 M. Soares made a motion to adjourn the meeting. L. Wiles seconded the 13 motion. Vote on the motion: 6-0-0.

- 1415 The meeting adjourned at 8:50 PM.
- 16 17 These minutes prepared by Planning & Economic Development Secretary Jaye
- 18 Trottier
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 Respectfully Submitted,
- 24 Lynn Wiles, Secretary

RICHARD & VIRGINIA ST CYR 28 Auburn Rd Londonderry, NH 03053



April 20, 2012

Attention: Arthur Rugg, Chairman Londonderry Planning Board 268 B Mammoth Rd. Londonderry, N.H.

Re: Extension for subdivision plans; Map 16/lot 58

Dear Chairman,

Please accept this letter as my request for an extension of one year, for the purpose of obtaining the necessary acceptance of our subdivision. Changes have been made to allow ample site distances for both directions..

I hope the board will consider my request and grant us the extension, or consider acceptance of these plans. Please let us know if it is necessary for us or a representative, to be present at that meeting.

Sincerely submitted,

Virginia St Cyr Usun cc. J. Trottier

A.Hart,town manager E. Mitchell

#### **Jaye Trottier**

Subject:

FW: Extension request

RICHARD & VIRGINIA ST CYR 28 Auburn Rd Londonderry, NH 03053

April 20, 2012

Attention: Arthur Rugg, Chairman Londonderry Planning Board 268 B Mammoth Rd. Londonderry, N.H.

Re: Extension for subdivision plans; Map 16/lot 58

Dear Chairman,

Please accept this letter as my request for an extension of one year, for the purpose of obtaining the necessary acceptance of our subdivision. Changes have been made to allow ample site distances for both directions.. I hope the board will consider my request and grant us the extension, or consider acceptance of these plans.. Please let us know if it is necessary for us or a representative, to be present at that meeting. Sincerely submitted,

Virginia St Cyr

cc: J. Trottier A.Hart,town manager E. Mitchell



603-431-2520

603-431-8067 fax

www.hoyletanner.com

Pease International Tradeport 100 International Drive, Suite 360 Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

April 18, 2013

Planning Board Town of Londonderry 268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, NH 03053

#### Re: Time Extension Request - May 6, 2009 Notice of Decision Water Wonders Site Plan, Lots 17-5-3 and 17-5-4,

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On behalf of the applicant, Water Wonders, LLC, we respectfully request an additional one year extension to the May 6, 2009 Notice of Decision. We have met all of the precedent conditions of approval of the Notice of Conditions with two exceptions: Precedent Condition #8(requiring the lots to be merged prior to final approval) and Precedent Condition #13 (requiring posting of financial guaranty for the construction of the required off-site improvements).

Our client is continuing and currently marketing the site for a tenant and wishes to hold off on the final 2 conditions until a tenant has been secured. Please see the attached "Status of Conditionally Approved Site Plan – Map 17, Lots 5-3 & 5-4" letter from Timothy Thompson dated January 13, 2011.

We are requesting the Board look favorably on extending the approval to May 6, 2014.

We appreciate your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely, Hoyle, Tanner & Associates, Inc.

tuchtich

William R. Davidson, P.E. Project Manager



Londonderry Business is good. Life is better.

January 13, 2011

Cy Gregg Water Wonders, LLC 226 Wilton Rd Peterborough, NH 03458

## TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Community Development Planning & Economic Development Division

*Timothy J. Thompson, AICP, Town Planner* 268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 Phone: (603) 432-1100, x103 Fax: (603) 432-1128 e-mail: tthompson@londonderrynh.org

## RE: Status of Conditionally Approved Site Plan - Map 17, Lots 5-3 & 5-4

Dear Cy:

As requested, please find this letter explaining the status of your conditionally approved site plan, and the process for obtaining final approval.

The site plan was conditionally approved on May 6, 2009, and has received extensions of the conditional approval, which extends the validity of the conditional approval until May 6, 2011 (we understand that if necessary, further extension of the conditional approval beyond May 6, 2011 may be sought).

Since the plan was conditionally approved by the Planning Board, your consultant engineers, HTA, and Town Staff have worked to make revisions to the plans to ensure compliance with the conditions of approval stipulated by the Planning Board (see attached Notice of Decision).

As of today's date, all precedent conditions of approval have been satisfied with 2 exceptions: Precedent Condition #8 (requiring the lots to be merged prior to final approval) and Precedent Condition #13 (requiring posting of financial guaranty for the construction of the required off-site improvements).

As we understand it, you wish to hold off on the final 2 remaining conditions of approval until such time that you have secured a tenant for your facility indicated on the plans, and receive confirmation from the Town that the plans can be readily signed when the final 2 conditions are completed.

Please find that this letter confirms that the Planning Board will be able to sign the plans and issue a final approval upon receipt of the funds for the financial guaranty for the off-site improvements and the signature of the Planning Board chair for the Voluntary Merger form that has already been submitted to the Town. No further revisions are necessary to the submitted plans or supporting documents.

Please also note that final approval itself does not authorize construction to



TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Community Development Planning & Economic Development Division

268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 Phone: (603) 432-1100, x134 Fax: (603) 432-1128



# **NOTICE OF DECISION**

The Londonderry Planning Board held a meeting on Wednesday, May 6, 2009 to consider the request of Water Wonders, LLC for a site plan to construct a 38,500 sq ft office building on Map 17, Lots 5-3 &5-4. The Planning Board conditionally approves this plan with the following conditions:

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors, and assigns.

#### PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the Applicant, at the expense of the Applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The Applicant's proposed drainage schedule indicates proposed DMH #6 exceeds 18 feet in depth and does not comply with section 3.07.h of the regulations. The Applicant shall revise the design in compliance with the regulations.
- 2. We understand the wetland impact area under the approved permit was significantly reduced with this latest design and the Applicant would submit for an amended wetland permit consistent with the latest design. In addition, the latest design includes expansion to the existing detention basin that may require a NHDES Dam permit and the site parking was modified that may require an amended Alteration of Terrain permit. The Applicant shall obtain all updated project permits, consistent with the latest design, indicate the approval numbers in the notes on the cover sheet and provide copies of the amended permit approvals for the Planning Department's files.
- 3. The Applicant shall update the existing conditions plan to indicate spot elevations along the top of existing detention basin embankment for clarity.



TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Community Development Planning & Economic Development Division

268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 Phone: (603) 432-1100, x134 Fax: (603) 432-1128



the pre- and post development areas under this project to ensure they are the same. In addition the Applicant shall correct the area listing for subcatchment 15A to 0.01 on the post development plan.

- E. The Applicant shall include a 50-year pond routing analysis for the detention basin and existing 24" culvert (pond 4) to clarify the areas and volumes used in the 50-year analysis as typically requested by the Town.
- 5. The Applicant shall address the Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. memorandum relative to the previously submitted traffic report dated April 15, 2009.
- 6. The project is located along a significant portion of Kitty Hawk Landing and Grenier Field Road. It is our understanding the project may require off-site improvements to Grenier Field Road for a left turn lane. The Applicant shall verify if additional off-site improvements to Kitty Hawk Landing and/or Grenier Field Road will be necessary under this application with the Department of Public Works.
- 7. The Applicant shall address the comments of the Sewer Division and note the Londonderry Sewer Discharge Permit number on the plan.
- 8. The Applicant shall combine the lots via voluntary merger prior to final approval of the site plan.
- 9. The Applicant shall, as applicable following the final revisions to the Traffic Impact Analysis, include all appropriate off-site improvements plans in the plan set, meeting the approval of the Planning Division and Public Works Department.
- 10. Note all waivers granted on the plan.
- 11. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 12. Outside consultant's fees shall be paid within 30 days of approval of plan.
- 13. Financial guaranty if necessary,



, , 6

TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Community Development Planning & Economic Development Division

268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 Phone: (603) 432-1100, x134 Fax: (603) 432-1128



the Building Division may issue a certificate of occupancy prior to the completion of landscaping improvements, if agreed upon by the Planning Division & Public Works Department, when a financial guaranty (see forms available from the Public Works Department) and agreement to complete improvements are placed with the Town. The landscaping shall be completed within 6 months from the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, or the Town shall utilize the financial guaranty to contract out the work to complete the improvements as stipulated in the agreement to complete landscaping improvements. *No other improvements shall be permitted to use a financial guaranty for their completion for purposes of receiving a certificate of occupancy*.

- 6. As built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the Applicant's financial guaranty.
- 7. All required Traffic, Police and Fire impact fees must be paid prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- 8. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all other local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

When submitting plans for signature, four (4) paper copies and one complete set of mylars are required.

This notice is given pursuant to RSA 676:3.

## Londonderry Planning Board



ARI B. POLLACK President

214 N. Main Street P.O. Box 1415 Concord, NH 03302-1415

Direct Dial: (603) 545-3630 General: (603) 228-1181 Fax: (603) 228-8396 pollack@gcglaw.com

Via E-mail Only April 12, 2013

Cynthia A. May, Town Planner Town of Londonderry 268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, NH 03053

#### Re: Woodmont Commons PUD Master Plan

Dear Cynthia:

In keeping with our recent discussions, Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC, on behalf of the Woodmont Commons Applicants, is respectfully requesting that the Planning Board extend expiration of the current application review clock from May 15, 2013 until June 12, 2013. This extension is intended to allow time for further collaborative sessions between Staff and the respective technical teams, while also providing additional time for the Planning Board to review briefing materials on the following evenings: May 8, 2013, May 22, 2013, and June 12, 2013.

It is our anticipation that the Planning Board might consider this extension and scheduling request at its next meeting on May 1, 2013. Kindly call or email with any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

CB Q\_

Ari B. Pollack

ABP/red

cc: Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC Team Woodmont Michael Ramsdell, Esq. From:Cynthia MayTo:Jaye TrottierSubject:FW: WoodmontDate:Wednesday, May 01, 2013 1:08:55 PM

From: Ari Pollack [mailto:pollack@gcglaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 1:03 PM
To: Cynthia May
Cc: Michael Ramsdell (mramsdell@ramsdelllawfirm.com)
Subject: Woodmont

Cynthia-

Please accept this e-mail on behalf of the Woodmont Commons applicants. Woodmont respectfully requests that the PB continue the public hearing scheduled for May 8, 2013 until May 22, 2013. On May 22, 2013, it will be Woodmont's intention to present information relating to infrastructure, chlorides and fiscal impacts, with Staff and peer review meetings occurring in the interim and crafting the content of our next briefing document.

Considering this request, Woodmont will not be making a briefing submission today.

Thank you for your consideration.

-Ari



http://www.gcglaw.com

Gallagher, Callahan & Gartrell, PA A multidisciplinary law firm 214 N. Main Street, PO Box 1415 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-1415

**NOTICE REGARDING PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION** - The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the addressee named above. The contents of this electronic message are or may be protected by the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, joint defense privileges, trade secret protections, and/or other applicable protections from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you

are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify Ari B. Pollack by calling 1.800.528.1181, or by email to pollack@gcglaw.com.

3.6.5.2.1.4 There exist on the property limitations (steep slopes, wetlands, CO District areas, flood hazard areas, or other natural constraints on the subject parcel) that reduce the buildable area of the parcel such that the parcel is limited to less than 60% of the permitted density allowed by Section 3.6.4.14 utilizing 16 units per building. Such calculation must be demonstrated to the Planning Board by a NH licensed professional engineer (and other related professionals as applicable, such as certified wetland scientists or soil scientists).

#### 3.6.6 Limitation on the Number of Elderly Housing Units

- 3.6.6.1 The Planning Board shall not accept for consideration any proposal which, if approved, would increase the total number of all elderly housing units in Londonderry, existing and proposed, above a number representing the percentage of units greater than the percentage of persons age 55 and older residing in Londonderry as calculated by the most recent US Census. (For example, if the percentage of persons over age 55 in Londonderry is 13%, not more than 13% of the total number of dwelling units in Londonderry may be Elderly Housing).
- 3.6.6.2 The Planning Board, may, by Conditional Use Permit, allow for Affordable Elderly Housing to exceed the percentage cap if the proposal meets all of the criteria from Section 3.6.5.2 and also provides documentation from the NH Office of Energy & Planning that the percentage of elderly residents residing in Rockingham County has increased more than 2% from the information available for the County from the most recent US Census.

#### 3.7 ASSISTED LIVING FACILITIES AND NURSING HOMES

#### 3.7.1 Density

For purposes of this Ordinance "assisted living facilities" and "nursing home facilities" serviced by municipal sewer shall not be subject to density standards of Section 2.3.2.3.2; provided that such a facility shall be subject to the density standards of 2.3.2.3.2.1.1 and 2.3.2.3.2.1.2 and 2.3.2.3.2.1.4 in the event that the facility has been included in an analysis of the "substantial positive tax impact" to obtain an exemption from growth management phasing and permit limitations. For density purposes, two bedrooms shall be equivalent to one dwelling unit, whether or not the facility includes full dwelling units.

#### 3.8 SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES

#### 3.8.1 Purpose and Intent

It is the intent of this Section to establish reasonable and uniform regulations to prevent the concentration of Sexually Oriented Businesses within the Town of Londonderry; and to protect the citizens of the Town of Londonderry from the secondary effects of such Sexually Oriented Businesses and, it is the intent to promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the Town of Londonderry; and it is the intent of this Section that the regulations be utilized to prevent problems of blight and deterioration which accompany and are brought about by the concentration of Sexually Oriented Businesses; and the provisions of this article have neither the purpose nor the intent of imposing limitation or restrictions on the contact of any communicative materials, including Sexually Oriented Materials, and it is not the intent nor the effect of this article to restrict or deny access by adults to Sexually Oriented Materials protected by the First Amendment, or to deny access by the distributors and exhibitors of Sexually Oriented Entertainment to their intended market; and neither is it the intent nor effect of this article to condone or legitimize the distribution of obscene material.

MEMO		Planning and Economic Development Department 268B Mammoth Road Londonderry, NH 03053	Town of Londonderry, NH
To:	Art Rugg, Chair, Londond	erry Planning Board	
From:	John Vogl, GIS Manager/	Comprehensive Planner	
CC:	Cynthia May, Town Planr	ner/Department Manager	
Date:	5/1/2013		
Re:	Status of limitation on th	e number of elderly housing units	

The Town of Londonderry includes 8 Over-55 (Elderly Housing) communities, consisting of 395 total built and 478 proposed. A breakdown of units by community follows:

)
<b>i</b>
.8
,
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
'8
7 5 3

Based on the 2010 US Census count of 8,771 total housing units, the total (existing and proposed) age restricted units account for 5.4% of the current supply.

According to the 2010 US Census, The Town of Londonderry had a total population of 24,129. The population 55 years and older is 5,336 or 22.1% of the total.

Whereas the percent of elderly housing units (5.4%) is less than the percent of persons age 55 (22.1%) or older, the limitation on the number of elderly housing units per section 3.6.6.1 is not in effect at this time.

#### Planning Board Meeting Minutes - May 1, 2013 - Attachment #7 FILE: 6:\\_projects\12027\12027\dwg\12027 SKC-1.dwg BY: Matt DATE: 30 Apr 2013 - 4:35pm







-NOT TO SCALE-





# TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Building, Health & Zoning Enforcement

268 Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 432-1100 ext. 115 Fax: 432-1128

To:	Art Rugg, Planning Board Chairman Cynthia May, Town Planner
From:	Richard G. Canuel, Zoning Administrator
Date:	April 19, 2012
Subject:	Zoning Ordinance Amendment regarding commercial "Kennels"

There are presently no provisions in our Zoning Ordinance addressing commercial kennels as a permitted use in any of the established zoning districts. The only reference to "kennels" is contained in Section 2.3.1.4 pertaining to the lot size and setback provisions for the keeping of livestock. Also, the existing supporting definition of a kennel is very broad.

Based on the broad definition and limited reference to kennels in the ordinance, it has been interpreted that the intent of the ordinance is to limit this use to the Residential (AR-1) Zone. However, as our ordinance is written, and customarily applied; if a particular use is not listed or does not fit within any of the categories among those uses in the Table of Uses, that use is considered Not Permitted.

Therefore, any commercial kennel use would require a property owner to apply to the ZBA in request for a Variance. Considering the variance criteria, the ZBA would be hard pressed to grant such waiver to the ordinance without having specific provisions in which to reference.

On the other hand, it does not seem appropriate to limit a kennel use to the residential zone where a considerable number of dogs on a property could create a nuisance by the increase in noise and odor, etc. Likewise, it seems unreasonable to restrict kennels from the commercial district as a permissible business use. Not allowing kennels as a commercial business use anywhere in Londonderry is overly restrictive.

Under the current provisions of our ordinance, if I were to classify a "commercial kennel" as a use, it would more closely fit the definition of a Service Establishment. By comparison, the intensity of a kennel use is somewhat out of place with those uses commonly identified as service establishments.

I propose that the Planning Board consider an amendment to the ordinance, which may help to clarify a distinction between kennels and other commercial uses that may be considered Service Establishments. Presently, Service Establishments are permitted in the following zones; C-I, C-II, MUC, IND-I, IND-II, PUD, AD, POD-102, POD-28. A kennel as a service establishment may not be compatible with those other uses permitted in these zones. For example, next door to a Restaurant or Assisted Living Facilities.

Understanding that it is preferable to locate those service oriented businesses along well traveled corridors. However, the very nature of a kennel operation may require a more controlling mechanism in the form of a Conditional Use Permit.

I recommend the following zoning ordinance amendments for the Board's consideration:

Amend Section 2.2 Table of Uses in the BUSINESS USES category. Insert *"Kennel"* (*commercial*) as a use allowed by Conditional Use Permit in C-II / POD-102 / POD-28

Amend Section 2.6 Overlay Districts, Sub-Section 2.6.1.6.3 Uses permitted by conditional use permit. Insert new section: 2.6.1.6.3.4 Commercial Kennels

Furthermore, considering the likelihood of a large kennel facility, it may not be unreasonable to allow such a use in the Industrial-I zone where the generation of noise and odors may not be objectionable.

Amend Section 2.2 Table of Uses in the BUSINESS USES category. Insert "*Kennel*" (*commercial*) as a use allowed in IND-I

Amend Section 4.7 DEFINITIONS
 Insert new definition:

 KENNEL(commercial): An establishment licensed to operate a facility housing dogs, cats or other household pets and
 or where grooming, breeding, boarding, or training of animals is conducted as a business.

I thank the board for their time and consideration



## TOWN OF LONDONDERRY Building, Health & Zoning Enforcement

268 Mammoth Road Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 432-1100 ext. 115 Fax: 432-1128

To: Cynthia May, Town Planner

From: Richard G. Canuel, Senior Building Inspector

Date: September 28, 2012

Subject: Proposed Sign Regulation Amendments

The Planning Board had requested that amendments to the sign regulations be drafted in response to the concerns brought to them by Kathy Wagner. The proposed language is submitted for the Board's consideration.

#### **PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

#### Section 3.11 SIGNS Sub-Section 3.11.6 General Requirements 3.11.6.1 Signs Not Requiring a Permit

Add new sub-section:

3.11.6.1.12 "A"-Frame Sidewalk Signs – "A"-frame (sandwich board style) sidewalk signs may be used for individual tenants in multi-tenant commercial developments. Such signs shall be limited to a maximum dimensional size of 24" x 36", and placed on the storefront sidewalk of the establishment no further than 6 feet from the face of the building. No permit shall be required for these signs.

Sub-Section 3.11.6.3 Signs Permitted in All Districts

Add new sub-section:

3.11.6.3.8.1 Temporary signs in multi-tenant commercial developments shall be limited to no more than one (1) sign for the development on display at any one time, which may be co-utilized by more than one tenant. Such temporary sign shall be allowed on no more than three (3) occasions per calendar year for a total period not to exceed thirty (30) consecutive days for each occasion as approved by permit from the Building Inspector.

Amend Section 3.11.6.3.8 – After the first sentence *insert* the following: Unless allowed under paragraph 3.11.6.1.12

Amend Section 3.11.6.3.8 – Change number of occasions per calendar year: ...no more than two three (3) occasions per calendar year...