# LONDONDERRY, NH PLANNING BOARD

# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 12, 2016 AT THE MOOSE HILL COUNCIL CHAMBERS

# I. Call to Order

Members Present: Art Rugg, Chair; Mary Soares, Vice Chair; Scott Benson, Assistant Secretary; Rick Brideau, CNHA, Ex-Officio; Jim Butler, Town Council Ex-Officio; Leitha Reilly, member; Al Sypek, member; Ted Combes (alternate member); Ann Chiampa (alternate member)

#### Also Present:

Colleen Mailloux, Town Planner; John Vogl, GIS Manager/Comprehensive Planner; John R. Trottier, P.E., Assistant Director of Public Works and Engineering; Laura Gandia, Associate Planner

Chairman Rugg called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM, and began with the Pledge of Allegiance. He appointed A. Chiampa to vote for Chris Davies, and Ted Combes to vote for L. Reilly. He noted that L. Reilly would be arriving shortly.

#### II. ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD WORK

#### A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Member M. Soares made a motion to approve the minutes of October 5, 2016, as presented. R. Brideau seconded the motion. The motion was granted, 7-0-0. **The Chair voted in the affirmative.** 

B. REGIONAL IMPACT DETERMINATIONS: N/A

C. DISCUSSIONS WITH TOWN STAFF: N/A

### III. NEW PLANS/CONCEPTUAL DESIGN:

A. Application acceptance and Public Hearing for formal review of a Minor Site Plan and Conditional Use Permit to construct a 702 +/-square foot uncovered deck on the second floor of an existing commercial building, and other associated site improvements at 80 Perkins Road (Map 15 Lot 55, Zoned MUC) - KAK Real Estate Holdings, LLC (Owner & Applicant)

46 Chairman Rugg read the case into the record. J. Trottier stated that

47 there are no outstanding checklist items, and recommended that the application

48 be accepted as complete.

M. Soares made a motion that the Planning Board accept the application as complete per Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016.

R. Brideau seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

Chairman Rugg stated that this starts the 65 day to timeframe to render a decision.

Steven B. Keach, Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc., 10 Commerce Park North, Suite 3B, Bedford, NH 03110, along with Kurt Kendall, KAK Real Estate Holdings, LLC, presented for the applicant. S. Keach stated that in 2013 K. Kendall purchased the property which was formerly Verani professional office space. He further noted that in 2014, K. Kendall obtained site plan approval for a change of use, renovations and other associated site plan improvements. He stated that the building is currently occupied by Twin Smoke Shop, a retail cigar shop with a lounge on the second floor. He explained that the proposal before the Board involves the construction of a 702 SF deck off the easterly side of the building with access to it from the cigar lounge. He stated the construction of the deck is an amendment to the 2014 site plan, and noted that the building is situated approximately 6 feet from the front lot line in the mixed use commercial district (there is 60 foot setback in that district). He stated the non-conformity exists primarily due to two right of way acquisitions by the New Hampshire Department of Transportation. He described the deck with a somewhat irregular shape in part due to the geometry of the building as it relates to the front property. He explained that deck will not encroach any further into the existing non-conformity and in fact, it will be slightly further away (7-8 feet away) and that the construction of the deck requires the granting of a conditional use permit (CUP). He stated that if the CUP is approved, then the applicant would be seeking approval of the minor site plan to build it as well as the granting of four waivers.

He stated that the CUP under Section 2.4.5.D.2 of the Zoning Ordinance is necessary for the cited dimensional deficiency and noted that there are standards to be considered and demonstrated for its approval. He added that he felt that the applicant met those standards. He also added that the only other change contemplated is the restriping of the parking spaces to the east of the building to accommodate the columns – no physical improvements beside construction of the deck. He reviewed the four waivers: (1) a waiver from Section 3.11 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a Landscape Plan be provided. He noted there are no changes to the landscape plan; (2) a waiver from Section 3.12 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that an Illumination Plan be provided. He noted that the site has exterior lighting today and there are no plans to change it; (3) a waiver from Section 3.14 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a traffic impact analysis be provided. He noted that this is an ancillary space which will not change the volume of site generated traffic – it is an amenity for the existing clientele; and

137

97 98 99 100 101 102	(4) a waiver from Section 3.07 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a Stormwater Management Plan be provided. He stated they are not adding any additional impervious surfaces or changes that would affect stormwater run-off. Additionally, he stated that the deck is constructed over an area that was previously paved. S. Keach concluded his presentation.
103 104 105 106 107 108 109	Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comments. J. Trottier reviewed the four waiver requests as outlined in Staff recommendation memo dated October 12, 2016, and stated that the Staff supports the granting of the waivers. J. Trottier also reviewed the criteria for the CUP as outlined in the Staff recommendation memo dated October 12, 2016, and stated that Staff supports the granting of the CUP. He reviewed the precedent conditions from the October 12, 2016 memo (See attached). There were no other comments from Staff.
110	
111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	Chairman Rugg asked for comments/questions for the Board. A. Chiampa asked and received assurance that the handicap spaces would be kept. T. Combes asked about the location of the door, and was informed that access from the deck would be from the cigar lounge (the access would be located where the windows currently are). M. Soares asked if the deck was seasonal, and expressed concern over serving liquor on the deck. She was informed that the deck is seasonal, and the terms and requirements of obtaining a liquor license is governed by the State of New Hampshire.
119	
120	Chairman Rugg asked for public input, and there was none.
121	
122 123 124 125	M. Soares made a motion that the Planning Board approve the four (4) waivers requested as outlined in Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016.
126	R. Brideau seconded the motion.
127	
128	The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.
129	
130 131 132 133	M. Soares made a motion that the Planning Board approve the Conditional Use Permit as outlined in Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016.
134	R. Brideau seconded the motion.
135	
136	The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

M. Soares made a motion that the Planning Board grant approval for a site plan to construct a 700 square foot exterior deck at 80 Perkins Road, Map 15 Lot 55, KAK Real Estate Holdings, LLC (Owner & Applicant) in accordance with the plans prepared by Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc., dated August 10, 2016 and last revised September 22, 2016 with the following precedent conditions to be fulfilled within 120 days and prior to plan signature and the general and subsequent conditions of approval to be fulfilled as noted in the Staff Recommendation Memo, dated October 12, 2016.

R. Brideau seconded the motion.

The motion was granted, 7-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors and assigns.

#### **PRECEDENT CONDITIONS**

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

1. The Applicant shall provide the Owner's signature on the plans.

2. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.

3. Financial guaranty where determined necessary by the Department of Public Works.

4. Any outstanding technical comments be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Planner and Assistant Director of Public Works.

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within **120 days** to the day of the meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

## **GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS**

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

1. No construction or site work for the site plan may be undertaken

until the pre-construction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit if required, and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.

189 190 191

192

193

186

187

188

2. The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.

194 195 196

197

198

199

200

3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.

201202203

4. All site improvements and off-site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

204205206

5. As-built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.

207208209

210

211

6. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

212213

214

B. Pillsbury Realty Development, LLC (Applicant) – Conceptual Discussion of Woodmont Commons Phase 1 Site Plan – 34 Nashua Road, 5 Garden Lane and 15 Pillsbury Road, Map 10, Lots 41, 52 and 54-1

215216217

218

219

220221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

Chairman Rugg read the case into the record. Ari Pollack, Esq., Gallagher, Callahan & Gartrell, P.C., 214 North Main Street, Concord, NH 03301, and Jeff Kevan of TF Moran, 48 Constitution Drive, Bedford, NH 03110 presented for the applicant and the master developer of the project, Pillsbury Development, LLC. A. Pollack reminded the Board that he appeared on August 3, 2016 for a conceptual discussion on Phase 1 downtown portion of the development. He stated that he is hoping for site plan and conditional use permit approval for encroachment into a wetland buffer along Michel's Way at the November meeting. He stated that since August, he has been working with Staff and Tighe & Bond, and presented the Phase 1 plan to Conservation Commission which recommended approval. J. Kevan reported that he has been before the Heritage Commission which recommended the Board approve the plans as He informed the Board that they submitted an application presented. packet to the state's department of environmental services for a storm water management permit under its alteration of terrain program, and are in discussion with the Department of Transportation for their traffic mitigation proposal. He hoped to reorientate the Board with the Plan in anticipation of its formal submission for the November meeting as well as point out some revisions to the plan.

237238239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265266

235

236

J. Kevan stated that the project is approximately 60 acres and the layout of the plan has not changed dramatically except for the removal of the assisted living facility. He noted that the architects are working with the building design and that the blocks 2 and 4 on Michels Way were adjusted to increase the number of units by 20 housing units. He stated that some of the street configurations were adjusted between blocks 9 and 4 - the triangle was removed and intersection was straightened (See attached He noted the park area and the conditional use application requesting a 41,000 SF buffer impact. He noted that he is not cutting any of the existing trees, and no wetland permit is required for this application. He focused on the 4 acre pond and the 5 foot wide stone dust path which is approximately 3/4 acre around the pond. He added that three rows of apple trees will be preserved with enhanced landscaping in that area. He noted that Duck Pond is not being impacted except for the buffer encroachment. He stated that the ponds with the walkable loop around them are drainage ponds that will be constructed. J. Butler arrived. A. Pollack discussed the Table of Densities that shows different uses with varying amounts of square He stated there is 217,000 SF of existing improvement not 225,000 SF as stated in the table due to the Market Basket Redevelopment project which had a net loss in retail space; therefore, this number needs to be corrected to reflect 217,000 with the difference towards additional new commercial development in that subarea. He added that the total amount of developable area within the subarea will remain unchanged and this change will occur by means of a modification as stated in the Planned Unit Development Master Plan. He also noted the allocation for the new office building in WC-1-GL behind the supermarket building which will provide a substantial amount of parking area (Block 7 on the plan). He noted that those two modifications will come before the Planning Board in a similar fashion as waiver requests.

267268269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

Chairman Rugg asked for Staff comments, and there were none. He then asked for comments from the Board. T. Combes asked about the reason for the removal of the assisted living facility. A. Pollack stated that it was not a lack of interest. T. Combes asked about the size of the office building behind the supermarket. A. Polack stated it is 66,000 SF. T. Combes asked about the timing and development of the project. A. Pollack stated that the project is on a rolling basis timeline with early users coming online in about 12-18 months. L. Reilly arrived. J. Butler asked about a sidewalk along Michels Way. J. Kevan stated that there is a sidewalk past Market Basket on both sides of the road with bike lanes as well. A. Sypek asked about the road north of Michels Way, and associated sight distances. J. Kevan stated they were eliminating anything between that and Appletree Lane. Combes inquired about the sidewalk, and A. Pollack said he does not believe that the sidewalk will extend all the way to Route 102 as that land is owned by others but he would be willing to work with the Town and those owners on extending it. A. Chiampa asked about the intersection of Garden Lane and Michaels Way, and renaming the first section of Michael's Way. C. Mailloux said that the Town is working on it. J. Kevan stated that there will be two turn lanes in each direction with a turn pocket but the details are still being worked out.

Chairman Rugg asked if the presenters had any questions for the Board, and they did not. A. Pollack stated that the process had been very agreeable, and Town Staff is very accommodating. Various board members stated that the community is very eager to see the project move forward.

#### IV. Other Business

A. Capital Improvement Plan – Public Hearing for the adoption of the 2016 (FY 2018 – 2023) Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

Chairman Rugg introduced the topic. J. Vogl presented the current draft of the CIP reflecting changes from the last meeting. He began with the Priority 1 Fire Department Central Renovation Project in the amount of \$4,083,433 with a proposed funding year of 2018 FY for architectural and engineering and 2019 FY for construction and the Priority 1 Fire Department Communication Equipment Infrastructure Upgrade with a changed dollar amount of \$4,200,000 with a proposed funding year of 2018 FY by a bond article. Chairman Rugg stated that the communication infrastructure upgrade project is a town wide project for fire, police and public works and should be noted as such. He asked for project title to be inclusive of all departments.

 Fire Chief Darren O'Brien addressed the Board, and reiterated Chairman Rugg's comments that the communication project is a town wide project to help address the weaknesses in coverage throughout the Town due to new construction and topography. He noted that the current equipment is approximately 15-16 years old, and was funded by a grant after the 9/11 tragedy. He also explained that Londonderry is dispatching for other communities such as Hampstead, and are in negotiation with other towns such as Pelham. He added that if this project is approved, other communities may also seek services and assistance from the Town of Londonderry. He stated that it is an opportunity for Londonderry to move forward with a regional dispatch center.

Police Chief William Hart addressed the Board. He echoed the sentiments of Fire Chief O'Brien, and added that there is an officer safety element while responding to pocket of areas in Town with no coverage. He applauded the Fire Chief for all of his hard work. A. Sypek asked the Police Chief if the system was a digital system which operated similar to a cell phone - meaning that it works only if you have a signal. The Chief agreed and noted it was not like analog service. Chief Hart noted that if an officer was in an emergency situation where there was no service and needed help, there would be no way for him to communicate using that service.

The Director of the Department of Public Works, J. Czyzowski addressed the Board, and thanked the Fire Chief for all of his hard work. He stated that his department is in really bad shape with its equipment. He was in strong support

of the project. He also noted that communication was sometimes done truck to truck.

336 337 338

339

340

341

342

343 344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359 360

361

335

Chairman Rugg asked for Board comments. A. Sypek noted that this is a beautiful project for emergency management purposes and to have the ability to communicate in the event of a disaster. M. Soares asked about the location of the towers, and was informed by the Fire Department that there are several locations being explored such as on Auburn Road, and the airport (crucial for police who now only communicate by cell phone). M. Soares asked about additional personnel and associated funding if the Town became a regional dispatch center. Fire Chief O'Brien stated that the funds would most likely come from those towns seeking services. T. Combes asked about the need for more office space, tower space, and improvements to vehicles. Battalion Chief Michael McQuillen responded to all three noting the effect the new system will have. He noted the issues with the highway system, and the inability of the different departments to communicate in the event of an accident. He stated that the new equipment would require more office space, and is tied into the central station renovation project to assist with spacing needs. A. Chiampa asked about the effectiveness of communication with other Towns. M. McOuillen stated that the Town has difficulty in communicating with Manchester citing the digital vs. analog system. He stated with the new system, the Town will have 90-95% coverage, and the viable option of expanding coverage with neighboring towns such as Hudson, Litchfield and portions of Derry. J. Butler asked about the communication within the vehicles and noted great concern with the officers on the portables particularly in the woods or performing building searches. J. Butler stated that needs to be corrected. J. Butler inquired about the status of the Manchester system and was informed by M. McQuillen that they have a new system which will be a gateway for Londonderry to communicate with them.

362 363 364

Chairman Rugg asked for public input and there was none. J. Vogl stated and will update the title to reflect the other departments.

365 366 367

368 369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

J. Vogl introduced the next project, Priority 2 School District - New SAU Office -\$3,700,000 with architectural engineering in 2019 and construction in 2020. Chairman Rugg noted that there are two other projects associated with the school district, the auditorium and new school facility (both priority 3) as well as middle school renovations (Priority 3). School Business Administrator Peter Curro addressed the Board, described the condition of the SAU building, and informed the Board that the building has issues with heating/HVAC system and space limitations adding that there is a requirement to have meeting room to discuss confidential information (the current building is not adequate for that requirement). He stated that the proposed building will be approximately 12,000 SF and the current building is 8,000 SF. He noted that you cannot put a second floor on the current building. He stated that there are several possible locations being discussed for the new building but nothing is set - current options include a location at Moosehill School, upgrading the current building or building at a new undetermined site. He further added that the building was designed for 12 employees and they are now at 23 employees with that number expected to increase. He added that he received professional opinions that it is not

382 383

384 economically prudent to renovate the building due to its poor condition. A. Sypek asked about the possibility of receiving state aid, and P. Curro informed him that was no longer a viable option for the Town. P. Curro asked if there were any questions about the auditorium. M. Soares stated that she heard from a large amount of members of the community that the auditorium should be a Priority 2 not Priority 3. She recognized that that it was not going to change but wanted to note it in the record. She noted that many felt it was a need not a want.

390 391 392

393 394

395

396

397

398

399

400

385

386

387

388

389

P. Curro also noted that that for year six, there is a new school project to address the possibility of a new elementary school depending on the growth of the Town. P. Curro wanted this out there to alleviate any surprises. He noted that he would like the location of the new school to be in the northeast side of Town. He also spoke of the middle school core renovations. He explained that the school is outgrowing its core facilities such as the gym, library, office and cafeteria. M. Soares stated that physical education and music classes are being conducted in the cafeteria and the multipurpose room, and noted that the core facilities need to be updated. Chairman Rugg asked for any other comments and there were none.

401 402 403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413 414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

The next item discussed was the Outdoor Recreation Enhancement project for the Conservation Commission (Priority 2 with a \$100,000 placeholder) with a proposed funding year of 2018. Marge Badois, Chair of the Conservation Commission, addressed the Board and handed out a packet (See attached). She informed the Board that the Conservation Commission hired Stantec to study four properties: Musquash, Kendall Pond, Scobie and Little Cohas marsh area off of Hall Road, but the study is not yet complete. She stated at the committee's meeting last night, the members reviewed a presentation from Stantec and settled coming forth with a project for the Kendall Pond Conservation Area because it has the most diverse appeal. She stated that it currently has a small parking area and a kiosk with beautiful waterfront access. She stated that she wants to make a presentation to the community demonstrating a completed project and its benefits with the hopes of increased utilization. She pointed to the map in the packet with the expected costs. She noted that improvements to the West Loop were eliminated (it is an island and very expensive to perform certain work). She stated that the two cost totals from the two trails totaled \$414,000. She noted that the \$30,000 on the second page should be crossed out for applying for wetland permit. She stated that if these two trails are done together you would not have the \$30,000 twice. Chairman Rugg noted that currently in the CIP there is \$100,000 placeholder, and noted that \$414,000 is now being requested. J. Butler asked if the trails will be ADA accessible, and M. Badois answered yes. She stated that the plan shows 8 feet wide trails but some of the trail does not need to be that wide. A. Chiampa asked about the West Loop Island cost, and M. Badois stated that there is a bridge and boardwalk as well as a very expensive permitting process. M. Badois discussed the maintenance and construction of the boardwalks. She noted that the work needed to be done is beyond what should be expected of volunteers. M. Badois also noted that there is a liability to the Town with the use of certain equipment as well. A. Chiampa asked about the maintenance of the new trails. M. Badois stated that the new trails are different and require less maintenance. L. Reilly inquired about the cost to maintain the new trails. M. Badois stated that the costs were not included in Stantec's projection. M. Badois stated that the improvements have a 20 year life

expectancy. M. Soares hoped that once these trails become more accessible, people will see their benefit. M. Badois stated that they are seeking to work with the Londonderry Trailways. Chairman Rugg asked for public input and there was none. He stated that the Board has the option of increasing the requested amount.

Member A. Sypek made a motion to increase the requested amount of Outdoor Recreation Enhancement to \$414,000. Seconded by R. Brideau.

The motion was granted 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

J. Vogl stated he will update the language in the paragraph to reflect the described project.

J. Vogl discussed the Heritage Commission – Town Common Drainage Improvements - \$225,000 with funding 2018 FY from the general fund with warrant funding from 2017 ballot. He also reviewed the two public works projects: South Londonderry Sewer Phase II - \$3,631.050 proposed funding year FY 2022 (architectural and engineering) and FY 2023 (construction) with funding sources of access fees and in accordance with the town facilities plan and Mammoth Road (North) Sewer Extension - \$410,481 proposed funding year 2022 (architectural and engineering) and FY 2023 (construction). T. Combes asked how long the sewer line would be and was informed that it would go all the way to Mammoth Road and it currently stops at McAllister (south end part of Route 102) with a second leg going behind the Shaw's. J. Czyzowski noted that the second project is upgrading the 10 inch line in the northern part of Londonderry. A. Sypek asked about the location of drainage in the Town Common. J. Czyzowski stated that the outlet would be at the southwest corner of the property with several catch basins and a few hundred feet of pipe. He added that the field would be raised a couple of feet. He also stated the work with the new bricks is not affected by any subsequent grading.

J. Vogl stated that there were some minor typographical changes and changes made to language on page ten in addition to the changes tonight. Chairman Rugg noted the change in the year, and M. Soares noted the change in the amounts totaling \$5,000,000 on page 10 (Exit 4A). Finance Director D. Smith noted that the difference in numbers on Page 10 was due to environmental studies. J. Vogl noted that he will confirm the numbers and update the tables to reflect the updated conservation commission's values. Chairman Rugg also requested changes in the titles for Jim Butler and John Farrell indicating that they are Town Council Ex-Officios not liaisons.

M. Soares made a motion to approve the Capital Improvements Plan as amended this evening.

R. Brideau seconded the motion.

The Motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.

Chairman Rugg stated that the CIP was adopted by the Planning Board and will go to the Town Council, School Board and Budget Committee for their deliberations. Chairman Rugg thanked Town Staff and the Police Chief, Fire Chief and all others who were involved in the process.

488 489 490

485

486

487

#### B. Zoning Re-write Update

491 492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519520

521

522

523

524 525

526

527

528

529

530

531532

533

534

Town Planner C. Mailloux stated that Stuart Arnett and William (Bill) Parker from ADG Development Group were present to assist with the presentation, and she introduced them to the Board. S. Arnett further introduced Bill Parker to the Board. He stated that B. Parker is the former Community Development Director of Milford and described him as very responsive. B. Parker stated that he retired last November. S. Arnett gave praise to the Board for staying on track with the CIP. He also complimented the Planning Board and Town of Londonderry with its conformance with the new statute and spirit regarding accessory dwelling units. B. Parker reviewed the process of reorganizing the zoning ordinance and his plan to work on the conditional use process and language for next month. C. Mailloux stated that last week the Board received an initial outline of the Table of Contents and an explanation of the reorganization. She stated that the plan is that each month Board members will offer and/or receive input on certain topics. She stated that they are not proposing major changes in content but rather focusing on organization. She stated this was in response to an oft-heard comment that the ordinance was challenging to navigate. She reviewed with the Board the Table of Contents (See attached). She discussed the process involving reorganizing the definitions. She briefly touched upon the overlay district and noted that possible elimination of that section in the future. She explained that the biggest change involves the addition of a supplementary regulations and standards section. She noted the challenges with conditional use permits and stated that there are 38 sections that use conditional use permits, each with somewhat redundant language. She emphasized the structure and asked for Board input. A. Chiampa asked about solar regulations and C. Mailloux stated there are none currently. L. Reilly asked about Section 9 nonconforming use and use tables, and shared her thoughts about highlighting it in some other fashion. A. Sypek asked if this will be on the web, and J. Voql stated that it will be uploaded to the website in encode, searchable software. C. Mailloux added that it is currently on the web in PDF format. J. Vogl stated that it gets a lot of hits. C. Mailloux stated that this project is scheduled to be completed in June of 2017. She added that there is always something to change whether it is based on the feedback from the Town or from changes in state law. Chairman Rugg stated that the members can communicate individually with Staff. C. Mailloux further discussed the subject of definitions and noted that there are conflicting definitions throughout the ordinance and site and subdivision regulations. B. Parker stated that he is working on recommended industry standard language for some of the terms, and once the definitions are completed in zoning then the site plan and subdivision plan will be addressed. M. Soares asked about the definition of abutter and asked why it read "located in New Hampshire." B. Parker stated that the abutter definition was taken straight from state law. C. Mailloux stated that we could strike that portion of the definition. The Board appreciated the clarity in the definitions. J. Vogl stated that they are looking at the user community and user friendliness of the documents as well as the benefits to the ZBA and code enforcement officer. T. Combes

535	acknowledged the benefit to those looking to do business in Town. L. Reilly and C.
536	Mailloux discussed completing the definition section and how it relates with going
537	forward with the rest of the project. C. Mailloux stated that one of the goals is to
538	make the District's intent as clear as possible. M. Soares asked for a right-of-way
539	definition. C. Mailloux stated that next month's topic will involve the conditional
540	use process and the use table. She started that she will be seeking input from the
541	Board and recommended that the live work unit be permitted as a matter of right.
542	She noted the possibility of encouraging nonbinding conceptual discussions as part
543	of the conditional use process. She welcomed direction and concerns. L. Reilly
544	asked for an inventory of conditional use applications to better understand what
545	has come before the Board. C. Mailloux stated that next month there will be an
546	updated use table, and conditional use and definition language.
547	
548	R. Brideau made a motion to adjourn the meeting at approximately 9:06
549	p.m. Seconded by M. Soares.
550	
551	Motion was granted, 8-0-0. The Chair voted in the affirmative.
552	
553	The meeting adjourned at 9:06 PM.
554	
555	These minutes were prepared by Associate Planner Laura Gandia.
556	
557	Respectfully Submitted,
558	
559	
560	Chris Davies, Secretary
561	The second secon
562 563	These minutes were accepted and approved on November 2, 2016 by a motion made by

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

To: Planning Board Date: October 12, 2016

From: Colleen P. Mailloux, AICP, Town Planner John R. Trottier, PE, Assist. Dir. Of DPW

Application: Application Acceptance and Public Hearing for formal review of a Minor Site Plan and Conditional Use Permit to construct a 700 SF exterior deck, Map 15 Lot 55, 80 Perkins Road and Rockingham Road, Zoned MUC, KAK Real Estate Holdings (Owner & Applicant).

• Completeness: There are no outstanding checklist items; Staff recommends the application be accepted as complete.

**Board Action Required:** Motion that the Planning Board accept the application as complete per Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016

- <u>Waivers:</u> The Applicant has requested the following four (4) waivers to the Site Plan Regulations:
  - 1. The Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 3.11 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a Landscape Plan be provided.
  - 2. The Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 3.12 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that an Illumination Plan be provided.
  - 3. The Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 3.14 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a traffic impact analysis be provided.
  - 4. The Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 3.07 of the Site Plan Regulations requiring that a Stormwater Management Plan be provided.

The extent of the proposed improvements is limited to a 700 square foot seasonal second story deck and modification of pavement markings. There are no proposed changes to the existing landscape or illumination on the property, no change in impervious surfaces that would alter drainage patterns and conditions, and no change in the intensity of traffic generated by the site due to the limited seasonal use of the proposed improvements. Additionally, these items were addressed during the 2014 minor site plan change of use approval. Staff supports *granting* the waivers as requested for the reasons outlined above.

<u>Board Action Required:</u> Motion that the Planning Board Approve the four (4) waivers requested as outlined in Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016.

- Conditional Use Permit: The Applicant has requested one (1) Conditional Use Permit:
  - The Applicant has requested a Conditional Use Permit under Section 2.4.5.D.2 of the Zoning Ordinance seeking dimensional relief to permit the construction of a seasonal deck within the 60 foot front setback. The existing structure is a preexisting non-conforming structure located approximately 6 feet from the front

parcel boundary adjacent to Rockingham Road. The existing front setback dimension is the result of prior right of way acquisitions by NHDOT for improvements to Rockingham Road. The proposed seasonal deck will not increase the existing non-conformity and will extend easterly from the existing building maintaining the existing 6 foot setback from the front parcel boundary. Staff supports *granting* the Conditional Use Permit to allow the reduction in the front setback from Rockingham Road as the Applicant has demonstrated that they meet the criteria of Section 2.4.5.D.5 of the Zoning Ordinance.

**Board Action Required:** Motion that the Planning Board Approve the Conditional Use Permit as outlined in Staff's Recommendation Memorandum dated October 12, 2016.

 <u>Recommendation</u>: Based on the information available to date, Staff recommends APPROVAL of this application with the NOTICE OF DECISION to read substantially as follows:

<u>Board Action Required:</u> Motion that the Planning Board grant approval for a site plan to construct a 700 square foot exterior deck at 80 Perkins Road, Map 15 Lot 55, KAK Real Estate Holdings, LLC (Owner & Applicant) in accordance with the plans prepared by Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc., dated August 10, 2016 and last revised September 22, 2016 with the following precedent conditions to be fulfilled within 120 days and prior to plan signature and the general and subsequent conditions of approval to be fulfilled as noted in the Staff Recommendation Memo, dated October 12, 2016.

"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner or organization submitting this application and to his/its agents, successors and assigns.

#### PRECEDENT CONDITIONS

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to certification of the plans by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work, any construction on the site or issuance of a building permit.

- 1. The Applicant shall provide the Owner's signature on the plans.
- 2. The Applicant shall provide a digital (electronic) copy of the complete final plan sent to the Town at the time of signature by the Board in accordance with Section 2.05.n of the regulations.
- 3. Financial guaranty where determined necessary by the Department of Public Works.
- 4. Any outstanding technical comments be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Planner and Assistant Director of Public Works.

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u> - Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final. If these conditions are not met within **120 days** to the day of the

meeting at which the Planning Board grants conditional approval the board's approval will be considered to have lapsed and re-submission of the application will be required. See RSA 674:39 on vesting.

#### **GENERAL AND SUBSEQUENT CONDITIONS**

All of the conditions below are attached to this approval.

- No construction or site work for the site plan may be undertaken until the preconstruction meeting with Town staff has taken place, filing of an NPDES-EPA Permit if required, and the site restoration financial guaranty is in place with the Town. Contact the Department of Public Works to arrange for this meeting.
- The project must be built and executed exactly as specified in the approved application package unless modifications are approved by the Planning Division & Department of Public Works, or if staff deems applicable, the Planning Board.
- 3. All of the documentation submitted in the application package by the applicant and any requirements imposed by other agencies are part of this approval unless otherwise updated, revised, clarified in some manner, or superseded in full or in part. In the case of conflicting information between documents, the most recent documentation and this notice herein shall generally be determining.
- 4. All site improvements and off-site improvements must be completed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- 5. As-built site plans must to be submitted to the Public Works Department prior to the release of the applicant's financial guaranty.
- 6. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to obtain all local, state, and federal permits, licenses, and approvals which may be required as part of this project (that were not received prior to certification of the plans). Contact the Building Division at extension 115 regarding building permits.

