Londonderry, NH Master Plan Steering Committee, INTERVIEW SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES OF THE November 30, 2011 MEETING IN THE Moose Hill Council Chambers

1 2

Present: Leitha Reilly; Jason Allen; Mary Tetreau; and Deb Paul

Also Present: Community Development Director André Garron, AICP; Town Planner Cynthia May, ASLA; GIS Manager John Vogl; Community Development Secretary Jaye Trottier.

#### I. Call to Order

L. Reilly called the meeting to order at 7:07 pm.

# II. Review of Comprehensive Master Plan RFP Proposals

L. Reilly summarized that at the November 22 meeting of the Interview Subcommittee, 11 proposals from a variety of professional organizations were reviewed. Subcommittee members used a quality based approach to rank their preferences on a weighted scorecard. The criteria utilized were Project Approach (40%); Responsiveness to the RFP (15%); Experience and Personnel (25%); Proposal Format and Quality (10%); and Communication, Innovation and Creativity (10%).

The purpose of this meeting, she explained, was to narrow the list based on those scores. Five proposals were consequently selected, as the first two ranked 7.85 out of 10 or higher and the next three were within the 7.67 to 7.72 range. Since the next closest score was 7.43, it was decided that aforementioned five alone would be considered. Following more discussion, a decision was made to open the bids of the top five. It was reasoned that if any of the firms put in a bid that was well in excess of the \$125,000 budget voted on by the Master Plan Steering Committee, it would not be prudent to pursue an interview. Scores and bids were as follows:

Company	Rank	Average Total Score	Bid proposal
Town Planning & Urban	1	8.95	\$150,000
Design			
Peter J. Smith & Company	2	7.85	\$124,080
Community Opportunities	3	7.72	\$124, 920
Group			
Hawk Planning Resources	4	7.69	\$121,720
VHB	5	7.67	\$124,750

high score, however, Subcommittee members asked staff to contact T.P.U.D.C. to ascertain whether their bid was negotiable. If they were willing to reduce the bid but had to withdraw part of their proposed services to do so, the Subcommittee asked to know first what would be sacrificed in order to conclude whether the proposal was still sufficient. Based on the answers to those questions, the Subcommittee will decide whether or not to invite T.P.U.D.C for an interview.

Subcommittee members will coordinate with staff and the five finalists to arrange for interviews to occur in the next two weeks so a recommendation can be made to the Master Plan Steering Committee at their December 28 meeting.

## III. Approval of November 22, 2011 Sub-Committee Minutes

The consensus of the Subcommittee members was to approve the minutes of the November 22, 2011 meeting. D. Paul abstained as she had not attended the meeting.

#### IV. Other Business

There was no other business.

### IV. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned by consensus at 7:58 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Jaye Trottier, Community Development Secretary