

**Town of Hull
Building Committee Meeting
Thursday, August 9, 2007**

In attendance:

Cathy Bowes/Committee Member	Debbe Bennett/Support Staff
Paul Dunphy/Committee Member	David Twombly/Support Staff
Bill Dwyer/Committee Member	Jim Lampke/Support Staff
Patrick Finn/Committee Member	Peter Lombardo/Support Staff
Phil Lemnios/Committee Member	Scott Dunlap/Ai3
Jay Meschino/Committee Member	Troy Randall/Ai3
John Reilly/Committee Member	Scott Libby/PMA
Kevin Richardson/Comm. Member	
Charlie Ryder/Committee Member	
Dave Walsh/Committee Member	

Absent:

Dr. John Silva/Committee Member
Kathleen Tyrell/Committee Member

The Building Committee meeting was held in the Selectmen's Office at Town Hall, and the meeting was called to order by John Reilly at 7:08pm.

1. **Approval of Today's Agenda:** *The meeting agenda was approved without exception.*
2. **Owner's Project Manager Report:**
 - **Project Manager's Report:** Scott Libby noted a draft report was included in the packages and he handed out a revised report. He said during July the biggest thing is phase 1 is on time and there is no reason to believe the keys will not be handed over to the owner on August 23. The FF&E will start to be delivered on Monday. The yard sale conducted by the Town allowed for continuation of demo and abatement in the existing building. In July they did an early kick off of demolition and abatement and a significant amount of demo has occurred. He noted one year ago the project got a late start but made up 89 days on the project to deliver on time and that credit goes to the contractor and the team effort and he noted that cooperation continues. The project is back on track and they were concerned that would not happen. There are no significant issues they can foresee from an inspectional point. The fire, electrical and mechanical inspectors have been involved heavily in the last month and they anticipate the Certificate of Occupancy soon. In August they will turn over the keys and will continue with asbestos abatement and demo. The roadway work is being done and the goal is to get the remainder of the utilities in before the end of the month and have the road paved before school opens. By accelerating that work there will be less impact to the students, teachers and buses.

- **Cost Summary:** Scott Libby informed the Committee that we have now expended \$11.6 million in gross value for work completed with a \$614,000 retainage. He said we have over \$10 million remaining of gross value of work to go. We are 57% thru the entire project and 99% complete with phase 1. From a time point of view we are just over 50% through our time and 57% ahead on the percentage complete. The cash flow projections are ahead of the early finish. If this trend continues there is no reason why in one year we should not be completing the project. They continue to track manpower and he noted the RFIs have diminished over the last month. About 8% of the original contingency has been expended. We expended over 3% in design related costs and approximately 16% of contingency is due to other costs not budgeted. Since last month there are no significant changes in the budget forecast other than updates to the actuals to date. The total project is \$15.1 million expended to date and over \$1 million remains in contingency (under 73% of contingency remains) and that is a healthy place to be. The work completed includes some of phase 2 work as well as phase 1.

Jay Meschino asked if the roof work started on building C. Scott Libby said building C was done a couple days after the last meeting. Jay Meschino asked about the section they are retrofitting now on the west end of the existing building. Scott Libby said that area is being demolished inside now. Jay Meschino stated the other section has membrane that flops in the wind and asked if that will be finished soon. Scott Libby said he will finalize the details of that at the next construction meeting but said it will be done before the fall. Scott Libby added they do have temporary tack strips down and it is monitored daily but he will get a firm date at the next construction meeting.

Paul Dunphy thanked PMA for the good news. He asked if there is any cost benefit to the Town if the project finishes early such as recouping fees we are paying. Scott Libby said no but you wouldn't have extra costs if it ran late. Scott Libby said we all have lump sum contracts and that is sort of their bonus and they are pushing to get done early. The earlier you get the building the better. He said most jobs run down to the wire and this job has gone very well. It is a pleasure to talk about positive things like this job. He noted the building officials come to the meetings and are all very cooperative. The contractor has commented about how cooperative everyone is. He added it is a beautiful facility and the quality is exceptional.

Paul Dunphy asked how the commissioning is going. Scott Libby said very well. They met Tuesday with the commissioning agent and he has about 50 items that he has been tracking. About half of those were clarification issues and the other half were minor adjustments. He does not see any show stoppers and he is pleased with the quality of the work. The recommendations and advice EMA offers is valuable. Paul Dunphy asked if there have been any conflicts. Scott Libby said there have been no conflicts. Scott Libby said he is not an expert but the engineer, Griffith & Vary, has said he is very good and receptive.

Paul Dunphy asked about the emergency generator test. Scott Libby said it is pending. They did an initial run through and they have been coordinating with the Town and janitorial staff trying to determine the weekly start up time. There was a discussion about doing a start up at night maybe after the school opens. The generator is now on line to service what it was intended to service. He noted Judi Saide would go through her systems as well. They are on track for testing between now and August 23.

Charlie Ryder noted that David Twombly has scheduled the Commissioning agent to come to the next School Building Committee meeting and will be at the walk thru. Paul Dunphy said the spreadsheet is moving in the right direction. Scott Libby said most of it is nit picky.

Pat Finn asked about the block masonry. Scott Libby said there was a question raised about the block edge. Their recommendation was to let the contractor continue and let the janitors take off the edge. PMA's recommendation was not to give it to the contractor. Scott Libby noted it is the best block work he has seen in years. David Twombly noted he brought one block tonight to show how they have filed it down and they went behind the bleachers up 3-4 feet. If it meets Phil Lemnios and Charlie Ryder's approval, they will file down the high traffic areas.

Dave Walsh said we noticed this at the walk thru. He said it is nice work but the corners are sharp. He asked how many outside corners there are and is it a week-long job. David Twombly said it is a one-day job. Scott Libby noted there would be dust created by this and the building has already been cleaned. Dave Walsh said there are not that many outside corners and agrees it is easily done internally.

3. Architect Report:

- **Jacobs School**

- **TLT Construction Application for Payment #13:** Troy Randall presented TLT Construction Application for Payment #13 for the month of July in the amount of \$636,603.09. He noted they have reviewed it with PMA and TLT and Ai3 would recommend approval for payment. John Reilly noted they would hold off on approval until the warrant is presented.
- **TLT COR #47R (Wood Fiber Play Surface):** Troy Randall stated the School Building Committee asked them to solicit a price for installing wood fiber for adjacent to the play structure temporarily. They did receive a proposal for \$12,728.41 and subsequently the sub committee approved it and the PCO will be provided in the next Change Order. Phil Lemnios noted this was done in terms of expediency there was no other alternative. He added it will be a 4" carpet of wood fiber and they hope to use it in other areas of town when done. This is the only play area for the school during the second phase. They talked about it at the Tuesday meeting and felt it was wise to move forward. Scott Libby noted the

product is not readily available and by allowing it to move forward, it allowed us to get it in time for school.

Pat Finn said the top part of the proposal is circled but underneath the alternate system says per the manufacturer's recommendation and there is a difference in thickness of 12". Scott Dunlap said 12" would be the recommendation if it were a permanent application. Scott Libby said he feels 4" is the right way to go. Pat Finn said he was looking at the asterisk footnote. Scott Libby said we are using it as an area for the children to play and the guy is being a little overly cautious. Cathy Bowes asked if under the play structure would it be 12" and remainder around it 4". Scott Libby said there is rubber material under the play structure. Phil Lemnios added the play structure is adequately protected and this is a 4" carpet around the play area. Dave Walsh said this was in lieu of seeding or sodding. *Peter Lombardo entered.* Paul Dunphy asked if this material would blow away in a Nor'easter. Scott Dunlap said it is a heavy enough based material and it is utilized a lot in this type of area, it will migrate when the students push it around but shouldn't with the wind. Troy Randall noted it is the same material as what was used at L Street playground and that has been there for seven years. Phil Lemnios added it is low enough to the ground and it is not fine enough to move significantly. Phil Lemnios said after discussing it, it was the only feasible alternative for a soft area for the kids to run around.

- **Abutter's Landscaping Meeting Summary:** Scott Dunlap said they distributed abutter meeting minutes to everybody but this is an extra copy of them and he wants to talk about what they mean in reference to a site map so the Committee understands what took place. He will summarize the discussion items and tie them to the specific area he is referring to.

They started off at the rear of 3 Battery Road and noted Bill Tramontana's property. They started off on the western side of Bill Tramontana property. The dark heavy line is the property boundary and then the dividing lines separate the properties. There is construction fence right along that boundary that helps define it but it is not exact. The western edge was the start because once you move off the property edge toward the retaining wall, which is graphically represented by the 3 lines with the circles, which are the fence poles, and the middle line is the fence itself. At the time of the site visit, the fence had not been placed on the wall but you could observe how the grading changed. They started on the western side because that is where the grade is the steepest once it leaves the edge of the property. The retaining wall is about 10ft from the property boundary. The slope is 2 to 1 rise over run. They talked about when you get to about mid point of Bill Tramontana's property that is closer to a 1 to 1 slope, not so steep, and at the eastern side the grade pretty much blends into the exiting slope. Once construction fence comes down there will not be any boundary and about half will flow out to the school site and will be grass until you get to the playground fence

boundary, assuming nothing is installed as part of the contract, which currently there is nothing planned for. Scott Dunlap said as Bill Tramontana has reported before, the primary concern is the western edge slope and the steepness. They talked briefly about solid wood fence on his property boundary and it did not seem to be too desirable since it would trap the plantings and trees between it and the retaining wall fencing. Mr. Tramontana indicated he may or may not want that. They talked about the landscaping between the property boundary and the retaining wall. Scott noted on the site plans the graphic presented by a PNC, PNA, PNB are all Austrian pines and there are about 9 of those. The other trees are crab apple trees and there are three of those planned along the property boundary. Scott said they have included as part of the handout more information on the Austrian pine regarding height and density since that is primarily the buffer and a lot of growth will depend on conditions. When planted they will be between 5'-8' and can grow to 12"-20" per year and as high as 30'-50' if it has ideal conditions. As part of the discussion, Bill Tramontana suggested he might want to use arborvitaes there so they also included information on how well they might do in that location. The two trees grow to relatively the same height and in the same manner and are both hearty. The Austrian pine is known to resist some of the salt-water conditions but there are examples of arborvitaes growing in these conditions as well. There was a question of if the SBC would consider substituting some of those out. Scott Dunlap said they did explain that the area between the retaining wall and the property boundary was not intended to have a grassy surface that would be mowed, it was intended to allow natural vegetation to grow naturally under the trees and become a green or natural buffer between the property. If you look at the property between 3 Battery Road and 1 Battery Road, the vegetation has been allowed to grow in a similar fashion. To create a dense green natural barrier and even block some of the school building. You could stand at this property and realize you could not build a physical barrier so high that would block the building without looking odd. The trees could grow high enough to visually block it. The lawn area at the back of the 3 Battery Road generally slopes at about a 1 to 2 (estimated) slope and the eastern edge is about 1 foot of rise for 1 foot of slope. There was a discussion about what would happen if we attempted to make it not so steep by coming onto his property to make a milder slope from the property to the retaining wall. Ai3 pointed out that in order to have impact at all, you would have to come a significant distance onto the 3 Battery Road property and the result would be the entire back side might end up with the 1 to 1 slope, which is steeper than it is now and would result in an area that could not be utilized for play or anything else. They (Ai3) were recommending against going on to that property since it would make it a worse condition.

Scott Dunlap said he did speak to Mr. Davis of 1 Battery Road and he brought up the fact that he has an area at the rear of his property that is very conceptually outlined in an oval with a dotted line and not the result of a survey. There is an

area that is very low and recessed and yields all of it as non accessible. From the retaining wall the grade slopes up both at a 2 to 1 or 1 to 1 slopes and because of his recess, it rolls back down to his property. What he said is if the Building Committee wanted to take some material and roll it back onto his property that he would be amenable to that. Scott Dunlap said there are problems with that. First, there is the dense barrier that would get lost and the second is there may be some wetland vegetation that has developed in the low area the may prohibit that and third is there is no survey information on his property and they would need a detailed sketch. They told Mr. Davis they would raise it to the School Building Committee but that there were a number of things that would need to be raised as well such as the legal issues of modifying an abutter's property outside of the realm of the project. Scott Dunlap added it would require a botanist to look at the vegetation and a survey if the School Building Committee wanted to take that step.

John Reilly said he doesn't see the access road on the drawing and he thought the light plant and Fire Department needed an access road. Scott Dunlap said it comes from the other side and is cut off this drawing. Peter Lombardo said there was talk originally of a roadway and the actual road is up above. There is a generator back there. Scott Dunlap said police and fire wanted access to walk around but not necessarily an actual road. John Reilly said the concern he has is the segment where there is a 2 to 1 slope, what if kids go up there over the slope beside the tree line -- it is a tough area to get out of and what is keeping them out. Scott Dunlap said nothing except that over time the vegetation would grow in and become a barrier. Scott Dunlap said the distance between the property line and the retaining wall is 10 feet and a significant drop. John Reilly said he thinks we need more of a barrier.

Dave Walsh said from the west corner of 3 Battery Road within the vegetation if you ran another length of fence going down the slope, you would alleviate the slope and run it at an angle running down and you would not necessarily see that fence as the vegetation grows.

Jay Meschino said he thinks it is a mistake to leave that part un-maintained as far as grounds-keeping. He said all of the problems with coyotes and rodents were when the grass was not maintained. Leaving it long is not going to do anyone any good. Scott Dunlap said they are not talking about tall grass or brush, they are encouraging natural vegetation. It would be the same kind of appearance as what is between 1 Battery Road and 3 Battery Road. Jay Meschino said that is the problem, which is not good. Scott said they are talking about a 30 foot long section and it is occurring for about a hundred feet between those properties. Jay Meschino said that is not the school's property. Scott Dunlap said you don't maintain a 2 to 1 slope and make it look like lawn. Jay Meschino said he doesn't like the 2 to 1 slope and he doesn't think we should have put anything there that

causes us not to maintain the grass. Before that was a reasonable slope that could be mowed. That will lead to ticks, rodents, trash and coyotes.

Paul Dunphy said it is up to Bill and Andrea Tramontana if they want another fence – that is on the table and that is for them to decide. Bill Tramontana said after the meeting last week that was like another slap in the face -- something they were not privy to. The grade is very dangerous that runs all around his property. The cheapest way is to grade his property but that would deem a lot of his property more unusable and another option was another fence. He does not want to look at that brush. The best thing for them is to have another fence on the property line so they don't have to look at what is on the other side because it will not be maintained. Maybe plant arborvitaes on the other side. He said when this project started he was not educated and it has been a hard year and this is something new and is a safety issue because that grade is steep and they were not privy to it.

Scott Dunlap said several abutters asked about the utility poles along Harborview Road, they asked which were temporary and which were permanent. He noted they have included in the packet the site electrical plan. He noted on the upper middle of the plan there is a cloud around the new utility company poles and there are two poles pointed out. The abutters asked if these were temporary or permanent. Both are permanent poles and were not set by the contractor but were set by Hull Light. They set those locations and he believes the intent was for them to remain and it is up to them if they can be adjusted. John Reilly asked the purpose of the poles. Scott Dunlap said the one on the left provides primary power to the building and goes underground. It is labeled UPE (underground primary electrical). The one on the right provides phone and cable. He suspects the reason the light plant put them there is now they feed the temporary trailers and also they can leave them for future use. John Reilly said they would talk to John McLeod to see if it can go underground earlier. John Reilly asked about the plan for parking lot lighting. Scott Dunlap said this drawing does not include that but they would bring that to the next meeting.

Scott Dunlap said there were some questions about the Meschino property and they discussed the site plan, which shows nine Austrian pines along the property boundary and a crab apple tree further east. Scott said Jay has asked if it is possible to change those species and they said as far as they knew, adjustments were a possibility. Scott Dunlap noted they have asked the contractor not to tag or prepare any trees or plantings until we get these issues resolved. There was a discussion of moving the dumpster from the rear to the front of the building and they told them they did not think, for a number of logistic reasons, that would be possible. At a future date they would go back out to the site once everyone has a chance to look at it. John Reilly recommended the Committee do this at the next meeting and meet earlier to walk the grounds and visualize the slope and look at

the planting scheme once again. David Twombly said at the last meeting the Committee talked about having a meeting with a walk thru of the building. John Reilly said they would do a building walk thru and walk the grounds. The Committee could do that at 6:00pm at Jacobs and head to the high school at 7:00pm.

Pat Finn said he thinks he recalls that the retaining wall was designed higher and was reduced at the request of abutters. Scott Dunlap said he would have to investigate that since there were so many discussions about it. Pat Finn said he suggested at the walk thru using junipers on the slope. Scott Dunlap said they only discussed changing the planting to something denser. Pat Finn said if we are going to have a fence, a buffer and another fence, why not leave it open. Jay Meschino asked if it would be possible to make a second shorter wall, which would allow it to be reasonably maintained and that way the grade would not be so severe. It would be like a step terrace. Then, between the transformers you have retaining wall and then a gap with no wall. How is that secure and is there a fall risk. Scott Dunlap said that is at the grade at the bottom. Jay Meschino asked the slope. Scott Dunlap said about 2 to 1 but you can't get to that area. Jay Meschino said an 8 year old might be able to.

Jodi Trubia said the area used to be bunkers so it is sink land. Regarding the fence, the sound echoes up there and needs a sound buffer and trees would sound proof it. Dave Walsh said people are jumping to conclusions that it will be wild and un-maintained. These trees serve a purpose that will be beneficial to the school and neighbors. If these trees grow as projected, they will solve the noise and appearance issues. Phil Lemnios asked the abutters for their top three objectives in order of priority. Bill Tramontana said mainly safety, that slope is steep and his kids are closest. Second are aesthetics and the echoes - it is unbelievable. The aesthetics to buffer that brick wall -- it is intrusive. Jay Meschino agreed safety first and added rodent control, general maintenance and a screen. The trees will help the acoustics.

Charlie Ryder asked how the slope has changed on the west side of Bill Tramontana's property. Bill Tramontana said because that building was pushed so far back, that is where you get the slope. The whole topography changed. It was more gradual before.

Bill Dwyer said it is a good idea to use tress and juniper because once it is full it covers everything. Phil Lemnios said the advantage of trees is it deadens sound. It was noted they are proposing using both trees and a ground covering. John Reilly noted the Committee would walk the grounds first and meet at the high school. David Twombly noted at the high school we would have to use the exhibition room for the meeting since the library is being used for pre-school. It

was noted the meeting would be at 7:30pm to allow enough time for the walk thru.

- **Other:** None this evening.

- **High School**
 - **Change Order #18 and #19:** Troy Randall noted that prior to the last meeting, they distributed three-ring binders containing Change Order #18 and #19. At the last meeting they discussed these and described the process of negotiating these down from \$250,000 to just over \$60,000 and they mentioned that at this meeting, they would recommend approval of Change Order #18 and #19 and with that they would recommend approval tonight. *Paul Dunphy made a motion to approve Change Order #18 and #19. Charlie Ryder seconded the motion.* Scott Dunlap noted it should be pending a reviewed by Town Counsel since they do not have knowledge of how additional work is tied into the settlement agreement with the bonding company. *John Reilly entertained a motion to make the approval of Change Order #18 and #19 pending review by Town Counsel. All approved.*

 - **Roof Replacement Update:** Troy Randall informed the Committee that Capeway Roofing and Vertex were on site for two days this week (Tuesday and Thursday) and they were there last Friday to accept delivery of materials. They are continuing with the roof replacement process and expect finalization of that prior to the start of school. Pat Finn asked why they did not start last Monday. Troy Randall said they were initially scheduled to start last Monday but the adhesive was not delivered and they were supposed to be on site this past Monday but it rained and they started on Tuesday. Pat Finn asked if our site inspection is squared away. Jim Lampke said Gale is working for the town and they got clarification that if they are not working a full day the price would be apportioned and the scope of work is flexible and the town can add or subtract as warranted. They expect to have a better idea for the need for inspectional services after one complete week of work on the site, so by next Monday. They are still working with the bonding company regarding how much they will pay. Our goal is for them to pick up the entire cost. Our position is, they should be paying a good portion, if not all, since we are holding funds. Peter Lombardo said he is witnessing the work and it is going well. He said there are almost as many people watching the work as are doing the work. There were a couple glitches the first day with unforeseen conditions under the roof. He said Dan Hulverson looked this morning and had some good comments. This afternoon they were laying the final rubber on that section. Mike from Capeway mentioned for the first few days they have 6-7 guys working to feel out the job and then they will flood some more guys to pick up the pace. They are a good crew and they know their jobs.

Bill Dwyer said at the front of the school he thinks they put in a sprinkler as an afterthought because there is a water line running across the step. He talked to

Mark from Dowd who told him the line runs to the back of the building and it is capped off 5 feet from the hydrant. The quick solution is to cut down the bottom step and sink the line down into it but in the spring it needs a connection line. He said he has a sand bag on it now. Peter Lombardo said he talked to David about that. David Twombly said he talked to the repairperson and they were out there to look at it and we talked about disconnecting it. Dave Walsh said he brought this up three months ago and he is shocked this is still not taken care of -- it is a liability. Paul Dunphy said we should just cut it tomorrow.

Peter Lombardo said in the back of the school you may want to have Jim mark the sprinklers since the roofer has equipment and trucks going back there so they don't get run over. Phil Lemnios noted there isn't any irrigation in the courtyard. John Reilly said that goes back five or so years ago when we took the courtyard out of the project because it was coming in over budget and we were originally planning something in there that was low maintenance which would not need watering. Phil Lemnios noted there is a water source there and it would not cost much to sprinkle. David Twombly said they got a price to install irrigation there, which was several thousand dollars.

Pat Finn said after it was added back to the project the sub committee came up with a crushed seashells and boulders design that nobody liked and then we went with this simple grass design.

Phil Lemnios noted the staff parking is at the front door as opposed to visitor parking and questioned the propriety of this. Paul Dunphy said we need to reflect and see where we are with money and if we have money left then maybe we can deal with it. Paul Dunphy asked if the problem with water pitching back in from the courtyard has been resolved. David Twombly told him it has not been resolved. Paul Dunphy said we need to get a handle on the money because we have bills for the high school tonight and we have been drawing it down so we need a recap on where we are. Phil Lemnios said we presented that about three months ago to the School Building Committee and he will work with Debbie Bennett and Marcia Bohinc to update it and present it at the next meeting. John Reilly said some of the little projects have to stop. Paul Dunphy said the pipe needs to be cut because it is a public tripping hazard. Dave Walsh said Mr. Dunphy is correct, it can be cut on either side of the stairs and you can sleeve it under which is what they should have done when it was installed. Troy Randall noted it was not part of the project. Phil Lemnios asked if the parking signs could be added to the list. John Reilly said after listening to Paul Dunphy and Dave Walsh he asked David Twombly to have it cut and capped so dirt does not get inside and figure out how to deal with it later.

- **Other:** None this evening.

4. Fiscal Report:

- Warrant #493 was presented to the Committee containing two invoices for the high school totaling \$5,996.00 for reimbursable expenses (\$1,256.00) and additional services for July (\$4,740.00).

Paul Dunphy made a motion to approve Warrant #493 in the amount of \$5,996.00. Kevin Richardson seconded the motion. All approved Warrant #493 in the amount of \$5,996.00.

- Warrant #494 was presented to the Committee containing four invoices for the Jacobs School totaling \$674,270.72.
 - Payment Requisition #13 from TLT Construction in the amount of \$636,603.09 for construction costs through July 2007. PMA and Ai3 have reviewed and approved this invoice.
 - One invoice from PMA Consultants in the amount of \$19,263.34 for project management services through July 2007.
 - Two invoices from Ai3 totaling \$18,404.29 for reimbursable expenses (\$32.98) and design services for July (\$18,371.31).

Pat Finn made a motion to approve Warrant #494 in the amount of \$674,270.72. Phil Lemnios seconded the motion. All approved payment of Warrant #494 in the amount of \$674,270.72.

- Warrant #495 was presented to the Committee containing seven invoices for the Jacobs School totaling \$128,376.00.
 - Two invoices from Ockers Company totaling \$1,924.00 for HP Procurve Gigabit (\$325.00) and HP ProCurve Switch Module (\$1,599.00).
 - One invoice from Brander Alarm and Electric in the amount of \$3,000.00 for temporary installation of the video door entry system in the new head end room.
 - Three invoices from Sullivan and McLaughlin totaling \$112,752.00 for work completed and stored to date as of May 31, 2007 (\$35,232.97) as of June 20, 2007 (\$40,000.73) and as of July 20 (\$37,518.30).
 - One invoice from CBE Technologies in the amount of \$10,700.00 for cameras, recorders, cables and hardware for phase 1.

Phil Lemnios made a motion to approve Warrant #495 in the amount of \$128,376.00. Charlie Ryder seconded the motion. All approved payment of Warrant #495 in the amount of \$128,376.00.

Pat Finn asked if we need a motion to move forward with the wood fiber. Scott Dunlap said that would be included in the next Change Order. John Reilly said he would entertain a motion to reaffirm the action of the sub committee. ***Pat Finn made a motion to reaffirm the actions of the***

sub committee and approval of the wood fiber. Paul Dunphy seconded the motion. All approved.

6. **Town Manager's Report:** Phil Lemnios said he has been attending the Tuesday morning meetings and noted the School Building Committee has been very well served by citizen volunteer Ryder. He said Mr. Ryder attends these meeting on behalf of the Committee and the meetings run 45 minutes to an hour a half. He attends every meeting and brings expertise and he does not know if the committee recognizes his efforts but it is a step above and beyond our work. He asked the Committee to recognize Mr. Ryder for this effort and extend a thank you. Phil said he is always impressed with Mr. Ryder's civic pride. Pat Finn added that Charlie was also part of pre-qualification committee. Phil Lemnios said he does great work and the citizens should know that.
7. **Superintendent's Report:** None this evening
8. **Old Business:** None this evening.
9. **New Business/Submission of Agenda Items:** Phil Lemnios noted that several of the most prominent parking spots at the high school are assigned to the school principal, vice principal and office staff. It struck him that at Town Hall they keep the front open for visitors and they don't have assigned parking. He asked what message we are sending. We have a big parking lot next to the field where designated spots could be located along the fence rather than in the front of the building. The closest spots should be reserved for the public. He knows that this is not an SBC matter but he is raising it because there are School Committee members here and he asked they consider it. He understands the office staff may be upset because they will have to walk a little further but said it is better for them to than the average citizen. John Reilly said he brought this up at the conclusion of the Memorial project and we added some 15-minute visitor parking. Dave Walsh said those visitor spaces would change hands numerous times throughout the day and the office staff are not coming and going. Phil Lemnios acknowledged that the Principal may come and go during the course of the day but primarily his day is spent there and the office staff is not coming and going every half hour and, again, they can designate spots along the fence line. Phil Lemnios said those signs really say that those people are more important than those who pay their salary. Kevin Richardson noted he would bring it up at the next School Committee meeting. Pat Finn asked if we want to do something about the Jacobs.

John Reilly said there was a letter sent to the Board of Selectmen by the Rafferty family several weeks ago requesting a sign in memory of John Rafferty, former Chairman of the School Committee and original Jacobs School Building Committee. The Board of Selectmen approved it and he is looking for School Building Committee approval and then it will be presented to the School Committee. He asked if anyone on the School Building Committee would be opposed to selecting an appropriate area. *Paul Dunphy made a motion to designate an appropriate area in the Jacobs School to John Rafferty. Kevin Richardson seconded the motion.* Jay Meschino asked if there was another monument there at the

Town of Hull
Building Committee Meeting
Thursday, August 9, 2007
Page 13

Jacobs. John Reilly said there is one at the library media center. John Reilly said perhaps the Chair of the School Committee could pick an appropriate site, such as the administration area. *All approved.*

Paul Dunphy made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Phil Lemnios seconded the motion. All approved. The meeting adjourned at 8:42pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Debbe Bennett
Recording Secretary