Floor Policy Proposal

Submitted by (name) William Herman, CPM Date August 10, 2010					
City or TownAUBURN Title of Person Submitting PolicyTown Administrator					
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) August 9, 2010					
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT/OPPOSE:					
Oppose any change in current state statutes (RSA 72:11, RSA 79-A or RSA 79-B at a minimum) which would eliminate or					
reduce the current obligation of public water entities whose land holdings are in another municipality from paying that					
municipality a payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) that is equal to what the property taxes would be for that property.					
Vote: Yes-7 No-2					
Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal:					
For those municipalities who are the hosts of such publicly-owned properties (the Towns of Auburn, Randolph and					
Roxbury at a minimum), these PILOT payments are significant sources of revenue that should not be altered in					
order to reduce another public entity's operating expenses. These boils down to a fairness issue which the Legislature					
has addressed in current statutes that have been in place since the 1950s.					
Explanation:					
In 2007, the Manchester Water Works (MWW) placed a conservation easement on a 460-acre parcel they own in the					
Town of Auburn to reduce the assessed value of their property and, ultimately, reduce the PILOT amount paid to the					
municipality. This was to be one of several conservation easements to come which would lead to nearly all of the MWW					
land holdings hosting such an easement. The effort to secure reduced property value is contrary to state law, which the					
Town of Auburn successfully defended in the Rockingham County Superior Court (08-E-0317) and through appeal to					
the NH Supreme Court (Rockingham 2009-335). In the 1981 Legislative Session, an attempt was made to allow these					
public entities to qualify to Current Use taxation status and was found to be Inexpedient to Legislate. With legal appeals					
exhausted at this point, it is possible a legislative fix could be sought.					

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 603-224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.

Floor Policy Proposal

Submitted by (name) Crow Dickinson Date 6-25-2010					
City or Town Conway Title of Person Submitting Policy Selectman					
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) 7-27-10 June 1305					
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT:					
Legislation to require that draft rules be returned to the relevant policy committee prior to submission to the Joint Legislative Committee on Administrative Rules to ensure they accurately reflect the policy committee's intent.					
Vote: Yes-Unanimous (9)					
Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal:					
It could save time, testimony and potentially litigation on the part of municipalities who stand to be affected by rules that may not be reflective of original legislative intent.					
Explanation:					
An excellent example is the lengthy, and ultimately unsuccessful, opposition to the rules proposed by the Department of Environmental Services. The rules, as adopted, are a clear violation of Article 28-a of the NH Constitution and pose new and burdensome costs on NH municipalities. The rules, as adopted, are also clearly outside the scope of the statute DES purports them to reflect. Had the policy committee been required to review the draft and hold public hearings, the dangers that they now hold might have been avoided or at a minimum, mitigated					

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 603-224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.



DEADLINE TO SUBMIT - AUGUST 13, 2010

Lebanon, Coty Council
Submitted by (name) Mayor Georgia A. Tuttle, MD Date Aug 5, 2010
City or Town Lebansa Title of Person Submitting Policy Mayor Georgia Tuttle, No
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) Aug 4, 2010
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT COPPOSE:
Legislation to permit municipal assessing offices to request financial information related to the rental income and rental expense of commercial and industrial property from those property owners.
Vote: Yes-Unanimous (9) Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal:
Give municipal assessors an additional and more objective tool to use to determine market value of commercial and industrial properties that often have no comparable comparisons in the real estate market.
Explanation:
Assessors currently use the following 3 primary appraisal approaches to determine property value: Cost, Sales, Income.
Municipalities need some rational way to determine market value for commercial and industrial properties. Because of the relative infrequency of real estate transactions in these 2 sectors, determining a fair assessment value for these types of properties using the typical "Cost" approach is problematic. The success of a company ("Sales") is not a fair way to determine property value nor should successful community members be penalized for their hard work and innovation. The use of information about rental income generated and associated rental expenses will offer a more reasonable, market-based alternative.
A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature

of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to

Lebanon City Council August 4, 2010

governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.



DEADLINE TO SUBMIT - AUGUST 13, 2010

Date: August 6, 2010

Submitted by: Carter Terenzini Contact Telephone: 1.603.476.2347

Contact eMail: cterenzini@moultonboroughnh.gov

Alternate Contact: Betsey Patten, SelectBoard Member @ 1.603.253.6927

Town: Town of Moultonborough

Title of Person Submitting Policy: Town Administrator

Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on August 5, 2010

To see if NHMMA will SUPPORT:

legislation to allow the governing body of a community to adjust the partial payment of the taxes assessed on April 1 in any tax year by taking the prior year's assessed valuation times one-half of the previous year's tax rate, and an amount sufficient to collect any extraordinary increase or decrease in the Statewide Education Property Tax. Vote: Yes-Unanimous (9)

Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal:

This action will "smooth out" spikes we anticipate will happen in our tax billing system to make the payment of taxes more manageable for our taxpayers and avoid tax escrow reset problems for them.

Explanation:

Rather than have taxpayers be hit with the full brunt of an increase, such as the Statewide Education Property Tax (SWEPT) "donation" on the December tax bill we need to be able to spread this out over the two half year billings. Without such first-half billing, mortgage holders also could suffer major escrow resets based on the December tax bill.

The specific legislation (addition in bold) that we would want to run by DRA would be

76:15-A Semi-Annual Collection of Taxes in Certain Towns and Cities

I. Taxes shall be collected in the following manner in towns and cities which adopt the provisions of this section in the manner set out in RSA 76:15-b. A partial payment of the taxes assessed on April 1 in any tax year shall be computed by taking the prior year's assessed valuation times 1/2 of the previous year's tax rate: provided, however, that when ever it shall appear to the selectmen or assessors that certain individual properties have physically changed in valuation, they may use the current year's appraisal times 1/2 the previous year's tax rate to compute the partial payment; provided further that the governing body may adjust the 1/2 previous year's tax rate by an amount sufficient to collect 1/2 of the increase or decrease in the Statewide Education Property Tax.

We will provide our final draft of proposed legislation after our meeting with DRA later this month.

Floor Policy Proposal

Submitted by (name) Bentti Hoiska Date August 13, 2010					
Town New Ipswich Title of Person Submitting Policy _ SELECTMAN					
Eleca Delica Desmand amount of the constraint hadron (data) AUCUST 17, 2010					
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) AUGUST 17, 2010					
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT: Change to RSA 72-B:1 I to raise the Excavation tax significantly (e.g., .20					
per yard) or failing that to eliminate the Excavation Tax of .02 per yard entirely.					
RSA 72-B:1 Excavation Tax and Taxation of Excavation Area. –					
I. Earth, as defined in RSA 155-E:1, I, shall be exempt from taxation as real property under RSA 72:6 and					
RSA 72:13. An excavation tax shall be assessed upon the excavation of earth against an owner as defined in					
RSA 72-B:2, VIII. Such tax shall be assessed at the rate of \$.02 per cubic yard of earth excavated.					
Vote: Yes-0 No-9					
Maria distance has a secondished by proposed. The Every distance of 02 are and is not a second as a decided by					
Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal: The Excavation Tax of .02 per yard is not enough to make the					
collection worthwhile; increasing it would cover some of the collection costs and provide an opportunity to generate					
tax revenue.					
Explanation:					
-					

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to

Floor Policy Proposal

Submitted by (name)Bentti Hoiska	#13 45 T Date January 13, 2010				
City or Town New Ipswich Title of Person Submitting Policy	Selectmen				
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) AUGU	JST 17, 2010				
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT: Additional wording to 105:9 III that overridden by a unanimous vote of the Board of Selectmen when the w	O				
III. The chief of police shall have the authority to assign police details to functions which he determines may potentially: (a) Involve traffic-related problems; or (b) Lead to a public disturbance or public nuisance; or (c) Endanger public health, safety or welfare.	o attend any public meetings or				
Vote: Yes-6 No-3					
Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal: There may be times when it is not cost effective to assign details and the use of flaggers is justified. Allowing the Selectmen to override the decision by the Police Chief will provide an additional check and balance.					
Explanation: Under normal conditions the Police Chief decision will continue to provides a mechanism for the Selectmen to review the need for police details.	o decide when details are necessary. This				
A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should reapproving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) punicipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes to a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being ad 603-224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to government	policy statement, a statement about the the nature of the problem or concern from vocated to address the problem. Fax to				

August 13, 2010.



DEADLINE TO SUBMIT - AUGUST 13, 2010

Submitted by (name) ABOUL B. KHAN Date AUGUST 5, 2010
City or Town SEABROOK Title of Person Submitting Policy CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SELECTME
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date) AUGUST 4, 2010
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Legislation to provide that expansion of gambling in New Hampshire be allowed and based on local home rule, rather than State Legislative decisions.
Vote: yes-6 No-3 Municipal interest to be accomplished by proposal:
Allows municipalities to determine on their own whether they wish to have gambling expanded in their communities.
Explanation:
Promotes local decision making and local authority.

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.



DEADLINE TO SUBMIT - AUGUST 13, 2010

Submitted by (name) _Will Fenno, M.D	Date_August 11, 2010				
City or TownSharon Ti	tle of Person Submitting Policy _Selectmen's Assistant				
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the gove	rning body on August 3, 2010				
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date)					
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT: the establishment of a legislative committee to study the repeal of RSA 128 relating to Town Health Officers and the re-enactment of RSAs 125:1 and 125:2 or enactment of new legislation providing					
for a New Hampshire Health Department staffed b					
Vote: Yes-1 No-8					
	Provision of uniform, high-quality public health services for the				
citizens of all municipalities with their costs shared	statewide.				
	public health such as emerging infectious diseases and terrorism are				
	of volunteer, amateur Health Officers is dangerously antiquated. New				
Hampshire's lack of a meaningful department of health is a disgrace and leaves us all vulnerable to disaster.					
<u> </u>					

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.



DEADLINE TO SUBMIT - AUGUST 13, 2010

Submitted by (name)	Donna Nashawaty	Date	July 27, 2010		
City or Town	Title of Persor	Submitting Policy Town Ma	nager		
Floor Policy Proposal approved by vote of the governing body on (date)					
To see if NHMA will SUPPORT/OPPOSE: To see if NHMA will TAKE NO POSITION on the collection of the statewide					
property tax.	THE THE TWO TOOLING	- Of the concentration	are state with		
Vote: yes-7 no-2					
	accomplished by proposal:				
	lation relative to the statewide	property tax where			
members have dive	rging opinions.				
Explanation:					
The Coalition Cor	nmunities wish to present and	study a variety of optic	ons for the		
statewide property tax, including the state's taking ownership of the issuance and					
collection of the tax. Because the possibility of the collection taking place at the state level has, in the past, caused some communities to object because the float would be lost as					
income, we would like NHMA to take the same position as the base SWPT, which is to take no stance since it affects communities on both sides of the action.					
- Cano no stanto sint	or to the continue of the cont	tir order or the averon.			

A sheet like this should accompany each proposed floor policy and should record the date of the governing body vote approving the proposal. It should include a brief (one or two sentence) policy statement, a statement about the municipal interest served by the proposal, and an explanation which describes the nature of the problem or concern from a municipal perspective and discusses the proposed action which is being advocated to address the problem. Fax to 224-5406; mail to PO Box 617, Concord, NH 03302-0617; email to governmentaffairs@nhlgc.org. Must be received by August 13, 2010.

Voted 7-26-10 unanimous