

BOARD OF ASSESSORS MEETING
OFFICIAL MINUTES

AUGUST 17, 2009

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 4:00 PM

PRESENT: George Longfellow, Paul Loiselle, James Gorton, David Dickson and Todd Haywood (Town Assessor). David Ross arrived late.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

- a. August 05, 2009: Paul Loiselle made a motion to approve the minutes of August 05, 2009. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

2. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS OF IMPENDING TAX ABATEMENTS

- a. Fitz, Richard Map: 15 Lot: 61
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for these properties because they believe the assessments are in excess for market value. They submitted an appraisal to support this notion. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried with a vote of one yes and four no.
- b. Edgewater Investments LLC Map: 5 Lots: 8, 8-1, and 6
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for these properties because they believe the assessments are in excess of market value. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- c. Gagnon, Robert Map: 5 Lot:27
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the adjustment for the physical condition of the property is insufficient. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- d. Soboczenski, Phyllis Map: 29 Lot: 53
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because it has limited use due to the shape. This property is less than 50 feet wide. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of

granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- e. Van Scoy, Nancy Map: 13 Lot: 42-15 A and B
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because this property was reverted back to a two family residence; and no longer are separately deeded condominium units. David Dickson motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. The motion carried unanimously.
- f. Hooksett Development LLC Map: 14 Lot: 14-58
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the adjustment to the land is incorrect. In 2008 the assessor's office was notified that this property was approved for 132 senior housing units. This property was assessed accordingly. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- g. Keane, Philip Map: 18 Lot: 49-84
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment exceeds market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- h. Erwin, David Map: 43 Lot: 29-5
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is higher than market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- i. Sirak, William Map: 37 Lot: 53-16
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment exceeds market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- j. Kelly, Kelly Map: 43 Lot: 20
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the condition factor of 2.50 on the land portion of the assessment is inequitable. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors

recommendation of denying the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- k. Lamy Raymond Map: 37 Lot:53-10
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is higher than market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Dave Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- l. Ficek, Donald Map:45 Lot: 104
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the value of the mobile home is unjust. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Dave Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. George Longfellow abstained.
- m. Goyette, Anneliese Map: 25 Lot 26-4
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is disproportionate to the neighborhood. Paul Loiselle motioned to amend the assessors' recommendation of granting the abatement of the new value of \$297,200 to \$290,000. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried with a vote of four yes and one no.
- n. Boilard, Roger Map: 37 Lot: 26
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is higher than other in the neighborhood. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. David Dickson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. Paul Loiselle abstained.
- o. Chalifoux, Gilles Map: 20 Lot: 18
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is to high due to the property being land locked. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- p. Lenda, Timothy Map: 21 Lot: 37-17
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is higher than others in the neighborhood. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the

assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- q. Tabor, Mark Map: 6 Lot: 22-2
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment is disproportionate with similar homes. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion was carried unanimously.
- r. Brighton, Diana Map: 16 Lot: 74
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe there were errors in the square footage of the dwelling. Specifically the second level was not all living space but some attic area. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- s. Preston, Brian Map: 6 Lot: 22-17
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe that the assessment reflects square footage error and that the town houses have an impact on their view of the neighborhood. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- t. Drake, Bethe Map: 46 Lot: 19
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because she believed it would be difficult to develop due to power lines dissecting the property. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- u. VanGuilder, Patrick Map: 14 Lot: 1-21-1
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because the listing data is incorrect. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Pual Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- v. Church of God Prophecy Map: 38 Lot: 32
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher than market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- w. Hamilton, David Map: 19 Lot: 11-40
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher than similar properties. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. David Dickson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- x. Biron, Robert Map: 9 Lot: 42
The taxpayer is appealing the land assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher than similar properties. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of denying the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- y. Bussiere, Richard Map: 24 Lot: 26
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of the property because they believe it is assessed higher than similar properties. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- z. Fields Grove Flats LLC Map: 39 Lot: 30, et al
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher than market value. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- aa. Northrup, Mary & Wayne Map: 9 Lot: 53 and 54
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher than similar properties. David Ross motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- bb. Panesar, Mahendra Map: 6 Lot: 22-8
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe there is an error in the square footage of living space. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- cc. Labrie, Joseph Map: 4 Lot:21
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe the assessment doesn't sufficiently address the access. George

Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. David Dickson seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- dd. Beaudoin Mark Map: 19 Lot: 11 – 13
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher relative to the market than other properties in the neighborhood. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- ee. DeJong, Mary Map:19 Lot: 11 – 71
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe it is assessed higher relative to the market than other properties in the neighborhood. Paul Loiselle recommended to amend the recommended revised assessment. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried three votes yes, two votes no.
- ff. Clark, Mark Map: 19 Lot: 11 – 16
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of his property because they believe it is assessed higher relative to the market than other properties in the neighborhood. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- gg. Moselsky, Jason Map: 37 Lot: 37
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment exceeds market value due to external obsolescence of the new Lowes and Wal-Mart. David Ross motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- hh. Merkway, Dennis Map: 25 Lot: 26 – 42
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the property card has incorrect data. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- ii. Cote, Dorothy Map: 48 Lot: 25
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the land assessment exceeds market value. David Dickson motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

- jj. Gehris, Wayne Map: 18 Lot: 49 – 387
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment for this property because they believe the assessment exceeds market value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion.
- kk. Goley, Timmy Map: 47 Lot: 34
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe the assessment doesn't sufficiently address the access. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- ll. Leona K. Thomas Revocable Trust Map: 43 Lot: 4 – 1
The taxpayer is appealing the assessment of this property because they believe the assessment is above market value using the income approach to value. George Longfellow motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation of granting the abatement. Paul Loiselle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- mm. Rogers, Robert L. Map: 13 Lot: 47C
Mr. Rogers is appealing the board's previous decision of granting an abatement of 37,400, bring the assessment to \$236,100. He believes the market value of the property is between \$175,000 and \$190,000. Paul Loiselle made a motion to amend the abatement to a new assessed value of \$200,000. George Longfellow seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
- nn. Customer Perspectives LLC Map: 24 Lot: 29-1
Mr. Hess brought to the attention of the assessor an error of the amount abated. Abated amount was \$9,300 should have been \$10,200. Paul Loiselle motioned to recommend the assessors recommendation to correct abated amount. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried 4 votes yes. David Dickson abstained.

ADJOURNMENT

Paul Loiselle made a motion to adjourn at 5:30 PM. David Ross seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimous.

Respectfully submitted

Cheryl Akstin
Real Estate Appraiser