WASHINGTON MEWS PROJECT

To the Hastings on Hudson Planning Board for October 15, 2015:

We are resubmitting drawings 1-6, 10 and new drawings 15 and 16. There are no revisions to 1 and 2 but these are included for completeness. Drawings 3,4,5,&6 are reissued to show our new roof access provisions to replace the spiral stairs at the West houses, Dwg 10 has been revised to up date open space and parking totals, new drawing 15 illustrates all open space provisions, and new drawing 16 illustrates the location of test wells and building height flags on the existing site.

Current variances required are as follows:

COVERAGE:

Relief from Article 295-18 and 295-72-2 E-4 restricting coverage to 80% in this MRC district to allow coverage of 87.8% on this site.

Applicant's argument:

Site coverage as defined in 295-5 does not refer to subgrade structures. Structure as also defined in 295-5 refers only to structures above grade. If the Mews area is considered open space which it is, then the project complies.

PARKING:

Relief from the strict application of 295-36A to permit the provision of 25 spaces in lieu of the 29 required. (See #6 below re possible increase in variance required)

Relief from 295-29B requiring that maneuvering aisles be 25' to permit a 24' standard width in this instance.

Applicant's argument:

2 spaces are required only due to the existence of a small administrative/maintenance office within the common area of the project . This will be used for very short periods of time. 25 spaces is adequate for 16 dwelling units. 24' maneuvering aisles are nationally accepted and meet New York State and New York City requirements.

YARD REQUIREMENTS:

Relief from the application of 295-72.2(e)1 and 295-20G interpreted by the Building Dept to require a 27' setback on the west side of the site and 25' on the east.

Applicant's argument:

No set back is required as the abutting MR-C district is not a residential district as defined under 295-5.

Of the 22 properties that comprise the MR-C district none comply with this interpretation and only 3 have any side yard setbacks at all.

USE OF VILLAGE LANDS:

The board is requested to recommend to the ZBA and B of T that an easement be granted to permit development of a pedestrian path and steps across village lands north of the site to provide a means of egress for, and a public pedestrian route through, the project.

It is understood that this agreement will provide for reciprocal access by the public to the pedestrian mews area of the project.

OTHER ISSUES RAISED IN PRIOR MEETINGS OF THE BOARD:

(1) New York State Code review of the project

This has been carried out by Mr. Minozzi and meetings have been held to discuss all issues raised. The following items are being forwarded to Erika Krieger at the Dept of State for resolution or identification of any variance required:

- (1) Application of ADA to the Mews area with regard to the need for a Second Accessible exitway. Provision of this is clearly impossible for this scheme. Our position is that ADA does not apply to this project at all excepting the Café. See ADA interpretation received from their regional office. We also consider the entire Mews area to be a "Public way" as defined in the Village and State Codes.
- (2) Issue per 1024.3 which requires that Exit discharge points be more than 10' from a P.L. Our north exit stair from the Mews discharges more than 10' from the P.L. but portions of the stair above that point are less than 10' from the P.L. We can redesign this stair but feel that 1024.3 really relates to discharge points, not the stair itself, particularly if provided with appropriate fire separations.
- (3) You are applying 704.2 to disallow our 5' deep balconies on our west units which extend to the P.L.. We believe this section applies to cantilevered balconies and roof overhangs, not to recessed spaces behind the building line.
- (4) Variance required for substitution of 4' wide path and steps at the north exitway for 10' wide accessible "public way".

We have no accessible access to this exitway and no way to provide one.

(5) Confirm that we are allowed 25% unprotected openings 5'+ away from the West P.L. in our R-2 fully sprinkled building.

We have attached copies of emails received from the ADA Regional Office which clarify its application to this project.

(2) View Preservation

Three flags indicating the heights and extreme lengths of the fronts of the West Houses as currently drawn have been maintained on site.

(3) Storm water treatment system

A meeting was held with Frank Annunziata of James J. Hahn Engineering PC by the undersigned and our Civil Engineering consultant, Larry Nardecchia. It was agreed that two deep well percolation tests would be carried out on site at a depth of 17' below the existing grade. In addition it was agreed that a single observation well should be installed to the foundation bearing depth of 21' below the existing grade. This would provide us with reassurance that non cohesive soils were present., these being unlikely to have their bearing capacity affected by water saturation, and that no hard rock or high water table exists at the site. Obviously if rock is encountered a more extensive investigation would be required. Any rock excavation, should it be required, would be carried out by a chipping process, not by blasting. See item (7) below for more on excavation process. At this meeting the location of all three wells was agreed.

A contract for this work has been awarded to Soil Testing Inc. of Oxford CT.

We hope to have preliminary results by the time of your meeting.

(4) Open Space Provisions

One member of the board asked that we better illustrate the open space provisions of the project rather than the simple numerical tabulation shown on Drawing 10. We have added drawing Number 15 which attempts to do this.

- (5) We have added drawing Number 16 which locates the building facades, height flags and subsurface investigation wells in plan atop the site existing condition survey.
- (6) Accessible Parking Space

Mr. Minozzi has indicated that he expects that at least one van accessible parking space will be provided in the project regardless of ADA specifications as it is required by the NY State Code. We have not altered Dwg 1 to show this space as he is considering two alternative solutions either one of which is entirely acceptable to us. These are:

- (a) Making space #25 the accessible space and leaving the column in the access aisle as is permitted in the State Code. This solution allows for a 20' long access aisle with no difficulty should that ultimately be mandated, but it does place the accessible space some 56' from the elevator.
- (b) Making space #4 the van accessible space and #5 the access aisle thereby reducing our total parking count from 25 to 24 spaces and increasing our parking variance requirement to 5 spaces from 4. Space 4 is 24' from the elevator and is the nearest available space.

We will revise our drawing accordingly when a decision is made on this matter.

(7) SWPPP

The Hahn Engineering review dated August 17th outlines the requirements for the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) which is required for the project. We respectfully request that submission of the SWPPP be made a requirement for issuance of a Building Permit, and not for referral of the project to the ZBA and BofT by the Planning Board. We feel that if we complete the Site Investigation we are undertaking now and obtain satisfactory results (normal perc rates, no cohesive soils, no solid rock, low water table) and that if Hahn Engineering confirm these results, then our client should be spared the expense of completing the full SWPPP until Site Plan approval of the overall project is in hand.

Several ancillary aspects of the SWPPP were mentioned in the Hahn review and by members of the public at the last meeting:

Possible overloading of existing storm sewers already receiving additional water from the Warburton bridge project.

Existing catch basins at the NE, SE, and NW corners of the Warburton and Washington intersection have been deemed adequate to accommodate the extra bridge run off. That at the NE and SE corners now serve a far larger watershed than any of the others. The downstream catch basin in this same system at the SW corner of our site is ideally placed to receive long term pump out of stored water *after* a storm from our site but we emphasize that this is not necessarily to form part of our long term disposal system design.

Nonetheless it offers a "last resort" means for disposing of storm water. Few areas in Hastings are served by storm sewers of any kind. We have reviewed this strategy with Mr. Gunther and he sees absolutely no problem with it. He states that the present system is entirely capable of handling heavy storms indefinitely. The only problem he has is that water coming down the Washington Avenue hill which has no such system during a storm often overshoots Warburton Ave catch basins on its East side.

Cut and Fill analysis of our excavation and means for removal of excess material. Our excavation will involve the removal of approximately 6750 Cubic Yards of material from the site and the introduction of about 1900 CY of specialized backfill including washed crush stone, sharp concrete sand, and organic topsoil for garden areas. This amounts to about 340 truckloads out over a 3 to 4 week period and as much as 95 truckloads in over a far longer period as work progresses.

Excavation will be by conventional equipment with trucks utilizing Washington Ave. Approximately 150' of temporary steel sheet piling will be required along the east side of the building area to a depth of 20'. This will be driven in a time period of 3 to 4 days before general excavation commences.

Supporting document: Two emails received from the ADA regional Office

Good Morning Mr. Baldwin:

I am writing in response to your question below.

The ADA does not typically apply to multi-family residential housing, unless there is a some sort of funding involved in the project from state/local government funds. If this is a privately funded development, the ADA does not apply, to the units, but would apply to any areas open to the public (i.e. a leasing or sales office, etc...).

Having said that, the federal law that is typically applied to multi-family housing is the Fair Housing Act (FHA) – which applies to newly constructed multi-family housing where there are four or more units attached in a structure. There is an exception in the Fair Housing Act design guidelines however for multi-story units (they are not required to comply with FHA). So if all of the units in your project are multi-story units (finished living space on one floor and the floor immediately above/below) then they are exempt from FHA. If you have single story units in the project, those would likely have to meet FHA.

Given all of this, the applicable code that I see for your project would be the 2010 Building Code of NYS (assuming your project is located in NYS). The NYS Building Code contains the following exception in Chapter 11 – Section 1107 for R-2 occupancies:

1107.7.2 Multistory units. A multistory dwelling or sleeping unit which is not provided with elevator service is not required to be a Type B unit. Where a multistory unit is provided with external elevator service to only one floor, the floor provided with elevator service shall be the primary entry to the unit, shall comply with the requirements for a Type B unit and a toilet facility shall be provided on that floor.

RE: the parking, it is advisable to provide at least one accessible parking space in the garage that meets the requirements of the NYS building code, so the space should be at least 8 feet wide with an adjacent minimum 8 feet wide access aisle.

Thank you for contacting the Northeast ADA Center.

Best Regards,

Jennifer Perry

Hello Ned:

If you look at ICC ANSI A117.1 Section 502.4.3 as I indicated below, you will see that ANSI requires the access aisle for the space (either van or car accessible) to be as long as the space it serves. The 20' length you are referring to is for a <u>passenger loading zone</u>, not an accessible parking space or access aisle. I think you are misunderstanding these two items. A passenger loading zone is not the same thing as an access aisle. The correct code requirement for the van accessible parking space and access aisle is <u>ICC ANSI A117.1 Section 502</u>. Section 503 is for passenger loading zones, not accessible parking spaces or aisles.

Yes, you are required to have one van accessible parking space if you have 25 parking spaces in the lot.

I hope this clarifies the requirement for you.

Thank you-

Best Regards,

Jennifer Perry Access Specialist ILR School, Cornell University 800.949.4232 Direct: 732.449.3621

K.Lisa Yang and Hock E. Tan Employment and Disability Institute jlp359@cornell.edu

www.northeastada.org

(8) We are aware that the board must approve the designation of affordable units. This proposed designation will be agreed between Mr. Cheng and the Affordable Housing Committee and provided to you for approval at the next meeting after October 15th.

BALDWIN & FRANKLIN ARCHITECTS

Edward R. Baldwin cc: Alex Cheng













	N 1004S		46: 1 OCLOBEB 3012 9]ect //0": 1402						Sheet Title:	MVSHINCLON MEMS							Baldwin & Franklin Architects 73 Washington Avenue						
18	REQUIRED VARIANCES				Relief from 295-72-2 E 4 87.8% in lieu of 80%		MAXIMUM IS NOT STIPULATED. 295-72-2 E 4 DOES NOT MENTION PERVIOUS PAVEMENT	NONE		RELIEF FROM 295-36A TO ALLOW THE PROVISION OF	RELIEF RROM 295 34A TO ALLOW THE RRON OF 25 SPACES IN LIEU OF 29 14			RELIFF ROM 29572E (1) RELIFF ROM 295-00 ENCROACHINENTS TOTAL IS SEE DWG9 SEE DWG9					A recommendation from the PB &	Arcommendation from the PB & 22BA to the B of T that such an easement be granted.			
rs 37 & 38, section 4.70, block	PROPOSED	20,440 SF	1278 SF	12,293 SF or 60.1%	17,944 SF or 87.8%	1053.11 SF or 5.2%	91.6%	E-1 396 SF W-5 267 SF E-2 337 SF W-6 458 SF E-3 337 SF W-7 430 SF E-4 337 SF S-1 425 SF	E-5 337 SF 5-2 636 SF W-1 496 SF 5-3 636 SF W-2 266 SF 5-3 636 SF W-2 267 SF 5-4 328 SF W-3 267 SF 5-4 328 SF W-4 267 SF	TOTAL: 25 spaces				FRONT: 0' REAR: 1' FAST- 17:49"	WEST: 0'								
	EXISTING	20,440 SF/0.4692 acres	N.A.	5296 SF or 25.9%	5596 SF or 27.3%	952 SF or 4.6%	APPROX 57.89%	N.A.		N.A.				0' @ FRONT 0' @ WEST 10' @ FAST	+/- 150'@ NORTH								
	REQUIRED	2500 SF	500 SF	N.A.	80% MAX 16,352 SF		NOT STIPULATED	E-1 200 SF W-5 200 SF E-2 100 SF W-6 200 SF E-3 200 SF W-7 200 SF E-4 200 SF S-1 200 SF	E-5 100 SF 5-2 400 SF W-1 400 SF 5-3 400 SF W-2 200 SF 5-4 200 SF W-3 100 SF CAFE none W-4 100 SF	E-1 13/4 W-5 11/2 E-2 11/2 W-6 13/4	E-3 13/4 W-1 11/2 E-4 13/4 S-1 13/4 E-5 11/2 S-2 2 W-1 2 S-3 2 W-1 2 S-3 2	W-4 11/2 OFFICE 2	TOTAL: 29 1/4 spaces	FRONT: 0' REAR: 0' SUDES: 10'	(INCREASING 1'FOR EVERY 10' OF DEPTH AFTER 50'. SEE DWG ON SHEET 9) TOTAL WEST SIDE IS 27'	TOTALEAST SIDE IS 25'		2 (B)					
DISTRICT LOT	ITEM	LOT AREA	MINIMUM AREA PER DWELLING UNIT	BUILDING COVERAGE ABOVE GRADE	TOTAL COVERAGE BY ALL 'STRUCTURES'	TOTAL PERVIOUS PAVING COVERAGE	TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COVERAGE	OPEN SPACE BY UNIT Per 295-72.2.A(2), requirement is: - 100 SF for each studio or 1 bedroom unit + 100 SF added for each	additional befroom. Resultant required areas are shown in the next columns. Provided space exceeds requirements in all cases.	Per 295-36 A, required parking per unit is:	 11/4 spaces for studio 11/2 spaces for 1 bedroom 13/4 spaces for 2 bedrooms 2 spaces for 3 bedrooms 	Per 292-36 L. CAFE is exempt OFFICE requires 2 spaces TOTAL REQUIRED: 29 1/4						All buildings are in compliance with 295.72.2	EXIT TO TRAIN STATION				
ZONING ANALYSIS MR-	DISCUSSION POINTS	COVERAGE CALCULATIONS COVERAGE CALCULATIONS Tell protections (and service constraints) and the service of the second protection of the Average Second Bell protection of the Average Second Bell protection of the Average Constituence and the second protection of the Average Constituence Constitience Constituence Constituence Const				OPEN SPACE Required open space 295-72.2 a (2) Recept for frive case units which have 1.3° doop gardens at grade, all units will have open space created	whin the footpart of the unity to submistions of the locues and not terraces. The Hasting Building Department has deemed that he mess is not a 'court' as defined in 2955 and therefore that 29521 C does not apply to this project.	OFF STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS The new orth out width less than the 2.2 in showed.	OFF STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS new vortex units 227 modulor and the standard stand			YARD REOURCE OF IREMENTS YARD REOURCE AND A Silvarian index (2007 all yard peqatiments were deemed to serior and were so advance on the standard in the code. MM Interzit advices the and My 25th the advances of the serior and were so advance on the standard in the sone MN.C. district and huthe MRC district is obe considered a residential plant of equilitoria the same MRC. district and huthe MRC district is to be considered a residential plant of equilitors in the same MRC. district and huthe MRC district is to be considered a residential plant of equilitors in the same MRC. This results in 27 side yard in the west side of the size marks? on the series addare to be 35% of the This results in 27 side yard in the west side of the 22 peopletic duritor in additors the added to be outil not intrinse or a zero subalt SSST 33. These may layed at all introportion and humb more outil and the MRC district in the socie side of the 23 peopletic duritor in the same MRC. district and and the MRC district in additor in a zero subalt socie of the socie and and the and providence would are strated in a zero subalt of the 22 peopletic duritor of the socie and and and providence would are strated in a zero subalt of the 22 peopletic duritor of the socie and and and providence would are strated in a zero subalt of the 22 peopletic duritor of the socie and and and providence would are strated in a zero subalt of the 22 peopletic duritor of the socie and and and providence would are strated in a zero subalt of the socie of the socie and and and providence would are shown and and a socie of the socie and and a socie of the socie and and and and providence would are strated and and and providence would are strated and and and providence would are strated and and and and providence would are strated and and and and providence would are strated and and and and and providence would are strated and and and and and and and providence would are strated and and and and and and and and and an			HEIGHT LIMITS SERENSIZE 121 48 401 265 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (2) + 35/72 (B) 3(a), & (b) Imiting helpito 40'. 265 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (2) + 35/72 (B) 3(a), & (b) Imiting helpito 40'. 265 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (c) + 25/72 (B) 3(a), & (c) Imiting helpito 40'. 266 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (c) + 25/72 (B) 3(a), & (c) Imiting helpito 40'. 266 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (c) + 25/72 (B) 3(a), & (c) Imiting helpito 40'. 266 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (c) + 25/72 (B) 3(a), & (c) Imiting helpito 40'. 266 'HERITE BULDNG' B(1), & (c) + 25/72 (B) 3(a), & (c) + 25/			the Mews at the Mews level to Washington Avenue. We contacted Erika Krieger of the New York DOS regarding obtaining a state code variance to permit an	We contacted Erits Krieger of the New York DOS regarding obtaining a state code variance to point an exit into a 4' wide path in lies of the 10' wide laneway prescribed by the code. Erica thought this likely.				
Y USE	OFF STREET PARKING SPACES REQUIRED	1.75		1.5	1.75	1.75	1.5	2.0	1.75	2	1.5	1.5	1.75	1.5	1.75	2.0	2.0	1.75	0	61	0	29 1/4 SPACES	pancy and the garage
	GARDEN	NO		NO	NO	NO	ON	YES	YES	163	YES	ON N	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	0 N	0 N	ON N	NO		ice is B occu
	PROVIDED	396 S.F.		396 S.F. 257 S.F. 80 337 S.F.	257 S.F. 80 337 S.F.	257 S.F. 80 337 S F	257 S.F. 80 337 S.F.	124 S.F. 124 S.F. 124 S.F. 124 S.F. 496 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F. 89 S.F. 267 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F. 89 S.F. 267 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F. 89 S.F. 267 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F. 89 S.F. 267 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F.	89 S.F. 89 S.F. 89 S.F. 178 S.F. + ROOF	99 S.F. 163 S.F. 163 S.F. 425 S.F.	127 S.F. 127 S.F. 191 S.F. 191 S.F. 636 S.F.	127 S.F. 127 S.F. 191 S.F. 191 S.F. 636 S.F.	164 S.F. 164 S.F. 328 S.F.	127 S.F. 0 S.F.	NONE	NONE	6075 S.F.	ipancies, the off
	REQUIRED		100 S.F. 100 S.F.	200 S. F. - 100 S. F.	200 S.F. 200 S.F.	- 200 S.F. 200 S F	- 100 S.F. 100 S.F.	- 100 S.F. 200 S.F. 100 S.F. 400 S.F.	200 S.F. 200 S.F.	100 S.F. - 100 S.F.	- 100 S.F. - 100 S.F.	- 200 S.F. 200 S.F.	- 200 S.F.	200.5.F. 200.5.F. 200.S.F.	- - 200 S.F. 200 S.F.	200 S.F. - 200 S.F. 400 S.F.	200.S.F. - 200.S.F. 400.S.F.	200 S.F. 200 S.F. 200 S.F.		NONE	NO NE	3200 S.F.	ses are R-2 occi
TABULATIC	LEVEL NET F.A. BK'S	4EWS 507 S.F. 0	OWER 608 S.F. 1 nd FL. 507 S.F. 1	TOTAL 1622 S.F. 2 AEWS 470 S.F. 0 nd FL. 390 S.F. 1 OTAL 860 S.F. 1	MEWS 560 S.F. 0 nd FL. 480 S.F. 2 OTAL 1040 S.F. 2	MEWS 560 S.F. 0 ind FL. 480 S.F. 2 OTAL 1040 S.F. 2	MEWS 470 S.F. 0 nd FL. 390 S.F. 1 OTAL 860 S.F. 1	MEWS 616.S.F. 0 rad FL. 616.S.F. 1 .OWER 655.S.F. 2 .OWEST 655.S.F. 0 OTAI 242.S.F. 3	MEWS 540 S.F. 0 Ind PL. 540 S.F. 0 OWER 357 S.F. 0 OWER 1437 S.F. 2 OTAL 1437 S.F. 2	ad FL. 449 S.F. 0 ad FL. 449 S.F. 1 OWER 357 S.F. 0 OTAL 1255 S.F. 1	MEWS 449 S.F. 0 ind FL. 449 S.F. 1 OWER 357 S.F. 0 OTAL 1255 S.F. 1	MEWS 540 S.F. 0 ind FL. 540 S.F. 2 OWER 548 S.F. 2 OTAL 1628 S.F. 2	MEWS 540 S.F. 0 ind FL. 540 S.F. 2	MEWS 449 S.F. 2 nd FL. 449 S.F. 1 OTAL 898 S.F. 1	MEWS 559 S.F. 0 ind FL. 559 S.F. 0 rd FL. 559 S.F. 2 OTAL 1797 S.F. 2	MEWS 625.8.F. 2 OWER 625.8.F. 0 ind FL. 625.8.F. 0 rd FL. 625.8.F. 2 OTAL 2500.8.F. 4	MEWS 625.8.F. 2 OWER 625.8.F. 0 ind FL. 625.8.F. 0 ord FL. 625.8.F. 2 ord FL. 625.8.F. 2	MEWS 54.8.F. 0 MEWS 54.8.F. 0 ind FL. 640.8.F. 2 of AL 1314.8.F. 2 OTAL 1314.8.F. 2	MEWS 654 S.F. 0 .OWER 758 S.F. 0 .OTAL 1412 S.F. 0	and FL. 231 S.F. 0	OWER 9082 S.F. 0	34,353 S.F.	NYS building code, all R u ccunancy.
	Residential Commercial, Office,	R	L 21	<u> </u>	8 1 3 8	8	2 2 7 7 E	8 8	8 1 8 1 8 1	× (1) E	R 2 2 R	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	R 21	н 1 3 8 4 1 3 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8 1 3 5 L 8	ж 1 22 С. Ж	R 1 3 3 2 W	v v	E 0	JE G L	s	Under the N adv is S-2 oc
-		E-1		E-2	E-3	E-4	E-5	1-W	W-2	? #	W-4	W-5	9-W	W-7	S-1	S-2	S-3	S-4	CAFE	OFFICI (Common Area Maintena Office)	GARAC	GRANI TOTAL	NOTE: (enclos.

10

Drawn: Scale:

Hasings-on-Hudson, N.Y. 10706 RTB WASHINGTON LLC ZONING ANALYSIS





