1	X
2	VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING
3	SEVEN MAPLE AVENUE HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK 10706
4	X
5	
6	
7	HELD OCTOBER 22, 2009
8	
9	PRESENT:
10	Brian Murphy, Chairman
11	Marc Leaf
12	David-Forbes Watkins
13	Ray H. Dovell
14	Marianne Stecich, Counsel
15	Deven Sharma, Building Inspector
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

_	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Good evening everyone. We are here for the October 22nd
3	Zoning Board of Appeals meeting, Hastings-on-Hudson. We have one application on
4	the dock tonight. Case number 7-09 for Mr. Ben Diep, 385 Warburton Avenue, for a series of
5	proposed variances, front yard setback
6	variance, a building height variance, and an
7	off-street parking variance.
8	And before we get started, Mr. Sharma
9	are the mailings in order for this application?
10	MR. SHARMA: I'm inclined to
11	understand, yes, they are.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: And I know our
13	counsel would like to address a procedural
14	issue before we
15	MS. STECICH: Just for this
16	application, this is a little different than
17	the usual applications for that because most of
18	them are for one-family houses that don't
19	require any review. Does everybody know what I
20	mean when I say Sequa review? This one does
21	require Sequa review. And so when it was before
22	the and so no action can be you can talk
23	about it, but no action can be taken until the
24	Sequa review is completed. So the planning board
25	last week declared their intent

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	to be lead agency. They have to because there
3	is more than one agency making a decision in
4	the planning board. And I believe DOT has some
5	decision in here, doesn't it?
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yeah, I believe
7	there was going to be a curve for that.
8	MS. STECICH: So that notice
9	circulates. And if nobody objects in 30 days,
10	they there will be a lead agency. And if they
11	are ready at the next meeting, they can make
12	their secret determination, and then you can
13	make yours.
14	There still is going to be a bit of
15	back and forth because the planning board has
16	to do a site plan review because the site plan
17	depends on whether that get the variances or
18	not. So it's up to the planning board to
19	either grant site plan review subject to
20	variances because the applicant runs the risk
21	of having to come back again if they don't have
22	the variances with the new site plan. One
23	thing you should do probably, now is just have
24	a vote on whether it's fine with you, with the
25	planning board as lead agency, and then I'll

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	pass it onto the planning board.
3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's the usual
4	practice to allow the planning board to be lead
5	agency on these kinds of matters, although they
6	don't happen very often. So second.
7	MR. PYCIOR: I'll second.
8	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All in favor.
9	(ALL IN FAVOR)
10	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The board is
11	unanimous in the favor of the planning board
12	being lead agency Sequa review.
13	Having said that, Mr. Adams, you
14	might as well take advantage of our time
15	tonight to allow you to explain the application
16	and go through the proposed additions, changes,
17	reasons why this is being done with the
18	variances that are required.
19	MR. ADAMS: My name is John Adams,
20	and I'm the architect. This is the owner, Ben
21	Diep. He and his wife are the owners of the
22	building.
23	We would like to give you an overview
24	of the project to give you some reason how all
25	of different procedures that are in front of

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	you connect. So Ben and his wife, they bought
3	the building about a year ago from Steven
4	Cannor (phonetic) and Steven Cannor's business
5	is still there as the tenant, one of three
6	floors commercial. The upper two-and-a-half
7	floors are residential. And currently well,
8	so anyway, Ben and his wife's goal is they're
9	going to move their family into the building.
10	What they want to do is take those
11	two-and-a-half residential floors and convert
12	that into a single-family, which will be about
13	3,000 plus whatever the attic is, we'll call it
14	a thousand or something like that.
15	And then Ben is an artist and he has
16	a business in the city, which is called Color
17	Space Imaging. What they do there is they are
18	photographic printers, fine photographic
19	printers, for fine art photographers. So when
20	they are going to do a book or they are going
21	to do a gallery show or some big presentation,
22	they will come to somebody like Ben to do the
23	mostly digital imaging but also traditional
24	imaging as well. So he what he wants to do
25	is he wants to as soon as the Cannor release

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	is finished, he wants to move his own business
3	into the lower two floors, the lower two
4	commercial floors of the building. And then,
5	you know, when the time comes, he'd also like
6	to convert the first floor into a lay business,
7	which would be a gallery. So he already has
8	relationships with a lot of photographers
9	around the world who come to him for printing,
10	and it's going to be an expansion of his
11	business to also represent them in the gallery
12	on the first floor. I understand that that
13	requires a special-use permit, for that
14	particular zone.
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You mean to act as
16	the gallery on that floor?
17	MR. ADAMS: I think so. I think so.
18	We are not presenting that now. It is still at
19	least two years plus construction.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: What's the
21	remaining time on the Cannor release, do you
22	know?
23	MR. ADAMS: Two years. And I should
24	also say he's sold Ben the building, so none of
25	this is news to him.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Right.
3	MR. ADAMS: He asked is it okay if I
4	moved in earlier. So again, what we are doing
5	physically then with the building is we are
6	moving Ben and his family into the upper
7	floors. And then when the commercial lease is
8	over, then we will convert some of that space.
9	And on the residential floor I can run
10	through the drawings with you here. We are
11	going to PB4. This is what we are proposing
12	for the ground floor originally as we converted
13	to the residential unit. We modified the entry
14	conditions, in such a way, that instead of
15	using this little side door, which is how the
16	tenants enter the building now, we are having
17	it setup so that we can come through the front
18	door, a set of stairs that will take you up to
19	the next floor.
20	And if you go to the next sheet, this
21	is dealing with the residential right now, if
22	you go to the next sheet, we'll call it the
23	second floor but, it's street level. This is
24	the living room floor, the kitchen, living
25	room. A big part of the proposal is creating

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	this deck on the second floor level. You know,
3	we feel that it's very important, not just
4	because it's a great view, but because the
5	building is so tall and the ground is so far
6	away from the living room floor. Your outdoor
7	living space, essentially if it's going to
8	work, it's going to work off of your living
9	room.
10	The next floor up is basically the
11	bedroom floor. And it does have a small deck,
12	it's a very small a deck up top of that. So if
13	we go to the next sheet, the attic floor and
14	Ben's idea is, this would really be the family
15	room. And besides photography, he's a painter,
16	so he would like to do painting as well. So we
17	are proposing a dormer, we would punch out a
18	dormer on the north side, and open it up for
19	the north light, and we'll be able to see that
20	in the photographs. If we go now to P3 or PD3.
21	The first drawing number one on that sheet, you
22	can see on the upper right-hand side of the
23	building, the sides of the dormer of the roof,
24	if you go to
25	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Adams, what

Т	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	are the dimensions on the proposed dormer?
3	MR. ADAMS: Hold on here. 22 feet
4	wide and it's probably about 8 feet deep from
5	where the wall is now.
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do you have floor
7	plans below of the lower floors?
8	MR. ADAMS: We haven't generated
9	those yet.
10	MR. DOVELL: It would be nice to see
11	what is being planned for the lower levels.
12	It's hard to make an evaluation when we don't
13	know what the programing is and how big they
14	are. We can calculate how big they are from
15	the elevations, but we don't have a full
16	picture.
17	MR. DIEP: If I may add, my plan is
18	to really open up the space. It's basically a
19	studio working space with big tables and big
20	walls, so that's why the space
21	MR. ADAMS: We can talk a little more
22	right now on the plans for that space. The
23	only reason we haven't generated them is we
24	didn't want to spend a lot of money ahead of
25	the approval process, dealing with the land

_	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2007
2	issue
3	MR. DOVELL: It's all part of making
4	a decision on something like this
5	MR. ADAMS: I'm not surprised that
6	you are asking, but we can talk about it in
7	general, sort of in a peripheral sense. Well,
8	one thing we haven't talked about yet is that
9	we would like to get some parking for use, for
10	the residential use. We are proposing in this
11	level it's really a one-car garage, but
12	there is some space in there for bicycles. And
13	you can see it in this elevation as well.
14	What we done is some of the things
15	that were very important to us in the parking
16	itself, because the side, the side is basically
17	like a cliff, you know, it drops down 16 feet
18	or whatever. Whatever you are going to build
19	here, if it's a parking structure or if it's a
20	deck, it requires, you know, a significant
21	amount of structure. And, you know, our
22	feeling was that, you know, you're half way
23	there. By the time you do the structure, you
24	might as well enclose it. And there are
25	aesthetic reasons for that as well. A lot of

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	times when you see in hill towns things built
3	on a steep hill, whether it's a deck or
4	whatever it's very architectonic. So we
5	decided at a certain point, and this goes back
6	to what his business needs are, that it would
7	be beneficial or him to have more space.
8	In his business right now it's not
9	very employee intense. There is three of them
10	including himself. And we anticipate he might
11	hire a couple of more people and somebody to
12	run the gallery so that at most we are thinking
13	three more people, which would bring him to a
14	total of six people. One of the things that he
15	does for his clients, a lot of whom are doing
16	gallery shows, is they print, you know, the
17	full the prints and then basically these
18	prints can be very large now, you know, as, you
19	know, these chips get stronger and more
20	intense. You can produce large amounts of
21	prints. What they are doing more and more is
22	they are marking up essentially the exhibit in
23	their own space. Something that he can't do in
24	his space that he's in now in the city. So,
25	you know about providing the service where he

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	did almost half mockup depending on the size of
3	the exhibit, he really can do almost a full
4	mockup sometimes, and that's basically how he
5	thinks. He would use the sub basement, and
6	then his employees would be using the lower
7	level. And again, everything is mostly
8	digital. There are large printers, but he
9	still has to do regular photograph printing, as
10	well he has to be able to provide that, so he
11	has to have that machinery as well. You know,
12	enlargers, in this case he would have to have a
13	whole kind of floor to get the full height
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there going to
15	be a need for office space in the lower two
16	floors? I assume this is production working
17	space.
18	MR. DIEP: The work space we have is
19	pretty much an open plan, work stations, and we
20	are working in a unit of groups like a family
21	situation. We don't have office space.
22	MR. ADAMS: And if we do the gallery,
23	we need a significant amount of storage too.
24	You know, especially if you are storing things
25	flat So anyway those issues the aesthetic

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	issues of how this is going to look, the issue
3	of having some more space, and the garage
4	issue, it lead us to a design where what he did
5	is we took the existing, I would say, nature of
6	the building, which is really designed to be
7	used from this point of view, from the street
8	level. All of the proportions and everything
9	you know, make this a very charming building on
10	this level. But then when you get when you
11	see it as it exists now, you know, it only gets
12	stretched like this from below. It loses some
13	of that proportion.
14	So in our proposal we thought it
15	was it made a lot of sense to sort of take
16	that plan and expand that plan, you know,
17	within the zoning guidelines. And we felt that
18	it sort of strengthened the actual proportion
19	and the quality of the existing building. It
20	didn't overshadow it in any way. And we had
21	concerns about the proximity of the trail and
22	all of those things. So we came up with an
23	idea to use a system, whereby we'd probably
24	build a we would apply a garden wall, which
25	is a space slab wall which allows all kinds of

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	plants to grow up around this space. So that
3	would be the sort of material or essential view
4	of the building, is that, you know, this brick
5	building is just nicely proportioned on top of
6	this, which is and I think the last page of
7	the photographs that we gave you, there's an
8	example of that type of instruction, that's on
9	the building of north of Chelsea Piers
10	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: This is all below
11	street level
12	MR. ADAMS: This is below street
13	level
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So it's not going
15	to be visible or accessible
16	MR. ADAMS: That's right. When you
17	come through under the road, you know, the
18	tunnel, the quarry trail, you would see that on
19	your left.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay.
21	MR. DOVELL: How many square feet are
22	in the existing building?
23	MR. ADAMS: I think it's on the
24	existing building, it's 1500 it's 1520 per
25	floor.

2	MR. DOVELL: 15
3	MR. ADAMS: 1520 square feet per
4	floor, and basically five-and-a-half floors.
5	MR. DOVELL: Over five-and-a-half
6	floors?
7	A Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, in terms of
9	the footprint area that's on the application.
10	The existing square footages are from 1965,
11	4,000 square foot is permitted right
12	MR. DOVELL: In
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: in the foot
14	print
15	MR. DOVELL: The footprint area. The
16	existing building contains around 8,360 feet,
17	something like that.
18	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
19	MR. DOVELL: And then the additions
20	are, the
21	MR. ADAMS: On the lower two floors,
22	we are talking about, 3,500.
23	MR. DOVELL: 30 35 on two floors.
24	MR. ADAMS: Right. And then the
25	garage, which is on third floor, is 438 square

2	feet. And part of that was derived by, you
3	know, things in terms of the kind of dimensions
4	that you need when you add onto the back of the
5	building to get a useful space out of it
6	MR. DOVELL: The whole thing, when
7	you are done with the whole project, is a
8	project of about 15,800 feet, plus or minus.
9	MR. ADAMS: I would have to take your
10	word for that.
11	MR. DOVELL: It would be nice to have
12	these on your application or on your floor
13	plans, so we can see what we are being asked to
14	approve. It's somewhere in that range.
15	MR. ADAMS: Yes. I think it's I
16	think it's more like 1,200.
17	MS. STECICH: You said 3,500 on two
18	floors. Is it 3,500 total?
19	MR. ADAMS: Total. I think that was
20	confusing.
21	MR. SHARMA: You sent us the sheet of
22	the calculations.
23	MR. ADAMS: We can focus on the
24	specific issues of the zoning variances
25	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I think, unless

2	there are more questions before we do that.
3	MR. LEAF: The set back to the on
4	the north to the quarry trail, how far is that?
5	I can't tell which is side one and side two.
6	MR. ADAMS: The set back is
7	eight feet.
8	MR. LEAF: Am I reading the plans
9	correctly, that the garden wall that you
10	proposed was 24 feet high next to the quarry
11	trail? That would be a 24 foot high garden
12	wall eight feet from the trail?
13	MR. ADAMS: Right. That's just a
14	little bit less than the existing stone wall
15	that's there.
16	MR. LEAF: And the use of the lower
17	levels for photographic printing including both
18	digital and chemical printing, is that use
19	permitted by the current owner?
20	MR. ADAMS: I believe it is.
21	MR. LEAF: Can you confirm that this
22	is mixed residential commercial
23	MS. STECICH: You are on the MRC
24	district, right?
25	MR. LEAF: It's a mix residential

2	office. I would have thought that the
3	photographic development might be light
4	industrial use
5	MS. STECICH: Our studios are and
6	then this let me see. Any principal use
7	permitted in the two or three point five I'm
8	sure doesn't allow hold on.
9	MR. ADAMS: Ben is saying by the time
10	this whole process is finished, nobody will be
11	doing chemical printing. It has more to do
12	with the state of the industry.
13	MS. STECICH: Let me see what the
14	special permit uses are.
15	MR. ADAMS: I think it is fair to say
16	at this point it is almost an incidental use.
17	He has to have it because he can't say he
18	doesn't have it. But I don't know when the
19	last time was that you did a show?
20	MR. DIEP: We are doing two big shows
21	next. Chemical, it's a hybrid. Digital
22	process of paper is a hybrid process, you can
23	digitally expose photographic paper and then
24	process the that would be one processor
25	instead of where you have a professional lab in

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	the city which is basically fading out right
3	now. The only chemistry we use is RA4 by
4	Kodak, which is a C print type.
5	MR. ADAMS: That's the traditional,
6	semi chemistry
7	MR. DIEP: But used for both.
8	MR. ADAMS: I see. Equally, in terms
9	of, you know, the other issues that we have in
10	terms of we could start with the front yard
11	set back. And the zoning regulations for the
12	zone, asked for it asked for a ten foot
13	front yard set back.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Say that again.
15	MR. ADAMS: They asked for a 10 foot
16	front yard set back. And the building at one
17	corner, is 1 foot 4 inches. And another, I
18	think, it's 2-foot 2 inches off of the property
19	line. And, you know, our feeling is or our
20	reasoning is that there is a well established
21	building wall line along that side of
22	Warburton, which this building seems to confirm
23	with
24	MR. LEAF: There's one other
25	building, right?

2	MR. ADAMS: One other building?
3	MR. LEAF: One other building has the
4	same wall line?
5	MR. ADAMS: I think they pretty much
6	all do.
7	MR. LEAF: In front of the stoop?
8	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We are talking
9	about the wall you are proposing, right, on
10	either side of the entry for the deck parking
11	area, on the right side?
12	MR. ADAMS: What I'm saying is, yes,
13	I need to explain myself. Even though this
14	addition is below the street level, it still is
15	technically within the set back, you know
16	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Which is below
17	street level, the support wall?
18	MR. ADAMS: Well, what we are adding
19	here is a parking deck. And that parking deck,
20	well it doesn't appear to, at the street level
21	impinge or be built into the set back. It
22	actually in terms of the entire lot, given the
23	shape of the lot with the cliff. There is
24	construction below that level, and it is
25	MR. SHARMA: The floor addition is

2	MR. ADAMS: I mean, technically,
3	that's building construction within the 10-foot
4	set back. It is that variance more than
5	anything else that we are asking for. As far
6	as the screen wall goes, we think it makes
7	sense to continue the line with the existing
8	stone. However
9	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: But is the screen
10	wall that's on the street level, that's
11	visible?
12	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The question is:
14	Is that within the set back? I know it's
15	within the 10 feet, but is it within the
16	existing whatever the space is there? Or if
17	that
18	MR. SHARMA: This is on public
19	property, that is outside of the property line.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's outside of the
21	property line? What is being proposed is it
22	would be outside of the property line.
23	MR. SHARMA: It's an extension of the
24	wall that exists.
25	MS. STECICH: Who's wall is the

2	current wall?
3	MR. SHARMA: I believe that's the
4	village wall.
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We can't prove
6	that.
7	MS. STECICH: They can't prove that
8	without board of trustees approval.
9	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We don't have any
10	authority to do that. I'm sure we wouldn't do
11	it anyway, but I don't think we have the
12	authority to consider it.
13	MS. STECICH: No.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: There are two
15	different issues. What you are talking about
16	by way of a front set back approval is for the
17	non-visible, if you will, sub-structure. And
18	that's, you know, it's not visible, it's not
19	much of an issue. What concerns me is, I
20	thought you were talking about this wall on the
21	street that would lead into the parking deck
22	MR. ADAMS: We've drawn what we think
23	makes sense
24	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Look, I don't
25	disagree with you. What I'm telling you is, we

2	can't draw on public property. We can't
3	consider it.
4	MR. ADAMS: We'll take it off as far
5	as this
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You know, you have
7	to go through a whole other level of process or
8	you can bump it back
9	MR. DIEP: Let me add, one of the
10	reasons we have the wall there is to move the
11	current setting behind the wall.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: And that's a good
13	thing.
14	MR. ADAMS: That's the only feed back
15	we've gotten from
16	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We need to be clear
17	on that. Your option is you can try to move it
18	back, but that may not accomplish part of the
19	result or part of the goal that you wanted to
20	accomplish. That's all.
21	MR. ADAMS: The main thing we are
22	trying to accomplish is to move the project
23	forward, and we'll remove it from this
24	application, and we'll have a separate
25	conversation with the village in terms of some

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	solution that makes the most sense.
3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay. Fine. Why
4	don't we talk about the side yard.
5	MR. ADAMS: The side yard here?
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yeah.
7	MR. ADAMS: Well, I mean, as you
8	know, because we are changing the use of the
9	building and we are reducing the number of
10	units, in the Hastings code the way it's
11	written that affects the set backs. And as I
12	read the code, it makes sense, it seems that
13	the set backs and some of the open space issues
14	in the zoning code seem to have been written
15	with the intent to provide air and open space
16	for the tenants, the people who live in those
17	properties. As you reduce the number of people
18	who live in those properties, it does make
19	sense from that point, you know, you have
20	smaller set backs.
21	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I'm going to focus
22	just really on that kind technical dimensions
23	of what was proposed. On the south side there
24	is no change, right, other than you are going
25	to renair stens and that's an existing

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	four-foot ten inch set back, which is
3	preexisting non conforming.
4	MR. ADAMS: Well, I think you can see
5	the property line comes into this raised
6	platform, that all the entry happens into the
7	residential and the lower commercial floors.
8	The existing it's build on the property
9	line, that's the worse case condition. There
10	is an existing stair, we pulled it back as far
11	as we could, it's improved that condition.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So on the south
13	side it's not an issue per se. So on the north
14	side you are permitted to go down to 8 feet, so
15	from the existing set back, as I read your
16	application, it's 26 feet and with the
17	addition what amounts to the parking deck in
18	the lower two-story space, you are going to
19	take that right over to the of what's
20	permitted without the variance, but it would be
21	an 8-foot set back. And then it's below street
22	level. It's the quarry trail that Mark Leaf
23	was referring to earlier. That is the only
24	thing that is there
25	MR. ADAMS: Right. And I don't know

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	if you walked down the quarry trail, but my
3	feeling is that, you know, you are real you
4	want to think of it in baroque terms. It works
5	in a way like you walk through this stone arch
6	that closes your view down, and it's sort of
7	contained, and then you emerge here in the
8	green trail, and then it's at this point where
9	the drop off happens, where the large trees
10	and that's where you get your view of the
11	Palisades. So it's kind of like it's and
12	urban pathway, and it's a green pathway through
13	an urban neighborhood, and I don't think that
14	anything we done has really changed that
15	substantially.
16	MR. WATKINS: All you have to do is
17	clean out the broken bottles.
18	MR. ADAMS: We have concerns being
19	next to it. It seems like teenagers would sort
20	of gather in there and do what teenagers do. I
21	can't remember. It's been so long ago.
22	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We just want a full
23	sense I mean I know we don't need a
24	variance for this site, we want to get a sense
25	of the full scope of the condition. So let's

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	talk about the roof now.
3	MR. ADAMS: The variance in question
4	for the
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: What this really
6	is, you are extending an existing non
7	conforming body proposed to add the
8	MR. ADAMS: Right. I mean, at the
9	same time we aren't raising the roof any higher
10	than it is now. I think I would also like to
11	say, that for buildings of this age or this
12	style, there's a real tradition of artist
13	studios, which had dormers added to the north
14	side with glass glazing in them. You see that
15	all over the neighbors in the city, and other
16	cities. And we tried to stay within that
17	tradition. And if you look at the proposal,
18	you know, we also set it back 8 feet on each
19	sides from the cable ends of the building, so
20	that even though it would appear that you can
21	see it in a flat elevation like this, I think
22	you see it quite a bit less than that in
23	reality. And if you look at the first
24	photograph that I handed out to you today, you
25	see you know, see some of it in that

1

```
2
          photograph. And that photograph is taken from
 3
          the stoop level or the first floor level, I
          should say, of the houses across the street,
 5
          which are about six-and-a-half feet above the
 6
          sidewalk level. So if you take what's
          essentially your horizon line, and you drop it
 8
          six-and-a-half feet, I don't think you would
          see the corner of that dormer. It's only when
9
          you get to the side to the north of the
10
11
         building that you see the dormer. So I think
12
          it will look quite in keeping with the
         building --
13
                    MR. DOVELL: How would you
14
          characterize the architecture of the building?
15
16
               It's neoclassical, this is a very sort of
17
    Georgian kind of shape. It's referring to a Georgian
     style. It's not as spread out as --
18
19
                    MR. DOVELL: The elevations are
20
          deceiving, the existing dormer that is really
21
          an accommodation for a stair, it's a tiny
          little dormer.
22
                    MR. ADAMS: It's here. It's here.
23
24
                    MR. DOVELL: Whereas the other one,
25
          the shed dormer, it's a bigger chunk of space
```

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	up there.
3	MR. ADAMS: The height of this is not
4	very tall to the roof line. Here you are
5	seeing the existing roof, and it's 5-foot, 8.
6	MR. DOVELL: It's not the kind of
7	dormer that you would associate with this kind
8	of architecture. You just don't see that type
9	of shed dormer attached to almost perfectly
10	square box of a building. This is a cubic
11	the house is basically a cube with a to roof on
12	it
13	MR. ADAMS: I think you are making a
14	good point. I think it's not going to look
15	like I don't I don't I wouldn't go as
16	far as saying it would be original to the
17	building. But as I said before, I think there
18	are many buildings of this type, which have had
19	that modification done to them in the city and
20	other places. And it sort of looks
21	MR. DOVELL: What you do see in an
22	artist area in the city, you see a lay light in
23	the roof, a large expanse of glass that's in
24	plain with the roof, facing north. That's what
25	you see all throughout the village. Rarely do

2	you see something popping out
3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Almost like a sky
4	light type
5	MR. DOVELL: Like a large steel
6	frame
7	MR. ADAMS: I think you see all
8	different types, you do. Some of them are
9	almost like flat roofed. You know, in the
10	city, you can do many many different ways.
11	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Tell me again the
12	reason for proposing the dormer on the west
13	side.
14	MR. ADAMS: It is to get more space,
15	and it's to bring more light into the space,
16	specifically north light, this is not the best
17	light for painting.
18	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there an
19	alternative way to, you know, bring a
20	significant amount of light in without that
21	type of dormer?
22	MR. ADAMS: I mean, you can bring
23	light into the existing space. But this also
24	accomplishes, you know, creating more space,
25	which is part of, you know, what we wanted.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I understand
3	that, but my question is: Is there another way
4	to accomplish the primary goal of getting light
5	into the space that
6	MR. ADAMS: But what Mr. Dovell was
7	suggesting was maybe a different shape. I
8	don't know if you
9	MR. DOVELL: I was wondering if maybe
10	it could be a very large sky light facing north
11	that would be with the roof or bumped up a
12	little. Obviously you wouldn't have the
13	rafters to contend with and you would have
14	you would provide quite a bit of north light
15	into the space.
16	MR. DIEP: If I may add, if I were to
17	do a 16 by 20 painting, 4 by 50 inch canvas is
18	not use able of the space given because the
19	ceiling of that
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I understand.
21	That's not a variance issue. The variance
22	problem is you got a 35-foot maximum height
23	requirement. And you have to measure from the
24	back of the building, so you have a 61-foot
25	pre-existing height in a 35-foot zone. That's

2	almost double. That's unheard of. And so the
3	variance issue, should proposing to extend, was
4	already in this zone of various significant
5	variance so that's why we are probing what
6	the real need for it is and what the
7	alternatives might be
8	MR. ADAMS: Don't you think that the
9	given the site of the building is of unusual
10	character, only in terms of the site, you know,
11	this drop off. I mean, I think in terms of
12	evaluations, if you look at the building, I
13	think it makes sense that look at it from
14	here up as opposed to
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I don't disagree
16	with you here. That's not the point. That's
17	not the legal issue. The legal issue is you
18	are extending a significant preexisting non
19	conforming rules
20	MS. STECICH: It also exceeded in the
21	front, actually it's already exceeded in the
22	front, and to I think about 41-and-half-feet
23	high
24	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You mean from the
25	street

2	MS. STECICH: So it isn't just the
3	rear, it's exceeding the height in the front as
4	well.
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That's kind of
6	for me, that's what you are proposing to do
7	particularly down below on the left side and
8	the rear of the building, is you know,
9	that's for me, that's fine. That's good to
10	encourage someone to bring a business into the
11	town, that's a good thing.
12	MR. ADAMS: I would like to say the
13	part of the reason I ended up here, he does
14	have a lot of clients that are photographers in
15	Hastings, he found out about Hastings and
16	that's the attraction.
17	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Height variance is
18	a pretty sensitive we work with people a lot
19	on the front yard, and we got all kinds of
20	things grandfathered in, non conformities and
21	we understand all of that, but the height
22	issue
23	MR. ADAMS: Even if it's not going to
24	affect anyone's view.
25	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Say that again.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. ADAMS: I don't think it impacts
3	anyone's view of the Palisades
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's probably a
5	minor impact, but that's a view preservation
6	not a variance, it's an issue, but it's a
7	separate issue, as far as I'm concerned.
8	That's all. At least my questions are
9	answered.
10	MR. DOVELL: I have an observation
11	more than anything else, for this area permits
12	you 50 percent lot coverage, and it permits a
13	two-and-a-half story building, which is the way
14	that the zoning ordinance defines bulk or gages
15	bulk. And if we looked at that, if we looked
16	at that as raw data, you would be able on a
17	new site, you would be able to build a
18	building you would be able to build a
19	building of how much square feet probably, I'll
20	do a little calculation. You would be able to
21	build a building of approximately 12,000 feet,
22	correct? No, 10,000 feet, right, you know, if
23	it's an 8,000 square foot lot
24	MR. ADAMS: 4,000 times two and a
25	half

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. DOVELL: So 10,000 feet. What
3	you are proposing is you're 12,000 I
4	think you are in fact over that because your
5	lower floors, both of those lower floors can't
6	be 3,500 feet if each upper floor is 1,500
7	square feet. It doesn't make sense. I think
8	you should check those numbers.
9	MR. ADAMS: You know, I'm going from
10	what I wrote here like a month ago so
11	MR. DOVELL: We would need to see
12	those numbers. It's a gage of 12, and if you
13	are at 15,000 it just means it's a very bulky
14	building on this site compared to other
15	buildings in the same district. So it becomes
16	an issue of character as well. We need to
17	think about
18	MR. ADAMS: If you follow the same
19	letter of code, your two-and-a-half story
20	building would be like this tall. So I mean,
21	there's a disconnect there in terms of how the
22	zoning rules apply to this particular site.
23	MR. DOVELL: But this one on the
24	front, the front of the building is already
25	41 feet

_	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2007
2	MR. ADAMS: I mean, just in terms
3	of I'm just saying that in terms of zoning
4	is a way to control mass on the site. Because
5	of this condition, you are going to get a lot
6	of mass than sort of what you actually visually
7	see or view with let's see, were there any
8	other zoning variance
9	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, there was the
10	height and there's also the issue of the
11	parking. But I don't want to move on
12	MR. PYCIOR: View preservation, about
13	the deck on top of the garage, behind the house
14	its proposed roof, to build a deck that is
15	45 feet wide and 14 feet deep, a very size able
16	deck. But then that deck is to be extended out
17	over the garage, which does raise view
18	preservation questions. If you look at your
19	first photograph, it shows a deck but the
20	furniture moved out there and other such
21	things, then the view of the river and then the
22	view of the Palisades is obstructed.
23	Why does the deck have to be extended
24	over the garage when the proposed deck behind
25	the house is 45 feet, 14 feet, which is a large

Τ	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	deck?
3	MR. ADAMS: Part of our thought was
4	because it's a little closer to the north side
5	of the house, it wouldn't get quite as much
6	sun. It would be a place where you can get out
7	of the sun during the day. It's also a way of
8	wrapping the view around, you know, like to use
9	roofs
10	MR. PYCIOR: I was wondering about
11	view preservation.
12	MR. ADAMS: If you read through the
13	zoning board that is somewhat encouraged in
14	department buildings and other zones that you
15	talk about providing space and make exceptions
16	or providing space on top of garages and things
17	like that. So it seemed like a worth while
18	event.
19	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You are referring
20	to the railing
21	MR. PYCIOR: And the fact that it is
22	a deck, one consumes furniture there and who
23	knows what else that can interfere with the
24	view of the river and the Palisade. And
25	considering adjacent to that there is a deck

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	that is 45 feet wide and 14 feet deep
3	MR. DIEP: The original idea we had,
4	we were going to put a roof garden there. You
5	can see the floor plan, it exits a doorway from
6	the living space, so if you had furniture, it
7	would be right behind there on the west side.
8	We are planning that section to have a roof top
9	garden.
10	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Isn't the view
11	preservation the garage itself
12	MR. PYCIOR: Right. But then the
13	garage doesn't seem to obstruct that much. But
14	a deck on top could obstruct
15	MR. LEAF: A barbeque grill, what
16	goes on the deck is an issue.
17	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: What is there now
18	is a tree, so there is no view at all.
19	MR. ADAMS: Right. We started to
20	talk about the view preservation issue
21	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That doesn't
22	trouble me as much as the dormer on the roof
23	does. But everyone has their view. I want to
24	make sure all of the board members get a chance
25	to ask the questions.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. ADAMS: Segue-waying to the view
3	preservation, probably the best thing is to
4	look at the photographs that we gave you.
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes, that would be
6	helpful.
7	MR. ADAMS: So this is the first
8	photograph is, to give you some idea of what
9	the proposal would like in place, again we
10	we provide the use of photographs of the
11	building. The building is across the street.
12	And those are significant to look at because
13	they show you a slope over the land there, and
14	how, you know, the first floor is
15	six-and-a-half feet above where the sidewalk
16	is. So what we are trying to say is, we are
17	trying to make a note that this is effectively
18	sort of a horizon line as far as the Palisades
19	goes. And you can as it exists now in this
20	tree, that we are proposing to take out, half
21	of it here, there is no view whatsoever. And
22	after we take the tree out, you know, there's
23	significantly more view for six months out of
24	the year. And more I think in total we did
25	a calculation, we definitely end up with more

2	view through this view time than you have now.
3	MR. DOVELL: What's the height of the
4	garage from the level of the drive?
5	MR. ADAMS: It's 12 feet.
6	MR. DOVELL: 12 feet?
7	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
8	MR. DOVELL: And that's because it
9	aligns with the floor?
10	MR. ADAMS: Yeah. Yes, partly that.
11	MR. DOVELL: But this is parking for
12	cars, primarily, not
13	MR. ADAMS: Cars and, you know, stuff
14	that families have, bicycles and junk.
15	MR. DIEP: Kids bicycles, no junk.
16	MR. ADAMS: Ben says no junk only
17	bicycles.
18	In terms of I don't know if
19	there's any questions about the view
20	preservation. I think it's a pretty basic
21	calculation. Again, you know, you see the
22	dormer here. I suppose you see a little more
23	of it on the second floor, but, I mean, I don't
24	know if it's really obstructing anyone's view.
25	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You know, in the

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	very first photograph of what was handed up
3	tonight, we got the mockup of the proposed site
4	with the garage. Is that to scale, the 12-foot
5	height of the proposed garage in the
6	photograph?
7	MR. ADAMS: I think it is. When I
8	first saw it, I thought this didn't seem right.
9	But when you actually when you sort of
10	calculate it and, you know, in height wise in
11	the widths, I think that's accurate. I mean,
12	it's with the one or two brick courses I think.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay.
14	MR. SHARMA: It's in prospective, you
15	can see
16	MR. ADAMS: Right.
17	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: This is, you said,
18	six-and-a-half feet above the sidewalk taken
19	across the street.
20	MR. ADAMS: Well, it's from eye
21	level. It's six plus five. It's, you know,
22	11 11 and a half feet.
23	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Got it. Any other
24	questions?
25	MR. LEAF: I think it might be

±	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2007
2	helpful before our next meeting to have the
3	mockup of the view from the street level or
4	from the eye level of someone walking on the
5	street or passing along the street. There's a
6	lot of walking that goes on on Warburton Avenue
7	from the south end of town up north. And I
8	would like to see how that looks from the
9	street.
10	MR. DOVELL: I agree. And I think
11	from a prospective from down the street looking
12	up Warburton
13	MS. STECICH: You have this view, but
14	you don't have the garage in this one, the 4th
15	photo. Yes, far right up on the top there,
16	that one, that's the right prospective. But
17	you can't see what the garage is doing to it.
18	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: In other words, if
19	you
20	MR. ADAMS: This tree, this line
21	here, this tree right here, that's the tree
22	that's down. So the only tree that's coming
23	out in this photograph is sort of this
24	little triangle right there.
25	MS. STECICH: Okay.

2	MR. ADAMS: I mean, the tree that is
3	staying is a much bigger and more substantial
4	tree. It's just the one that's coming up out
5	is very specifically located
6	MR. DOVELL: But you are still going
7	to see under it. You are going to see under it
8	here and when the leaves are gone
9	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
10	MR. DOVELL: you can see even
11	more.
12	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
13	MR. DOVELL: I think a section a
14	street a section through the garage, the
15	street level through the garage, and a section
16	through the house, that explains the lower
17	decks would be very useful as well.
18	MR. ADAMS: Do you mean the section
19	through here?
20	MR. DOVELL: Correct, correct.
21	MR. ADAMS: You do have that one
22	elevation.
23	MR. DOVELL: I understand.
24	MR. ADAMS: Yes, from the side.
25	MR. DOVELL: Uh-huh.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. LEAF: The large deck behind the
3	house is actually the roof of the level below
4	it, right?
5	MR. ADAMS: Yes. And you were asking
6	before about the style of the building. I
7	didn't have the presence of mind to it vocalize
8	at the moment. But I think the building does
9	have a sort of split personality a little bit.
10	It has these industrial steel and then it has,
11	you know, coins and dentil moldings. It's kind
12	of a split between those again style wise
13	between the lower floors or the commercial
14	floors or residential floors. So in a way it's
15	great because it allows you to go a little bit
16	both ways. You know, and what we tried to do
17	is we tried to strengthen the upper part in a
18	classical sense and the lower part more sort of
19	traditional kind of factory commercial sense.
20	MR. DOVELL: I think the lower
21	portion is very successful. The way it engages
22	in the treatment of it, I think it's
23	successful. I think you've added a bit of
24	vocabulary with this dormer that I can't quite
25	comprehend.

_	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. ADAMS: We can look at some other
3	forms for that.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Anything else
5	parking, I think the parking is self
6	explanatory.
7	MR. ADAMS: I think that the little
8	printout that I gave you before the meeting,
9	you know, it's significant. I certainly want
10	to press the point that we don't have very many
11	people moving into this building, relative to
12	the number of people that are there now.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I mean, your point
14	is there are five apartments and four occupied
15	now, and it's going to become one very large
16	MR. ADAMS: And Cannor has 20 to 25
17	people working in that building, and we are
18	going down to, the most, six.
19	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Anybody have any
20	questions about the parking?
21	MR. DOVELL: No.
22	MR. LEAF: No.
23	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Marianne, did we
24	cover all of the issues?
25	MS. STECICH: Yes.

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	MR. ADAMS: I didn't have time to
3	write down some of these suggestions. Can I
4	extrapolate from some of the comments. What we
5	would like to do is, we would like to see this
6	kind of rendering from a couple of different
7	perspectives, and I think from my side, I'll
8	add prospectives that represent higher
9	elevations as well, you know, obviously the
10	sidewalk is not really even on the owner's
11	piece of land. You know, when we talk about
12	the view preservation and balancing the rights
13	of owners, it's about ownership
14	MS. STECICH: No, it's not. Our code
15	is very specific, also the views of passersby.
16	MR. PYCIOR: I recommend you go up on
17	the aqueduct path the take a few photos down.
18	From the aqueduct path, this whole building may
19	block views of the river and the Palisades, as
20	it is, and you would be adding to that.
21	MR. ADAMS: Can you see it from that
22	aqueduct path you can see this building from
23	the aqueduct
24	MR. PYCIOR: Seasonally you can see
25	i+

2	MR. ADAMS: We will be here in the
3	wintertime.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The particular
5	request was from the sidewalk.
6	MR. ADAMS: From the sidewalk and
7	also from the
8	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Across the street,
9	down the street.
10	MR. ADAMS: Yes. And some other
11	variations of the dormer and then just the
12	number the wrong numbers on the square
13	footages and some schematic of the lower
14	commercial levels
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The lower space.
16	MR. ADAMS: Is there anything else?
17	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. ADAMS: I thank you
19	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
20	Mr. METZGER, please
21	MR. METZGER: Thank you. Jim
22	Metzger, 427 Warburton Avenue. I happen to
23	live just down the block from this project.
24	And the issue is that it's obviously incredibly
25	thoughtful, and I'm appreciative of the work

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	that you are putting into this.
3	I just wanted to say, in terms of
4	some of the bigger pictures, because our
5	neighborhood has been subject to quite a bit of
6	development, and we spent quite a bit of time
7	looking at those issues that would positively
8	or negatively affect our neighborhood. And I
9	would like to say that, in general, I have had
10	a chance to look at some of these drawings.
11	And I think this project would be a great
12	benefit to the village and our neighborhood.
13	We had an issue before you a number of years
14	ago, to put a parking deck in the same
15	location, and the problem was it was a steel
16	parking deck with some girders, and it was
17	going to be this real erector set. And here we
18	are looking at some one who is trying to
19	enhance what is going on by the path that is
20	going down by that new construction. I think
21	that is a positive thing.
22	And if you look at some of the
23	existing buildings by the quarry path, they
24	would hopefully take a clue from what is being
25	proposed here to improve the side of their

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	building at a low cost to make that path nicer.
3	The big issue we have in our
4	neighborhood is parking. And I have taken a
5	look at where the proposed curb cut would be.
6	And even though we'd be losing one spot on the
7	street, we'd be gaining additional parking.
8	And I think that's a very positive thing
9	because the use of the building would be such
10	that fewer people would be occupying it. It
11	also happens to be a unique location on
12	Warburton, just south of the building is shear
13	wall, a drop that goes down towards the DPW.
14	It is one of the few areas where there's not a
15	continuous row of housing, so there is parking
16	available. Certainly, during the day, there's
17	parking. So if there is a gallery here, it's a
18	situation where it would accommodate additional
19	use and not impact negatively on the denser
20	part of Warburton. It's closer to the village.
21	We've been asking for live work use in the
22	village for years as a prototype. And while
23	this is a rather large live work use, I think
24	it's a good prototype. It's something that I
25	would like to see more of in the village

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	People that live here and work here and could
3	bring both residential and commercial taxes to
4	the village. And the use of the materials that
5	is being proposed is sympathetic to the
6	neighborhood. The building just to the north
7	of to this has clabbered, and this garden wall
8	has a clabbered look. So it's something that's
9	been done sensitively.
10	In terms of the view preservation, I
11	am not sure anything that is being proposed
12	would affect the view of the river or the
13	Palisades. I think when you are up on the
14	aqueduct and you look down would affect would
15	be a view to whatever is going to be developed
16	on the water front. But I think that angle of
17	view would not affect the river per se. And my
18	personal feeling is, this small garage, given
19	that it's got a flat roof, would be the most
20	minimal impact it could possibly have and still
21	provide off-street parking at this site.
22	I hope you are in favor of the
23	project.
24	MR. SHARMA: If I may, we received
25	another letter supporting

2	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yeah.
3	MR. SHARMA: a copy of it.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I'll read part of
5	it into the record. This is a letter of
6	support for the applicant from a Mr. David
7	Zone, residing at 378 Warburton. And he says,
8	quote, Mr. Diep has gone through great efforts
9	to confer with his neighbors about his plans,
10	by giving us several opportunities to voice our
11	opinions and concerns.
12	I believe that the effect of his
13	efforts will be positive to the neighborhood
14	and a community basis as a whole. He has
15	demonstrated a real willingness to respond to
16	the aesthetic and practicable existing
17	conditions on Warburton Avenue. He's trying
18	hard in a sincere manner to be a good neighbor
19	and citizen. And therefor I support his
20	application.
21	And that's the essence of the letter.
22	Thank you, Mr. Sharma.
23	MR. SHARMA: You're welcome.
24	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We'll adjourn this
25	application until the proceedings are held

1	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
2	before the zoning board.
3	
4	
5	(Time noted: 9:15 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	ZONING BOARD MEETING OF OCTOBER 22, 2009
3	
4	
5	CERTIFICATE
6	
7	I, ANNMARIE BASILE, a Shorthand
8	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York,
9	do hereby certify:
10	
11	That the witness whose examination is
12	hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn, and that such
13	examination is a true record of the testimony given by
14	such witness.
15	
16	I further certify that I am not related to
17	any of the parties to this action by blood or
18	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the
19	outcome of this matter.
20	
21	
22	ANNMARIE BASILE
23	