```
1
 2
 3
       VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK
 4
             ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
 5
 6
 7
             Held April 24, 2008 at 8:00 p.m.,
 8
     Seven Maple Avenue, Hastings-on-Hudson, New
 9
     York 10706-1497.
10
     PRESENT:
11
12
     Brian P. Murphy, Chairman
     Stanley Pycior, Deputy Chairman
13
     David Deitz, Board Member
14
                        (In Absentia)
     David Forbes-Watkins, Board Member
15
     Ray H. Dovell, Board Member
     Marc A. Leaf, Alternate Member
16
     Deven Sharma, Building Inspector
     Marianne Stecich, Board Counsel
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                              Nina Purcell, RPR
                              Shorthand Reporter
24
25
```

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 2 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Good 3 evening, everyone. We are here for the 4 April 24, 2008 zoning board of appeals 5 meeting. We have only one case on the 6 agenda tonight, case No. 10-08 for the 7 Riverview Manor Hose Company No. 3 at 8 8 Euclid Avenue. Mr. Sharma, are all the 9 mailings in order? 10 MR. SHARMA: I've been advised all the mailings are in order. 11 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank 13 you. So we have a proposed variance for 14 expanding the firehouse up on Euclid Avenue. If someone is going to present 15 16 for the applicant, please step forward. 17 MS. STECICH: They were 18 before the planning board last week for site plan approval, which they got. And 19 the planning board also issued a SEQRA 20 21 negative declaration for the planning 22 board action, but we will talk about that 23 later. They also recommended that the 24 variances be granted. 25 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay.

2

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 MR. GIBBONS: Good evening. 3 My name is Jim Gibbons, and I'm the 4 principal of Gibbons Engineering based in 5 Tarrytown. We did go before the planning 6 board last week to present our case, and 7 essentially what -- we need several 8 variances as a result of needing to come 9 out through the front of the building 6 10 feet.

Riverview is going to purchase or 11 12 they have purchased a new engine that is 13 environmentally in compliance with the 14 current laws. And as a result of that, for that specific engine, the building 15 16 needs to be slightly bigger. So as a 17 result of needing to come out 6 feet, we 18 are not going to make the required front yard. And we are going to be in alignment 19 with the existing building on the sides as 20 21 we come out, but unfortunately because of 22 those two -- because of the required side 23 yards, we are going to need the variances 24 for those too.

25 And then finally the lot is an

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 R-10, a residential zone, and we are not 2 3 going to make the coverage. But in 4 essence, the Riverview firehouse has to 5 come out 6 feet and just square the 6 building off and maintain the existing 7 side yard setbacks. And as a result of 8 that, that requirement, we are going to 9 need these four variances. 10 So we wanted to present our case before you and let you know that there is 11 12 a good balance here between purchasing a 13 new engine that is very clean 14 environmentally, but the trade-off is because of the catalytic converter and the 15 16 engine compartment being cleaner, it is 17 going to force us to request a furtherance 18 of the building, extending it 6 feet and therefore not making that front yard 19 20 setback. 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So is it 22 because the new engine has this improved 23 catalytic converter and it is a cleaner 24 exhaust for the machine, the machine is

25

bigger?

4

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. The 3 machine itself, the engine is bigger. I 4 did have a meeting with the members of the 5 fire department, and I was told this is б the smallest engine that meets these clean 7 air requirements. So they are not trying 8 to make it bigger than what is needed, and 9 also paying attention to our local 10 geography here and the tightness of the roads to keep everything as small as 11 12 possible. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So is the 14 proposal to come out 6 feet into the front 15 yard setback, is that the minimum distance 16 that would be necessary to house the new 17 engine? MR. GIBBONS: 18 Yes. It is going to be fairly tight within the 19 firehouse. I have on page S-3 of our 20 21 plans a picture of the firehouse, and 22 there is just a few feet of clearance in 23 front of us. So we are going to back in. 24 It is going to be fairly tight on the 25 sides, but we will have basically just a

5

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 few feet in the front. So a reasonable 3 drive we should be able to maneuver in 4 that space, and it should be fairly safe 5 for everybody. б CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You are 7 indicating 3 feet 2 inches of clearance in 8 the front --9 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: -- for necessary clearance between the garage 11 12 door, I guess. 13 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. During 14 an emergency situation we do want our fire 15 department and the members of it to be 16 able to get around that engine very 17 quickly and make a timely response. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: One of 18 the only questions I had was just to be 19 clear, I take it is implied here, 20 21 Mr. Gibbons, but in terms of the height of 22 the addition, is it going to remain at the 23 lower single story height that is 24 currently there in front of the garage? 25 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. That is

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 a good point. That's correct. We are going to match the height exactly and 3 4 maintain that front facade. In fact, in 5 the planning board we talked about the 6 possibility of reusing the front door, 7 bringing it forward and maintaining the 8 same type of construction with the same 9 height as we go forward. 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: And do you happen to know what the current height 11 12 is of the front of the garage? 13 MR. GIBBONS: I might have 14 a description of that. I have to estimate 15 it. I don't have the exact height with 16 me, but I would say it is about 15 feet. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 17 18 Approximately 15 feet? 19 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 20 Is that 21 your best -- all right. 22 MR. GIBBONS: That is my 23 best guess. I didn't put that dimension 24 on the plan unfortunately. MR. FORBES-WATKINS: 25 The

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 2 proposed is 22 feet on the zoning board 3 analysis. 4 MR. GIBBONS: That is the 5 height of the two-story structure. It б should say the change on it. Let's take a 7 look at that. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes. We 9 just wanted to make the record clear 10 that's what the height is. MR. GIBBONS: The 15 feet 11 12 should be for the --13 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: The two 14 story. 15 MR. GIBBONS: The two 16 story. 17 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The proposed new addition will be no more than 18 19 15 feet to handle the clearance of the new 20 fire truck. MR. GIBBONS: That's 21 22 correct. 23 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Just to, 24 again, to make the record clear, because 25 of the nature of the variances that are

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 required, how old is the existing 3 equipment that needs to be replaced? 4 MR. GIBBONS: Let me speak 5 to the -б MR. LINDNER: It is a 1984 7 engine. It has reached the end of its 8 life span. 9 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All 10 right. MR. LINDNER: We need a new 11 12 one. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Just so we are clear, because the overriding issue 14 15 is one of safety, welfare of the 16 community, we need new fire equipment. We 17 want to have up-to-date fire equipment. That equipment is enlarged, requires these 18 variances to accommodate it properly in 19 20 the garage. 21 Having said that, the variances are 22 substantial, so we need to make the appropriate record. If the board is 23 24 favorably disposed to grant the variances, 25 we need to make it clear why we are doing

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 that. So that's why we are asking the 3 questions. My only real concern was the 4 height. I think we've got that clear. 5 And so I'll open it up to the rest of the 6 board members if they have additional 7 questions or clarifications. 8 MR. DOVELL: What is the 9 projected T that goes out over the face of 10 the garage door? It looks like a sign 11 band or something across the front of the 12 garage. And in the section it looks like 13 it extends out from the face of the 14 garage. 15 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. I think 16 we are basically matching the architecture 17 so that is just a flat roof and a cap on it. I don't think we are proposing any 18 signage, but let me just reach back and 19 ask that question too. We're just 20 21 matching the existing building? 22 MR. LINDNER: No. It is a 23 soffit to keep the rain when you open the 24 door. 25 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thanks.

10

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 If you could identify yourself, please. 3 MR. LINDNER: I'm John 4 Lindner. I live at 5 Marianna Drive, and 5 I'm the captain of Engine 46. If you are 6 talking about the soffit, above the 7 door --8 MR. DOVELL: This piece 9 right here. 10 MR. LINDNER: Yes. That's just a soffit. There is one on there now. 11 12 It sticks out -- I don't know -- maybe 13 two foot maximum. It's got some lights. 14 When you open the garage door and it is 15 raining, if you don't have that the rain 16 comes in the building. MR. DOVELL: It extends 17 18 past -- it extends past the garage as 19 well? MR. LINDNER: Yeah. Oh, on 20 21 the sides, yeah. It goes out. It's 22 exactly what is there now, it will be 23 extended out the length of the garage. 24 And it is an overhang on the sides and the 25 front. So when you are opening the side

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 doors or the big rolling garage door, the 3 rain doesn't come in, or the snow. 4 MR. DOVELL: The garage door 5 is currently all -- it is clear glass, б isn't it? 7 MR. LINDNER: Yes. I think 8 the bottom and the top rows are metal. 9 But the rest are all glass. 10 MR. DOVELL: So it is -- in 11 the middle section it is clear? 12 MR. LINDNER: Yes. 13 MR. DOVELL: Okay. And in 14 the evening the lights are on all night? 15 MR. LINDNER: No. They are 16 off. Only once we are in there we turn 17 the lights on. 18 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lindner or Mr. Gibbons, I know there 19 was one of the neighbors who was 20 21 immediately next-door who had originally 22 communicated with the board to make sure 23 he had an opportunity to understand what 24 the proposal was. And I know this is a 25 vacation week for the schools, so he had

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 originally asked us to put off the 2 3 meeting. I understand there was a 4 face-to-face personal meeting with the 5 neighbor. б MR. LINDNER: Yes. We had a 7 meeting for all the neighbors. Him and 8 his wife came. We explained everything to 9 him. He came to the meeting last week and spoke in favor of the extension at the 10 meeting last week. Andre Bernard spoke in 11 12 favor of it last week here at the village. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: And 14 Mr. Bernard is the neighbor immediately to the south? 15 16 MR. LINDNER: To the south. 17 Correct. 18 MR. SHARMA: If I may, Marianne, I think we may need to clarify 19 that this issue of projecting something in 20 21 front of, I know the code says projections 22 are permitted in the required yard. But 23 if you are already protruding into the 24 required yard, any projection beyond that, 25 I think the variance, that should be

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 included in the amount of area that they 2 3 are requesting, because what if you are 4 requesting a variance to come out 6 feet. 5 Any projections -- there can't be any 6 projection beyond that. And if you want 7 to do that, then you have to request a 8 variance that includes that projection. 9 You are coming out 8 feet instead of 6 feet. Marianne, would you agree with me? 10 MS. STECICH: That's 11 12 correct, that's correct. So was the 13 variance -- the 6 feet didn't include that 14 projection? 15 MR. GIBBONS: Did not include that projection. I was under the 16 17 understanding that we could project out 18 the same distance that was there. So I made that conclusion. 19 MR. SHARMA: No. 20 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It is an 22 existing nonconformity, and you are 23 extending it. In the front yard setback 24 you have to include in this case the extra 25 2 feet for the soffit.

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 MR. GIBBONS: Yeah. 3 MR. SHARMA: It may not 4 actually be necessary in the design in a 5 way, that you don't need that kind of б projection, because obviously as it is 7 I -- again, it is just my -- I'm looking at it -- is coming so much closer to the 8 9 front line and the two extra feet getting 10 closer to the property line and however more. I don't know how the board feels 11 12 about it. 13 MR. GIBBONS: Yeah. I 14 think from a weather point of view, just the rain, if you, the board, wants I can 15 minimize it, but I do want to suggest that 16 17 we have an overhang just to take care of 18 some of the inclement weather issues and that type of thing. Otherwise, I think 19 the garage door is going to get washed 20 21 with water and we will have some issues 22 inside. 23 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So I 24 guess the question is should the 25 variance -- you have requested a variance

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 of moving from an existing 15 feet 10 inch 2 3 setback in the front to proposed 9 foot 10 4 inch setback in the front which is 6 feet. 5 Do you wish to maintain that or do we need б to add an extra? 7 MR. LINDNER: Could we amend it for the soffit, whatever the distance 8 9 is, the 2 feet for the soffit? 10 MS. STECICH: Does it have to be 2 feet? 11 12 MR. GIBBONS: We can reduce it to 18 inches, but the 2 feet would 13 14 match what we have there. MR. DOVELL: What is there 15 now has to be removed. It looks like it 16 17 is a bar that goes across the face of the 18 garage. They are going to have to demolish that in any event. So you're 19 free to reconstruct it any way you want, I 20 21 think. 22 MR. GIBBONS: In the front, 23 yes, we do have a little more freedom. 24 MR. DOVELL: You also have 25 the same problem on the side yards because

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 you are extending out the same 2 feet. 3 MR. GIBBONS: The intention 4 was to match that whole area, so those are 5 concerns. б CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So I 7 guess the only question is can -- if we 8 need to adjust --9 MS. STECICH: Well, I think 10 you need to adjust it. The question is how much. Do you want to give them 2 feet 11 12 or can it be done in one foot? I don't 13 know. 14 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I think the question really is put to you, 15 16 Mr. Gibbons. What is the minimum 17 necessary in the front for the overhang 18 given the size of the new vehicle and the 19 need? MR. GIBBONS: I think 18 20 21 inches would be the right number. And the 22 concern I have about coming in on the 23 sides, if that is another matter, if we 24 can't match the sides, we are going to 25 have an irregular shape coming in to meet

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 maybe 18 inches as opposed to 2 feet. But 2 3 the front we have a lot more freedom, 4 because it is squared off and we are just 5 coming forward 18 inches or 2 feet, б whatever we decide. 7 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So if we 8 were to permit an additional 18 inches in 9 the front, it would be a variance of 8 feet 4 inches rather than 9 feet 10 10 11 inches. 12 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: I'd like to pursue a concern that I have. Let 13 14 me preface this by saying as far as the firehouse is concerned, I'm all in favor 15 16 of that. However, in granting a variance 17 for the area, we are granting that to the 18 building, not to the fire department. And I'm concerned as to what the future might 19 bring. What is the organizational 20 21 structure of your firehouse? It is not a 22 village property, is it not? Is that 23 correct? 24 MR. LINDNER: Correct. 25 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Could

18

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 you tell me a little bit about that? 2 3 MR. LINDNER: Hang on one 4 second. 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Please б identify yourself for the record. 7 MR. SARFATY: James Sarfaty, assistant fire chief. The firehouse 8 9 itself is a 501 C-3 organization. It is a not for profit. We have been in existence 10 for 98 years. We will continue to be in 11 12 existence. We have our centennial 13 obviously in 2010. We don't plan on going 14 anywhere. The engine itself has been designed 15 for a life expectancy of 25 plus years, so 16 17 we plan on being at 83 Euclid Avenue for at least beyond that for that time. So 18 the existence of the firehouse certainly 19 will not change in the foreseeable future 20 21 as well as the company. So we don't plan 22 on going anywhere, modifying the property 23 in any way, shape or form other than what 24 we are proposing tonight to house the new 25 engine.

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 2 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: You 3 wouldn't have much room to vary it anyhow. 4 MR. SARFATY: Well, no. 5 That's the thing. So we plan on being б there for the foreseeable future. 7 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: It 8 still leaves open this problem for me 9 because this goes on in perpetuity. If now as a 501 C-3 I understand that you'd 10 have to, if you ever closed down, there 11 12 are a lot of state requirements for moving 13 into another 501 C-3. You couldn't sell 14 the property to a commercial for commercial use, et cetera, et cetera, et 15 16 cetera. So this may not be as big a 17 problem. 18 MR. SARFATY: No. From what we understand, should Riverview Manor 19 20 itself, Riverview Manor Hose Company No. 3 21 dissolve, the property would have to be 22 assumed by another organization that would 23 assume fire protection services to the 24 village of Hastings. So it has to 25 continue in the present operation that it

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 is in now. 3 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Okay. 4 MR. SARFATY: Does that 5 answer your question? б MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Yes, it 7 does. I'm going to cross my fingers. 8 MS. STECICH: 25 years. 9 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: That's a fair amount of time. 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 11 Stan? 12 MR. PYCIOR: My question is, 13 Mr. Gibbons noted that if we were to 14 change or if he were to change the size of the overhang on the soffit, it wouldn't 15 16 match the existing overhang on the side. So would that mean we would have to note 17 that in the side yard variance; we'd 18 actually be granting 2 more feet of 19 20 extension or intrusion into the side yard? CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 21 22 Mr. Gibbons, is that accurate? 23 MR. GIBBONS: That is 24 accurate. Yes. 25 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Would it

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 only be on the north side, I take it, from the looks of the drawing? 3 4 MR. GIBBONS: The elevation 5 we have, this is a pretty good description of the elevation. It shows it coming out 6 7 on both sides. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes, but 9 the side yard setback on the south side is based on the house behind the garage which 10 is --11 12 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Which is -- well, that's much closer to the side 14 yard setback. 15 16 MR. GIBBONS: That's 17 correct. 18 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So the only variance in addition that you would 19 need on the side yard is to the north 20 21 side? 22 MR. GIBBONS: Correct. 23 Yes. 24 MR. SHARMA: Actually, it 25 would be the minimum that is required on

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 one side. By doing so it would be less 2 3 than 12 feet. And the total of the two 4 sides would also be less than what is 5 required is 30 feet. So actually, if you 6 take 18 inches on both sides, so the total 7 of the two sides is reduced by 3 feet or 8 18 inches. 9 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: 18 10 inches creates a problem of continuity on 11 the side. 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: No. I think the problem is only on the north 13 side which has the shorter distance. It 14 has the 12 foot 1 inch side yard. So that 15 is being reduced an additional 18 inches. 16 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Or 17 maybe 2 feet. 18 MR. GIBBONS: Perhaps the 19 existing roof top overhang. 20 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That 22 would be 10 feet one inch proposed instead 23 of 12 feet one inch proposed on the north 24 side. That would reduce the total to 27 25 feet 6 and a half inches instead of what

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 is currently proposed as 28 feet 6 and a 2 3 half inches and requires 30 feet total. 4 Okay. And that's just to add the 5 necessary soffit overhang on the front and б on the north side. 7 MR. GIBBONS: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So I 9 think at least from my perspective, I 10 think it is important just to emphasize that while it is a substantial variance, 11 12 particularly in my view because of the 13 percent lot area that would be covered 14 after we hopefully grant these variances, it is almost 30 percent of the lot. 25 15 16 percent is permitted. 17 And I just want to make clear for 18 future applicants that we would not normally consider a variance of that 19 magnitude, but for the fact that this is a 20 21 volunteer fire department. It is in 22 existence for 100 years. It is a 23 tremendous value to the community safety, 24 welfare and benefit. And all the 25 neighbors have been thoroughly briefed,

I Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008
and I think particularly given the
importance of having up-to-date technology
and equipment for the community, that
overrides the substantive variance that is
being requested.

7 I think we should note at least one 8 condition in that the proposed addition be 9 limited to no more than 15 feet in height, 10 Mr. Gibbons, because that is not indicated 11 on the plans. Let's just make sure that 12 that height is sufficient.

13 MR. SHARMA: The height of 14 the existing structure itself? 15 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Correct. And then for the board members if we are 16 17 going to make motions, we are going to 18 have to alter the dimensions currently 19 proposed. So in the front yard the proposed variance will be 8 feet 4 inches, 20 21 rather than 9 feet 10 inches. And for 22 variance No. 2 on the side yards, it will 23 actually be 10 feet 1 inch proposed on one 24 side and 27 feet 6 inches total, rather 25 than the required 30 feet. Excuse me. 26

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 feet 6 inches. My addition isn't right. 3 Sorry. MR. SHARMA: May I also 4 5 suggest that we make sure that this 6 variance is for the portion, not for the 7 entire building. 8 MS. STECICH: What I would 9 suggest is you say that you grant the 10 variances to build the building as shown 11 on the drawing of this date. 12 MR. SHARMA: Right. So the 13 building itself will come out --14 MS. STECICH: Right, right. 15 If you tie it to this drawing, then that 16 would make sure that it is covered. 17 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That's a 18 good suggestion, counsel. What --Mr. Gibbons, I'm just struggling for a 19 20 date on the plans. 21 MS. STECICH: There is the 22 receipt date, and we could use the plans received by the building department on 23 24 March 27. 25 MR. GIBBONS: That's the

1 Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 2 most accurate. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We'll use the March 27, 2008 received stamp from 4 5 Hastings-on-Hudson. б Does anyone else in the 7 audience wish to be heard? Seeing none, hearing none, board members, any other 8 9 questions? Ready? Stan, if we could. 10 MS. STECICH: I just have a preliminary motion. Before you vote on 11 12 the variance, you have to vote whether it 13 has any significant environmental impacts. 14 I know the board doesn't usually do that. That is because most of the applications 15 16 that come before the board are for area 17 variances for one or two-family houses. 18 Those don't need review under SEQRA. But because this is an -- not a one or 19 two-family house, it does. 20 21 The planning board did already 22 issue a negative declaration on the site 23 plan, but because we didn't go through 24 coordinated review, the board would --

25 this board will also have to pass a

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 resolution that says we don't have any 3 significant environmental impacts before 4 you vote on the variance. 5 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: I will б so move. 7 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do I have 8 a second? 9 MR. PYCIOR: I will second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All in 10 favor of a negative declaration under 11 12 SEQRA for the proposed variance? 13 Aye. 14 MR. DOVELL: Aye. MR. PYCIOR: Aye. 15 16 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Aye. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 17 That was a unanimous vote of four members of the 18 19 board. All right. Thank you, counsel. MR. PYCIOR: Okay. I'd like 20 21 to make a motion on the first variance. 22 That is for the front yard setback. The 23 existing nonconforming setback is 15 feet 24 10 inches. The proposed now is 8 feet 4 25 inches. The required is 30 feet. The

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 setback would be applied to the plans 3 received by the village on March 27, 2008 4 with the height of the new construction 5 being no greater than 15 feet or the б height of the existing front of the 7 structure. 8 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All in 10 favor? 11 MR. DOVELL: Aye. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Aye. 12 13 MR. PYCIOR: Aye. 14 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Aye. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 15 Variance 16 No. 1 unanimously approved. Do I have a motion for No. 2? 17 MR. PYCIOR: I'd like to 18 make a motion that we approve the side 19 yard variance. The existing and proposed 20 are setbacks of 10 feet 1 inch on one side 21 22 and a total of 26 feet 6 and a half inches 23 for the total two side yard setbacks. 24 Required is a single setback of 12 feet or 25 a total of 30 feet.

29

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do I have 3 a second? 4 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Second. 5 CHAIIRMAN MURPHY: All in 6 favor? 7 MR. DOVELL: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Aye. 9 MR. PYCIOR: Aye. MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Aye. 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Unanimous 11 12 vote on variance No. 2. 13 MR. PYCIOR: Anyone want to take a shot on the third? I'd like to 14 15 make a motion to approve the variance for 16 lot coverage. The existing nonconforming is 27.98 percent of the lot. The proposed 17 is 29.73 percent of the lot. Permitted in 18 19 this area is 25 percent of the lot. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do I have 20 21 a second? 22 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: You 23 have a second. 24 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All in 25 favor?

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 MR. DOVELL: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Aye. 4 MR. PYCIOR: Aye. 5 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Aye. б CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Vote 7 No. 3 is also unanimous on the variance. 8 Thank you very much. 9 Final order of business is to approve the minutes from our last 10 meeting on March 27, 2008. The minutes 11 12 have been distributed in advance of the 13 meeting. If the board members have had an 14 opportunity to read through the minutes 15 and offer any corrections, otherwise, I'll 16 entertain a motion to approve the minutes from the March 27, 2008 board meeting. 17 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: I move 18 19 for approval of the minutes. 20 MR. PYCIOR: I'll second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All in 21 22 favor? 23 MR. DOVELL: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Aye. 25 MR. PYCIOR: Aye.

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 1 2 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Our next 4 meeting is scheduled for May 22, Thursday, 5 May 22, 2008. And so if anyone -- if any б of the board members have trouble meeting 7 that, please let us know. 8 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: What is 9 the anticipated summer meeting date? Do 10 we have a --11 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We 12 usually meet --13 MR. SHARMA: There is no 14 meeting in August, and in September is 15 earlier. 16 MR. FORBES-WATKINS: So there will be a July, the regular 17 Thursday, fourth Thursday. 18 19 MS. STECICH: Fourth 20 Thursday. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 21 And I 22 think we are the second Thursday in September, David. But we'll have to check 23 24 that. 25 MR. SHARMA: Yes. Next time

Zoning Board of Appeals - 4/24/2008 I can have it for you. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The meeting is adjourned. Thank you, everyone. (Meeting adjourned at 8:37 p.m.)

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK) 3) ss 4 COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER) 5 б 7 I, Nina Purcell, Notary Public within and 8 for the State of New York, do hereby certify: 9 10 That I reported the proceedings in the within entitled matter, and that the within 11 12 transcript is a true record of said 13 proceedings. 14 15 I further certify that I am not 16 related to any of the parties to the action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way 17 interested in the outcome of this matter. 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 20 set my hand this 2nd day of May, 2008. 21 22 23 NINA PURCELL, NOTARY PUBLIC 24 25