

**VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
REGULAR MEETING
NOVEMBER 18, 2003**

A Regular Meeting was held by the Board of Trustees on Tuesday, November 18, 2003 at 8:55 p.m. in the Meeting Room, Municipal Building, 7 Maple Avenue.

PRESENT: Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr., Trustee Michael Holdstein, Trustee Bruce Jennings, Trustee Marjorie Apel, Trustee Peter Swiderski, Village Manager Neil P. Hess, Village Attorney Brian Murphy, and Village Clerk Susan Maggiotto.

CITIZENS: Four (4).

APPROVAL OF WARRANTS

On MOTION of , SECONDED by with a voice vote of all in favor, the following Warrants were approved:

Multi-Fund No. 31-2003-04 \$ 89,241.05

Multi-Fund No. 32-2003-04 \$ 713.00

Multi-Fund No. 34-2003-04 \$165,548.11

92:03 ESTABLISHMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH BOARD

Trustee Jennings: I spoke about this at the last meeting in recommending it. I would favor appointing the board, getting it established, letting it meet, and talking about the kind of function and scope that it might undertake. It will be advisory to the Board of Trustees and to the Village; it will add to the expertise that we draw upon from our Village residents, and nicely complement the work of the Safety Council, the Recreation Commission, and the Youth Council in matters of public health and safety. There are many overlapping issues, and I would see it working cooperatively with the already-existing commissions. It would be a value added to the Village.

Trustee Apel: I want to commend Bruce for recommending this; it is a wonderful addition to our community in terms of the advice that we are going to get. Why did we not think of it sooner? Thank you, Bruce.

Mayor Kinnally: Bruce, it is a wonderful idea, and it will allow us to have a great resource, not just in times of peril as we had with the anthrax scare and everything else, but day-to-day activities so we can get another perspective on it from the professionals who deal with this on a daily basis. So thank you.

On MOTION of Trustee Holdstein, SECONDED Trustee Jennings by the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees establish a Public Health Board, consisting of five members, with a term of office of three years, such terms to be staggered initially (one for one year, two for two years, two for three years). Such Board shall advise the Board of Trustees and Village Manager on issues of concern relative to public health that may be referred to it. The Public Health Board shall be appointed by the Board of Trustees and shall serve without compensation.

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Michael Holdstein	X	
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Peter Swiderski	X	
Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr.	X	

93:03 FREE HOLIDAY PARKING

Village Manager Hess: You have a letter from the downtown partnership requesting the free two-hour meter parking that we have had for a number of years. The partnership and the businesses recognize that tires will be chalked; there will be enforcement. After the two hours we have what is called a meter angel ticket, which gives an extra half-hour at the meter. It has been very effective PR in the past. Most people are aware of the two hour limit and are very good. If people are parked in front of fire hydrants or in fire zones, or in handicapped spaces, they will get ticketed. But we try to extend the meter time. All the restaurants have holiday parties and people coming in, and we try to extend as much courtesy for people shopping in the Village as possible.

On MOTION of Trustee Apel, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees approve free two-hour holiday parking from Saturday, November 29 through Wednesday, December 24, 2003 at the following locations: Boulanger Plaza, Steinschneider Lot, Post Office Lot, Warburton Avenue (North Street to Bridge), Main Street,

Whitman Street, Spring Street, Southside Avenue, Maple Avenue (Spring Street to Municipal Building Driveway).

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Michael Holdstein	X	
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Peter Swiderski	X	
Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr.	X	

94:03 CHANGE ORDER FIRE DEPARTMENT PUMPER TRUCK

Chief Ron Gagliardi, 3rd Assistant Fire Chief: I am from Uniontown Hose Company, and I have Peter Gunther here and Chris DiBenedetto from the committee. We requested four changes. There was the installation of a seventh light in the officers' compartment in the cab. It was an oversight. We had it in the original specs, but over the years that we were going over everything, it wound up we missed it.

Mayor Kinnally: All of the material submitted is self-explanatory, and I am going to open it up if anybody has any questions.

Trustee Holdstein: A rear intake to the right rear for \$2,800: what does that mean?

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: That is the rear suction. When we hit a hydrant we go into the rear of the truck. Because of the configuration of the tank and the rear compartments, we did not know exactly if that pipe would go in. It had to wind up going to the engineering department after the specs were already taken care of. We found out that because of the configuration of the tank and the rear compartment we could get that pipe in now, so that is why it was not included in the original specs.

Mayor Kinnally: And it improves the efficiency of the truck?

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: Yes, it does.

Trustee Apel: What is a roll-out tray, and what is a 12-volt power stud? I do not know what these are, but I presume you need them.

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: It is a roll-out tray that holds equipment. We did not know, again, because of the configuration and the size of the tank.

Trustee Apel: Where were you going to put the stuff if you did not have the tray?

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: Inside, in the wheel wells, anywhere we can. But now everything will go on a roll-out tray.

Trustee Apel: Now, is this it? Are you coming back again for more stuff?

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: As far as we know, there should not be anything else. We have a meeting tomorrow night with the salesman just to go over everything again, but as of right now there should be no other change orders.

Trustee Swiderski: I agree. I am amazed at what these companies can charge for a spare air bottle rack. I have an image of something fairly straightforward stuck to the side of a truck. It is amazing that they can charge \$580 and get away with it.

Assistant Fire Chief Gagliardi: It is all the configuration. They might have to move something just to make two extra inches on the inside.

Village Manager Hess: The change order is a little over 1% of the total bid, and the original estimate on the bids was \$475,000. So we are still well within that even with this list.

On MOTION of Trustee Holdstein, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees approve the change order to specifications for the Fire Department Pumper Truck in the amount of \$4,769.00 to be paid from bond proceeds.

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Michael Holdstein	X	
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Peter Swiderski	X	
Mayor Wm. Lee Kinnally, Jr.	X	

Village Manager Hess: I was going to mention in the Village Manager's report that you guys did a great job on that fire at Farragut and Saunders. Wonderful job.

VILLAGE MANAGER'S REPORT

Village Manager Hess: I want to compliment Bob Schnibbe on the wonderful job he did on our insurance coverage. I have been sending you e-mails and notes for the last eight months on how hard he has been working on this. He came up with quotes that we bound yesterday that will save us \$102,000 next year in our insurance. I want to compliment Bob, Susan, Tom O'Sullivan, Mike Gunther; they all did a great job in putting our best face forward to a gentleman from St. Paul who came here and who wrote the insurance.

Pool update: all demolition is on schedule. We have finally gotten final approvals from Westchester County Department of Health, all signed plans and approvals. We have bi-weekly contractor meetings, and there are still a few things to straighten out, but for the most part everything is moving along well. We are looking at potential change orders in some things we had talked about previously, but took out of the original bids because of cost limitations. It appears we might have some flexibility. We are asking the GC to give us prices on the turnaround area and handicapped railing for the seniors. We are hoping to have some offset credits. There will not be any additional money, but it will add some positives to the pool construction.

Our Citizen Corps has been meeting on a regular basis. Westchester County has a volunteer disaster service, and they are offering 20 hours of training at the Valhalla training center. Members of the Corps are signing up for the training. I want to congratulate them on their work. They have been to several drills with the fire department, and have assisted on the emergency bus in learning how to fill out reports and work the radios.

Trustee Apel: Can people still join and, if so, how do they do that?

Village Manager Hess: They can contact my office and we will be able to get them information on the Citizen Corps.

I want to welcome two new restaurants that opened up recently on Main Street. Nineteen Main is one, the former Manzi's, and Y Cook. We welcome both to our downtown and into the downtown partnership.

I want to wish the Village of Hastings a Happy Birthday. Today is the 124th birthday; the Village was incorporated November 18, 1879.

BOARD DISCUSSION AND COMMENTS

1. Update on the Waterfront

Mayor Kinnally: The public hearing is tomorrow on OU-2 under the aegis of the DEC. We had a very informative meeting last week on OU-1, the land site. According to George Heitzman, the DEC has a very complicated and ambitious proposal for cleaning up the water. The meeting tomorrow is going to be a lot more technical and detailed.

Mark Chertok and I met with counsel for ARCO and with the consultant for ARCO to hear ARCO's reactions to the cleanup plan that is incorporated in OU-2. We are looking at to what extent we might need technical and engineering input to assist us in preparing any comments to the PRAP for OU-2. We are going to talk with Malcolm Pirnie and the Riverkeeper. The comment period for both OU-1 and OU-2 is through December 29, 2003.

We still do not have a fully-executed consent decree. I do not think ARCO's individual has signed it.

Trustee Jennings: Will we have a public meeting for public input on a draft of our comment before we submit it to the state? Or will we submit a document to the state on behalf of the Village without such an extra step?

Mayor Kinnally: I do not know. I am trying to think what we did when we put in comments to the PRAP five years ago. Neil, do you remember if we had public comment on it?

Village Manager Hess: I do not recall that the Board had a public review of the PRAP comments, but if you wanted to you would have to act on it on December 16. You could send a draft out on e-mail and post it on our Website several days before that, if you wanted to have a comment period during that meeting on the 16th.

Trustee Jennings: I do not have a personal view on what the process should be, but I am mindful of the time frame. If we want to have a more inclusive type of process we are up against a fairly stringent schedule.

Mayor Kinnally: Absolutely. My understanding from talking to the people at ARCO is that they have requested an extension of time to comment on OU-2 from the DEC, and the DEC has said no.

Trustee Swiderski: I agree that the water section of the remediation, the OU-2, is new territory for us. But I would not let it scare anyone off from attending the meetings because the documentation is surprisingly well written. I am out of my depth on judging whether it is a proper cleanup or not, but as far as clarity goes nobody should fear showing up tomorrow and being deluged with strange concepts.

Trustee Jennings: People should not be deterred from participating in the meeting and the process because of a concern about not understanding the technical details. The technical details are not the essence of the document. The essence of the document is a cost/benefit analysis that draws on social values. And that is the kind of input that every citizen can give us that is valuable in assessing a document like that and the value judgements that go into it. It is partly what is physically possible, but a great deal of it is what is best for this community, what is best for the state, what is fair to all parties involved, and what is the best approach on balance. There is no perfect approach; it is a trade-off. Every citizen of this village has the ability to form a judgment about those matters, and they should come forward.

2. Kinnally Cove

Village Planner Walker: You asked me to investigate the mitigation question in Kinnally Cove; it is complex, but I got a few answers. Sandeep Mehotra talked to me about what the Army Corps of Engineers requires, and I talked to the DEC about their requirements. Sandeep said that if you are putting the structure in a sub-tidal area, they require that you count that plus the area of disturbance, which is usually about 15 feet on each side of that. That is the amount of mitigation that you are required to do. So this piece would be about 9,000 square feet, and this is about 36,000 square feet.

We have a new liaison at the DEC and the surprising answer from her was that any kind of wetland or aquatic habitat that is funded by environmental bond fund monies cannot go toward mitigation. If all of it is being funded by the bond fund monies, then it cannot be counted as mitigation for the permanent structures we are putting in. But maybe only a portion of it is going to be paid for. At any rate, that raised a lot of questions. She suggested that we have a pre-application meeting, either here or in Annandale-on-Hudson, with the permitting people as well as the grant people, and we will go over the plans and figure out what kinds of mitigation they would require. Typically, it is a joint permitting process with the Army Corps of Engineers.

I think that we should look at this as an amenity in and of itself and not as mitigation. She said probably if we are building the breakwater in order to create wave attenuation for the aquatic habitat, they might not then require mitigation for the boardwalk. But she was not sure. So there are a lot of issues that have to be resolved.

Trustee Holdstein: We are spending a lot of time on a project that I am not thrilled about as it relates to this creation of this wetlands. I do not want to keep spending the community's time, money, Meg's time, on creating this tidal marsh which I do not think is the best use of that space, unless I am alone on this. We have not discussed it as a board. And to keep this map as our plan, unless I am the lone person on this, is one part of spinning the wheel on the cove development.

Mayor Kinnally: I am not sure we are ready to say yea or nay on it because there are some questions that are still unresolved. We should at least find out more information from the DEC.

Trustee Holdstein: If there are two other members of this board that agree with me, then, and I mean this with no disrespect to anyone who has worked long and hard on this, but we basically effectively blow this up and start all over with what we want to do with the cove. We are wasting time to keep going down this road.

Mayor Kinnally: We have a grant so we cannot just blow it off.

Village Planner Walker: We have \$50,000 from the DEC for aquatic habitat.

Trustee Holdstein: So the acquiring of the grant is going to force us into doing something we may not want to do?

Mayor Kinnally: At the very least we have to meet with the DEC to get answers to the questions and to discuss the whole concept. It does not commit us to it, but it would not be prudent now that we have gotten a grant to say forget about it to the DEC. I do not have enough information to say I want to go with it or I want to go another way.

Village Manager Hess: Meg had promised to give us an update tonight, which she has done. We have one more step. I would hope we could get them to come here.

Village Planner Walker: After we get the information from the Army Corps and the DEC we will lay it out one last time and the Board can make a decision to move forward on the tidal marshland or move to a different plan.

Trustee Holdstein: I still think this is the tail wagging the dog. If this board does not have an interest in creating a tidal wetland in Kinnally Cove then whether we have a \$50,000 grant becomes irrelevant. We are allowing the fact that we have a grant dictate what we are going to do there.

Mayor Kinnally: Not necessarily. There still has to be mitigation and we do not know the extent of that. Let me add one other thing. If the remediation in the Hudson River occurs the way it is set out in the PRAP for OU-2, this may have to be put on hold. What is this going to do with the ability to use the Hudson River? It could be a five-year period. We may not be able to do anything. It may be foolish to say we are going to get people into the river if you cannot kayak through there because of the barges and dredges and pile drivers.

Trustee Holdstein: What I am suggesting is that I would like to go back to the drawing board because I do not think that this aquatic wetlands concept is the right choice.

Village Planner Walker: We have been talking about this cove for three years now, with dozens and dozens of people coming to Park and Rec meetings, Marinello Cove meetings, Conservation Commission meetings, Planning Board meetings. We have had a lot of discussions with the public about it. The aquatic habitat did not come out of a vacuum, and it did not come out in order to mitigate. It came because people said that it would be a lot more attractive to have beautiful grasslands and wild animals, birds and fish down there rather than a smelly mud flat, which is what it is now at low tide. At high tide, it is attractive because it is all water. But as that tide goes out this whole thing empties out, and it is just mud, not attractive to birds or animals or people. This is a lovely grassland, not a swamp. It would look like a meadow. You would have a fish nursery. There would be better fishing. There would be a lot of things to observe, a lot of things to enjoy. And that is where the idea came from. The DEC at first said we would have to do this in order to mitigate, for the pier structure. But the idea did not originally come from there.

Trustee Holdstein: But we have not seen any other broad-stroked alternatives and I am not convinced that in five years this is going to look as attractive and as appealing.

Village Planner Walker: Actually there was another alternative.

Village Manager Hess: This has been discussed for three years. I was not a big proponent of the tidal marshland. I have been convinced. Let us take the next step, let us meet with the DEC and the Army Corps, and then we will get that information back to the Board and you can make a final decision. But, as opposed to debating it now with insufficient information,

does the Board want us to move forward based on the second plan, the one that is underneath the last one we talked about, to get you the additional information?

Mayor Kinnally: Does the Board want to pull the plug at this point, or do we want to move forward and at least get the information from the DEC?

Trustee Apel: No, I want to pursue it with the DEC.

Trustee Holdstein: I want to start all over. I am not in favor of the wetlands.

Trustee Swiderski: I would like to pursue it with the DEC.

Trustee Jennings: I think we should pursue it.

Mayor Kinnally: I think we should pursue it.

Village Manager Hess: So we will try set up the meeting.

Trustee Swiderski: I visited Swindler Cove at the end of Dyckman Street and it is worth a visit as a sense of what this would look like. It is a brand-new park on the Harlem River that has a marshland very much like this. It made me a little more comfortable, but I wish we could have both that and a beach. But given the realities of probably what is right under the surface, this feels like a better choice. You may want, just for your own piece of mind, to visit and see. You might come away saying no way, but at least you will have a point of comparison.

Mr. Mehotra: I have dealt with the DEC on similar situations. It always works positively if they feel that the permittee has already thought of mitigation. The Army Corp told me is that if the footprint is within a certain threshold it would go under the nationwide permits, which has a public review period but it is more ministerial. So the onus that the Army Corps might put on us might not be too much. It is mostly the DEC that we will have to convince. U.S. Fish and Wildlife still looks at it, but in the last three years of my dealing with them they have not come up with any significant requirements.

Village Planner Walker: I am going to investigate with them also what the money can be spent on. When the DEC people were here before they said that they are fairly open-minded about how the aquatic habitat money is spent. If we decided we wanted to do something much smaller, and it is at our risk, if we wanted to do something quite different, they would still be willing to give us the grant.

Village Manager Hess: When I talked to the DEC they said we could mitigate at another location.

Mayor Kinnally: Can that money go to the other boardwalk for wave attenuation?

Village Planner Walker: It is necessary to have wave attenuation. So it may be able to, yes. I feel confident that if we need more money for aquatic habitat we can get it because there is a lot of money out there for it.

Trustee Jennings: We have had a little difficulty moving forward with this project because a process is often followed that sometimes has a glitch in it. A committee is set up, or a consultant hired, or a body deliberates for a long period of time with a lot of input, and comes up with a design or a plan. Sometimes we are given several different options; sometimes we are given only one or two designs. We have not been privy to all of the background discussion and deliberation. It is tempting but perhaps unwise for us to repeat that process ourselves, or try to get involved in the details of the design, because we do not have the benefit of the expertise that went into it in the first place. But we are the final decision makers, so we have to give it a shot. I prefer a process that gives us a) a written report rather than a verbal report, and b) two or three or four alternative drawings and designs rather than just one. It may not have been possible in this case. I have my own reservations. I do not want a smelly old mud flat, but I do not understand fully why the salt marsh is the only alternative. If there are good reasons why that is so, I need to hear them again. We should move forward, but I am somewhat sympathetic to Michael's feeling. We are not being railroaded. But it is better to have more designs to choose from rather than fewer.

Village Planner Walker: I appreciate what you said, Bruce. This is a concept that came out of committees and a lot of input from a lot of people, representing a distillation of their views. We thought that it would be better to have a concept, if not approved, at least endorsed by the Board before we issue an RFP to get a landscape architect and engineer on board. But that is not to say that discussions are over, and there probably are other options. We are just trying to get the overall concept figured out so that when the consultant comes on board they will be able to look at it and say, is it a tidal marsh or not a tidal marsh.

Mr. Mehotra: The salt marsh is not the only option, but it is definitely the most cost effective option. Can we do a beach? Sure we can do a beach. But to meet the safety standards, to meet the viability of a beach, the costs involved will be much more than what we are talking about. If the Village decides that they want a recreational facility with a beach, physically it is possible. But we would have to take most of the fill out, and then bring it back with sand. It does cause more impacts. You would probably end up doing

much more mitigation because now you are filling an area. The Army Corps is not going to take that lightly. They are going to require mitigation for that.

Mayor Kinnally: And there is another problem, too. Whatever you do with the beach, you are still going to have, three-quarters of the time, no water there. You are never going to be able to go from the beach without sinking down into the mud.

Village Manager Hess: A beach is created but it is not Jones Beach. There is no swimming. This has not been certified by the DEC for swimming. There is only certified swimming down to the Tappan Zee Bridge.

Mr. Mehotra: When I joined the committee there were a lot of people who wanted a beach there for the children. But safety is again an issue. You have to take out the fill, you have to do something with the barge that is partially submerged there. Part of the area will be landscaped with upland plants; essentially we are just filling it up and planting it so that it is obscured and it does not look unsightly. Just to give you an overview of the thinking.

Trustee Jennings: I appreciate that very much, and I appreciate the work that you and Ellen and everyone who was involved put into it. I realize that sometimes a written report is unrealistic to ask people to do. Nevertheless, for me, to either go through the reasoning and rule out the various alternatives, which a written report presumably would have done, or perhaps I ought to sit down with you and have a longer conversation, I would understand it better. But I feel like I have not been through that, and therefore I feel a little funny about making decisions now about the one design that I have seen.

3. Other

Trustee Apel: Now that the Division Street design has been turned down by the ZBA, what happens next? Does this still stay under the Affordable Housing Committee? Who is handling it? Will there be calls to other developers? What is the process?

Village Manager Hess: It is still in the Affordable Housing Committee, and they have been designated by the Town of Greenburgh as the preferred developer for the site. There is a meeting this Thursday between Affordable Housing and A&F to determine if there is going to be a next step. IF anybody else has a plan that they would like to provide, they should forward it.

Trustee Apel: Does it have to stay affordable?

Mayor Kinnally: If it is not going to be affordable, then the Town of Greenburgh has to rethink the entire process; their deal with us assumes that it is affordable, and that is our contribution to help, in part, make it affordable. If the town is going to open this up to developers, the whole calculation is going to change. They want to try to realize some of their back taxes on this. Those are good questions. I do not know if the dust has settled sufficiently to get a good picture as to what is going to happen.

Trustee Apel: Now that the process has changed, does it stay with A&F or can anybody else go to the drawing board?

Village Manager Hess: If there are other groups that want to put together something, they should do it.

Trustee Apel: There had been some criticism that the affordable housing should have gone out again and made a call for plans. Just us saying that may not be sufficient. If the plan has gone down, does this start all over again and should the affordable housing go out and do that? I do not know who makes that decision.

Mayor Kinnally: Affordable housing does.

Trustee Apel: I had a discussion with Paul Feiner. He indicated to me that if we do not want affordable housing it is whatever the Village wants, and that we should probably be talking with him. If we decide that we want to do something else, that is perfectly fine with him. I guess he just wants a return on his money. And that being the case, is that a decision that is made by this board and then we would redirect the Affordable Housing Committee, or talk to Paul Feiner?

Mayor Kinnally: There are a lot of questions. We do not have a lot of answers. Let us get that meeting first. Paul says whatever we want there, but I am sure his asking price is going to change depending on what is there.

Trustee Apel: The Affordable Housing Committee has been working on this for a long time. I certainly do not want them to proceed if there is a change in what we want to do there. I think we need to talk about it.

Mayor Kinnally: I agree, but let them meet and see what it is. Remember, the opposition was not that it was affordable. The opposition was to the scale and to the variances that they were looking for. If it is not affordable, and a garden variety developer comes in to turn a substantial profit, I do not know if those roadblocks go away. They may be aggravated. Let

us revisit this at our next meeting after we get more input from A&F and from the Affordable Housing Committee.

Trustee Swiderski: Neil, I want to revisit what you said earlier. Not that you mis-spoke, but I do not think you meant it. I do not think they are welcoming alternate proposals for that site. I do not think a process has been initiated where the Affordable Housing Committee has said, come down and give us alternate ideas. I do not think we are there yet.

Mayor Kinnally: Marge had said that there was criticism. There was criticism from one individual who represents one entity that the process had not been opened up. I had a conversation with that individual in my office. He said he had a very good idea with lower impact that would work. I told him to reach out to the developer and share his concept with him, and maybe they can partner. He has not called yet.

Village Manager Hess: And actually I did not mis-speak. If other people have specific proposals, they should put them in writing and send them to the Affordable Housing Committee.

Mayor Kinnally: I do not know if they have ever issued an RFP.

Village Manager Hess: But at least two individuals have indicated, I can do something different. Put it in writing. Show us what you can do, show the Affordable Housing Committee what you can do.

Trustee Apel: There was also the complaint that they did not want to have massive affordable housing put just in their community. I would like to go back to the concept that if this developer puts a little here and a little there and a little there, and he puts market rate in there, you will end up having the same amount of affordable units because people do not want as many units in that area, period. And you end up with the same amount of affordable housing units anyway.

Trustee Holdstein: No, you wouldn't. Not even close.

Trustee Apel: Those 18 units are out, so it was going to be reduced anyway. If you reduce it because it was too massive and you come down a certain amount of units, then you are going to have fewer units in general. You would then put the 10% affordable units back into Main Street and that other place. My feeling has been that I do not want all the affordable housing in one place. The concept of the zone was to spread things out.

Trustee Swiderski: I think what Marge is looking for, and certainly I would agree, I do not want that piece of property to die. I wish it had worked out otherwise. But now that it has, I do not want it to die and I hope that it is reopened, if necessary.

Village Planner Walker: On the walkability communities workshop, I wanted to give this draft report to you for your review, and see if you have any questions. I am going to ask other participants to look it over.

We have a grant from the DOT which we are finalizing for \$40,000 to do a comprehensive transportation plan and pedestrian improvements. The fellow from DOT who is responsible for the grant asked me if rather than doing the comprehensive plan would we prefer to spend all the money on pedestrian improvements. I told him I would ask the Board. Perhaps we do not have to spend a lot of time doing a comprehensive transportation plan, and we could do a pedestrian plan or a sidewalk plan and use most of the money to go toward what we really want which is to make our streets safer. So I would like you to look that over, and if you have questions or comments, let me know..

EXECUTIVE SESSION

On MOTION of Trustee Holdstein, SECONDED by Trustee Apel with a voice vote of all in favor, the Board scheduled an Executive Session immediately following the Regular Meeting to discuss personnel.

ADJOURNMENT

On MOTION of Trustee Apel, SECONDED by Trustee Swiderski a voice vote of all in favor, Mayor Kinnally adjourned the Regular Meeting at 10:15 p.m.