

VILLAGE OF HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 17, 2013

A Regular Meeting was held by the Board of Trustees on Tuesday, September 17, 2013 at 7:50 p.m. in the Meeting Room, Municipal Building, 7 Maple Avenue.

PRESENT: Mayor Peter Swiderski, Trustee Bruce Jennings, Trustee Marjorie Apel, Trustee Meg Walker, Trustee Nicola Armacost, Village Manager Francis A. Frobela, and Village Clerk Susan Maggiotto

CITIZENS: Eight (8).

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On MOTION of Trustee Armacost, SECONDED by Trustee Walker with a voice vote of all in favor, the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of September 3, 2013 were approved as presented.

APPROVAL OF WARRANTS

On MOTION of Trustee Armacost, SECONDED by Trustee Walker with a voice vote of all in favor, the following Warrant was approved:

Multi-Fund No. 20-2013-14 \$282,670.17

PUBLIC COMMENTS

John Gonder, 153 James Street: I know, Mr. Mayor, you meet with other mayors. If you meet with the one in Irvington you ought to find out how they can put in a lot of sidewalks. This summer they have been doing a lot of sidewalks. The last sidewalk in Hastings was on Washington Avenue, and that must be three, four years ago. A year or two ago I pointed out Farragut Avenue down by Saunders Street, has a patch 10 yards, not even blacktop. It is terrible. Our main thoroughfare coming in, the greenway, and we do not have sidewalks. We have some blacktop here and there, but there is at least 10 yards missing blacktop. Farragut Avenue, again down by Green Street, plows and cars break curbing. I do not know who did it, but someone put blacktop where there is cement curbing. It looks terrible. You would not do it up in the Villard area, Circle Drive; you would not do it over in Shadowlawn. But in Ravensdale, Uniontown, anything goes. A temporary repair, it looks terrible. Do not make temporary repairs. Leave it. Do a concrete job, do a decent job. You should all look at it. It is terrible, it is disgusting.

Mayor Swiderski: Village Manager Frobel, is Farragut a Village street, or who is responsible? The county?

Village Manager Frobel: It is work that we did on the center island. We tried to restore some of the curb that was broken up over time. We do not have the capability to pour concrete forms. We saw that as an improvement. There were areas where the curb had been damaged.

Mr. Gonder: Then do not do it. Get a contractor.

Village Manager Frobel: All right, that is your suggestion and I made note of it. Thank you.

**50:13 SCHEDULE PUBLIC HEARING – PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. 6 OF 2013
MODIFYING PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR MULTIFAMILY
RESIDENCE/COMMERCIAL (MR-C) DISTRICT**

Village Manager Frobel: The Village Attorney presented this to the Board, and the Board asked it to be considered for a public hearing for the October 15 meeting.

On MOTION of Trustee Armacost, SECONDED by Trustee Apel the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees schedule a Public Hearing for Tuesday, Oct. 15, 2013 at 7:30 p.m. to consider the advisability of adopting Proposed Local Law No. 6 to amend the Village Code to modify parking requirements for the Multifamily Residence/Commercial (MR-C) district.

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Meg Walker	X	
Trustee Nicola Armacost	X	
Mayor Peter Swiderski	X	

51:13 HASTINGS FARMERS' MARKET – APPROVAL OF USE OF MUNICIPAL BUILDING PARKING LOT

Sue Smith, Hastings Farmers' Market: This is getting to be a regular habit here. There is nothing new, except that we felt that last year's experiment with two winter markets each month was successful and people really appreciated that, so we want to do that once again. The other months would be in the Community Center. We had done the second and fourth Saturdays before, and we are switching to the first and the third because the fourth one in December goes between Christmas and New Year's.

On MOTION of Trustee Armacost, SECONDED by Trustee Jennings the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees approve the use of the Municipal Building parking lot for the Hastings Farmers' Market on Dec.7 and 21, 2013; Apr.5 and 19, 2014; and May 3 and 17, 2014.

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Meg Walker	X	
Trustee Nicola Armacost	X	
Mayor Peter Swiderski	X	

VILLAGE MANAGER'S REPORT

1. Capital Improvement Plan

Village Manager Frobel: I would like to take time this evening to go over the capital improvement plan. This was first presented to the Trustees in August, 2006. We updated it in January, 2012. We want to go over our accomplishments last year, talk about what we would like to see accomplished in the current year, and then look at next year. The capital improvement plan is a multiyear, 5 year document. For the time being, we thought we would focus our attention on the next two.

The purpose of the capital improvement plan is to identify major investments that are going to be required of the community over a long term. Our goal is to acquire those items when needed. If we can defer, we do. We also are very sensitive to the timing. One of the charts I

want to show tonight is how we try to time the debt structure to minimize the tax consequences. We have not always been successful. As you know, some items jump to the top of the page. Even though it is a plan, it is just that and is subject to change” changes both in the condition of our equipment, which can deteriorate quicker than we anticipated, or events such as upgrading of a communication system which is forced upon us by federal law.

The next slide shows what we are looking to recommend for consideration in the current year. Already, the Trustees have acted upon the requests of the Fire Chiefs for replacement of their command vehicles. These items were all contained in the capital improvement plan when we first presented it. But obviously, numbers have changed and the timing has changed. This year we have addressed the Fire Chiefs' command vehicle needs. We have talked a little about how we believe it is time to replace one of the aging police cars in the fleet. At your last meeting you addressed the need for the DPW 4-wheel drive vehicle. The emergency power generator that is specific to the Community Center, I have talked in the past about how our team looked at our emergency power needs for all our facilities. What we are recommending now is, because of the cost of that, that we focus our attention solely on the Community Center. We had talked about hiring an outside electrical engineer to help us design those specifications. The soft cost for that work was so expensive that we felt we better just refocus and bring our attention to just the one building, address the fire house needs at a future time, but look at the Community Center as our principal shelter during a weather event. What we are looking to do now is designing the specifications ourselves, with a design/build concept. The hope is that with our general guidelines we will be able to attract a bidder who will look at our needs and supply emergency power generation for just the Community Center. Deven Sharma has taken the lead in helping me design those specifications.

The Reynolds Field project, we talked a little bit about that. This is one of those that was contained in the capital project, but not to the extent that you see it before you this evening. Obviously, on October 22, if the voters do not support that program, then that number will change and we will come back to you with some recommendations for just upgrading the existing field. But if it is supported, and you are inclined to support the notion of upgrading the playfield, we have got a number in there for what that may cost us.

And then finally, we need to continue to address our heavy equipment needs for our DPW. This is the twin to one that you replaced last year. It is a 1998 2-yard dump truck, one that is used principally during snow removal operations, which is in risk of being taken off the road because of its condition. Jerry Daley, our lead mechanic, is very sensitive to operator safety, and he is the one that tells me, Fran, it is beyond repair. We are not at that point, but I am afraid you may hear from us. If we do not do something near-term, that may be one less truck that we have to rely on during winter snow removal operations.

That is the package that we boiled down for the current year. We have been in contact with bond counsel. He is the individual who will prepare the borrowing anticipation note resolution for you. That may be before you as early as our next meeting. The urgency is only that before we take delivery of the Fire Chiefs' vehicles and the DPW vehicle we need to have the authority to borrow the money. Otherwise, by default we are going to look, as I mentioned in my comments, at either financing offered by the manufacturer or look to your fund balance. Now, looking to the fund balance is not recommended so we need to have a borrowing resolution in hand prior to delivery of those vehicles. It just as easy to prepare the resolutions for all of them. Even though you may not execute or move forward on any of the other projects with the exception of the ones you have already bought, I think we should have that resolution available to us for that eventuality.

The next slide is a series of slides which we have taken of the capital improvement program, and shown you by color code how things were financed. Looking at last year's, we were successful in getting a grant. We purchased a 2012 Chevy Tahoe for the police department. It has been our philosophy to find alternatives to the property tax to fund the cost of any of these pieces of equipment, be it grants or any other means. What we have been doing is, when an outside contractor needs a police detail for traffic control, and with that they need a cruiser, we now charge for the cost of the cruiser. I am happy to report that through Chief Bloomer's efforts we have already earned a little over \$8,000 through the rental of a cruiser. to be used to offset the cost of that vehicle.

Mayor Swiderski: Could you tell us what the codes mean?

Village Manager Frobel: The green is either a grant-funded or an outside funding source, not property tax. The yellow is borrowed money. And the brownish-red is through the operating budget.

Mayor Swiderski: So the first column is done, and column two is the things we have allocated money for, either in the last few weeks and months because of what the Chiefs needed and the DPW needed, those first two yellow things. And below that was what was in the budget. The protective equipment, the hook and ladder building, was already part of the budget.

Village Manager Frobel: All these line items were part of the budget. The dollar amount is varied from what I proposed back in 2006 or 2012. So what the 2013 column shows you, you are exactly right, Mayor. What has been spent in 2014-'15 shows you what we are recommending for the current year.

Trustee Armacost: Is that \$25,000 the first line that is green?

Village Manager Frobela: Yes.

Trustee Armacost: Is that the full amount came in a grant, or \$8,000 came in a grant?

Village Manager Frobela: That was the grant that we received and purchased the 2012 Chevy truck.

Trustee Armacost: The full amount came in a grant.

Village Manager Frobela: Yes.

Trustee Armacost: And so where is the \$8,000?

Village Manager Frobela: The \$8,000 is monies that we have collected from June 1 of this year forward, and is treated as a miscellaneous revenue in the operating budget today.

Trustee Armacost: So the \$30,000, is that \$30,000 and then there is an additional \$8,000? Or does the \$8,000 come away from the \$30,000?

Village Manager Frobela: The \$8,000 would come away from the \$30,000.

Mayor Swiderski: So, effectively, \$8,000 would be that brown-purple color, and \$22,000 would be yellow. Effectively, in the end.

Village Manager Frobela: Or more green; it would be an outside source. It is other than taxpayer dollars. Finally, if you authorize purchase of the cruiser, let us say, then we would use that to offset the cost. We would pay the debt on that cruiser from that money. We would effectively reduce that to a net amount.

Trustee Armacost: So what is the intention for the years moving forward, for 2015, '16, 2017 in terms of what would be debt and what would come out of the operating budget?

Village Manager Frobela: The pattern that I wanted to display for '13 and '14 would, in all likelihood, remain constant. It is unusual to receive a grant for the kind of equipment needs that we are proposing.

Trustee Armacost: But we could take it out of the operating budget. So is there an intention, moving forward, for protective equipment to always come out of the operating budget? Or is the intention to shift that to debt in the future?

Village Manager Frobel: No, that would be appropriate to be operating budget expense because life expectancy is probably less than five years and its value, per man, is probably less than \$5,000. So there are a couple of thresholds that are used, typically, in determining what can or cannot be considered debt. That is more an operating expense. A footnote to that, for protective clothing/equipment it is not uncommon to pursue grant funding. Those are typically items that the federal government from time to time will offer as assistance to firefighters. And that is the kind of money we have sought in the past aggressively. We have not been successful of late, but that is typically the type of product that grant funders would consider.

Trustee Armacost: And the hook and ladder building goes up exponentially in the following year. So is the intention for that to come out of the operating budget?

Village Manager Frobel: It would come out of the operating budget but, in all likelihood, it would remain constant at the \$15,000. The higher amount reflects the desire of the department to continue to aggressively preserve that building. My intent, when I do the budget with them, is to recommend a constant \$15,000.

Trustee Walker: I wonder if there is not some grant program we could use to help make repairs, or restore, the hook and ladder building as a historic building.

Mayor Swiderski: And they have been great on doing a substantial amount of the work themselves. They have been really terrific, all the windows they have installed.

Trustee Walker: But it is on the National Register of Historic Places. It is something worth looking into. It is an important landmark in the downtown.

Trustee Armacost: I agree, Meg. It is worth looking into.

Village Manager Frobel: The next slide, what is recommended next year is the playground apparatus that I spoke about.

Mayor Swiderski: On the \$125,000, how is that number derived?

Village Manager Frobel: A quick conversation with someone in the industry offering Kendra Garrison some concepts as to what we can expect to pay for playground apparatus.

For the site work, my hope is that we would see some economies having that work as part of the specifications for the ball field. The facility, as redesigned, I would suspect we could realize some savings. But the cost of playground apparatus is extremely expensive. The standards, the quality and the type of equipment, long gone are the monkey bars and the swings that some of us used years ago. They are incredible new standards, and that reflects the quality that is expected in the industry now.

Trustee Jennings: Is that estimate neutral in relationship to the school board's plan for the whole site? I seem to remember that given one of their proposed modifications of the site the area where this apparatus would go would shift from its current location to another location and perhaps be larger. So are you thinking that you would have the same apparatus no matter where it went? Or is this \$125,000 assuming that we end up where the school plans put us, and we have the larger site?

Village Manager Frobel: My understanding is that number would reflect some site work and the equipment. Principally the equipment, the apparatus itself. I do not think we can expect any offset from the board of education in their project costs. I do not think their project estimate includes it.

Mayor Swiderski: I think what Bruce is asking is if it turns out we don't move the playground does that number come down?

Trustee Jennings: Again, it is just a general picture that I remember seeing the other night when we had the discussion with the school board. But I am assuming that if it stays put the amount of space we have would suggest a smaller amount of apparatus. If it moves we might be able to expand the amount of apparatus and that surely would affect the cost.

Trustee Armacost: I think some people feel that even if it does move there should be a lot of space kept so children can run around because that was the running-around spot before. So there is debate over what would be involved. But an additional question is, this is only about capital costs, right?

Village Manager Frobel: Yes.

Trustee Armacost: Who is responsible for the labor costs? Is it us or is it them? Because I do not think we budgeted for the labor costs.

Village Manager Frobel: That price, is my understanding from Kendra, would include the installation of the playground apparatus. That is a complete number for the apparatus.

Trustee Apel: But not the development.

Village Manager Frobel: Not the development of the site. Not a bulldozer scraping it out and grading it.

Trustee Armacost: So who is responsible for that?

Village Manager Frobel: They, being the school, would have to be responsible for preparing the site for us if they are going to relocate us.

Trustee Armacost: I think we need to be clear, though, that this is not \$125,000 with an additional \$125,000 for other stuff that makes it available.

Village Manager Frobel: Let me talk to the superintendent and make certain on that. But I thought the question was going to be if we do not move. The idea with a budget like that, if that is what we agree upon and we find out that is a firm number, we can buy as much or as little play apparatus as we would like. We would have to back into it.

Trustee Armacost: The other point is that the playground at Uniontown also needs some help. There has been lots of debate over moving that playground to another area and increasing the parking lot there. And arguably, it would be sensible to do that purchasing at the same time to reduce costs. So there are other considerations. I see Uniontown parking is moved out five or six years. But if the funding from the Lofts comes through, I think there is a whole different conversation that needs to happen. Because that becomes completely subsidized at that point, and none of these things need to be in either the operating budget or in the debt schedule. So the \$125,000, to me, is premature in the sense that if we know that other project is going to go through there is \$425,000 that ends up needing to be used, which can only be used for these kinds of things: for parks and recreation-related costs.

Mayor Swiderski: Tennis courts.

Trustee Armacost: But those things have been moved up. And that becomes a debate. There is a part of me that does not really want to commit to \$125,000.

Mayor Swiderski: Well, we have to if the bond goes through, right?

Trustee Armacost: But we do not have to commit to it out of a bond. We can commit to it out of another source.

Mayor Swiderski: We need the flexibility in case there is no other source.

Trustee Armacost: So if we commit to in the bond, what happens if we do not want to use it then? Then we are spending \$125,000, we are spending the interest on \$125,000 which we are not going to use, because we cannot back out of that, right?

Village Manager Frobel: We would not borrow the money until bills are due, until we know there is a project, then we sign a contract and we are starting to buy the apparatus. And then we pay it, then we are committed.

Trustee Apel: What happens if we do not borrow?

Mayor Swiderski: It is effectively a credit line. It is not a bond.

Village Manager Frobel: You would have it available to you, but until you are ready to buy something with it you are not spending it. Getting back to the Saw Mill River Lofts, it may be a question of timing, as the Mayor indicated. That impact fee payment may not be until those future years, which is why I pushed tennis courts out in Uniontown, because there is so much uncertainty surrounding that.

Trustee Armacost: But why would it be delayed?

Village Manager Frobel: Well, just in timing. Even if it is approved, by the time they do final design work, break ground, build it, take occupancy it could be 18, 24 months or maybe later.

Mayor Swiderski: When is the fee due?

Village Manager Frobel: At issuance of the certificate of occupancy at the end of the project. Right, Meg? Is that your understanding?

Trustee Walker: I think so, yes. Although I wanted to point out that there is also that acre of land that is going to become the Village's as open space. We have to consider what we want to do with that, and that may require some investment as well.

Village Manager Frobel: Right. You may want to use some of that impact fee for some development on that site.

Trustee Walker: That is right. Because we are not just going to leave it. Right now, it is asphalt.

Mayor Swiderski: Right, which they are obligated to remove.

Trustee Walker: That is true. But then what would it be? Gravel or something. So we have to figure out what it is we want to do with that. But that brings me to a larger point. I know we will be committed to perhaps purchasing the playground apparatus at Reynolds Field, but I would really like to step back and look at all these public space improvements together and have some public discussions about it. Rather than doing it in piecemeal way, look at it in a comprehensive way like a comprehensive plan. I know we are a small village, but most municipalities do have public space or open space plans.

Village Manager Frobel: It is not uncommon for them to be an element of a comprehensive plan. When I met with the committee, eight years ago when the Trustees were considering the plan, I talked about how critical elements is just that: parklands and facilities. And we had no mention of our facilities. One of the concerns you mentioned about the hook and ladder building, it is my plan that some day we will need a public safety facility where we start to combine the police department, which is already outdated and very crowded, the fire department and ambulance, and to look at all our facilities and address it into one facility.

Trustee Walker: Right. At what point do we step back and do that. This is just a plan, this is looking forward and we need to do this. But along the way, we could look at each one of these things more carefully and look at them more comprehensively over the entire Village and really get some public input out them. So we are not just choosing to do one part arbitrarily, in a way.

Trustee Armacost: I agree.

Village Manager Frobel: And as I mentioned at the beginning, projects like this jump to the top of the list due to timing. If the voters do not support it, then I will be back saying we need to do something with our existing Reynolds Field playground.

Trustee Armacost: But that might not be the top priority. It may be that that would come much lower down than, for example, improving parking at Uniontown where we get complaints pretty much the entire baseball season, where no one is really complaining about the other place.

Village Manager Frobel: Only the insurance company.

Mayor Swiderski: And I have heard it from any number of parents on Reynolds Field, it is a dated facility. It is dated and rusting. I have got plenty of e-mails I can share with you. It is not a facility that is up to date or in good condition.

Trustee Walker: Yes, there is terrific new playground equipment out on the market now.

Mayor Swiderski: But the point is well taken that we should look at this comprehensively. There are a couple of playgrounds here that are wearing out. Begin to think in terms of timelines for all of them. Because one specializes in one thing, and the community perhaps wants this to be younger and that to be slightly older. How do you differentiate? Do you differentiate? What needs are you addressing? And ultimately, that sits with Parks and Rec to do it in some organized fashion. It is not ours to do.

Trustee Armacost: But to Meg's point, getting feedback from the public, sometimes I think certain things become a priority because we have one person who shows up at every meeting. I am looking at Mr. Gonder, who is so devoted to us. But there are situations where it would be very helpful for people to know this is a meeting where you should come if you care about facilities.

Mayor Swiderski: I agree.

Trustee Walker: In addition, to speak to what Mr. Gonder said, sidewalks and stairways, , we need to think about a long-range plan for improving our stairways, particular the stairway at West Main Street down to the train station.

Trustee Armacost: In terms of priorities, Quarry Park has \$600,000, right? I do not know if you polled the Village whether the Village would agree that that should be the priority in the next three years, versus improving the playground at Reynolds or the parking lot at Uniontown, which many, many people are using. So in terms of priorities, not to take away from the Quarry Park project which I think is an important project, that is a lot of money. So I am very interested to know. Is that coming from debt, is that coming from grant, is that coming from the operating budget? Where is that \$600,000 coming from?

Village Manager Frobel: That is the unknown. All those items you mentioned would be explored. We have continued in our efforts to get the state to fund it. There is the money from BP/ARCO that available, the trust fund, not necessarily specific for this. I mean, there is a host of things. There has been talk of fund-raising. That is why it is not designated as to where that funding source would be.

Trustee Armacost: But it is interesting that it is so far up in the budget. To me, if it is so unclear and if the demand is only from a very small number of people, I would personally push that further out.

Village Manager Frobel: And I can, I will. Next year I will. But when we make application for funding agencies we like to demonstrate to the state that it is part of a plan, it has been considered.

Trustee Armacost: But that is strategic. That is a very sensible reason to have it in there. So there is the public plan, and then there is our private plan. If this is reflecting our private plan we should have a discussion about it.

Village Manager Frobel: Next slide. In the current year, that first column shows you what we have done in terms of roadway resurfacing, some parking lot improvements, the small dump truck you bought this year and the two large dump trucks. The brown color is what is done in the operating budget. Those are typical repairs we make throughout the year on sanitary sewer lines, and the continuing conversion of our street lights to LED. We have over 100 conversions we have successfully completed.

For next year, as the Mayor noted about sidewalk improvements, we try to show you a rough allocation as to where we would like to see the money spent. We have several neighborhood drainage projects that we may need to bring in outside contractors to do the work because they are that complicated. Parking lot improvements are ongoing. I know the Steinschneider lot could use some work. I would like to do some work there this year, everything from the meters to the condition of the pavement. I do not have any specific sidewalk or curb improvement areas, but we try to do that work with our own forces. As Mr. Gonder pointed out tonight, some dissatisfaction more with material than, I hope, the workmanship, because the men work pretty hard in trying to address those areas where curbs have been damaged. We do not have the equipment to do concrete curb, and some people would like granite curb.

Continuing, I talked about the generator and the need for that. The dump truck for next year is something we believe we need to address. Even with the uncertainty surrounding our Dobbs Ferry study, we are going to need to maintain our equipment. Even if the departments were merged, we are going to need heavy equipment between the two. But that will be a decision we will have to make at a later time. You have addressed, already, the 4-wheel drive vehicle that the superintendent needed.

Mayor Swiderski: What is a "material container?" A small number, but still.

Village Manager Frobel: The boxes we put in the yard for recycling, the four big 10-yard containers. They are metal, you have to replace those periodically. We have been adding to it. We have a lot of people coming down on weekends dropping off cardboard and commingled recycling. We want to have a facility there so they do not have to leave it on the ground. So we are constantly replacing those or buying more.

Trustee Walker: Do the dump truck numbers reflect spending over t years for one dump truck, or is that two dump trucks?

Village Manager Frobel: Two dump trucks. And the "dump truck, medium" is the 86. That would be four.

Trustee Armacost: But then there is another one planned for next year? That seems a lot.

Village Manager Frobel: Well, three so far and then one planned for next year. That would be the four. It is the truck that now is being used for Parks and Recreation. You have probably seen it parked out front. It is a 1998, beyond its expected useful life given its service and the nature of its responsibility. The salt does quite a job on the body of the truck and the frame.

Trustee Armacost: And then you want another truck next year, in addition, a fifth truck for \$170,000? Or has that been removed now? A heavy truck, it says. That seems excessive

Village Manager Frobel: No, next year he is looking at a sanitation vehicle. It is just the \$210,000. As of now, we are not looking for another heavy truck next year. Just a small truck.

Trustee Armacost: So what is that \$170,000 then?

Village Manager Frobel: That would be a heavy truck. But when we do the budget, by that time I should know better what our relationship is going to be with the two departments. We will know what we need. But at this point in time, I do not see buying another heavy truck.

Mayor Swiderski: So that number comes off.

Village Manager Frobel: That would come off. I would recommend that.

Mayor Swiderski: What is a "stake body truck?"

Village Manager Frobel: That is the truck that we use to pick up bulky waste. It has a box on the back with a lift that goes down. You can lift up refrigerators and freezers and heavy stuff that homeowners leave at the curb. And it dumps so that they can load and then bring it to the facility and dump it.

In the next slide, we have broken out for what we expect will be our debt payment over the next five years. The first column, 2014, that is the amount, the \$1,389,086, is what we would pay in our total debt payment. Those are our serial bonds, our BANs on all our debt. Now, next year that number will, in all likelihood, jump to \$1.56 million, because what will happen at that time is, the BANs, the \$3,507,000, will be converted to serial bonds. And consequently, the expected interest will be greater than what we are paying today. In fact, coincidentally, the \$3.5 million issuance was renewed today. Today was an anniversary, and we've renewed that for another year.

Mayor Swiderski: What was the rate?

Village Manager Frobel: The coupon is 1.25, but because there is a premium, it was less than 1 percent, 0.724, which was better than it was a year ago. Last year it was 0.815. So despite the chart we showed you with the trend, at least a short-term trend for interest, was down. Now, the payment in 2015, I have \$1.565 million. If the state law will allow us, we may look to renew those BANs another year. And if that happens, then we can expect to pay less. We would not rush to convert them to serial bonds so there could be a savings. But what I want to show you is, I do not want to say the worst case scenario, but in all likelihood what will happen, unless there is some exceptions. I am saying, strategically, if we can renew the BANs for another year, under the law, I think that is what we will do. We are pushing off what will happen ultimately, but near term it will help us, because in 2016 our debt drops way down, because two very old bond issues are paid off.

Mayor Swiderski: And the debt payment schedule here, Fran, assumes a capital outlay as laid on the previous slides?

Village Manager Frobel: Yes, the \$6,238 is the estimated interest you would pay next year on those items that were laid out.

Trustee Armacost: So, for example, that truck, the \$170,000 truck, if that was not included, and if the \$100,000 for the quarry was not included, how much would that affect the amount at the end of the year?

Village Manager Frobel: I have not taken any of those items into consideration.

Trustee Armacost: Because I think those are very speculative items, and I think this is very conservative. I think it is highly unlikely we are going to spend money for those things. I am not enthusiastic about spending money for those things.

Trustee Walker: So then which items are included?

Village Manager Frobel: In the \$6,238, that would be included. The Reynolds Field playground, the Fire Chiefs' vehicles, the one small dump truck, the emergency generator, the police car and the 4-wheel drive vehicle. That is the estimated interest that we would pay next year if you authorized those purchases. For example, if you say, Fran, we are not going to get that small dump truck that would be \$1,075 off that \$6,238. If we are not getting the generator, that is \$1,600 interest you are not paying.

Mayor Swiderski: So why does the number go up so sharply in '16 and '17 on the FY '14 purchase?

Village Manager Frobel: Because then you are going to be paying principal and interest.

Trustee Armacost: But there was also a generator for the Community Center, which was an additional generator.

Village Manager Frobel: Yes.

Trustee Armacost: So there are two generators then.

Village Manager Frobel: No.

Trustee Armacost: I think there were two different generators on two different slides. But we can just quickly look back.

Village Manager Frobel: Sure. We always planned for the generator.

Trustee Armacost: So there is that \$125,000 there. Then if you go to the next page, then there is emergency generator, and then there is another generator.

Mayor Swiderski: No, that is embedded in this spread sheet. The other ones were just breaking it out so it is showing you what is happening. Every one of the items on that previous sheet are here in the 2014 column. It is not just a generator; every one of the other items is here, embedded in this 2014 column.

Trustee Armacost: The Community Center generator of is a different dollar amount. I am just not clear which generator that is, then. That says Community Center generator, 125, and the other one was 135. So is this a different generator, or the same generator?

Village Manager Frobel: That is for the fire departments, and I have that out into the future, saying that we have got to consider bringing generators to the hook and ladder. We prioritized those needs. We are looking at the hook and ladder, the ambulance. Well, Uniontown is addressing their own. But in answer to your question, it is several generators, much smaller, for their facilities.

So you can see, going back to the debt chart, the debt drops off considerably in 2016. Our plan had always been to get you to that point. What is throwing this off a little in our plan was the police-fire radio communication project. That one we thought we could structure over a multiyear thing. We could not. And the ladder truck, my hope was that we would push that off for another year. But we did not, we could not, and now it is impacting the budget sooner than we would have liked.

Mayor Swiderski: Roadway resurfacing. There is a drop-off from last year to this year, and the new number remains constant, moving forward.. What is up with the drop-off? Given that we seem to just barely keep up, why would we go down?

Village Manager Frobel: We are budgeted, our authorization is at 200,000. I try to show, for illustrative purposes, that it would be nice to divert some of it to some of these other infrastructure roadway-related problems, be it either retaining wall or a neighborhood drainage. They are all kind of intermixed. The community, for the last 8 years, 10 years, has been involved in an aggressive roadway resurfacing. We are coming back and doing streets that we resurfaced 10 years ago. There are inherent structural problems in some of these roads. To just pave them over is like wallpapering over something. You have not addressed the underlayment that has caused the problem. And it is, often times, poor drainage. So yes, we will continue with the road resurfacing because it is important to keep the appearance and the safety factor. But you need to know that there is probably a time where you have to start to divert some of that money or borrow more money.

Trustee Walker: So, again, you are talking about stepping back and looking at what are the most serious drainage issues in the Village that are affecting not only the resurfacing, but the stability of the road. So literally, the structure of the road, and perhaps putting together a plan for tackling them, really rebuilding them, along with their storm sewers.

Village Manager Frobel: That would be my recommendation because you are going to cycle through already. Ravensdale is a good example. The community spent a lot of money on Ravensdale to resurface, and it is time to do it again.

Trustee Walker: Are there grant monies available for this sort of thing?

Village Manager Frobel: It is going to be a major undertaking.

Mayor Swiderski: Is \$20,000 even close to enough money for a Ravensdale drainage, for example?

Village Manager Frobel: On Ravensdale, the men this summer replaced five catch basins as part of our plan to address drainage concerns on Ravensdale. So it is happening.

Mayor Swiderski: And that is enough to address the issue.

Village Manager Frobel: It gets you pretty close. The goal is to continue to chip away at that and to make those kind of incremental improvements. Five catch basins, completely rebuilt by one man and a seasonal worker is pretty good. So that is what we are doing.

Trustee Walker: But if we get a lot of big storm events and the storm sewers are not up to it or the catchbasins just keep overflowing even if they get rebuilt, at what point do you have to look at rebuilding the whole storm sewer system in an area? Does it come to that?

Village Manager Frobel: I do not know. I think it is going to have to come, Meg, where we begin to either continue to pay down existing debt or see some influx of new taxables.

Trustee Walker: So when revenues start to increase or we get more taxables, then we can start to look at these big infrastructure projects.

Village Manager Frobel: And we could minimize that impact. If we could only get back to what we realized in mortgage tax and sales tax a mere six years ago we would not have the problems we have today. I do not think people realize how much we have lost in revenue, and yet we survived.

Trustee Walker: But some of that is going up now, is it not?

Village Manager Frobel: It is pointed in the right direction.

Mayor Swiderski: But that was the height of the bubble, though, on some of those things.

Trustee Walker: Yes, you do not want to get used to that, either.

Village Manager Frobel: No, but just a couple years would help.

Trustee Armacost: So, Fran, do you think that what is going to happen is that we are going to spend \$180,000 on roadway resurfacing? Because if you think that, then it is maybe not doing us a service to take it away and move it up, only for us to have to deal with it again. If you have taken away \$30,000, and you have moved it into the area above, but really you think we are going to have to spend \$180,000, it means the budget is a bit fictitious from that point of view.

Mayor Swiderski: What is happening this year?

Village Manager Frobel: This year we are certainly going to spend closer to the \$180,000,. Because I do not have any retaining wall projects in mind, so in all likelihood that will not happen unless something were to collapse as out on Mt. Hope and some of those improvements we had to make, hurry-up. I did want to address that stairway from Steinschneider down to the Con Ed lot. And working with Mike, I mentioned before, I would like to try to work with a contractor to do something to make that safer and, certainly, more appealing-looking than it is.

So we are going to have to go through the paving system. It started today, they are in town working. They did James Street, and we had some upsets but we will get through it. We work today, tomorrow and Thursday, and they are going to leave the area and then come back early next week and pave Main Street. But it will probably be this year, Mayor, closer to the \$180,000, \$185,000 in the road reserve. That is the estimate we are working off of. Plus, for the last year, because this is a multiyear deal we did not spend the full \$200,000 last year. So it is closer to the \$180,000, \$185,000 when all is said and done.

Mayor Swiderski: But next year, the goal is to reflect what we see here.

Village Manager Frobel: That is my goal. I would like to see us begin to divert some of those resources to some of those other concerns if we can effectively do it. If we cannot then we will continue with the road resurfacing effort to the full allocation.

Mayor Swiderski: OK, but again, because of the timing on the contracts, is this year's repaving last year's money, or is it this year's coming?

Village Manager Frobel: It is a blend because it is a multiyear issuance. The community authorized the borrowing of, I think, \$1 million, Susan?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Initially it was \$2 million.

Village Manager Frobel: And we have been spending that over ten years.

Trustee Walker: We have to do things in a piecemeal way for a few years, but having some plan for doing larger infrastructure projects down the road, when we can afford it, might not be a bad thing. So prioritizing and figuring out which sidewalk project, which street reconstruction project, which storm sewer reconstruction, not to mention the parks. Another thing I hear about all the time is that the sidewalks in the downtown are getting shabbier and shabbier, and they are going on 20 years old. Not that we have to redo them immediately but, again, that is something to look maybe within the next five years.

Trustee Armacost: To support your point, what you are doing here is saying this is what we would like to use the debt for. And I think part of what you are saying is, if we can see pockets of funding, and this amount from the Lofts that has come up to deal with particular issues that we have, it is partly about a strategic view on where we think, realistically speaking, in the next one to two years that money will come in. Because then we should not be putting that in the debt schedule, we should be putting sidewalks in the debt schedule. I am not saying that the amount should necessarily go down. It just maybe needs to have different content.

Mayor Swiderski: Slightly rejiggered.

Trustee Armacost: Yes, because we know that some of that money is going to come from another place. So, for example, if the playground money is going to come from that other place, and we collectively with input from the community, feel that that is a good use of that approximately half a million dollars that is going to come in at some point, and people really feel sidewalks are a priority, we know the sidewalks money is not going to come from something like that. Those are the strategic conversations that you, and have to do with realistic projections about where we think chunks will come in. We know we need to keep Quarry Park in the budget for all of the reasons that Fran raised, but that does not mean we are going to spend that money, right?

Mayor Swiderski: Understood.

Village Manager Frobel: Yes, definitely. That decision point has not been reached, but it needs to be shown as a control point that it has been thought about and there is a potential that that may be a viable project someday if we find funding sources.

Trustee Armacost: If we put it in the budget, and we decide we do not want to spend it, can we decide we want to spend more on sidewalks? Or can we only spend money in terms of the way we structure the debt issue?

Village Manager Frobel: For example, if you authorized X amount of dollars to buy a dump truck we cannot spend that money on something else. It has to be specific for that dump truck.

Mayor Swiderski: Can it be reauthorized? Can the monies borrowed be reauthorized?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: You are not borrowing the money until you borrow the money. So if you choose not to act on the bond resolution it just lies there. You do not do anything with it. If you wanted to, then, have another bond resolution for another project you would do so.

Trustee Armacost: But I guess my question is can you change your mind?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Not on that bond resolution. You have authorized borrowing for that purpose, but you do not have to borrow for that purpose. We did one, and I am trying to think what it was for, a long time ago, for a million dollars, and we never did anything with it. But the Board authorized borrowing a million dollars.

Trustee Walker: Authorized it for a specific purpose, and you cannot use it then for a different purpose.

Village Clerk Maggiotto: You need an authorization for another purpose.

Trustee Walker: Yes, you just reauthorize it. You do not use it, and then you go off and get another one, another bond resolution.

Trustee Jennings: I have got a question related to this line of inquiry. Which is, how specific or how general can the purpose be described. In other words, do we have to say something as specific as heavy dump truck, or could we say something as general as we anticipate borrowing money to upgrade our sanitation operations?

Village Manager Frobel: It has to be specific, because they designate its life expectancy in the authorization so they need to know.

Trustee Jennings: We are thinking about what I would call maintaining: repairing the infrastructure, replacing the trucks and so forth. But we are not, tonight, talking about planning for enhancement of the Village and its life. At some point, I wish we could talk about the long-term planning and priorities, and then eventually it will get down to dollars and cents and get down to debt, or other ways of raising the money. But we should talk about our recreation program overall, and not just recreation, but public spaces. I would like

to talk about the possibility of converting and installing more solar energy in this, which will cost money from some source or other. But we do not have any planning conversation about where and how and why we should do things of that kind. That is what I mean by enhancement. We have to have this maintenance discussion but, at some point, I wish we could also have a more aspirational or future-oriented enhancement discussion.

Trustee Walker: It is almost an addendum to the Comprehensive Plan. It was something you pointed out that you had hoped they would address.

Village Manager Frobel: It would have helped me. Part of our debt problem, we took on a lot of debt for the Community Center. A lot of the things you are hearing tonight would have been normally progressing, but the Community Center was a big number for a community of this size. And that came on the heels of the recession, and we saw a dip in our revenues. So we really got hit. We might not have been having this stressful a conversation had we not taken on that debt for the Community Center. Certainly needed and well-appreciated and timely, but maybe not as timely. Maybe that is the wrong word.

Trustee Walker: Right, maybe it did not have to come right at that point.

Trustee Jennings: We went through a period about 10 years ago when we did a lot of enhancement capital improvement in this village. We redid the pool, we redid the library, we built a new Community Center.

Trustee Walker: The Boulanger parking lot.

Trustee Jennings: We built a new parking lot, which should have been even more beautiful than it turned out to be, because the original vision was for the back of the storefronts to get fixed up, which never, somehow, happened. It all plugs into downtown enhancement, it plugs into tourism, it plugs into stuff that we are discussing piecemeal. It certainly is related to the Comprehensive Plan, but we do not always have to think about let us put an addendum on the comprehensive plan. The comprehensive plan is a great roadmap, now let us get on with the business of planning and come up with smaller-scale, but new, plans that make sense that do not conflict with the comprehensive plan. Certainly not what we are talking about right now: public spaces, solar energy. None of that conflicts with the comprehensive plan at all. In fact, it has all been mentioned in the comprehensive plan.

Trustee Apel: It is already in the plan.

Trustee Jennings: So the comprehensive plan is more the 30,000-foot vision, and let us get down to 10,000-foot planning activities.

Trustee Armacost: To support Bruce, can we schedule a conversation about the parks, recs and public spaces at some point?

Mayor Swiderski: Sure.

Trustee Armacost: And the other point that he is making, which is about trying to encourage solar on municipal-owned property is very dear to my heart also. I think that is something where you can attract funding from other sources, including from some of our partners down on the waterfront, potentially. So it is useful to have those conversations sooner because then it can be like the quarry. It can be something that is there for when the money comes.

Mayor Swiderski: I am listening here, and I want to point out, and with all due respect, we have spent the last 45 minutes saying we should be doing more planning.

Trustee Armacost: I do not think we are saying we want to do more planning. It is there are dollar amounts in there.

Mayor Swiderski: Without a doubt.

Trustee Armacost: Where, when do we get to have the conversation? If all we do is sit up here and yes to a plan that has been put in front of us...

Mayor Swiderski: But we are having them all the time, and this is a shadow reflection of many of those conversations. You can choose a line item, but this is a shadow reflection, many of these items, not the hardcore stuff that runs the Village. But it is stuff around, for example, Quarry Park. We have had that come before us time and again, and this reflects that. Now, eventually we have to figure out if we want to do it or not.

Trustee Armacost: The problem is it comes before us on its own with just a group of people from Quarry Park. It does not come in the context of other spending that happens, and how that is going to be funded vis-à-vis other things. It does not come in the context of the debt schedule, it does not come in the context of other priorities. And everyone loves the quarry when the people are here in front of us, but do we love it more than we love this or than we love that. I think that is what we are all saying.

Mayor Swiderski: Understood. But there is no one moment or discussion where we look at all the priorities because the priorities do not come to us in one big batch. They come to us in pieces.

Trustee Armacost: We are saying we do not like that.

Trustee Walker: But we are saying it does not have to be that way.

Mayor Swiderski: No, it does not. But part of it is identifying what those discussions should be and kicking them into the right venues. We do not suddenly have a solar power discussion here. If that becomes something we think is worth considering we kick it down into the Conservation Commission to mull over and come up with a plan. And if we want a comprehensive view on playgrounds, we kick that down to Parks and Rec. But there is not going to be one discussion that will ever address all these competing priorities.

Trustee Walker: Not all of them. But I think within the parks you can address competing priorities within the parks, within sidewalks.

Mayor Swiderski: Then that is something that should be addressed, and kick it into their laps. But what are we going to do here as a committee? Are we going to throw a meeting that opens up that conversation, which is, first of all, rightfully their domain?

Trustee Walker: No, I think we can direct them on how we would like them to address it.

Mayor Swiderski: Right. Then we set aside time on our schedule, part of comprehensive planning, and do that.

Trustee Armacost: For example, one way to do it. If you give them the chunk of the budget which has all of those parks pushed into the future, you give it to the Parks and Rec group, and you tell the quarry people they should show up at the meeting. And between them, they come up with a list of priorities. What is most pressing? How do you weigh the parking at Uniontown versus Reynolds Field? I do not know whether they will come up with a result. I do not know whether it is possible for them to. But that certainly is something that they can be instructed to do. At the end of the day it will come back to us.

Mayor Swiderski: That is correct, but that is queuing up a discussion where it belongs. Maybe I am just reacting that I want to draw this particular discussion to a close. Because we have spent a lot of time talking about the next steps to planning, so let us agree that there is a chunk here that we are going to kick down, and move on. We can plan ourselves to death, and then the next project will come along and undo our plan. Reynolds Field is an excellent example. We have always said we are going to get to it, and now it is in our lap because we have to. And there are any number of these priorities that follow that trajectory. We can plan for a portion of it, but the portion you are talking about is actually smaller.

Trustee Armacost: I am less interested in planning than I am in having a realistic budget discussion. These are large chunks of money. And each time we have these discussions I sit here thinking there is no context. I am discussing a police car and then I am discussing a dump truck and then I am discussing a blah, blah, blah. It is not in the context of all of the things that fit into that category together. That is the problem that I find in these discussions. Certainly around things like parks, and particularly it is very difficult when you are in a situation where there is no money. Then you have to just be super-frugal. But when you have got an interesting possibility, where a quarter of a million dollars drops into your lap perhaps, then what would one do in that scenario, and what is prudent and what reflects what the community is most interested in.

Trustee Walker: And it helps us in going after grants in the future because we have also been doing that in a piecemeal way. Or the squeaky wheel gets oiled, and then we scramble to go after grants. The Parks and Rec Commission might want to go after a completely different set of grants.

Mayor Swiderski: But in terms of queuing this up, to some degree this was a discussion item I was going to have under the Comprehensive Plan Committee discussion. Everything here comes down to management resources. And everything comes down to having the management capacity to do this sort of queuing these discussions up and implementing them. Ultimately, we can talk about this sort of comprehensive planning, but our agendas are full simply doing our job and taking on the handful of projects we take on. So we have got to figure out what to queue up and then throw it to other people's laps. But this is the challenge of lots of ideas meeting the reality of our time constraints.

Trustee Walker: But it is not just ideas. It is necessities, too.

Trustee Armacost: It is real money.

Mayor Swiderski: Absolutely. We have got to queue it up.

Trustee Walker: There are things that are falling apart in this village and we have to repair them.

Mayor Swiderski: Clearly.

Village Manager Frobel: And that does reflect the input from the various departments we spoke about. I do not do the capital budget in a vacuum. Each of the department heads that have staff responsibility to the various commissions offer their input, gather the data for me,

and we formulate the narrative that is contained in the document. You are seeing raw numbers here, but there is a narrative backing up, or photographs, to each one of those projects all contained in that document. So a lot of thought does go into it.

Trustee Walker: Well, then it would be good to see the narrative, perhaps.

Village Manager Frobel: Sure, I can give you a copy.

Trustee Armacost: The parks memo and whatever.

Trustee Armacost: In the case of that particular commission, I know that the people have turned over. I do not know which memo you are talking about, but I am sure that there are people on that committee that would view certain projects differently than the people who were there perhaps when the memo was written.

Mayor Swiderski: So let us queue it at the next meeting, and we will deal with the Parks and Rec set of issues as part of a discussion item next week so that some of these longer-term numbers can get rejiggered.

Trustee Apel: No, that is not what I was hoping to do under the comprehensive plan

Mayor Swiderski: It does not have to be your item. It can be a new item for Parks and Rec, A separate issue entirely. But let us package it next meeting or the meeting after that, and pitch it into their laps and have them begin to play with those longer-term numbers. The shorter-term number, which is Reynolds Field, is going to be driven by political reality. That happens in October. And the other numbers out in the future, there will be some appropriate play on as they figure it out. It may take awhile to sort out those issues.

Trustee Walker: And they may want to seek input.

Mayor Swiderski: Public input, and us, all of that.

Village Manager Frobel: I will regenerate a copy of the comprehensive plan. In answer to your question, it was last provided to you in December, 2012. During the operating budget, we freshen up these numbers in my conversation with department heads. We say how are we doing, what is the thought on the tennis courts? I will hear from Kendra about how this is a pressing need and it had to be taken out this year for that reason. But we can go back to that.

Trustee Walker: Well, there is not, I do not think, a prioritization of park improvements in the comprehensive plan.

Trustee Armacost: Or anywhere else, as far as I can tell.

Village Manager Frobel: And that is why I said that would have been a helpful annex to me the Comprehensive Plan. But I always viewed the capital improvement plan as part of that community look.

All right, Mayor, I do not want to take any more of your time. But I think I accomplished what I set out to do. I gave an overview. But my final slide, because I talk about successes last year and what is proposed this year, what I think you are going to hear next year, subject to change to a certain degree, is engine 44, Protection is looking at replacing that. That is vintage 1991. When you purchased the Uniontown and the Manor you heard from the Fire Chiefs about the changes in the standards and codes and the importance of safety. The ambulance, we would be replacing the 1998. We would be moving the 2008 one as second line. The 1998 ambulance would then be the backup. So you are going to be hearing about the need to replace that. The sanitation vehicle, it has been four cycles since we replaced it. We are going to need to replace a garbage truck. It is a 1996 vehicle. Uncertainty surrounding, again, the combined merger. But something you need to talk about. And the dump truck I talked about earlier, we need to replace it with another small one. That would give us three last year, one this year and one in FY '15-'16.

So that is our summary. It rushed through what took time to put together. But I think it is a well thought out plan. There is obviously the reality of the funding levels, but we do have a plan, again, for that upset in the next year. There is some relief if the law could be modified. We will be looking at another BAN issue for another year. And if we get less than the one percent money it might give us some breathing room.

Mayor Swiderski: Is there any reason to believe the law will be modified?

Village Manager Frobel: Yes, this year, they rolled it to a 7 year, from 5 to 7. New Jersey has no limit. We might look at that. We will look at the budget in total and see what we are up against. We are going to have to go to serial bonds eventually. Would next year be the time? It would be nice to roll it one more year. But maybe we will not have that luxury.

2. Warburton Avenue Bridge

Village Manager Frobel: Last week, as promised, the Mayor and I met with the county legislature's government affairs committee. The Mayor led a strong argument about why we do not agree with the design of the fence that is being proposed. The committee listened. They were going to carry that message back and ascertain the validity of some of the facts

that I brought up and the Mayor brought up. I have not heard back as a result of that committee's action.

Mayor Swiderski: Additionally, we generated a letter that I sent out that captured the points raised. Essentially, we are pushing back against the chain link fence on Warburton. A woman in the county planning unit, Suzette Lopane, came back saying let us finish this RFP process and we will set aside the fencing because that is not something that goes in until the very end. It turns out she got a little ahead of her skis in promising that. It was not something her commissioner endorsed. So we showed up at the government operations committee meeting on Thursday and pitched for that idea, and then reached out to Kevin Plunkett with it, as well. He did get back to me today. I was in Chicago, I have not had a chance to speak to him. I hope to speak to him tomorrow. They are looking to fully understand what we are proposing and raising questions, and we will respond. They are proceeding with the RFP, but the fencing component is not something that is done until later.

Trustee Walker: I would like to bring up one other issue that I had with the bridge design that also Jim Metzger mentioned, and that is the height of those lights. We know they are specifying the same historic light posts that we have in the downtown, but when they showed us the design they had them 20 feet high. We talked about that, and Suzette said we want to light the entire street with these lights. I do not think that is necessary. And why can they not be the same height as they consistently are in the downtown. We do not have cobra heads in the downtown to light the streets. Our historic light posts are sufficient, those lamps are sufficient to light the street and the sidewalks. So why not the bridge, as well? I think something that tall is going to look completely out of proportion. I should have mentioned it earlier, but we got caught up in the fence issue. And we have not seen the most recent plans either. So it would be interesting to see the plans and see how high they have designed them.

Mayor Swiderski: It has not changed. It was sent out in early August.

Trustee Apel: I think they wanted to make them so high that they only shine down on different spots.

Trustee Walker: No, they wanted to light the entire street. I do not think we need to light the street.

Mayor Swiderski: I will bring that up when I talk tomorrow.

Trustee Walker: Thank you. It will lower their costs. I do not think it is a requirement, and it would be more similar to what we have in the downtown.

3. Reynolds Field Drainage Plan

Mayor Swiderski: We also asked the Village Manager at the last meeting to follow up on the Reynolds Field engineering for water drainage, to reach out to our engineer to get his response as to the current plans. The Village Manager responded to the Board in writing, but I do want to read his response into the record so you could hear what our engineering firm said.

"Staff met with an outside engineering firm to review the process to be followed in examination of the drainage calculations for improvements contemplated to the school field and track. The purpose of the meeting was to better understand what action items the Village should be taking at this time in the project deliberations.

The short answer is, there is insufficient information to make informed decisions or challenges to the project. What is available to us is a sketch, and not much more. The school will be required to submit drainage calculations as part of their stormwater analysis, and they will seek the permit from the Department of Environmental Conservation. They will be responsible to comply with the MS-4 requirements involving stormwater and best management practices. These calculations will concern peak stormwater runoff and soil and erosion mitigation practices during construction. The firm we consulted, Hahn Engineering, has had responsibilities in reviewing the proposed athletic field reconstruction of both the Master School and Mercy College. They are the consulting engineers in both Dobbs Ferry and Irvington.

When, and if, the project is approved, despite the fact that oversight will be provided by the DEC, it will be in our best interest to have available an engineering firm on-site to double-check calculations and assumptions. Until that time, there is very little we can do in terms of questioning how stormwater will be handled. We simply must rely on the fact that it will be managed in accordance with regulations promulgated by the state under the school's stormwater permit."

Trustee Armacost: Thank you, Fran, for doing that.

Village Manager Frobel: You are welcome. And the firm gave us a proposal and, much as we suspected, it is not very expensive. It could range anywhere from \$2,000 to \$4,000 to provide that kind of oversight on our behalf. We posed the question to the board of ed. My understanding was there was a willingness to pick up that expense, which is not uncommon.

Typically an applicant does pay for that out of pocket expenses. But if, for some reason, they were to, and I do not want to use the word "renege," but not be able to follow through, I would recommend that we do retain that firm on our behalf. I think it makes good sense.

Mayor Swiderski: Agreed.

Trustee Walker: I agree.

Trustee Apel: Me, too.

BOARD DISCUSSION AND COMMENTS

1. Korean and Vietnam Memorial Update

Ms. Smith: This is to bring you up to date on where we are, and the community who might be watching, with the project that we came to you about in February and May. We have had great success in raising the money and getting names, an extraordinary number of names. I think we started with just a small number, and we are up to 90 for Vietnam and, just today, up to 52 for Korea. So we have worked hard at that. Greg Muriak is not able to be here today, but he has taken on that task that was much larger than anybody expected. I wanted you to know, and hopefully set aside the time, on November 11, the traditional service that the VFW holds at 11 a.m., we will precede that, at 10:15 a.m. with a dedication of the two monuments. It seemed like a nice idea to put them all together. The people who would come to each would be interested in both things, probably.

This will be a fitting compliment to the memorial service. So if you are able to be there, that would be great. Everything has gone according to plan. When we found out how many names there were we enlarged the inset a little, and maybe the type is going to have to be a little smaller because we have been so successful at that. There will be some space left for extra names later, but not a lot. I am very pleased that the community has been supportive. We are not 100 percent of the way, but we are enough so we can buy the monument. We are still taking contributions. It has been very rewarding to see the response the people have given, including some of you, which I appreciate.

Mayor Swiderski: Thank you. It is amazing that it has come from thought to fruition so quickly. Congratulations.

2. Use of Commuter Parking Lot for Flea Market

Mayor Swiderski: I do not know if that is *a* flea market, or *generic* flea market.

Michelle DeForest, Applicant, Flea Market: I am a Hastings resident. Ilana, my partner and I, are seeking use of the commuter parking lot to create a Hastings Flea. This would primarily be to showcase Hastings artists who like to create handmade goods, crafts, selling furniture, vintage antique. But we would also like to open it up to other Hudson Valley artists as we try to fill the spaces, and bring more people, whether it is people just into the downtown, but also people looking to come explore and view and purchase, spend their dollars here.

Mayor Swiderski: Is the proposal for a one-time event? What is the specific proposal?

Ms. DeForest: Specifically, we are looking to start doing this once a month on a Sunday. This would be a recurring event, as weather permits. Obviously, we probably only have two more months before we would need to choose an indoor location. We have some ideas for that, but nothing concrete. So this specific request is to ask permission for two months, two specific days where we use the commuter parking lot, preferably the north section of the commuter parking lot, as divided by the Cropsey Lane, so it would be the northern section of that, the smaller section. Then using the other section, the southern, larger section, for parking, for people coming to the flea and using the train.

We thought with that location there would be good visibility from the train. As people go by they would see a sign, Hastings Flea. Hopefully, they would Google it, look it up. But also, those purchasing larger items such as furniture would have an easy way to load it in. Similarly, those selling it would have an easy way to bring it to the flea.

Trustee Armacost: I think it is a fantastic idea. It is one of those wonderful things in Manhattan and other places where you get to go to community fleas and find all sorts of fantastic items, old and new. It would be great in terms of adding more character to our village. I am a big supporter.

Trustee Walker: I would like to register some pros, and not exactly cons but some pulling the reins a little. The pros are from my point of view as a planner. A flea market is a terrific idea to bring people into the downtown, into the Village. You see what the Brooklyn Flea has done for the neighborhoods that it has been in. It is just phenomenal the people who come to the Brooklyn Flea, both indoors and out. What it has done in Williamsburg, what it has done in the Fort Greene area. It is just amazing. And I have seen flea markets in other parts of the country that have brought similar benefits to their communities.

There are other positive things about it. One is that it gives startups, young retailers or artists or craftspeople or even food people, well, they can go to the Farmers' Market, but the

Brooklyn Flea they have a lot of food, an opportunity to start small businesses and incubate small businesses. You can see, all over New York City, businesses that started at the Brooklyn Flea and then they went to brick and mortar very successfully. Another benefit is there is potential revenue. Our Farmers' Market is a non-profit that happens to take in quite a bit of money, I think, but flea markets, traditionally, pull in even more money, and, traditionally, flea market vendors pay more money than farmers' market vendors for their stalls. Not to say that we are immediately going to start charging a lot of rent. But the Brooklyn Flea charges \$100 per stall. Many people have more than one stall. And they have 100 vendors. So just do the math. And then they pay rent. Brooklyn Flea pays \$60,000 a year to the school where it is run. Not that we are asking. I am just pointing out that they bring quite a bit of money to the school that houses them.

I think those are real benefits. But I do want to mention that I am on this group, the Downtown Collaborative. We had a meeting with merchants last week, and we brought this idea up. We told the merchants that you were going to be coming to this meeting and that they were welcome to come and ask you questions. There was quite a bit of concern among many of the merchants about competition. We said you could have a stall there, you could be selling antiques or jewelry at the flea market. But they are very nervous about the competition. I am wondering if we could invite you to come to another collaborative meeting. You have been to one collaborative meeting already, where we were very encouraging. But I also remember we did tell you that you are going to need to talk to the downtown merchants. So if we could set up a meeting and invite merchants, and you could come and we could have a discussion about it, I think that would allay some of their fears.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, we would very much welcome that. The concept and belief which we operate with is a very collaborative one. And I would absolutely invite any merchant in Hastings, whether it be food or goods, to be a part of this. And whether that is having a stall, or finding a way we can cross-promote, we are looking to build up Hastings with this. We are not looking to destroy one person's sales. And more often than not the more shopping you have, the more people that come to shop. So that is the theory we are operating behind. We have noticed that a lot of shops are closed on Sunday. What would be great is if one Sunday a month that this happens they would consider opening up. We would like to offer a map to the downtown to say here is more you can check out in downtown. It is three minutes away. Walk up the hill, you are there. So we would absolutely love to take the opportunity to address the merchants.

Trustee Armacost: I think anything new makes people afraid, often, and it sounds like your attitude is very positive and collaborative, as you say. There are all sorts of things that can be done to encourage people to go into the rest of the Village, including temporary signs and various other things, and the maps that you are talking about. But to me, this is really an

enhancement. I think it could singlehandedly have quite a big positive effect. We will see pretty soon, after the first couple of sessions. Do you have a set of vendors already in mind?

Ms. DeForest: We are working very hard to put together something for this Hastings weekend coming up this weekend. Between the rain and the short notice, and Lyndhurst going on, we have not had that much success. But every single person we reach out to, which is over a hundred, have all expressed incredible interest. We first started with Hastings. I contacted everyone, if you are familiar with the Etsy platform where you can sell handmade goods and crafts. Everyone was incredibly excited about this opportunity. And they said please, if you are having it in Octobers, please let me know, can we invite other merchants. So October 20 is the Sunday that we are targeting right now that we would like to ask your permission for if this Sunday does not happen. This Sunday, again, we are very much trying to work hard and make this Sunday happen. But with such short notice, and Lyndhurst, everyone is really booked. But October 20, we probably have at least 15 to 20 merchants, within just a few days' time, very interested.

Mayor Swiderski: I do not want to at all be a wet blanket, but I have got a similar concern. The Farmers' Market absorbs the cost, all cost, to the Village. Whether it is the cost of the crossing guard to make sure people are not turning right, or the cost of the volunteer to make sure that the disabled can get up to the library, they cover those costs. Are there police costs here we would incur, whether it is overtime to manage traffic at this site?

Village Manager Frobel: I have only mentioned this to the Chief during a daily briefing because Susan had briefed me on it a few days ago. I do not know much about it, and I am going to need a little more detail to meet with the chief. The only fact that he was thrilled to hear was that it was not being considered to be up here in this parking lot.

Mayor Swiderski: But if there is a traffic control issue, there is an expense that is not zero and would need to be covered.

Village Manager Frobel: Yes, we would expect the promoter to cover that.

Mayor Swiderski: And while we, or at least me, are not talking about rent now, I have got to ask is this for-profit or not-for-profit? What is the business model? And are you factoring into that business model a payment to the Village for use of the facility?

Ms. DeForest: Yes, we would be for-profit, charging stall use, also seeking sponsorships to drive awareness, and advertising to get people here. So yes, we would love to have a discussion about the cost. Obviously, we want to take that into our consideration when doing our budget.

Trustee Walker: I think it might be useful if you did a business plan to show us what your kind of revenue stream would be. Not that we are that interested in what your revenue is, but to understand the model.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, sure, we have a model.

Trustee Walker: And to have an idea of what you could afford to pay. And with that, looking into the logistical issues, the police issues. Do you need porta-potties if it got to be really big, that kind of thing.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, I have already looked at that.

Trustee Walker: Are there electrical needs, what are the infrastructure needs you would have. We need to see a package with a business plan, with the numbers, and then with these other things. It would help us in understanding what your needs are and what the impact is going to be.

Ms. DeForest: Sure, we would like to prepare that. One question, though. How would you like that presented?

Trustee Armacost: I think there is a timing issue. Part of it is they are being very affable about this. But I think it is difficult to know until you have tried it. Part of what we are doing is like it is a for-profit so we are being a bit aggressive. But I think we should see how they do the first time. Whether they are able to manage it. What I would like not to do is to be so hardcore that we snuff out this little bright flame here. And you know me. I am very hardcore about finance.

Trustee Walker: I agree.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, thank you for that.

Mayor Swiderski: The only concern that I think needs to be immediately addressed is going to be Chief Bloomer. Chief Bloomer likes warning, and he would need to be able to assess is this going to require two cones and that is the end of it, or is it an officer or is it a crossing guard.

Trustee Walker: And power, too.

Trustee Apel: There is one other important thing you have to have. You are going to have to have liability insurance. So we are going to have to know that you are going to be covered

for millions of dollars, not just a little bit. Slips and falls and all that. So there is a business model that has to be followed. And even though we want to be encouraging, we have to protect the Village where it comes to all of those things.

Ms. DeForest: Sure, the insurance would not be a problem. I am incorporated as a LLC already.

Trustee Armacost: It is like the Take Me to the River music festival which we just had. We have liability insurance for that. About 1,500 people show up, and this is similar to that, except it is happening once a month. I think all the issues you are talking about are fairly easily handled.

Mayor Swiderski: Agreed.

Trustee Armacost: That is a not-for-profit model, this is a for-profit model. But my guess is, it is going to be similar to the beginning of Take Me to the River, where it was quite tiny and now it has become much bigger and it is a thing people look forward to each year. There are lot of people who come. But in the beginning, it was maybe 200, 300 people.

Trustee Walker: I appreciate what you said. I think doing it as an experiment, there is nothing wrong with trying it and then we see.

Mayor Swiderski: We like that here.

Trustee Walker: Yes, we like that kind of experimentation.

Trustee Apel: But I still think a business plan is a good idea. You obviously have thought about it and thought about how much money you are going to charge and how much you have to make and how much you can afford to spend.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, ma'am.

Trustee Apel: So I still think if they have already thought about it, they have a plan.

Trustee Armacost: They probably have a business plan.

Trustee Apel: That would be helpful for us. And October 20 is faster than you think. So the sooner we can get those materials.

Trustee Armacost: Putting it off for two weeks makes it tricky. They need authority today.

Trustee Walker: And I would like them to come to the downtown collaborative meeting.

Trustee Apel: It sounds great, but I still want to see a copy of the liability insurance. I want to know these things are in place. I do not want to just say yes.

Mayor Swiderski: The liability insurance we could empower the Village Manager and the Chief to ensure that. I do not know if we have a right to demand a business plan. I do not know if we can ask for that. It is a private company.

Trustee Apel: If you are going to rent them the property then we want to make sure.

Trustee Walker: It is not an atypical thing to ask for a business plan for something like this. It is not unusual to ask for that. I work with people who create and manage markets all over the county, and I asked them and that is how I came up with all the numbers on Brooklyn Flea. But they specifically said we should get a business plan. But do we need it by October 20? Not necessarily.

Mayor Swiderski: I am not sure. There is something about driving how much we charge based on numbers presented to us.

Trustee Walker: Well, it is a proposal, then, to us. They need to do the business plan, and then give us a proposal.

Mayor Swiderski: That is different. And we should do our own due diligence to figure out what a typical flea of a certain square footage charges.

Trustee Armacost: But this is a startup flea. So we can squish the initiative entirely throughout overregulating, or we can encourage entrepreneurship in our village. Talk about it as an experiment on the October 20 and not make commitments in the future, and see what happens. But my view would be let us not squish entrepreneurship while it is looking enthusiastic sitting in front of us with these two lovely young ladies.

Mayor Swiderski: The way to square the circle is if there is proof of liability insurance provided and the police details can be worked out in time. Those are two things that have to happen.

Village Manager Frobel: We should have all those answers by the October 1 meeting. And that gives plenty of time for the October 20 program, right?

Trustee Apel: Well, they have to advertise though.

Mayor Swiderski: And you have to get some commitments from vendors.

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Before we commit to October 20, I am up for the next item for the agenda, our Lipchitz sculpture rededication, which we have scheduled for that day. I am sitting here trying to think if it is a conflict, or if one enhances the other. I really do not know. So before we give a final, could I talk about the Lipchitz, if you are ready to give them a yes or no?

Mayor Swiderski: I am ready.

Trustee Armacost: I am ready to hear you. To me, it does not sound like a conflict. But why do you not tell us.

3. Lipchitz Sculpture Re-Dedication

Village Clerk Maggiotto: You all may have noticed we have a big improvement, that beautiful base to the sculpture. And Mr. Gonder, a plaque is coming and will be put on it. We will finally have it the way it should be, and we are very excited about it. We thought this presented a wonderful opportunity to reintroduce the community to this treasure that we have here.

It was a very exciting event in 1967 when it was dedicated to the Village as a gift of the sculptor, Jacques Lipchitz. I am afraid that many people in the Village do not appreciate exactly what we have here. Jacques Lipchitz was a friend of Picasso and Modigliani, and Gertrude Stein wrote a lovely poem about him. He was a very eminent sculptor, who ended up living here after World War II and loved his adopted hometown and gave us this gift. We have a wonderfully active group, a collaboration among the library, the Village Arts Commission and RiverArts, and we are putting together a program for that day, Sunday, October 20 at 2 o'clock to rededicate it. It will be the opening of a show which will be on exhibit here that the Village Arts Commission is putting together all about Lipchitz.

The program is more about Lipchitz the person. We are gathering people who knew him and reminiscences of him. We have invited the people who bought the house from him that he lived in on Warburton, the McDonoughs. So it is going to be a lovely event. I just wanted to alert everyone that it is coming, and that date is pretty fixed for a number of reasons.

Trustee Apel: How long is the program?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Probably just a short program at 2 p.m., no more than an hour, and then we will have the opening of the exhibit, and we will have refreshments. I would say from 2 to 4.

I was reading a proclamation that Governor Nelson Rockefeller gave. This was a big deal. It received national attention when this sculpture was given to the Village. He talked about how it was not only wonderful for Hastings, but it added to the artistic store of the whole state of New York. People just praised it to the skies. In any case, it is called Between Heaven and Earth. Someone sent me a picture this week of children climbing on it at the Farmers' Market. I am afraid the base has made it more attractive for climbing. We would like it to be treated with more deference and respect. The Friends of the Library are doing landscaping around the base that we hope will be a signal, although the committee was pretty strong today that we need a sign Please Do Not Climb on the Sculpture, which is unfortunate, but we may have to do that.

2. Hastings Flea (continued)

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Getting back to the point with the young women, we hope it will be nice enough to do it outside on Village Hall Plaza. If not, plan B is to go into the library downstairs if the weather does not cooperate. But then we would have this flea market right down the hill.

Trustee Armacost: I was going to say, do you think it would enhance it? That people could be sent up the hill from the flea market?

Ms. DeForest: We could do the flea 9 to 3, so maybe then we could just have a sign telling people, advertising it at the flea. Because it will be wrapping up by then.

Ilana Arazie, Applicant, Flea Market: And I think the more going on in the Village that day is probably a good thing just for all-day things to do.

Trustee Walker: I wanted to ask you something else. Maybe not for this go-round, but are you contemplating in the future having food and music at the flea?

Ms. Arazie: We would like to.

Ms. DeForest: Yes, that would enhance the experience. For the first one, to clarify, it will be as simple as possible. We are not going to offer power to the vendors. We might have tables and tents to rent, but we are asking them to bring a table at the very least. We will have a chalk outline of their spaces. We are trying to test the waters and just prove the case

to see if this is something the community is interested in, something vendors are interested in and also work out a lot of the kinks that I am sure we will come upon.

Trustee Walker: So do we need to pass a resolution in order to approve the use of the lot?

Village Manager Frobel: Normally you do that, authorizing them to use it.

Trustee Armacost: So could we pass it for the use that day?

Mayor Swiderski: That day, with the caveats mentioned regarding insurance.

Village Manager Frobel: And satisfying the police, and looking at the out of pocket expenses.

Ms. DeForest: We could have the insurance and business plan, if you have decided collectively you want that, within a week.

51B:13 HASTINGS FLEA – APPROVAL OF USE OF ZINNSER COMMUTER PARKING LOT

On MOTION of Trustee Armacost, SECONDED by Trustee Jennings the following Resolution was duly adopted upon roll call vote:

RESOLVED: that the Mayor and Board of Trustees approve the use of the Zinsser Commuter Parking Lot by the Hastings Flea on Sunday, October 20, 2013 from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., provided that proof of insurance is provided, that any Police Department concerns regarding traffic and safety are met, and that any out of pocket expenses are paid by the Hastings Flea.

ROLL CALL VOTE	AYE	NAY
Trustee Bruce Jennings	X	
Trustee Marjorie Apel	X	
Trustee Meg Walker	X	
Trustee Nicola Armacost	X	
Mayor Peter Swiderski	X	

Trustee Walker: We will let you know about our next Downtown Collaborative meeting. We will set it up and we will invite merchants, and we can have that discussion.

Ms. DeForest: We would love to collaborate with them.

Mayor Swiderski: And without being a drag further, there are neighbors. I terms of setup time, try to put it as close to 9 as reasonable. Do not say 6:30 because it will not go well.

Ms. DeForest: No, it would not go well with me, either. Thank you.

Mayor Swiderski: Good luck.

Trustee Walker: And we are just approving the one.

Mayor Swiderski: The resolution was one date, one slot of time on that date.

3. Lipchitz Sculpture Re-Dedication (continued)

Mayor Swiderski: Do we want to mention, just for the record, that the funding was partly private?

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Yes, the funding for the base and the plaque was donated by the Joel Dean's Foundation. Mr. Dean was a big part of this. And we have a number of other people that we will acknowledge.

Mayor Swiderski: Mr. Dean is a former resident who has been kind enough to donate over the years, mostly for trees and public plantings. But this is a larger than typical donation, and we got it for a great deal.

Village Clerk Maggiotto: Yes, he did a little arm twisting.

4. Comprehensive Plan Priorities

Trustee Apel: The two proposals were out, and one has to do with the Arts Commission and the other with putting a committee together for simplifying how construction and development is done in the Village. The committee was going to discuss that. Niki and Meg were going to be point people, so I am going to let them talk about where they are at this point and what is happening.

Trustee Armacost: The Village arts group, I do not know that they have been able to meet since that last time.

Trustee Apel: They have met and have formulated something.

Trustee Armacost: So why did you ask me to speak about it if they have written to you already?

Trustee Apel: Because I thought you had spoken to them.

Trustee Armacost: No, I asked them to do it, but they did not send anything to me. If they sent it to you directly, it did not come to me. So the last I knew, they had not met.

Trustee Apel: OK, well, they have met. And they have put together a mission statement, which we could pass on to the Board so they could see if it met your approval.

Trustee Armacost: Maybe you could e-mail it to all of us, since it apparently only came to you.

Mayor Swiderski: I got it, as well. I did not even check the two because I thought everybody got it.

Trustee Apel: No, it was sent to you.

Trustee Armacost: I do not think so.

Mayor Swiderski: I thought it kicked it to me from me from a Board of Trustees mailing.

Trustee Apel: No, it came to you first.

Mayor Swiderski: Then I will forward it on. I read it through. I thought it was essentially a restatement of their existing responsibility. But let me pass it on to the Board and we can have a discussion next week and officially state that it their charge. And then the second?

Trustee Walker: I took the first step that we discussed, which was I had a meeting with Deven Sharma, the Building Inspector, to talk about both his views on what we should be addressing as well as how we should be addressing it. He boiled it down to three themes. It is not so much about the Building Department, per se, or the building code. It is really about the approvals process, and even more planning than zoning. But there are also some other issues, like ARB and signage and some other things that would probably be incorporated into that, and view preservation and so on. But it really comes down to those kinds of approvals as opposed to just a zoning variance thing.

However, I will come back to the three areas. One is communications and simplifying the communications to the public, which could impact everything, probably could help the Building Department, could help the Zoning Board or the public going to the Zoning Board and, of course, going to the Planning Board. But having some kind of checklist that simplifies the process for the person coming into the office that clarifies the process. It does not necessarily simplify it, but it clarifies the process, what they have to go through step by step. Deven said he could probably do that in a matter of days. He could come up with a checklist that would make a lot of sense. You might stop at step one because you are only doing something very simple, or you need to go on to step two because you are doing something a little more complicated. So he was going to try his hand at creating a checklist. That was the first step of communications, but there may be other ideas of how to communicate the approvals process, the needs of the department and so on to the public or the applicant.

Number two, he put it as proportionality. He thinks that frequently we impose draconian rules for minor projects. For things that really should not have to jump through hoops, we make them jump through hoops. And sometimes it is something simple like putting an air conditioning unit in your yard and you have to go through all these hoops. He can point to a number of different things. Installing a new window or putting a little bay window on your house and you have to go through all these hoops. So he was saying why do we not look at it that the system should be designed to equal the size of the project. Big projects, certainly, should get a lot of scrutiny, and they are going to take more time and they are going to have to go to more meetings. And a little project should be able to get through very quickly. He wanted to figure out how we could look at the proportionality

And then the third he said is, there are points in the zoning code, not just about zoning but about the ordinance as a whole, there are just things that just do not make sense. They are carryovers from the old days or they are things we have not looked at in a long time. He could put a list of these things together that bug him. But he also agreed that we should put a committee together and they can look at it. He will give them his list, but they will also talk to recent applicants and find out what other issues people are struggling with.

So those are the three things: communication, proportionality and things that do not make sense. Then we talked about the committee. We thought yes, maybe a representative from the Zoning, Planning and ARB Boards, and then maybe in addition an architect who has come before the boards quite a bit, and perhaps a developer and/or a downtown merchant, somebody who is a business owner in town who has been through a process. We had some ideas of individuals who might be willing to serve, but that is about as far as we got in discussing it.

So Zoning, Planning, ARB, an architect. And we were thinking like five. Either a developer or a downtown merchant. We pick one of the two if we wanted to keep it at five, an odd number. If we wanted to go to six we could get one of each. But I think it would be great to have somebody who represents the downtown.

Mayor Swiderski: I agree. My weighting it toward three members with three committees out of five you weight to institutions. At the very least, part of this is addressing perceived issues there. And perhaps one more person on the outside.

Trustee Walker: Or there was a question whether we needed somebody from the Zoning Board. Except there is someone from the Zoning Board who wants to do this. So it came up because he was really interested in being on such a committee, and we thought he might be a good addition to the committee. He might even be a chair of the committee.

Mayor Swiderski: So how about an architect, developer and downtown on one side.

Trustee Walker: Yes.

Trustee Apel: Sounds good.

Trustee Walker: Six, yes. And I guess he and I could put together a list and then present it to you all. We did talk about opening it up to the public, and we thought maybe we do not need to do that with this particular committee.

Mayor Swiderski: Opening it up in what sense? Asking for volunteers?

Trustee Walker: Asking for volunteers, yes.

Mayor Swiderski: It is a working group. . I do not know if we would gain anything.

Trustee Apel: Because we want people who have had experience.

Trustee Walker: Recent experience, and we know who they are.

Mayor Swiderski: Ideally, they will be seeking public input on the process.

Trustee Walker: Exactly. We both agree that they would be going out and talking to folks who had been through the process recently, and asking for input. So they would be gathering information. That would be what they do at first.

Mayor Swiderski: So before the group is gathered, what is the charge of the group?

Trustee Walker: Those three items I talked about. But we can elaborate on that. We talked about, just as we did at the last meeting, should this group go, with a fine tooth comb, through the zoning ordinance. I do not think that it necessary, and that would take a year. Deven was thinking that there are a lot of things in the code that he would love to tag. But I convinced him that we do not need to go through line by line, that we will find those things by talking to people about the issues that they have confronted. And if something is not broken, why fix it at this point is. Why do we not fix the things that are broken or taking too much time, and look at those first.

Mayor Swiderski: I agree. A fishing expedition into the code could go on for a year.

Trustee Apel: They may come up with a lot of stuff in the beginning. Just deal with those.

Mayor Swiderski: Focus on the process is the right thing.

Trustee Apel: Yes, the bigger ones that are really tripping everybody up are the important ones.

Trustee Walker: And I am glad that he realized that it would be useful to have some kind of checklist or some kind of explanation when you walk ion the door what you are supposed to be doing.

Mayor Swiderski: That is always the push-back from people on Planning, that applicants come before us who do not have everything they need and do not necessarily know what they need. So if we could address that problem.

Trustee Apel: That would be good. I mean, that would be great to bring to the committee. These are people who have dealt with it. They would probably say, if we had only had this list before. We can talk about that.

Trustee Walker: We added one more thing, but we are not going to ask this committee to address it. That is recordkeeping in the Building Department and making sure that resolutions are recorded in such a way that you do not have to go through the minutes to find them. People have told me that when they are trying to find decisions that have been made by the Planning Board they have to go to the minutes, and there should be another way. There should be a resolution in the property file. That is something he can do on his own. He does not need a committee to work on that.

Trustee Jennings: You made an interesting distinction in your remarks; the difference between clarification and simplification. I see absolutely no-brainers of very important streamlining, clarifying, good communicating things to do. More interesting is whether or not this committee will tackle policy change. I do not favor a fishing expedition through the code either. But Deven's notion of proportionality is not clarification. It is policy change. So I do not think he could really address that one.

Trustee Walker: Clarification is part of the communication thing. But proportionality, I do not know exactly how you implement it. That means we change the code.

Trustee Jennings: Well, that is right. It is either legal change or it is interpretation by whoever. How much do we want the committee to get into that?

Trustee Walker: Yes, it could be a biggie. The way I look at it is, what has really been a hassle in the last two or three years. They will talk to the Planning Board and they will talk to the Zoning Board and they will talk to the ARB and find out who has gotten held up simply because of something in the code that requires a property owner to come three or four times for just a window approval, or something really minor. Who has been held up from minor projects unnecessarily. And again, it is going to be what they have experienced. We cannot project into the future what problems people are going to have. I really think it is problems they have had. And Deven already has a list.

Trustee Jennings: So the choice of stories will be interesting. We have heard stories about statues at the church and so forth, and everybody has heard stories about Hastings House.

Trustee Walker: Yes, why was it held up for 18 months.

Trustee Jennings: Correct. So those paradigmatic stories will be analyzed and hot button points will be identified, so improvements will be suggested.

Trustee Walker: And it may be that some of these things you cannot change because it is state law and the site plan process has to take this long. That is just the way it is, and you cannot necessarily change it. But there may be some things, as we found recently.

Trustee Jennings: Clarifying what is within our power to control and decide and what is imposed from the outside, that is very important.

Trustee Apel: I think it is great. If they go back a couple of years and look over some of the things, then they can find out whether or not it is doable or not doable, and then have a discussion. And then they will bring it up so the next person does not have a same problem.

But obviously, the more recent would be because we have made laws over the last few years, too, that may have affected people. We do not know until they come across the unintended consequences. So that is good.

Mayor Swiderski: I am going to be cautionary here, and say that this is not going to be trivial. Those meetings, given that three of those members come from committees that may feel criticized for the way they do their business, may take this conversation differently than the other three.

Trustee Apel: That is why it is balanced.

Mayor Swiderski: It is balanced, but that does not mean it will go well. It could still go quite poorly and be a lot of pushing back by parties. It is going to be important to keep reining it in to make sure it remains useful and not hostile.

Trustee Walker: Yes, not hostile and not out of control. That is a good point. When do you know that you are done? Where do you stop?

Mayor Swiderski: Right. The scope is the issue here. It is a little like the Economic Development Committee; they were not scoped sufficiently and it never went in a coherent direction partly because of that. Here, what is the one-line summary of what this group does?

Trustee Walker: And maybe we have to do that, but they have a specific amount of time to do a scoping exercise. They do a scoping exercise by talking to their boards for ideas, and then going to the public or recent applicants for their ideas. They put a limit, either time limit or a certain number of meetings, and then that is it. They raised the issues, like you would in a SEQRA scoping session. You have raised the issues and yes, maybe somebody could write in. But at a certain point you stop, and you say we are going to tackle these issues and we are not going to take any more.

Trustee Apel: We start with this this year, and then worry about next year.

Mayor Swiderski: Right. But it has to be manageable because you ultimately have to get six people to sign on to something that is not going to be open-ended forever and yields something useful and actionable that we can react to and do something with. So scoping is going to be everything here. Even the self-scoping idea, again, if we cannot define what the group is to do in two sentences we have got a problem. If it is not narrowly scoped up front they could land up going off in any direction for weeks. So if it is to assess the process and determine if there are ways to improve it, that may be a useful start. How do you make sure

you do not go off in an unintended direction or into something hostile, and be positive with outcomes that are useful.

Trustee Walker: I guess some things are really thorny and difficult to address, and other things might be simple. Let us tackle the simple ones first.

Trustee Jennings: Low-hanging fruit really does go along with the clarification thing. We are not going to argue about what interpretations or policy should be. We are going to simply try to make it easier for people to comply with the existing rules and streamline the process. We are not going to get into what the rule should be so much as we are going to get into how can these rules be more user-friendly than they are now.

Trustee Walker: Right. Because, as you said, it is not about policy, it is not about changing the zoning ordinance to allow different uses. Given what is there, how do you streamline it.

Trustee Jennings: Right. And I would think that with that kind of scope we would minimize the amount of defensiveness and turf issues, because everybody should agree that we want our committee to work well and facilitate the obedience of the regulations.

Trustee Armacost: That is really important in terms of scoping. What they can do if they want to, and we can decide if this is valuable, if they know there are four issues where there is disagreement among the different groups, and it is political, it would be useful to know that. Not to ask them to address it, but to have that flag for us. If it is about simplification of language or processes those are uncontroversial. But it could be that one person's view of complying is, to someone else, oversimplification. That may be where it becomes tricky.

Trustee Jennings: Which may be a danger in relying too much on the case study or storytelling approach, because the interesting stories do not adhere to this scope. They are about personalities, they are about disagreements about how much regulation we should have and not have and about what. So you open up a can of worms when you retrospectively look at a particular project that got slowed down. Maybe you are inviting trouble if you do that.

Trustee Walker: But how else do you do it?

Trustee Apel: I think it will happen anyway, in the sense that once you start putting out, not simplification because that makes it sound like you are watering it down, but you are putting out the sheets of what you do and so forth and so on. Somewhere along the line in the discussion I am sure they would say yes, but in this case we could not do it because it was over there, and this is a problem, and we never realized this was an unintended consequence of the problem. Did everyone else have this problem, too? And then maybe something

should be done about it or not, and if it could be changed in the process, not changing the rules of the law, then that is fine. But if everyone is seeing that if you have these cases that are coming up, and they are taking four or five meetings when they really do not have to, that one rises to the top. It is something that has to then be addressed. You put these people together, this discussion is going to happen whether we tell them or not.

Trustee Armacost: But it sounds like Deven already has a short list. Or a long list. for Deven to document that will be a huge first step. And then the group can discuss that.

Trustee Apel: And we can say wow, we did not realize this was going on.

Mayor Swiderski: Or the Planning Board may react differently to it.

Trustee Armacost: One thing that somebody could do, and maybe this is something, Fran, that is appropriate for you to do, is to look at Deven's list and divide it between what are policy considerations and what are communication/simplification issues. I do not know how easy it is for Deven to do that himself, but with your help it would be fairly clear for him.

Village Manager Frobel: We have asked for that list for awhile. I hope it is being developed. I have asked for it for awhile, but we will ask.

Mayor Swiderski: So those are the two existing initiatives. Trustee Apel and I, in discussions about next steps, are trying to sort through it. We have a comprehensive plan. We have had it prioritized in terms of what should happen next. But we have dozens of projects that should happen over the next two years in that prioritization, or at least a couple of dozen. There is a tack we need to take as a group that does not rely on Marge parceling out work for us, but on us collaboratively agreeing to what we need to tackle and in what order, because much of the work will fall on us to manage. You have just been saddled with something. The next project may not be one you are willing to take. We need to look at this list, as a group, and instead of relying on Marge to do that, to own it ourselves as a group. Then Marge can manage it. There is that missing layer where we take a whack at that list.

Trustee Walker: I know I have my favorites.

Mayor Swiderski: That is exactly it. We need the sifter, and look at that prioritized list and say OK. This comes back to an earlier point I made here. The biggest issue we have here is the ability to do this stuff. Not our desire to or the ideas, but the capacity of this board and the Village management to implement these ideas. It is very easy to have ideas. People come to me with them often enough. I nod very politely, and I am like are you willing to do it? We have to own this work, and own it in a context of a lot of other things we have in our

laps. I do not know what I am willing to own yet, but I am willing to take something on. And sort out what, collectively, and in what order we are willing to tackle them. And then Marge can help manage that process by then getting that additional worker on each one and determining what we are going to do. But first we need to collectively out of these top 20 winnow it down and see what is realistic and take it on. Otherwise, this throwing out three items for us to shoot down does not feel right.

Trustee Walker: There are some committees that may like to take some on. For example, the Conservation Commission after the Green Building Code want to come to us with the complete streets resolution. That is the beginning of a whole transportation initiative that they would like to take on. That tackles a lot of the things in the comprehensive plan. If they want to do that, I think that is great.

Mayor Swiderski: I agree.

Trustee Apel: But we gave a list out, at one point, by committee who could handle each one. I do not know if we mentioned that that was one, I did not know they wanted to do that. But certainly, looking at that list. And when we put it together we said, omigod, one committee would end up with everything. It is not realistic to dump everything on one. But there are different ways to look at it. You can look at the committees and say these are all the projects. Which ones do we want to do? Give it to this committee, this committee or that committee. Or we look at topics and say who is going to handle this? There are so many different ways to look at it. But the point of the matter is, we have to decide which ones. Yes, we can keep farming them out. But at some point, there is only so much you can do. Or you need to have more "volunteers."

Trustee Armacost: We can have it on a list, and everyone thinks the list is great but no one wants to do it. For example, I am interested in the gateways to Hastings. A group, the Vine Squad, is quietly tidying up the gateways. It was not given the job by anyone, no one is overseeing it, no one is managing it. In the summer we do not do it because of the poison ivy issue. But we are coming up for the season where the Vine Squad is going to kick in again. And it does beautify, in its way, those gateways. It is not the same as some of the other projects. It is not necessarily what was written in the comprehensive plan. But it is a gateway-improving activity that a number of us are involved in. So part of it is, with volunteers, you have to make sure your projects match what they are interested in. Otherwise, you end up getting grumpy volunteers at the end of the day

Trustee Apel: That we know, but let us remember what happened. We have a comprehensive plan and it has a five year time before we review. We have things we have to start doing. We had a committee that went through and prioritized all these things. We need

to look at what they prioritized and ask which one of those areas do we want to tackle and what do we want to be responsible for before we start digging out something which was at the bottom of the pile that this whole committee said if you look in the scheme of things is not the important thing, we really need to focus on this thing first before we do this thing next. We all like, as you say, the low-hanging fruit. We want to cut off all the ones that are easy because we have done them already. We could certainly say we want projects that are doable, the ones we can do right away, and those that we know are long-term, and those we want to start now, and those we could do this year and that year, and those we are just not going to get to. But the committee already had all these discussions, and they are working on that spread sheet. They promised they were going to do that.

Here is what I would like to recommend that we do. This is a big topic. And having this at a Board meeting at 10:15 at night could be more productive if we had a work session or two. If everyone beforehand goes over and looks at the priorities again. And see if there is something that either interests you or you agree, or prioritize yourself.

Mayor Swiderski: Or disagree.

Trustee Apel: Or disagree, or not. And then we should come together for the first meeting and have a discussion which ones do we want to pick for now. I am not saying other things are not going to happen. You cannot say these are the ones we really want to pick, but these are happening already and this is going on and that is fine and they are moving along and this committee wants to do that, good, we can check that off, that is fine. But that would be more helpful than me coming to you. We picked three because we wanted to get started. Let us come to terms together of which ones we want to do. It could be, in the end, we would say we can only do three this year. And that is fine. But it is something that would be helpful if we all came and made a decision on together if we could have a work session.

Mayor Swiderski: I agree. What we need to further kick it off. It has started and items are underway, but to sort it out.

Trustee Jennings: I certainly agree. No matter how important in the abstract something may be as a priority, it is time for us to take the next step, to determine if it is high-priority, , if it is, A, important and B, there is somebody to shepherd it and see there is somebody to do it. If you lack the last two, no matter how important it is we probably should put it aside. I think that is what Peter is saying about ownership. We need to do that and we need to have one Board member be willing to be a shepherd, a liaison. Then we need to decide whether there is an existing body that will take this on, or if we have to create an ad hoc body of new volunteers to do it. Once we have clarified and sorted all that out, then I think it can work. I do think that the Green Building Code, although it took a very long time, was a good

example of something that was important. One Trustee more than others was committed to going to a log of meetings over the period of time, kind of shepherding. We had an existing group that took ownership of it, but really it was done by an ad hoc group of special volunteers. So it mixes all those things together. That is a good recipe. The Infrastructure Committee is another model.

Mayor Swiderski: Right. But these are a lot of work, and they do require input from the Board.

Trustee Jennings: They do, and that is why the shepherding is important. And, ultimately, all of us have to be educated about what they have come up with and make the final determination. All of this is recommendation to us. So yes, I think we are ready now to drop the other shoe, and say who is going to really do this.

Mayor Swiderski: What the committee did do was identify groups, or where there is a need for ad hocs. So we just have to go through that and begin to choose what are we willing to commit to.

Trustee Armacost: It sounds like you want a Trustee to be responsible for each one of those items; I think some of them make sense to have a Trustee responsible, and some of them do not. For example, having the downtown manager does not require a Trustee being responsible. It requires hiring the downtown manager.

Mayor Swiderski: But we may determine we need to say that out loud, and say let us cross this off because of X, or this looks like it could fly on its own, let us get it going.

Trustee Armacost: But to me, the hiring of the downtown manager, there is a job description. That was the top priority, as I remember from everyone who was here. But somehow it has moved away from being the top priority. I do not understand why. But the job description was even drafted, was it not?

Trustee Apel: It was supposed to be rewritten.

Trustee Armacost: But I do not think that is Meg's job to hire that person. It is Fran's job to hire that person.

Mayor Swiderski: If we determine that is something we want to do. We have not determined that.

Trustee Armacost: I thought we determined it.

Trustee Walker: No. When we were talking about the budget we set aside money to begin the process, not actually hire somebody, did we not?

Mayor Swiderski: Right. We do not have a line item.

Trustee Armacost: I thought we had \$30,000.

Trustee Apel: It was \$30,000.

Mayor Swiderski: We have \$30,000 to do with large projects or planning expenses to do with large projects for the Comprehensive Plan. We did not set aside \$30,000 for that.

Trustee Jennings: It was the whole megillah, not just that one thing.

Trustee Walker: We were going to look at it, but we were not necessarily going to hire the person in this fiscal year.

Trustee Jennings: But you are right. That is the one thing they wanted to do.

Trustee Armacost: I think that was the one thing everyone wanted to do. I thought we had agreed we were going to have a temporary person for filling that job, but it was part-time.

Mayor Swiderski: That is one way we said we thought about going.

Trustee Armacost: So since that was the single most important issue for everyone, all of the comprehensive group and everyone up here was nodding, to me, that is the thing we should tackle. We can certainly discuss the other things, but how is that going to become a reality rather than all these other little things around the side which may be lower-hanging fruit because people are interested? I do not know. That seems to be the pressing issue.

Mayor Swiderski: But we have not gone through that list and said this is the issue we should address. I am not sure that is where I would blow my \$30,000. Out of that list, I do not know if that has been so clearly determined. I think Meg will tell you it has not because of her experience so far with the collaborative. But that is flying before crawling when you look at something like the Farmers' Market. They did not hire somebody for years.

Trustee Armacost: Whatever it was that was the problem that was identified there, however that is framed, the proposal was to hire a downtown manager. Whether it is a part-time person or something else, there needs to be a body which is not one of us and which is

not Fran and which is not another staff person. That is what I thought we agreed on, to move that forward. Or is the downtown collaborative handling that issue?

Mayor Swiderski: We do not know. We have not had the discussion. I do not want to have that discussion now. That is why we want a work session.

Trustee Walker: That is what we need to discuss.

Mayor Swiderski: Right. Is this something that we are going to tackle, and how does it look in the context of 15 other projects. Is that too big a project now? Let us look at the other 14? That is why we are looking for a session to go through that and queue those up.

[Discussion of Dates]

Mayor Swiderski: October 22 at 7:30 p.m.

Trustee Apel: Is there any information you still need from me in order to do your own research on this subject? Is there something you are missing or something you still need? You have the Comprehensive Plan booklet, you have the sheets, you have the list.

Mayor Swiderski: Take a real whack at that beforehand.

Trustee Apel: The only thing I can recommend, no matter when you looked at all these sheets, you need to go to the page in the Comprehensive Plan and read all the information around it. I found that very helpful to get to why the task. But if there is anything else you need let me know.

5. Update on the Downtown

Trustee Walker: This weekend is our Discover Hastings weekend. We are running promotional ads on WCBS Radio and directing people to the Rivertowns Tourism Web site. We are going to have a Friday Night Live from 6 to 9. I went on and on about the screening of the Wizard of Oz, which is what we really wanted to do. Unfortunately, this year is the 75th anniversary of the release of that film and so they are re-releasing it and it is not available. You cannot get a license to show it. Maybe that is something we put off until next year. We were very disappointed because we had lots of ideas around that. So it is going to be a regular October Friday Night Live, with lots of outdoor dining and live music at VFW. Warburton Avenue will be closed. When did we start? We are celebrating the fourth anniversary. We started October 2, 2009. It is mostly an opportunity to come down and enjoy your neighbors and have a meal. The theme is food and music.

Then we have advertised a number of activities, some of which are weekly occurrences like the Farmers' Market. But there are a few exciting things that are going on. There is going to be an architectural tour of the downtown that Christina Griffin is giving on Saturday. The Cropsey Institute Gallery is going to be open from 2 to 4 on Saturday. There is going to be a great concert at the Purple Crayon. Teddy Thompson is performing that night. On Sunday there is going to be a tour of the Aqueduct and Untermyer Park that is part of the Hudson River Ramble. The Historical Society is going to be open, your last chance to see that great exhibit on the veterans of Hastings. There is also kayaking at Kinnally Cove on both mornings. For more information you can go to rivertownsguide.com. and rivertownsny.org. We are going to have another collaborative meeting after that to follow up on how it went, and we will invite the Hastings Flea people and merchants to come, too.

6. Update on the Waterfront

Village Manager Frobel: Progress continues on the Chevron site. You probably have seen the barge there, offloading the gravel, the fill. A little spike with dust during that heat wave a few days ago, but took care of it. Shut down and watered it down, and it was not hazardous by any means. It was just a dust incident.

BP/ARCO will be taking some samples of the fish off their shoreline, not on the Exxon Mobil but on the BP site. They are going to be doing some additional sampling offshore so you are going to see a rig out there grabbing some samples probably next week.

Mayor Swiderski: What they are doing here is establishing the baseline, which is the first step of an engineering design. This will be the baseline that the DEC uses to see how well their remediation works. What is the PCB level in the fish? There are areas that are of special concern to the DEC offshore that have not been fully sampled. What is the PCP level there? Getting the numbers that they will then be comparing as they do their work. We will post on the Web site the document that describes the baseline sampling that the DEC has signed off on. It is the last round of sampling, and then from here on in they will be comparing against those numbers.

7. Other

Mayor Swiderski: The 45 people who have signed up to log deer have gotten logins and have started to do so. We have probably 60 or 70 sightings logged.

Trustee Walker: Do they have a particular area designated, a site from which they log?

Mayor Swiderski: It is widely dispersed, and we are looking for people mostly through the neighborhoods. But it is not mandatory that you just report your neighborhood.

Trustee Walker: But they are reporting a location of their sightings.

Mayor Swiderski: Right, a specific street address, or park.

Trustee Armacost: Are people who do not have online access able to see that?

Mayor Swiderski: The only person who does not is John Gonder, and I have to get him a physical log. Everybody else has online access.

Trustee Jennings: I found a Web site for a National Wildlife place in California, [Point Reyes, with a lot of information about camera technology which is designed to do animal census. Over weeks, they count the animals.

Mayor Swiderski: Those are called "camera traps," and that will be the technology we will be deploying. The camera traps are awhile away.

Trustee Armacost: I think there are different constituencies in the Village that would like to know when that is happening in terms of the woods, for example.

Mayor Swiderski: A couple of other things: Graham School. Maybe six months ago, the Chief and I and Fran went to the Graham School to express our concern about the impact on the Police Department. Lately the Chief has commented that the drop-off is so significant it is quite remarkable. That is apparently due to a number of things. They have changed the uptake of the sort of kids they bring into their community, and that has had an effect.

Trustee Armacost: Meaning they are taking less violent children?

Mayor Swiderski: They have changed the clientele, to put it nicely. But it has had a significant impact. And the protocols they deploy internally have changed so they do not necessarily lean on the police department as quickly. Also, and not insignificantly, a few weeks ago they started paying toward our court costs.

Trustee Armacost: How much, do we know?

Village Manager Frobel: I have that number, but I did not know we were reporting on it tonight. It just started. It is for the police officer here in the court.

Mayor Swiderski: It is their way of acknowledging they have an impact on our costs. It may be seven or eight thousand over a year, but I am guessing. It is not going to be huge, but it is a real commitment. Coupled with the radical drop-off in numbers, it is good. I am going to do a formal letter thanking them for their efforts. It is easy to swipe at them, but they are trying something here that is apparently working.

I just wanted to extend thanks to the Andrus Home for the picnic they held for the firemen. There were over 50 firemen and families attending, and it was a very nice, low-key effort that they want to do annually. It is part of their reaching out to the community. It is an appreciated effort. It is nice to see Andrus emerge and begin to engage us in the community, and I think it is terrific.

Trustee Armacost: And specifically to Judi Knispel. We arrived very late, and she was handling all of the tidy-up. She did a fantastic job. And all of the Trustees were there.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Swiderski: A moment of silence for a former Trustee, John Murray who, in his seventies, was quite active here and did a lot for the Village. This is before my time, but he served the Village well. A moment of silence before we adjourn for the night.

On MOTION of Trustee Walker, SECONDED by Trustee Jennings with a voice vote of all in favor, Mayor Swiderski adjourned the Regular Meeting at 10:45 p.m.